



P-ISSN: 2349-8528

E-ISSN: 2321-4902

[www.chemijournal.com](http://www.chemijournal.com)

IJCS 2024; 12(5): 35-47

© 2024 IJCS

Received: 20-07-2024

Accepted: 25-08-2024

**Subramanian Ravichandran**Department of Physics,  
Sathyabama Institute of Science  
and Technology, Chennai, Tamil  
Nadu, India**Gheetha**Department of Physics,  
Sathyabama Institute of Science  
and Technology, Chennai, Tamil  
Nadu, India**Thangavelu Krithiga**Department of Chemistry,  
Sathyabama Institute of Science  
and Technology, Chennai, Tamil  
Nadu, India**Corresponding Author:****Subramanian Ravichandran**Department of Physics,  
Sathyabama Institute of Science  
and Technology, Chennai, Tamil  
Nadu, India

# International Journal of *Chemical Studies*

## Spectroscopic investigation and molecular interactions studies on styrene-ethylene-butylene–styrene in toluene and tetrahydrofuran solution in different concentrations at 303K by ultrasonic method

Subramanian Ravichandran, Gheetha, Thangavelu Krithiga

### Abstract

Ultrasonic velocities and densities have been measured for the Styrene-Ethylene-Butylene–Styrene (SEBS) in Toluene and Tetrahydrofuran (THF) in different concentration at 303K. Ultrasonic velocity has been measured using single frequency interferometer at 2 MHz (Model F-81). Adiabatic compressibility, intermolecular free length, acoustic impedance, surface tension and other acoustical parameters have been calculated to assess the SEBS-solvent interaction. It is seen that the ultrasonic velocity increases initially with the increase with concentration of SEBS and it reaches minimum value a mole fraction of 0.89527. The increase in velocity with concentrations suggests the increase in cohesive forces due to molecular interactions. The ultrasonic velocity is maximum and molecular free length is minimum at a mole fraction 1.455 of SEBS shows the closer packing molecular structure. These data are discussed with respect to the concentration of Styrene–Ethylene–Butylene–Styrene. Non-linear variations of acoustical parameters with concentrations support the strong molecular interactions. This type of molecular interaction is very important in determining the viscous flow properties of dilute polymer solutions. Computation of Nonlinearity parameter (B/A) of Styrene-Ethylene-Butylene-Styrene in Toluene and Tetrahydrofuran solution by Hartmann and Ballou relation solution at 303K is also carried out. The abrupt change in ultrasonic velocity of polymer solutions at a particular concentration of SEBS is primarily due to the molecular interaction between polymeric-solvent molecules. FTIR analysis is also carried out and it confirms the vibrational characteristics of the sample. Some of the absorption peaks at around  $3027.42\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $2924.34\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $2862.34\text{ cm}^{-1}$  are representing C-H stretch.

**Keywords:** Acoustical properties, spectroscopic, ultrasonic velocity

### 1. Introduction

The study and analysis of intermolecular interactions plays an important role in the development of physical sciences. A large number of investigations have been made on the molecular interaction in binary liquid systems by various physical methods like infrared, Raman Effect, nuclear magnetic resonance, dielectric constant, ultra violet and ultrasonic method. A number of researchers have studied acoustical properties of solutions containing ion. And it has been extensively used to determine the ion solvent interaction in aqueous solutions containing electrolytes. When an acoustical wave is passed through the binary/polymer solutions, it is affected by the molecular structure of the materials. Ultrasonic studies have found extensive applications in characterizing the aspects of thermodynamic, structural and physicochemical behavior of liquid mixtures. Ultrasonic property measurement of binary and polymer mixture have significant importance in understanding intermolecular interaction between the molecules. This ultrasonic behavior of liquid mixtures and the molecular interaction finds more application in industry and technological processes. The measurement of ultrasonic velocity and other calculated parameters has been used in understanding the nature of intermolecular interactions in binary liquid mixtures. Ultrasonic technique together with viscometric and density studies offers promising method to characterize the solutions [1-3]. Also other derived parameters from ultrasonic velocity measurements provide qualitative information about the nature and strength of molecular interactions in liquid mixtures. The committal of viscosity can useful to identify different types of fluids on the basis of molecules of liquids.

Acoustic impedance, Molecular free length, volume parameters and Adiabatic compressibility of the solution gives direct information's about different interactions involved among the various solute and solvents, complex formation, association or dissociation, structural and de-structural nature of the solvents. In recent years, several workers have applied this technique to characterize the binary and ternary solutions. Ultrasonic velocities, density with other acoustic parameters of liquid mixtures are essential to understand the molecular interactions between the like and unlike molecules. These data are used to develop theoretical models and in industrial and some biological applications. Propagation of ultrasonic waves through solution reveals many physico-chemical properties of the liquid mixtures.

The studies on molecular interactions give important information regarding internal structure, radius of molecules and other interaction parameters. The various types of forces operating between the molecules in a solute-solvent system are dispersion forces, hydrogen bonding, charge-transfer, dipole-dipole and dipole-induced dipole interactions. A mutual interaction or repulsion between the solute-solvent molecules will influence the viscosity of the solution. The solvent molecules take time to establish interactions with the polymer molecules, due to the large size and coiled nature of the polymer molecules and owing to strong forces of attraction between them. The forces holding the polymer segments together are weakened when solute-solvent interaction becomes more powerful than the solute-solute interaction. As a result, the solvent molecules break the segment-segment contacts and surround individual segments. Due to this, the segments come out from their coiled confirmation and gets solvated in the solvent. Kannappan et al studied the variation of ultrasonic velocity of Polyamide ester in DMA solution at different temperature and the segmental motion of the polymer chain <sup>[4]</sup>. Joshi et al studied the Interactions of polyethylene glycols in aqueous solution at 288.0K by ultrasonic method and they concluded that the nature of all the PEGs in water as structure maker,<sup>5</sup>. Acoustical property like Ultrasonic velocity, acoustical impedance and density are initially increases with concentration and it reaches minimum value and again it increases with concentration of SEBS in Toluene. Similarly, ultrasonic velocity is minimum and molecular free length is maximum at a concentration of 00.31 in Tetrahydrofuran. In the present investigation, an attempt has been made to provide the detailed information on the measurement of ultrasonic velocity, density, viscosity and other acoustical properties of styrene-ethylene-butylene-styrene in Toluene and Tetrahydrofuran solution at 303K in low and high concentration. An attempt is also made to identify the groups by Fourier Transform Infra-Red spectroscopic analysis. Computation of Nonlinearity parameter (B/A) of Styrene-Ethylene-Butylene-Styrene in Toluene and Tetrahydrofuran solution in different concentrations are measured by Hartmann and Ballou relation.

## 2. Experimental

Styrene-Ethylene-Butylene-Styrene (SEBS) is used in the present study. 5 gm of styrene-ethylene-butylene-styrene were dissolved in 100 ml of Toluene and Tetrahydrofuran. The polymer solution was added with solvent in different concentration like 0:100, 10:90, 20:80, 30:70...and ultrasonic parameters were studied. The total volume of a solution should be maintained as 100 ml. For density measurements, the liquid mixtures were taken in a 10 ml gravity bottle

(Borozil). The gravity bottle was dipped in a water bath. In order to obtain a constant temperature, the specific gravity bottle was kept inside the water bath for about 30 minutes. The densities of the pure liquids and liquid mixtures were measured using an electronic balance. The results of the densities are accurate to  $\pm 0.5\%$ . Density of the liquid mixture is calculated by using the formula  $\rho = (M\rho_w/M_w)$ , where M is the mass of liquid mixture,  $\rho_w$  is density of water, and  $M_w$  is the mass of water at room temperature.

Ultrasonic interferometer of fixed frequency (2 MHz) was used for measuring ultrasonic velocity (F-81, Mittel Enter Prices, and New Delhi). Density and ultrasonic velocity were measured for the different concentration of the mixed solution at 303 K. Adiabatic compressibility, acoustic impedance, intermolecular free length, surface tension and other acoustical parameters were calculated at different concentration at 303K temperatures. Similarly, same solution is prepared by dissolving 10 grams of SEBS in 100 milliliters of toluene and in THF at higher concentration and the same experimental procedure was repeated.

### 2.1 Measurement of viscosity

The viscosity measurements were done by using Ostwald's viscometer. The viscometer is filled with reference liquid (distilled water) and then immersed in water bath. The time taken for the flow of water through viscometer is measured. Using the same procedure, the time taken for flow of liquid mixture at the experimental temperature was also determined. By using the above time measurements, the viscosity of unknown liquid mixture is determined:

$$\eta_s / \eta_w = \rho_s / \rho_w \times t_s / t_w \text{ -----(1)}$$

Where  $\eta_w$ ,  $\rho_w$  and  $t_w$  are the viscosity, density and time flow of water respectively and  $\eta_s$ ,  $\rho_s$  and  $t_s$  are the viscosity, density and time flow of unknown liquid mixture respectively.

### 2.2 Adiabatic compressibility ( $\beta_{ad}$ )

Adiabatic compressibility is a determination of intermolecular association or repulsion. The adiabatic compressibility in a liquid mixture can be calculated by employing velocity and density using the relation,

$$\beta_{ad} = (1/ U^2 \rho) \text{ kgm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1} \text{ ----- (2)}$$

### 2.3 Acoustic impedance (Z)

The Specific acoustic impedance Z is related to density and velocity by the relation

$$Z = U\rho \text{ kgm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1} \text{ ----- (3)}$$

### 2.4 Intermolecular free length ( $L_f$ )

The free length is the distance between the surfaces of the neighboring molecules. Intermolecular free length is measured by using the relation

$$L_f = K (\beta_{ad})^{1/2} \text{ \AA} \text{ -----(4)}$$

Where K is the Jacobson's constant.

### 2.5 Free volume ( $V_f$ )

The free volume is defined as the average volume in which the center of the molecule can move inside the hypothetical cell due to the repulsion of the surrounding molecules. Free volume, on the basis of dimensional analysis of

experimentally measurable parameters like ultrasonic velocity, viscosity and is given by

$$V_f = [M_{\text{eff}} U / K \eta]^{3/2} (\text{m}^3 \text{mole}^{-1}) \text{-----} (5)$$

## 2.6 Internal pressure ( $\pi_i$ )

The internal pressure is calculated by using the relation,

$$\pi_i = b R T [K\eta/U]^{1/2} [\rho^{2/3}/M_{\text{eff}}^{7/6}] (\text{atm}) \text{-----}(6)$$

## 2.7 Rao constant or molar sound velocity(R)

Molar sound velocity is an additive function of the chemical bonds in the molecule and is useful in correlating molecular structure with ultrasonic velocity. Molar sound velocity is determined using the formula,

$$R = \frac{M_{\text{eff}}}{\rho} U^{1/3} (\text{m}^3 \text{mol}^{-1})(\text{m/s})^{1/3} \text{-----} (7)$$

Where  $M_{\text{eff}}$  is the effective molecular weight and  $\rho$  is the density and  $U$  is the ultrasonic velocity.

## 2.8 Relaxation time ( $\tau$ )

Relaxation time is calculated using the relation

$$T = [4\eta/3U^2\rho] \text{Sec.} \text{-----} (8)$$

## 2.9 Wada's constant (W)

Molar compressibility (or) Wada's constant (W) is obtained using the relation, which is obtained by utilizing the density, molecular weight and ultrasonic velocity,

$$W = V \beta_{\text{ad}}^{-1/7} (\text{m}^3 \text{mol}^{-1}) \text{-----} (9)$$

Where  $V$  is the molar volume in  $\text{m}^3$

## 2.10 Surface tension (S)

The surface tension of a liquid mixture, in terms of velocity and density is given by

$$\sigma = (U^{3/2}) (6.3 \times 10^{-4}) \rho (\text{N/m}) \text{-----} (10)$$

This parameter is found to be of great use in the study of the soaps and detergents.

## 2.11 Relative Association (RA)

Relative association (RA) is influenced by breaking up of the associated solvent molecules on addition of solute in it.

$$R_A = [\rho/\rho_o] [U_o/U]^{1/3} \text{-----}(11)$$

Where,  $U$ ,  $U_o$  and  $\rho$ ,  $\rho_o$  are ultrasonic velocities and densities of solution and solvent respectively.

## 3. Results and Discussion

### 3.1 Acoustical Properties

Ultrasonic velocities of Styrene-Ethylene-Butylene-Styrene (SEBS) in Toluene and Tetrahydrofuran liquid mixtures in different concentration at 303K have been determined. The measured value of ultrasonic velocity, density, viscosity and other acoustical parameters are presented in Tables 1-4 for two different systems. Ultrasonic velocity varies in accordance with molecular interactions in solutions. The

variation of ultrasonic velocity in a solution depends upon the intermolecular free length ( $L_f$ ). Intermolecular interaction depends on the strength of the repulsive forces acting between the solvent and solute molecules. The ultrasonic velocity increases whereas the free length decreases and vice versa. In this present investigation, a nonlinear variations of molecular free length was observed in Toluene and in THF.  $L_f$  is increases initially at low mole fraction of SEBS and it reaches minimum at a mole fraction of 1.455 in Toluene. Similarly, the same nonlinear variation was observed in THF. At the particular mole fraction of SEBS, maximum force of interaction generated between the molecules and it leads to increase the cohesive energy and hence structural changes occurred. Due to the structural arrangement of molecules results in adiabatic compressibility are maximum and thereby increasing intermolecular interactions. Hence a closer packing of molecular structure formed between the solvent-solute molecules. The minimum value of ultrasonic velocity indicates weakening of the molecular association at these concentrations. The increasing the intermolecular free length shows the free space or interval between the surface of the two molecules. Therefore, it may be inferred that the sudden change in ultrasonic velocity may be due to polymer-solvent interactions. A similar report was made by Ravichandran & Ramanathan (2008) in ultrasonic velocity studies of polyacrylamide/PEG (600) and polyacrylamide/PVA blend solution at low concentrations. Also the same report produced by the Bakusele Kabane et al (2018) in study of intermolecular interactions in the binary mixtures of phosphonium based ionic liquid and propanoic acid [6-7].

The Figure 1.1 shows the graph variation of ultrasonic velocity of SEBS. The ultrasonic velocity increases with increase in mole fraction of SEBS. The variation of velocity increases as the concentration of solution increases. This supports the close packing of molecules throughout all concentrations. But a sudden decrease was observed in ultrasonic velocity at the mole fraction 0.89527 and again it is increase with mole fraction in low concentration of Toluene. Similarly, a sudden decrease in ultrasonic velocity ( $U$ ) for the mole fraction 0.14, 1.24, and 1.85 and then the ultrasonic velocity increases with the increase in the mole fraction of SEBS in THF (Figure 1.2). Thus the graph shows the nonlinear variation of ultrasonic velocity with mole fraction of SEBS and a sudden decrease were observed at particular concentration of the two system. This is may be due to complexity formation between the constituents of mixtures and it is due to dilution of SEBS [8-10]. These observations indicate that there are weaker ion-solvent interactions in the binary solutions at a particular concentration of SEBS. This non-linear variation of velocity indicates the presence of dipole-ion interaction/specific interaction in the system [11-12]. The density decreases with increase in mole fraction of SEBS. The variations in density with increase in concentration are shown in Tables 1 and Table 3. But a sudden variation was observed at a mole fraction of 0.77591, 0.83132 and then the density decreases with the mole fraction of SEBS. Again there is a slight increase in density at the mole fraction of 0.96988 & 1.16386. It indicates the ion solvents interaction between the solvent-solute molecules. A large increase in density was observed at the ratio of 90:10. But above that, the increase was low, until the ratio 50:40 reached. A similar change was also observed in  $U$  at this ratio. It may be due to hydrogen bond formation. Density increases with increase in concentration of SEBS in Toluene solution due to the presence of ions or particles [12]. Viscosity of a solution is a

measure of cohesiveness or rigidity present in between the ions or ion-solvent or solvent-solvent molecules present in a solution. Viscosity increases in both cases with concentration and it indicates the increase of cohesiveness present in the solute solvent molecules and it is a reflection of polymer-coiled structure due to the drive towards a minimization of the contact area of its hydrophobic segments and it increases due to electro-viscous property. The changes in viscosity imply the association or dissociation, expansion, unfolding of polymer chains [13-14].

The variation of adiabatic compressibility with the mole fraction for all the systems is shown in Table 1-4. From the data, it is observed that adiabatic compressibility varies non-linearly with mole fraction of the solution (Fig 1.3). The adiabatic compressibility decreases with increase in mole fraction of SEBS. Decrease in adiabatic compressibility might be due to aggregation of solvent molecules around solute molecules. The divergence in compressibility can be demonstrated by considering of loss of di-polar association and difference in size and shape of liquid molecules which leads to decrease in velocity and increase in compressibility or vice versa due to dipole-dipole interaction. This indicates the existence of solute-solvent interactions [15]. It shows the strong molecular interactions between solute and solvent molecules and it can be explained in terms of the electrostatic effects of polymer on the surrounding molecules and suggests more association between solute and solvent molecules in the system [16]. Increase in  $U$  and decrease in  $\beta_{ad}$  with concentration of SEBS indicated increased intermolecular forces forming aggregation of solvent molecules and it is affecting its structural arrangements.

Acoustic impedance is directly proportional to ultrasonic velocity and inversely proportional to adiabatic compressibility. In this study, it increase with concentration and reaches maximum value at 1.45478 mole fraction, whereas compressibility decreases. The minimum in compressibility indicates the enhancement of bond strength in a particular concentration. It shows similar behavior to that of ultrasonic velocity and opposite to that of adiabatic compressibility. A sudden decrease in acoustic impedance at mole fraction at 0.89527 & 0.96988 and then it increases with mole fraction in Toluene. Similarly, the author observed same effect, a sudden decrease observed at a mole fraction of 0.14, 0.82, 1.24, and 1.85 in THF solution [13-14]. Increase in  $U$  and  $Z$ , and decreases in compressibility and free length, indicates an increase in intermolecular forces with addition of SEBS in a solution.

The variation of molecular free length of SEBS in Toluene and THF solution is shown in Fig. 1.4. The ultrasonic velocity increases, if intermolecular free length ( $L_f$ ) decreases. The trend of variation of  $L_f$  depends on the sizes, shape and volume of the component molecules. Initially, the intermolecular free length decreases with increase in mole fraction of SEBS in low concentration of Toluene and it reaches minimum value at a mole fraction 1.45478. It shows closer packing molecules. Molecular free length is a predominant factor in measurement of the variation of ultrasonic velocity. But a sudden increase in intermolecular free length at the mole fraction of 0.895 indicates the formation of strong hydrogen bonding between polymer and solvent molecules. Similar nonlinear variations are also observed in THF system. There is possibility of formation of complex structure between solute-solvent mixtures. It is also support for the molecular interactions of the binary mixtures [15-16].

The internal pressure is a sensitive parameter to poly-solvent interactions, which reflects both the attractive and repulsive molecular interactions. The internal pressure may give the more information about the strength of forces existing between the molecules. The internal pressure decreases with increase in concentration of toluene, which indicates the decrease in cohesive forces. The reduction in internal pressure may be due to loosening of cohesive forces leading to breaking the structure of the solute. Due to weakening of intermolecular forces of attraction the internal pressure should fall. A slight decrease in internal pressure was observed at the mole fraction 0.77591, 0.96988, and 1.29317 in the liquid mixtures. A sudden decrease of internal pressure at the mole fraction of 0.89527 and the increase of internal pressure with concentration also confirms the interaction between the solute-solvent molecules in toluene mixtures, [17-18]. And the same effect are observed in THF solution. Rao's constant were measured for the mixture of SEBS in different concentrations and given in Table 2-4.

The Rao's constant increases with increase in mole fraction of SEBS, indicates that the magnitude of interactions is enhanced. This increasing trend of Rao's constant indicates that availability of more number of components in a given region of space. This leads to tight packing of the medium and thereby increases the interactions. In this present work, the data shows the nonlinear variations of Rao's constant with increase in mole fraction of SEBS and it is also support the molecular interactions.

Viscous relaxation time is the time taken for the excitation energy to appear as translational energy. The variations in specific relaxation time are mainly due to the change in viscosity of solutions due to the concentration. The non-linear variation of relaxation time with increase in molar concentration is due to the existence of significant molecular interaction between the solute and solvent molecules. The relaxation time increases with increase in mole fraction of SEBS. It is observed that slight decrease in relaxation time at the mole fraction of 0.77591, 0.89527, and 1.29317 in toluene solution. Similarly, a sudden decrease in relaxation time at the mole fraction 0.14 and then it is increases with the increase in the mole fraction of SEBS in THF solution system. This is also gives the support for the molecular interactions, [19]. Surface tension shows the attractive interactions between the toluene and THF solutions. It increases with mole fraction of SEBS. The graph shows the nonlinear increase of Surface tension with increase in mole fraction of SEBS. Similarly, a sudden decrease in surface tension at the mole fraction 0.14, 0.82, 1.24, 1.85 and then the surface tension increases with the increase in the mole fraction of SEBS [20-21].

Relative association is the measure of association of components in the liquid mixtures. The relative association depends on breaking up of the solvent molecules or the salvation of ions that are present in the mixture. Behavior of relative association of SEBS in Toluene and in THF is shown in Table1-4. It decreases with increase in mole fraction of SEBS, which indicates the breaking up of the solvent molecules on addition of solute. But it was observed that a sudden increase in Relative association at the mole fraction 1.93968, 2.32761. This is due to complexity formation between the constituents of mixtures and it is again due to the fact of interactions between the solute and solvent [22-23].

Degree of molecular interaction between SEBS and solvents can be explained from the following polymer-solvent interaction parameter  $\chi_{ps}$ .

It is calculated by using Flory-Huggins theory given as



$$\chi_{ps} = \frac{V_i}{RT} (\delta_2 - \delta_1)^2$$

The polymer-solvent interaction parameter  $\chi$  for SEBS in solvent toluene and THF was found to be 0.63 and 0.26 respectively. The  $\chi_{ps}$  values of SEBS in THF are less compared to  $\chi_{ps}$  values of SEBS in toluene. This may be indicative of a higher order of interaction in the SEBS – toluene than the SEBS - THF system. The ultrasonic velocity and viscosity fluctuate gradually with the concentration in the solution. The motion of SEBS polymer is influenced by mutual interaction between the polymer and solvent molecules. This type of molecular interaction is important to determine the viscous properties of diluted polymer solutions. Among the non-covalent interactions, the hydrogen bonding plays a significant role in the polymer-solvent system. The type of molecular interactions between the SEBS polymer and the solvent can be explained based on the polarity nature of the solvent. In the case of THF, which is a moderately polar solvent prevents the hydrogen bonding from occurring with the polymer groups. In the case of toluene, a non-polar solvent allows the hydrogen bonding between solvent and polymeric groups. This result has excellent agreement with the data obtained in these investigations related to the viscometry measurements. It indicates that the end groups in both polymer and solvent are not polar enough and do not interact strongly to promote the association [24-25].

### 3.2 Fourier- Transforms-Infrared spectroscopy analysis

Now days, more research scholar reported characteristics of nano fluids by FTIR spectroscopy [26-28]. Fig.4.1-4.8 shows the FTIR spectra of Styrene-Ethylene-Butylene-Styrene in Tetrahydrofuran solution in different concentrations at 303K. FTIR spectral studies allowing some important conclusions to be drawn about the possible molecular interactions between the constituent polymer mixtures. The absorption frequencies are presented in Table 4.1-4.8. In the IR spectra of polymer solution, there are many spectra bands were observed more than one observing group. Some of the absorption peak at around 3027.42  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , 2924.34  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , 2862.34  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , are representing C-H stretch; 1696.24  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , 1770.81  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , 1722.18  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , 1722.24, 1724.13  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  are shows the C=O stretch bands, 906.46  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , 784.09  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , 724.00  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , 617.17, 848.75, 981.67  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  are shows the C-H bend, 1607.58  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , 1770.81  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , 1722.18  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  indicates the C=O stretch were observed in lower concentration. Similarly, 2854.93  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , 1178.56  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , 1029.95  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , 1030.00  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , 1067.66  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  peaks are observed at higher concentrations. Some of the peaks were shifted due to interactions between the SEBS with solvent. C-H bend, C=C stretch aromatic, C-H stretch, C-O alkyl are present in all composition of polymer mixtures and C-O acyl is also present in the solution [29-32]. These data showed some chemical reaction in the mixture.

### 3.3 Computation of Nonlinearity parameter (B/A) of Styrene-Ethylene-Butylene-Styrene in Toluene and Tetrahydrofuran solution in different concentrations at 303K by Hartmann and Ballou relation

In the recent years, a more number of theoretical methods have been proposed for calculating the non-linearity parameter (B/A) for pure liquids and liquid mixtures. Nonlinearity parameter of binary liquid mixtures is important in view of the information it may yield on the interaction in liquid mixtures. Due to the increasing importance of non-linear parameter (B/A) during recent years, an attempt has

been made to evaluate B/A of binary mixtures using Hartmann relation and Ballou empirical relation. This present work discuss with the calculation of acoustic nonlinearity parameter of SEBS in Toluene and THF mixtures in different concentration at 303K using two different approaches. The different methods used are Ballou's and empirical relation, Hartmann's relation. General formulation for non-linearity parameter in terms of the acoustical parameters of liquids has been made using the experiment for the sound velocity (U) and introducing the contribution due to acoustic parameters (K) and isothermal acoustic parameter (K''). The expression for B/A has been expressed as,

$$B/A = 2K + 2\gamma K'' \text{ ----- (12)}$$

Computations of K and K'' require only the knowledge of thermal expansion coefficient,  $\alpha$ . Detailed method of calculation is given. The expression for nonlinearity parameter due to Hartmann and Balizer (eqn.7) obtained the following relation for B/A;

$$B/A = 2 + \frac{0.98 \times 10^4}{U} \text{ ----- (13)}$$

According to the empirical rule of Ballou employed by Hartmann, there is a linear relation between the nonlinearity parameter of liquids and reciprocal sound speed as given [25-26] by

$$B/A = -0.5 + \frac{1.2 \times 10^4}{U} \text{ ----- (14)}$$

Table 5-8 shows the variations of the B/A values calculated from Hartmann and Balizer method and Ballou empirical relation and it shows nonlinear variations with increase in concentration. It is evident from equation (13) and (14), that Ballou and Hartmann relations depend mainly on the ultrasonic velocity. The interaction between the components of the binary mixtures is stronger at higher concentration, while it is weaker at lower concentration of a mixtures and the accuracy of both the methods limits the usefulness of direct application of these methods to liquid mixture investigation. On the basis of the discussion, it can be concluded that the variation of computed values of non-linearity (B/A) by the Hartmann and Ballou method resembles with the studies made earlier, and thus confirms the superiority of this method over others [33-36].

## 4. Discussion

The type of molecular interactions can be measured by ultrasonic velocity, density, viscosity and pressure measurements for different mole fraction of SEBS in Toluene and in Tetrahydrofuran solution at room temperature. By using above measured data, other acoustical parameters like adiabatic compressibility, acoustic impedance, intermolecular free length, Rao constant, relaxation time, surface tension, Wada's constant and relative association are calculated for these two binary system at different concentration. In this investigation, a non-linear variation of ultrasonic velocity was observed in Toluene and Tetrahydrofuran solution due to the dilution of SEBS. The increase in U for the addition of 10ml of THF is due to enhanced solution of SEBS by THF. But the decrease in U, by the addition of 20, 30, 40 ml is due to dilution. In other words, there is no much solute-solvent

interaction. Unexpectedly, ultrasonic velocity increase at 50:50 and 40:30, it reveals that, in this ratios of mixtures, the solvent is much solvation of solute by solvent. This could be possible, if SEBS dissolves completely in THF and organizes to form a matrix that entraps the solvents. Above 40:50 concentrations, the velocity gradually decreases. Hence the solvents must be free and the excess solvent must be free and not involved in the solvation of SEBS. Ultrasonic velocity of a binary solution depends on intermolecular free length. When ultrasonic wave passed through a solution, the molecules gets agitated and again its comeback to the equilibrium position due to its elastic behavior of a medium. When a mole fraction of SEBS is increased, to solvent, its molecules attract certain solvent molecules towards them, known as compressibility. At a particular higher concentration, solvent-solute molecules are aggregated due to the supports of molecular interaction force. And hence, the structure of the solute is reformed. Decrease of  $L_f$  with higher concentration supports the molecular interactions.

Further a closer packing molecular structure observed at higher concentration indicating a strong interaction at these concentrations. Generally, internal pressure increases with

increase in intermolecular attraction. A pure solvent shows higher value of intermolecular free length than all other concentrations because its adiabatic compressibility is increases. Compressibility is low at some concentrations since solvent is associated with SEBS. But at the concentration of 50:50, it decrease. Hence solvents must be used for solvation that reduced compressibility. In this present investigation, internal pressure increases with mole fraction indicating the strength of interaction increases with SEBS in toluene/tetrahydrofuran at lower concentration. But at higher concentration, a sudden decrease of internal pressure was observed indicating the dipole-dipole interactions are strong. The linear variation in the Rao constant value with mole fraction of SEBS suggests that interactions are depends on the concentration of a mixture and this increase value of "R" indicating the dipole-induced dipole attraction. The variation of ultrasonic behaviors of SEBS in two systems suggests that these are strong polymer-solvent interactions of higher concentrations. The observed linear relationship between acoustic impedance and the ultrasonic velocity indicates the strong solute-solvent interactions may be due dipole-dipole or Hydrogen bonding interactions,<sup>[37-38]</sup>.




Fig 1: Variation of ultrasonic velocity with mole Fraction of SEBS in toluene solution




Fig 2: Variation of ultrasonic velocity with Mole fraction of SEBS in Tetrahydrofuran solution in low and high concentration at 303K




Fig 3: Variation of compressibility with mole Fraction of SEBS in toluene solution




Fig 4: Variation of intermolecular free length with mole Fraction of SEBS in Tetrahydrofuran solution in low and high concentration at 303K







Fig 5: FTIR analyses for the SEBS solution (Low concentration) in THF at the ratio 90:10



**Fig 6:** FTIR analyses for the SEBS solution (Low concentration) in THF at the ratio 30:70




**Fig 7:** FTIR analyses for the SEBS solution (Low concentration) in THF at the ratio 40:60




**Fig 8:** FTIR analyses for the SEBS solution (Low concentration) in THF at the ratio 10:90







**Fig 9:** FTIR analysis for the SEBS solution (High concentration) in THF at the ratio 90:10



**Fig 10:** FTIR analyses for the SEBS solution (High concentration) in THF at the ratio 70:30



**Fig 11:** FTIR analyses for the SEBS solution (High concentration) in THF at the ratio 40:60



**Fig 12:** FTIR analyses for the SEBS solution (High concentration) in THF at the ratio 20:80

**Table 1:** Ultrasonic velocity (U), Density ( $\rho$ ) and Viscosity ( $\eta$ ), adiabatic compressibility ( $\beta$ ), acoustic impedance (Z), intermolecular free length ( $L_f$ ), free volume ( $V_f$ ), internal pressure ( $\pi_i$ ) Rao's constant/molar sound velocity (R), Relaxation time ( $\tau$ ), Surface tension (S), Wada's constant (W), Relative association ( $R_a$ ) of Styrene-Ethylene-Butylene-Styrene in Toluene at different ratios in Low concentration at 303K.

| Mole fraction of SEBS ( $X_1$ ) | (U) m/s | ( $\rho$ ) kgm-3 | ( $\eta$ ) $\times 10^{-3}$ Nsm $^{-2}$ | ( $\beta$ ) $\times 10^{-10}$ kg-1ms $^2$ | (Z) $\times 10^6$ kgm-2s-1 | ( $L_f$ ) ( $10^{-11}$ m) | ( $V_f$ ) m $^3$ mo le $^{-1}$ | ( $\pi_i$ ) (N/m $^2$ ) | (R) (ms $^{-1}$ ) $^{1/3}$ | ( $\tau$ ) $10^{-12}$ sec | (S) $10^4$ Nm $^{-1}$ | (W) (Pa) $^{1/7}$ | ( $R_a$ ) |
|---------------------------------|---------|------------------|---|---|----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| 0.68462                         | 1285.60 | 838.31           | 1.427                                   | 7.217                                     | 1.078                      | 5.454                     | 2.709                          | 1.624                   | 1.197                      | 1.373                     | 2.434                 | 2.240             | 0.976     |
| 0.72741                         | 1288.00 | 838.02           | 1.495                                   | 7.193                                     | 1.079                      | 5.444                     | 2.534                          | 1.659                   | 1.199                      | 1.434                     | 2.440                 | 2.242             | 0.975     |
| 0.77591                         | 1289.12 | 838.28           | 1.495                                   | 7.178                                     | 1.081                      | 5.439                     | 2.539                          | 1.658                   | 1.199                      | 1.431                     | 2.444                 | 2.243             | 0.975     |
| 0.83132                         | 1290.88 | 838.36           | 1.518                                   | 7.158                                     | 1.082                      | 5.431                     | 2.488                          | 1.670                   | 1.200                      | 1.449                     | 2.450                 | 2.244             | 0.975     |
| 0.89527                         | 1288.08 | 838.25           | 1.450                                   | 7.190                                     | 1.080                      | 5.443                     | 2.658                          | 1.632                   | 1.200                      | 1.390                     | 2.441                 | 2.244             | 0.975     |
| 0.96988                         | 1288.56 | 838.39           | 1.450                                   | 7.184                                     | 1.080                      | 5.441                     | 2.661                          | 1.631                   | 1.200                      | 1.389                     | 2.443                 | 2.245             | 0.975     |
| 1.05805                         | 1289.92 | 838.33           | 1.473                                   | 7.169                                     | 1.081                      | 5.435                     | 2.605                          | 1.642                   | 1.202                      | 1.408                     | 2.447                 | 2.247             | 0.975     |
| 1.16386                         | 1293.04 | 838.45           | 1.496                                   | 7.133                                     | 1.084                      | 5.422                     | 2.557                          | 1.652                   | 1.203                      | 1.423                     | 2.456                 | 2.250             | 0.974     |
| 1.29317                         | 1295.20 | 838.31           | 1.495                                   | 7.111                                     | 1.086                      | 5.413                     | 2.569                          | 1.648                   | 1.205                      | 1.417                     | 2.462                 | 2.253             | 0.974     |
| 1.45478                         | 1298.80 | 837.26           | 1.539                                   | 7.080                                     | 1.087                      | 5.402                     | 2.474                          | 1.667                   | 1.209                      | 1.453                     | 2.469                 | 2.259             | 0.972     |
| 1.66259                         | 1297.76 | 836.13           | 1.582                                   | 7.101                                     | 1.085                      | 5.410                     | 2.376                          | 1.687                   | 1.212                      | 1.498                     | 2.463                 | 2.264             | 0.970     |
| 1.93968                         | 1295.26 | 838.05           | 1.631                                   | 7.112                                     | 1.085                      | 5.414                     | 2.269                          | 1.713                   | 1.210                      | 1.547                     | 2.461                 | 2.263             | 0.973     |
| 2.32761                         | 1292.26 | 838.73           | 1.723                                   | 7.140                                     | 1.084                      | 5.424                     | 2.090                          | 1.759                   | 1.211                      | 1.640                     | 2.455                 | 2.265             | 0.975     |
| 2.90886                         | 1297.20 | 827.54           | 1.834                                   | 7.181                                     | 1.073                      | 5.440                     | 1.924                          | 1.787                   | 1.234                      | 1.756                     | 2.436                 | 2.302             | 0.961     |

**Table 2:** Ultrasonic velocity (U), Density ( $\rho$ ) and Viscosity ( $\eta$ ), adiabatic compressibility ( $\beta$ ), acoustic impedance (Z), intermolecular free length ( $L_f$ ), free volume ( $V_f$ ), internal pressure ( $\pi_i$ ) Rao's constant (R), Relaxation time ( $\tau$ ), Surface tension (S), Wada's constant (W), Relative association ( $R_a$ ) of Styrene-Ethylene-Butylene-Styrene in Toluene at different ratio in High concentration at 303K.

| Mole fraction of SEBS ( $X_1$ ) | (U) m/s | ( $\rho$ ) kgm-3 | ( $\eta$ ) $\times 10^{-3}$ Nsm $^{-2}$ | ( $\beta$ ) $\times 10^{-10}$ kg-1ms $^2$ | (Z) $\times 10^6$ kgm-2s-1 | ( $L_f$ ) ( $10^{-11}$ m) | ( $V_f$ ) $\times 10^{-3}$ m $^3$ mole $^{-1}$ | ( $\pi_i$ ) ( $10^5$ N/m $^2$ ) | (R) (ms $^{-1}$ ) $^{1/3}$ | ( $\tau$ ) $10^{-12}$ sec | (S) $10^4$ Nm $^{-1}$ | (W) (Pa) $^{1/7}$ | ( $R_a$ ) |
|---------------------------------|---------|------------------|---|---|----------------------------|---------------------------|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| 2.05384                         | 1299.90 | 840.28           | 1.681                                   | 7.043                                     | 1.092                      | 5.387                     | 2.182  | 1.738                           | 1.209                      | 1.579                     | 2.481                 | 2.261             | 0.975     |
| 2.18219                         | 1302.37 | 841.12           | 1.682                                   | 7.009                                     | 1.095                      | 5.374                     | 2.189  | 1.736                           | 1.210                      | 1.572                     | 2.491                 | 2.263             | 0.975     |
| 2.32768                         | 1297.55 | 840.02           | 1.771                                   | 7.071                                     | 1.090                      | 5.398                     | 2.018  | 1.781                           | 1.211                      | 1.670                     | 2.474                 | 2.265             | 0.975     |
| 2.49394                         | 1297.90 | 841.91           | 1.820                                   | 7.051                                     | 1.093                      | 5.390                     | 1.941  | 1.806                           | 1.210                      | 1.711                     | 2.480                 | 2.263             | 0.977     |
| 2.68578                         | 1301.06 | 841.99           | 1.912                                   | 7.016                                     | 1.095                      | 5.377                     | 1.812  | 1.846                           | 1.212                      | 1.789                     | 2.489                 | 2.267             | 0.976     |
| 2.90958                         | 1300.12 | 841.29           | 1.774                                   | 7.032                                     | 1.094                      | 5.383                     | 2.030  | 1.775                           | 1.214                      | 1.663                     | 2.485                 | 2.271             | 0.976     |
| 3.17409                         | 1294.58 | 841.18           | 1.910                                   | 7.093                                     | 1.089                      | 5.407                     | 1.810  | 1.842                           | 1.215                      | 1.806                     | 2.468                 | 2.273             | 0.977     |
| 3.49149                         | 1298.55 | 841.21           | 2.023                                   | 7.050                                     | 1.092                      | 5.390                     | 1.673  | 1.889                           | 1.218                      | 1.902                     | 2.480                 | 2.279             | 0.976     |
| 3.87941                         | 1293.15 | 840.56           | 2.045                                   | 7.114                                     | 1.087                      | 5.415                     | 1.641  | 1.897                           | 1.221                      | 1.940                     | 2.463                 | 2.283             | 0.977     |
| 4.36422                         | 1293.44 | 841.01           | 2.114                                   | 7.107                                     | 1.088                      | 5.412                     | 1.569  | 1.922                           | 1.224                      | 2.003                     | 2.465                 | 2.289             | 0.977     |
| 4.98771                         | 1294.64 | 842.22           | 2.504                                   | 7.084                                     | 1.090                      | 5.403                     | 1.226  | 2.084                           | 1.227                      | 2.365                     | 2.472                 | 2.296             | 0.978     |
| 5.81886                         | 1298.00 | 837.60           | 2.875                                   | 7.086                                     | 1.087                      | 5.404                     | 1.008  | 2.209                           | 1.241                      | 2.716                     | 2.468                 | 2.320             | 0.972     |
| 6.98253                         | 1291.10 | 837.04           | 3.439                                   | 7.167                                     | 1.080                      | 5.435                     | 0.773  | 2.401                           | 1.249                      | 3.286                     | 2.446                 | 2.334             | 0.973     |
| 8.72612                         | 1294.56 | 836.19           | 6.328                                   | 7.136                                     | 1.082                      | 5.423                     | 0.316  | 3.211                           | 1.264                      | 6.021                     | 2.454                 | 2.363             | 0.971     |

**Table 3:** Ultrasonic velocity (U), Density ( $\rho$ ) and Viscosity ( $\eta$ ), adiabatic compressibility ( $\beta$ ), acoustic impedance (Z), intermolecular free length ( $L_f$ ), free volume ( $V_f$ ), internal pressure ( $\pi_i$ ) Rao's constant (R), Relaxation time ( $\tau$ ), Surface tension (S), Wada's constant (W), Relative association ( $R_a$ ) of Styrene-Ethylene-Butylene-Styrene in Tetrahydrofuran at different ratio in Low concentration at 303K.

| Mole fraction of SEBS ( $X_1$ ) | (U) m/s | ( $\rho$ ) kgm-3 | ( $\eta$ )x10 <sup>-3</sup> Nsm <sup>-2</sup> | ( $\beta$ )x10 <sup>-10</sup> kg-1ms2 | (Z)x 10 <sup>6</sup> kgm-2s-1 | ( $L_f$ ) (10 <sup>-11</sup> m) | ( $V_f$ )x10 <sup>-3</sup> m <sup>3</sup> mole <sup>-1</sup> | ( $\pi_i$ ) (10 <sup>5</sup> N/m <sup>2</sup> ) | (R) (ms <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>1/3</sup> | ( $\tau$ ) 10 <sup>-12</sup> sec | (S) 10 <sup>4</sup> Nm <sup>-1</sup> | (W) (Pa) <sup>1/7</sup> | ( $R_a$ ) |
|---------------------------------|---------|------------------|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|--|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| 0.091                           | 1289.8  | 861.2            | 6.8   | 6.98                                  | 1.111                         | 5.363                           | 2.348  | 966.13  | 0.067                                  | 6.329                            | 2.513                                | 0.126                   | 1.002     |
| 11.00                           | 1296.3  | 866.1            | 3.9   | 6.87                                  | 1.123                         | 5.321                           | 129.74   | 2.409   | 8.969                                  | 3.573                            | 2.547                                | 16.811                  | 1.006     |
| 04.93                           | 1292.3  | 867.7            | 3.4   | 6.90                                  | 1.121                         | 5.333                           | 56.770   | 5.017   | 4.508                                  | 3.128                            | 2.540                                | 8.452                   | 1.009     |
| 02.88                           | 1280.5  | 867.9            | 3.0   | 7.03                                  | 1.111                         | 5.381                           | 36.840   | 7.589   | 2.999                                  | 2.811                            | 2.505                                | 5.625                   | 1.012     |
| 01.85                           | 1272.9  | 869.5            | 2.4   | 7.10                                  | 1.107                         | 5.408                           | 33.150   | 9.535   | 2.241                                  | 2.271                            | 2.488                                | 4.206                   | 1.016     |
| 01.24                           | 1277.9  | 871.8            | 2.1   | 7.02                                  | 1.114                         | 5.380                           | 29.290   | 11.526  | 1.796                                  | 1.967                            | 2.509                                | 3.372                   | 1.017     |
| 00.82                           | 1281.3  | 875.3            | 1.9   | 6.96                                  | 1.122                         | 5.355                           | 25.860   | 13.638  | 1.487                                  | 1.763                            | 2.529                                | 2.792                   | 1.020     |
| 00.53                           | 1279.7  | 878.9            | 1.8   | 6.95                                  | 1.125                         | 5.351                           | 22.280   | 15.904  | 1.271                                  | 1.667                            | 2.535                                | 2.389                   | 1.025     |
| 00.31                           | 1255.8  | 879.2            | 1.6   | 7.21                                  | 1.104                         | 5.452                           | 21.180   | 17.676  | 1.106                                  | 1.539                            | 2.465                                | 2.081                   | 1.032     |
| 00.14                           | 1251.7  | 880.5            | 1.5   | 7.25                                  | 1.102                         | 5.466                           | 19.510   | 19.651  | 0.982                                  | 1.450                            | 2.457                                | 1.848                   | 1.034     |
| 00.01                           | 1250.5  | 881.4            | 1.4   | 7.26                                  | 1.102                         | 5.468                           | 25.190   | 16.867  | 1.087                                  | 1.354                            | 2.455                                | 2.045                   | 1.036     |

**Table 4:** Ultrasonic velocity (U), Density ( $\rho$ ) and Viscosity ( $\eta$ ), adiabatic compressibility ( $\beta$ ), acoustic impedance (Z), intermolecular free length ( $L_f$ ), free volume ( $V_f$ ), internal pressure ( $\pi_i$ ) Rao's constant (R), Relaxation time ( $\tau$ ), Surface tension (S), Wada's constant (W), Relative association ( $R_a$ ) of Styrene-Ethylene-Butylene-Styrene in Tetrahydrofuran at different ratio in High concentration at 303K.

| Mole fraction of SEBS ( $X_1$ ) | (U) m/s | ( $\rho$ ) kgm-3 | ( $\eta$ )x10 <sup>-3</sup> Nsm <sup>-2</sup> | ( $\beta$ )x10 <sup>-10</sup> kg-1ms2 | (Z)x 10 <sup>6</sup> kgm-2s-1 | ( $L_f$ ) (10 <sup>-11</sup> m) | ( $V_f$ )x10 <sup>-3</sup> m <sup>3</sup> mole <sup>-1</sup> | ( $\pi_i$ ) (10 <sup>5</sup> N/m <sup>2</sup> ) | (R) (ms <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>1/3</sup> | ( $\tau$ ) 10 <sup>-12</sup> sec | (S) 10 <sup>4</sup> Nm <sup>-1</sup> | (W) (Pa) <sup>1/7</sup> | ( $R_a$ ) |
|---------------------------------|---------|------------------|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|--|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| 0.21                            | 1293.2  | 864.3            | 128.9   | 6.92                                  | 1.118                         | 5.355                           | 00.002   | -----   | 0.151                                  | 118.900                          | 2.532                                | 0.282                   | 1.004     |
| 11.00                           | 1288.4  | 865.8            | 29.7  | 6.96                                  | 1.115                         | 5.363                           | 06.117   | 06.666  | 8.954                                  | 27.553                           | 2.523                                | 16.786                  | 1.007     |
| 04.93                           | 1283.7  | 869.6            | 22.2  | 6.98                                  | 1.116                         | 5.352                           | 03.368   | 12.881  | 4.488                                  | 20.656                           | 2.520                                | 8.420                   | 1.013     |
| 02.88                           | 1285.7  | 870.2            | 14.9  | 6.95                                  | 1.119                         | 5.401                           | 03.349   | 16.909  | 2.995                                  | 13.811                           | 2.527                                | 5.620                   | 1.013     |
| 01.85                           | 1272.7  | 872.1            | 12.6  | 7.08                                  | 1.111                         | 5.426                           | 02.755   | 21.893  | 2.234                                  | 11.893                           | 2.495                                | 4.195                   | 1.019     |
| 01.24                           | 1266.3  | 873.0            | 06.9  | 7.14                                  | 1.105                         | 5.449                           | 04.852   | 21.007  | 1.788                                  | 6.572                            | 2.478                                | 3.359                   | 1.022     |
| 00.82                           | 1281.3  | 874.1            | 04.4  | 7.21                                  | 1.101                         | 5.466                           | 07.337   | 20.735  | 1.489                                  | 4.088                            | 2.526                                | 2.782                   | 1.025     |
| 00.53                           | 1273.9  | 874.3            | 02.9  | 7.25                                  | 1.098                         | 5.475                           | 10.820   | 20.163  | 1.276                                  | 2.725                            | 2.504                                | 2.387                   | 1.026     |
| 00.31                           | 1266.4  | 877.1            | 02.2  | 7.27                                  | 1.098                         | 5.479                           | 13.304   | 20.607  | 1.112                                  | 2.085                            | 2.490                                | 2.083                   | 1.030     |
| 00.14                           | 1257.4  | 878.0            | 01.7  | 7.28                                  | 1.097                         | 5.339                           | 16.278   | 20.834  | 0.986                                  | 1.633                            | 2.466                                | 1.852                   | 1.032     |
| 00.01                           | 1250.5  | 881.4            | 01.4  | 7.26                                  | 1.102                         | 5.468                           | 25.190   | 16.867  | 1.087                                  | 1.354                            | 2.455                                | 2.045                   | 1.036     |

**Table 5:** Computation of non-linearity (B/A) parameter of Styrene-Ethylene-Butylene-Styrene in Toluene at different ratio in Low concentration solution at 303K

| Mole fraction of SEBS ( $X_1$ ) | Experimental Velocity (U) m/s | (B/A) Nonlinear parameter calculated by Hartmann and Balizer method | (B/A) Nonlinear parameter calculated by Ballou Empirical relation |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| 0.68462                         | 1285.60                       | 9.623   | 8.834   |
| 0.72741                         | 1288.00                       | 9.609   | 8.817   |
| 0.77591                         | 1289.12                       | 9.602   | 8.809   |
| 0.83132                         | 1290.88                       | 9.592   | 8.796   |
| 0.89527                         | 1288.08                       | 9.608   | 8.816   |
| 0.96988                         | 1288.56                       | 9.605   | 8.813   |
| 1.05805                         | 1289.92                       | 9.597   | 8.803   |
| 1.16386                         | 1293.04                       | 9.579   | 8.780   |
| 1.29317                         | 1295.20                       | 9.566   | 8.765   |
| 1.45478                         | 1298.80                       | 9.545   | 8.739   |
| 1.66259                         | 1297.76                       | 9.551   | 8.747   |
| 1.93968                         | 1295.26                       | 9.566   | 8.765   |
| 2.32761                         | 1292.26                       | 9.584   | 8.786   |
| 2.90886                         | 1297.20                       | 9.555   | 8.751   |

**Table 6:** Computation of non-linearity (B/A) parameter of Styrene-Ethylene-Butylene-Styrene in Toluene at different ratio in High concentration solution at 303K

| Mole fraction of SEBS ( $X_1$ ) | Experimental velocity (U) m/s | (B/A) Nonlinear parameter calculated by Hartmann and Balizer method | (B/A) Nonlinear parameter calculated by Ballou Empirical relation |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| 2.05384                         | 1299.90                       | 9.539   | 8.731   |
| 2.18219                         | 1302.37                       | 9.525   | 8.714   |
| 2.32768                         | 1297.55                       | 9.553   | 8.748   |
| 2.49394                         | 1297.90                       | 9.551   | 8.746   |
| 2.68578                         | 1301.06                       | 9.532   | 8.723   |
| 2.90958                         | 1300.12                       | 9.538   | 8.729   |
| 3.17409                         | 1294.58                       | 9.570   | 8.769   |
| 3.49149                         | 1298.55                       | 9.547   | 8.741   |
| 3.87941                         | 1293.15                       | 9.578   | 8.779   |

|         |         |       |       |
|---------|---------|-------|-------|
| 4.36422 | 1293.44 | 9.577 | 8.777 |
| 4.98771 | 1294.64 | 9.569 | 8.769 |
| 5.81886 | 1298.00 | 9.550 | 8.745 |
| 6.98253 | 1291.10 | 9.590 | 8.794 |
| 8.72612 | 1294.56 | 9.570 | 8.769 |

**Table 7:** Computation of non-linearity (B/A) parameter of of Styrene-Ethylene-Butylene-Styrene in in Tetrahydrofuran at different ratio in Low concentration solution at 303K

| Mole fraction of SEBS ( $X_1$ ) | Experimental velocity (U)m/s | (B/A) Nonlinear parameter calculated by Hartmann and Balizer method | (B/A) Nonlinear parameter calculated by Ballou Empirical relation |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|---|---|
| 11.00                           | 1289.8                       | 9.598   | 8.804   |
| 04.93                           | 1296.3                       | 9.559   | 8.757   |
| 02.88                           | 1292.3                       | 9.583   | 8.786   |
| 01.85                           | 1280.5                       | 9.653   | 8.871   |
| 01.24                           | 1272.9                       | 9.699   | 8.927   |
| 00.82                           | 1277.9                       | 9.669   | 8.890   |
| 00.53                           | 1281.3                       | 9.648   | 8.865   |
| 00.31                           | 1279.7                       | 9.658   | 8.877   |
| 00.14                           | 1255.8                       | 9.804   | 9.056   |
| 00.01                           | 1251.7                       | 9.829   | 9.087   |

**Table 8:** Computation of non-linearity (B/A) parameter of of Styrene-Ethylene-Butylene-Styrene in in Tetrahydrofuran at different ratio in High concentration solution at 303K

| Mole fraction of SEBS ( $X_1$ ) | Experimental velocity (U) m/s | (B/A) Nonlinear parameter calculated by Hartmann and Balizer method | (B/A) Nonlinear parameter calculated by Ballou Empirical relation |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| 11.00                           | 1293.2                        | 9.578   | 8.779   |
| 04.93                           | 1288.4                        | 9.606   | 8.813   |
| 02.88                           | 1283.7                        | 9.634   | 8.847   |
| 01.85                           | 1285.7                        | 9.622   | 8.833   |
| 01.24                           | 1272.7                        | 9.700   | 8.928   |
| 00.82                           | 1266.3                        | 9.739   | 8.976   |
| 00.53                           | 1281.3                        | 9.648   | 8.865   |
| 00.31                           | 1273.9                        | 9.693   | 8.919   |
| 00.14                           | 1266.4                        | 9.738   | 8.975   |
| 00.01                           | 1257.4                        | 9.794   | 9.043   |

## 5. Conclusion

In this study, the measurement of ultrasonic velocity and acoustical parameters of styrene-ethylene-butylene-styrene in Toluene and Tetrahydrofuran solution in different concentration at 303K were carried out. The concentration & nature of solvent, solute and composition of a solution plays an important role in determining the interactions between solvent and solute. These acoustical studies will be reveals SEBS exhibits the unique behavior at the ratio 70:30, 60:40 and 50:50. The  $L_f$  for stock solution is higher than 90:10. Hence at 90:10 concentrations, sudden molecules must be used to solvate SEBS. The increase in  $L_f$  is due to presence of free solvents. But the decrease due to strong association with SEBS. On the basis of the measurement of nonlinear parameter, it can be concluded that the variation of computed values of B/A will depends on the ultrasonic sound wave in a given medium which helps in the interpretation of the magnitude of the hardness of the liquid in terms of the non-linearity parameter. The adiabatic compressibility suggests that the lack of interaction between unlike molecules that have the minimum declarations. So, solvents must be used for solvation and hence reduced compressibility. Hydrogen bonding interactions have investigated between non polar solvent and polymeric groups in the SEBS-Toluene solution at different concentrations. So this study will be reveals SEBS exhibits the unique behavior at the ratio 70:30, 60:40 and 50:50. An FTIR report of the SEBS solution indicates the functional groups that will support the interactions in mixtures. This investigation is useful to understand the

molecular interactions in the SEBS in Toluene and THF mixtures in different concentrations at 303K.

## 6. References

- Ravichandran S, Rathika Thaya Kumari C. E-Journal of Chemistry. 2011;8:77. DOI: 10.1155/2011/741971.
- Ramanathan K, Ravichandran S. Journal of Pure and Applied Ultrasonics. 2004;26:12. Available from: [ultrasonicindia.org/JPAU-vol-26-1-2004.pdf](http://ultrasonicindia.org/JPAU-vol-26-1-2004.pdf).
- Ravichandran S, Ramanathan K. Polymer-Plastics Technology and Engineering. 2008;47:169. DOI: 10.1080/03602550701816092.
- Kannanppan V, Santhi B, Reuban Jonathan D. Indian Journal of Chemistry. 2014;53:688. Available from: [nopr.niscair.res.in/handle/123456789/28857](http://nopr.niscair.res.in/handle/123456789/28857).
- Joshi R, Tamta K, Chandra B, Kandpal ND. International Journal of Applied Chemistry. 2017;13:611. Available from: [https://www.ripublication.com/ijac17/ijacv13n3\\_18.pdf](https://www.ripublication.com/ijac17/ijacv13n3_18.pdf).
- Ravichandran S, Ramanathan K. Polymer-Plastics Technology and Engineering. 2008;47:164. DOI: 10.1080/03602550701816068.
- Bakusele Kabane, Chokkareddy R, Bhajanthri NK, Redhi GG. Indian Journal of Chemistry. 2018;57A:753. Available from: <http://nopr.niscair.res.in/bitstream/123456789/44549/1/IJCA-760.pdf>.
- Mahajan AR, Mirgane SR. Indian Journal of Chemistry. 2013;52:624. Available from:

- <http://nopr.niscair.res.in/bitstream/123456789/18039/2/624-629.pdf>.
9. Ravichandran S, Ramanathan K. *Journal of Pure and Applied Ultrasonics*. 2006;28:40-5.
  10. Ravichandran S. *UPB Scientific Bulletin*. 2018;80:2. Available from: [https://www.scientificbulletin.upb.ro/rev\\_docs\\_arhiva/ful180d\\_658826.pdf](https://www.scientificbulletin.upb.ro/rev_docs_arhiva/ful180d_658826.pdf).
  11. Amrutia RR, Mehta NM, Karia FD, Parsania PH. *Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research*. 2006;65:905. Available from: <http://nopr.niscair.res.in/handle/123456789/4956>.
  12. Kulkarni SS, Khadke UV. *Indian Journal of Materials Science*. 2016;6:1. DOI: 10.1155/2016/9582582.
  13. Jayaraju J, Ravi Prakash SD, Keshavayya J, Kai S. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*. 2006;102:2738. DOI: 10.1002/app.37637.
  14. Ravichandran S, Rathika Thaya Kumari C. *E-Journal of Chemistry*. 2011;8:77. Available from: <hindawi.com/journals/jchem/2011/741971.pdf>.
  15. Kannanppan V, Santhi BS, Reuban Jonathan D. *Indian Journal of Chemistry*. 2014;53:688. Available from: [http://nopr.niscair.res.in/bitstream/123456789/28857/2/IJCA%2053A\(6\)%20688-694.pdf](http://nopr.niscair.res.in/bitstream/123456789/28857/2/IJCA%2053A(6)%20688-694.pdf).
  16. Tabhane P, Chimankar CM, Dudheand V, Tabhane VA. *Der Chemica Sinica*. 2012;3:944. Available from: <www.imedpub.com/articles/title.pdf>.
  17. Raju K, Karpagavalli K, Krishnamurthi P. *European Journal of Applied Engineering Science and Research*. 2012;4:216. Available from: [https://www.researchgate.net/profl/KrishnamurthiPeriyasamy/publn/281972251\\_title.pdf](https://www.researchgate.net/profl/KrishnamurthiPeriyasamy/publn/281972251_title.pdf).
  18. Kulkarni SS, Khadke UV. *Indian Journal of Materials Science*. 2016;6:1. DOI: 10.1155/2016/9582582.
  19. Pathak UJ, Patel JV, Parsania HP. *Journal of Solution Chemistry*. 2012;41:755. DOI: 10.1007/s10953-012-9832-5.
  20. Reddy GS, Reddy MM. *Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research*. 2015;7:886. Available from: <http://www.jocpr.com/articles/title-3.pdf>.
  21. Sannaningannavar FM, Navati BS, Ayachit NH. *Polymer Bulletin*. 2013;70:603. DOI: 10.1007/s00289-012-0881-0.
  22. Chana B, Kumar R. *Advances in Applied Research*. 2017;9:69. DOI: 10.5958/2349-2104.2017.00014.6.
  23. Nagarjun B, Sarma AV, Rao GV, Rambabu C. *Journal of Thermal Science*. 2013;9. DOI: 10.1155/2013/285796.
  24. Aryanti PTP, Ariono D, Hakim AN, Wenten IG. *IOP Conference Series: Journal of Physics*. 2018;1090. DOI: 10.1088/1742-6596/1090/1/012074.
  25. Wolf BA. *Macromolecular Chemistry and Physics*. 2018;18. DOI: 10.1002/macp.201800249.
  26. Roumana C, Akilandeswari PE. *International Journal of Pharmacy and Biological Sciences*. 2015;5:80. Available from: [https://ijpbs.com/ijpbsadmin/upload/ijpbs\\_55941995ef30e.pdf](https://ijpbs.com/ijpbsadmin/upload/ijpbs_55941995ef30e.pdf).
  27. Jutier JJ, Lemieux E, Prud'Homme ER. *Journal of Polymer Science Part B: Polymer Physics*. 2003;26:1313. DOI: 10.1002/polb.1988.090260615.
  28. Kuo SW, Chang FC. *Macromolecules*. 2001;34:4089. DOI: 10.1021/ma010517a.
  29. Gupta AK, Kumar Sah G. *Nano Systems: Physics, Chemistry, Mathematics*. 2013;4:670. Available from: [http://nanojournal.ifmo.ru/en/wp-content/uploads/2013/10/NPCM\\_45P670.pdf](http://nanojournal.ifmo.ru/en/wp-content/uploads/2013/10/NPCM_45P670.pdf).
  30. Selvakumar M, Krishna Bhat D. *Indian Journal of Chemical Technology*. 2008;15:547-54. Available from: <http://nopr.niscair.res.in/bitstream/123456789/2867/1/20547-554.pdf>.
  31. Elsabee ZM, Nassar MA, Salah E, El-Begawy. *American Journal of Polymer Science*. 2012;2:7. DOI: 10.5923/j.ajps.20120201.02.
  32. Gupta AK, Kumar Sah G. *Nano Systems: Physics, Chemistry, Mathematics*. 2013;4:670. Available from: [http://nanojournal.ifmo.ru/en/wp-content/uploads/2013/10/NPCM\\_45P670.pdf](http://nanojournal.ifmo.ru/en/wp-content/uploads/2013/10/NPCM_45P670.pdf).
  33. Ravichandran S, Ramanathan K. *Rasayan Journal of Chemistry*. 2010;3:375-84. Available from: <https://rasayanjournal.co.in/vol-3/issue-2/32.pdf>.
  34. Manwar BG, Karthia SH, Parsania PH. *Journal of Pure and Applied Ultrasonics*. 2004;26:49.
  35. Pandey J, Chhabra D, Dey R, Sanguri V, Verma R. *Pramana - Journal of Physics*. 2000;55:433. DOI: 10.1007/s12043-000-0073-6.
  36. Pandey JD, Dey R, Sanguri V, Chhabra J, Nautiyal T. *Pramana - Journal of Physics*. 2005;65:535. DOI: 10.1007/BF02704212.
  37. Praharaaj MK, Satapathy A, Mishra P, Mishra S. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Physics*. 2013;7:23. DOI: 10.1186/2251-7235-7-23.
  38. Sreekanth K, Kondaiah M, Sravana Kumar D, Krishna Rao D. *Journal of Solution Chemistry*. 2011;41:1088. DOI: 10.1007/s10953-011-9761-8.