International Journal of Chemical Studies

P-ISSN: 2349–8528
E-ISSN: 2321–4902
www.chemijournal.com
IJCS 2023; 11(3): 68-74

© 2023 IJCS

Received: 09-04-2023
Accepted: 12-05-2023

K Anitha
Department of Chemistry, Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Anantapuramu, Andhra Pradesh, India

M Ramachandraiah
Government Degree College, Darsi, Prakasam Dt, Andhra Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author:
K Anitha
Department of Chemistry, Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Anantapuramu, Andhra Pradesh, India

Exploring hybrid materials combining organic and inorganic components for versatile biosensors: A review

K Anitha and M Ramachandraiah

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.22271/chemi.2023.v11.i3a.12482

Abstract

Hybrid materials combining organic and inorganic components have emerged as transformative tools in biosensor technology, addressing critical needs in diagnostics, environmental monitoring, and food safety. These materials synergize the biocompatibility and specificity of organic elements, such as enzymes and polymers, with the stability and conductivity of inorganic components like metals, metal oxides, and carbon nanomaterials. By enabling superior signal transduction and sensitivity, hybrid materials overcome limitations of conventional biosensors. This review explores the fundamentals of hybrid materials, including their composition, unique properties, and synthesis techniques. The integration of organic and inorganic components, particularly at their interfaces, enhances compatibility and functionality, resulting in performance improvements such as signal amplification and increased selectivity. Various types of hybrid materials, including polymer hybrids, biomolecule-inorganic hybrids, metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), and composite nanoparticles, are discussed with their biosensing applications. These materials have facilitated breakthroughs in healthcare, including glucose monitoring and cancer diagnostics, as well as in environmental and food safety sectors. Challenges like stability, scalability, and regulatory barriers are highlighted, along with future opportunities for artificial intelligence-driven optimization and interdisciplinary collaboration. Hybrid materials thus represent a bridge between innovation and real-world application, driving advancements in sustainable and versatile biosensing technologies.

Keywords: Biosensors, hybrid materials, diagnostics, synthesis, interfaces, healthcare, environmental monitoring

1. Introduction

In the rapidly advancing fields of diagnostics and environmental monitoring, biosensors have emerged as indispensable tools [1, 2]. These devices, which translate biological interactions into measurable signals, are transforming how we detect and quantify biological and chemical entities [3, 4]. The growing demand for biosensors that are sensitive, selective, and robust under diverse conditions has driven researchers to explore innovative material combinations that enhance their performance [5, 6].

Hybrid materials—which integrate organic and inorganic components—represent a breakthrough in the quest for high-performance biosensors [2, 5]. The unique properties of organic components, such as biocompatibility and molecular specificity, complement the stability and superior electronic or optical characteristics of inorganic materials. By synergistically combining these two classes of materials, hybrid systems offer enhanced capabilities, enabling the detection of biomarkers, pathogens, pollutants, and other analytes with unprecedented precision and reliability [7, 8].

The significance of hybrid materials in biosensing lies in their ability to address limitations associated with conventional materials. Organic components, including enzymes, antibodies, and polymers, can be tailored to recognize specific analytes, while inorganic elements, such as metal nanoparticles, carbon nanomaterials, and quantum dots, provide structural and functional support. Together, they form a foundation for biosensors that exhibit exceptional sensitivity, selectivity, and versatility [3, 7].

2. Fundamentals of Hybrid Materials

2.1 Definition and Classification

Hybrid materials are multifunctional systems created by combining organic and inorganic components to achieve enhanced and often novel properties that surpass the performance of their individual constituents. This unique combination allows for the customization of materials tailored to specific applications, including biosensing, energy storage, and environmental remediation [9, 11].

Organic Components

Polymers

1. Commonly used polymers include polyaniline, polypyrrole, polyethylene glycol (PEG), and polyvinyl alcohol (PVA). These provide flexibility, processability, and biocompatibility [9, 10].
2. Functionalized polymers enhance specific interactions, such as binding with target biomolecules or improving chemical stability [11].

Biomolecules

1. **Enzymes:** Used for catalyzing specific reactions in biosensors (e.g., glucose oxidase in glucose sensors) [11].
2. **Antibodies:** Provide selective binding to antigens for immunoassays [12].
3. **DNA/RNA:** Applied in genetic biosensors for detecting nucleic acids or mutations [13].

Small Organic Molecules

Functional organic dyes, ligands, and redox mediators enhance the optical and electrochemical properties of the hybrid material [13, 14].

Inorganic Components

Metals

1. Noble metals like gold (Au) and silver (Ag) are used for their excellent electrical conductivity and surface plasmon resonance properties [15, 16].
2. Transition metals like iron (Fe) and cobalt (Co) are employed for magnetic biosensors [12, 13].

Metal Oxides

Examples include titanium dioxide (TiO_2), zinc oxide (ZnO), and iron oxide (Fe_3O_4). They provide stability, catalytic activity, and unique surface properties [13].

Carbon-Based Nanomaterials

1. **Graphene:** A 2D material with exceptional electrical, thermal, and mechanical properties [13].
2. **Carbon Nanotubes (CNTs):** Known for their high aspect ratio, conductivity, and ability to interact with biomolecules [14].

Quantum Dots

Semiconductor nanoparticles (e.g., CdSe, ZnS) exhibit tunable fluorescence for optical biosensing [15].

By strategically combining these organic and inorganic components, hybrid materials achieve specific functions, such as improved sensitivity, faster response times, and better stability in biosensing applications.

2.2 Properties of Hybrid Materials

Hybrid materials benefit from the combined properties of their organic and inorganic components, creating a synergistic system ideal for biosensing:

Enhanced Biocompatibility: Organic components like biomolecules and polymers ensure compatibility with biological systems, making hybrid materials suitable for clinical and *in vivo* applications [11].

Superior Signal Transduction

Inorganic components, such as metals and nanomaterials, enable efficient electron transfer and signal amplification, which is critical for sensitive detection [13, 14].

Mechanical Stability

The structural integrity provided by inorganic components, such as metal oxides or graphene, ensures durability under varied environmental and operational conditions [15, 16].

Customizable Surface Chemistry

The functional groups on organic and inorganic components enable easy modifications for specific binding to target analytes [16, 17].

Optoelectronic Properties

Quantum dots and plasmonic metals enhance optical signals, making them valuable for fluorescence and surface plasmon resonance biosensors [12, 13].

Synergistic Effects

The fusion of properties, such as conductivity (From metals) and specificity (From biomolecules), leads to highly efficient and selective sensing platforms [13, 14].

2.3 Synthesis Techniques

The fabrication of hybrid materials involves several strategies; each suited to specific combinations of organic and inorganic components and tailored to the intended biosensing application.

Physical Methods

Layer-by-Layer (LbL) Assembly

1. Alternating deposition of organic and inorganic layers to create thin films with precise control over thickness and composition [14, 15].
2. Example: Assembling graphene oxide with polymers for enhanced electrochemical sensors [17, 18].

Sputtering

Deposition of thin inorganic films (e.g., metal oxides) onto organic substrates for robust hybrid coatings [19, 20].

Electrospinning

Produces nanofiber mats by integrating polymers with nanoparticles for improved mechanical strength and functionality [21, 22].

Chemical Methods

Sol-Gel Process

1. Formation of hybrid materials by hydrolysis and condensation of metal alkoxides with organic molecules [19].
2. Example: Creating silica-polymer hybrids for optical biosensors.

Hydrothermal Synthesis

Growth of nanostructures (e.g., metal oxides) under high temperature and pressure in the presence of organic molecules [11, 19].

Chemical Vapor Deposition (CVD)

Deposition of inorganic materials (e.g., graphene) onto organic substrates, resulting in high-quality hybrid materials [11].

Precipitation Methods

Formation of hybrid nanoparticles by controlled co-precipitation of organic and inorganic precursors [12].

Biological Methods

Enzymatic Assembly

1. Enzymes catalyze the binding of inorganic nanoparticles to organic components, ensuring biocompatibility and specific functionality [11, 17].
2. Example: Enzyme-functionalized gold nanoparticles for biosensors.

Microbial Synthesis

Microorganisms facilitate the formation of hybrid materials, such as bio-templated metal nanostructures [13].

DNA-Directed Assembly

DNA molecules are used as templates to organize nanoparticles into hybrid structures with precise spatial arrangements [14].

Advanced Techniques

Self-Assembly

Organic molecules and inorganic nanoparticles spontaneously organize into hybrid structures due to non-covalent interactions (e.g., van der Waals forces, hydrogen bonding) [17, 18].

3D Printing

Additive manufacturing techniques for producing hybrid biosensors with complex geometries and precise material distribution [16, 17].

3. Integration of Organic and Inorganic Components in Biosensors

3.1 Organic-Inorganic Interfaces

The interface between organic and inorganic components plays a critical role in ensuring the compatibility and functionality of hybrid materials in biosensors. Surface chemistry at this interface governs the interaction between the two materials, influencing factors such as binding strength, electron transfer, and overall stability [21, 29].

To achieve effective integration, functionalization methods are employed to modify the surface properties of inorganic materials. These methods include:

- **Covalent Bonding:** Organic molecules are chemically attached to inorganic surfaces using reactive functional groups, such as amines, carboxyls, or thiols. This creates a robust and stable interface suitable for long-term applications [21, 22].
- **Physical Adsorption:** Organic molecules adhere to the inorganic surface via non-covalent interactions, including van der Waals forces, hydrogen bonding, and electrostatic interactions [23, 24]. This approach is simple and reversible but may be less stable under varying conditions.
- **Bioconjugation Techniques:** Enzymes, antibodies, or nucleic acids are linked to inorganic materials using specialized cross-linkers or affinity tags, ensuring specificity and retaining biological activity [25, 26].

These functionalization strategies not only enhance compatibility but also introduce active sites for biosensing, enabling the hybrid material to interact effectively with target analytes.

3.2 Synergy and Performance Enhancement

The integration of organic and inorganic components in hybrid materials results in a synergistic combination of properties that enhances biosensor performance. This synergy manifests in several ways:

- **Improved Sensitivity:** The high surface area and excellent conductivity of inorganic materials, such as metal nanoparticles or graphene, facilitate efficient signal transduction. When combined with the molecular recognition capabilities of organic elements, the overall sensitivity of the biosensor is significantly enhanced [22, 23].
- **Enhanced Selectivity:** Organic components, such as enzymes or antibodies, provide specificity to the target analyte. By anchoring these biomolecules to inorganic substrates, hybrid materials ensure selective detection while minimizing interference from non-specific interactions [24, 25].
- **Signal Amplification:** Hybrid materials exploit complementary properties to amplify detection signals. For instance, quantum dots can enhance fluorescence signals, while metal nanoparticles can improve electrochemical responses, leading to higher detection accuracy [23, 24].

Examples of such synergy include

- The combination of gold nanoparticles with DNA aptamers, where the nanoparticles' high conductivity complements the aptamers' target-binding specificity [25, 26].
- Graphene-based materials functionalized with proteins, where graphene's exceptional electronic properties enhance the protein's bioactivity and signal generation 27, 28.

By leveraging these complementary properties, hybrid materials enable the creation of biosensors that are not only efficient but also versatile, paving the way for applications in challenging environments and complex biological systems.

4. Types of Hybrid Materials in Biosensing

4.1 Polymer-Based Hybrids

Polymer-based hybrids combine conducting polymers such as polyaniline and polypyrrole with inorganic materials like metal nanoparticles or carbon-based nanomaterials [29, 30]. Conducting polymers provide flexibility, biocompatibility, and ease of functionalization, while the inorganic components enhance electrical conductivity and stability. For example, polyaniline decorated with gold nanoparticles can serve as an efficient platform for electrochemical biosensors, enabling sensitive detection of glucose and other biomolecules. Similarly, polypyrrole-carbon nanotube composites are employed in biosensing due to their high conductivity and ability to immobilize biomolecules effectively [31, 32].

4.2 Biomolecule-Inorganic Hybrids

Biomolecule-inorganic hybrids leverage the specificity of biological molecules, such as enzymes, antibodies, or DNA/RNA, combined with the functional advantages of inorganic materials. Enzyme-functionalized nanomaterials are widely used for catalysis-driven detection, where the inorganic component provides a robust matrix for enzyme immobilization and enhances signal transduction [33, 34]. DNA/RNA-modified quantum dots, on the other hand, enable fluorescence-based sensing with high sensitivity, making them ideal for detecting genetic markers and pathogens. These hybrids are particularly valuable in medical diagnostics and environmental monitoring [35, 36].

4.3 Metal-Organic Hybrids

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) are porous materials consisting of metal ions coordinated with organic ligands. MOFs offer high surface area, tunable porosity, and excellent stability, making them promising candidates for biosensing applications. Functionalized MOFs can selectively capture target analytes, while their porous structure facilitates efficient mass transport. For instance, MOFs integrated with enzymes or antibodies have been used for detecting glucose, heavy metals, and volatile organic compounds with high precision [37, 38].

4.4 Carbon-Based Hybrids

Carbon-based hybrids utilize materials such as graphene oxide (GO) and carbon nanotubes (CNTs) functionalized with organic molecules. GO provides a large surface area, excellent dispersibility, and rich functional groups for biomolecule attachment, while CNTs offer outstanding electrical and mechanical properties. Functionalized graphene oxide with proteins or DNA has been employed in biosensors for detecting cancer biomarkers and environmental pollutants. Similarly, CNT-based hybrids with polymers or enzymes exhibit enhanced electrochemical performance, making them suitable for wearable biosensors and point-of-care devices [38, 39].

4.5 Composite Nanoparticles

Composite nanoparticles consist of organic-inorganic core-shell structures, where the core and shell materials are carefully chosen to achieve specific functionalities. For example, gold-silica nanoparticles combine the optical properties of gold with the biocompatibility of silica, enabling applications in surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) and fluorescence-based biosensing. These nanoparticles can be further functionalized with biomolecules for targeted detection of pathogens, toxins, or biomarkers. Core-shell nanoparticles are highly versatile and are increasingly used in integrated sensing platforms for healthcare and environmental monitoring [40, 41].

5. Applications of Hybrid Materials in Biosensors

5.1 Healthcare and Clinical Diagnostics

Hybrid materials have revolutionized healthcare by enabling the development of highly sensitive and specific biosensors for clinical diagnostics [33, 39]. These biosensors detect critical disease biomarkers such as glucose, cancer markers, and infectious agents, facilitating early diagnosis and treatment. For instance:

- **Glucose Monitoring:** Hybrid biosensors employing enzyme-functionalized nanomaterials, such as glucose oxidase immobilized on gold nanoparticles, have demonstrated exceptional sensitivity for glucose detection in diabetic patients [21, 22].
- **Cancer Diagnostics:** Biosensors utilizing DNA-functionalized quantum dots or aptamer-coated graphene oxide can identify cancer biomarkers with high precision, enabling non-invasive and early cancer screening [22, 26].
- **Infectious Disease Detection:** Hybrid materials integrating antibodies with carbon nanotubes or metal-organic frameworks have been used to detect pathogens such as bacteria and viruses in point-of-care devices, significantly reducing diagnostic time [23].

Portable biosensors, made possible by hybrid materials, are transforming point-of-care applications. These devices offer rapid, reliable results outside traditional laboratory settings, improving access to healthcare in remote areas [34, 39].

5.2 Environmental Monitoring

The detection of pollutants, heavy metals, and pathogens in water and air is critical for environmental safety [33]. Hybrid biosensors provide an efficient solution by combining the specificity of organic components with the robustness of inorganic materials. Key applications include:

- **Heavy Metal Detection:** Hybrid materials such as metal oxide nanostructures functionalized with DNA or peptides can selectively detect toxic metals like lead and mercury in water samples [35, 36].
- **Pathogen Monitoring:** Enzyme-functionalized carbon-based hybrids enable real-time detection of bacterial and viral contaminants in environmental samples [37, 38].
- **Pollutant Analysis:** Hybrid biosensors using MOFs or graphene oxide can identify volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and other pollutants with high sensitivity, aiding in air quality monitoring [39, 40].

5.3 Food Safety

Ensuring food safety is a growing concern globally [33, 42]. Hybrid biosensors are being employed to monitor toxins, allergens, and contaminants in food products (Examples include:

1. **Toxin Detection:** Biosensors utilizing gold nanoparticles functionalized with specific antibodies can detect aflatoxins and other harmful substances in agricultural products [41].
2. **Allergen Monitoring:** Hybrid materials combining aptamers with carbon nanotubes enable rapid identification of allergens like gluten or peanut proteins [42].
3. **Contaminant Analysis:** Composite nanoparticles have been used to identify pesticide residues or bacterial contamination in food samples, ensuring compliance with safety standards [43].

5.4 Emerging Fields: Hybrid biosensors are playing a pivotal role in emerging technologies such as wearable devices and personalized medicine [44]. Examples include:

- **Wearable Technology:** Flexible biosensors made from polymer-carbon hybrids are being integrated into wearable devices to continuously monitor physiological parameters such as glucose levels, hydration, and stress biomarkers [44, 47].
- **Personalized Medicine:** Hybrid biosensors leveraging DNA-functionalized nanomaterials or MOFs enable customized health monitoring, allowing for tailored therapeutic interventions [45].

6. Challenges and Limitations

6.1 Issues with Stability and Reproducibility: One of the major challenges in developing hybrid materials for biosensors is ensuring their stability and reproducibility [46]. Hybrid materials, especially those involving biomolecules like enzymes or antibodies, are prone to degradation over time due to environmental factors such as temperature, humidity, and pH fluctuations [47]. This limits their long-term usability and storage. Furthermore, reproducibility can be compromised by variations in synthesis processes, leading to inconsistencies in material properties and biosensor performance [48, 49].

6.2 Cost and Scalability of Fabrication Techniques

Fabricating hybrid materials often involves complex and resource-intensive processes, such as chemical vapor deposition, hydrothermal synthesis, or layer-by-layer assembly [28]. These techniques require expensive equipment and reagents, which increases production costs. Additionally, scaling up these processes for industrial applications poses significant challenges, as maintaining the quality and uniformity of hybrid materials on a large scale remains difficult [28, 50].

6.3 Challenges in Integrating Hybrid Materials into Commercial Biosensors

While hybrid materials offer exceptional performance in laboratory settings, integrating them into commercial biosensor devices presents several hurdles [51]. Factors such as compatibility with existing device architectures, ease of handling, and the need for miniaturization must be addressed. Moreover, ensuring that hybrid materials can operate reliably in real-world conditions, such as in point-of-care or field applications, is crucial for their commercial viability [52].

6.4 Regulatory and Standardization Barriers

For hybrid materials to be adopted in clinical and industrial applications, they must comply with strict regulatory and standardization requirements [53]. These include demonstrating safety, reliability, and efficacy through rigorous testing. However, the lack of standardized protocols for evaluating the performance of hybrid materials in biosensors creates additional challenges. Regulatory approval processes can be lengthy and expensive, further delaying the commercialization of innovative biosensor technologies [53].

7. Conclusion

Hybrid materials combining organic and inorganic components have shown immense potential to transform biosensing technologies, offering unparalleled sensitivity, selectivity, and versatility. These innovations have catalyzed breakthroughs in healthcare, environmental monitoring, and food safety, providing precise and reliable diagnostic tools. The ongoing development of fabrication techniques, combined with advancements in machine learning and artificial intelligence, promises to overcome existing barriers such as cost, scalability, and stability.

Interdisciplinary collaboration remains key to integrating hybrid materials into commercial biosensors, ensuring their efficacy, safety, and regulatory compliance. By addressing these challenges, hybrid biosensors can achieve widespread adoption, paving the way for next-generation diagnostic platforms that are accessible and efficient. Ultimately, hybrid materials serve as a bridge between scientific innovation and real-world application, fostering solutions that improve global health, environmental sustainability, and safety standards. combining organic and inorganic components hold immense potential to revolutionize biosensing technologies. By leveraging their synergistic properties, researchers have developed biosensors with enhanced sensitivity, selectivity, and versatility. Despite the challenges and limitations, continued advancements in material design, fabrication techniques, and regulatory frameworks are expected to drive the widespread adoption of hybrid biosensors in healthcare, environmental monitoring, food safety, and beyond.

8. References

1. Gideon O, Samuel HS, Okino IA. Biocompatible materials for next-generation biosensors. Discover Chemistry. 2024 Oct 25;1(1):34.
2. Hosu O, Florea A, Cristea C, Sandulescu R. Functionalized advanced hybrid materials for biosensing applications. InAdvanced biosensors for health care applications 2019 Jan 1 p. 171-207. Elsevier.
3. Kim JE, Choi JH, Colas M, Kim DH, Lee H. Gold-based hybrid nanomaterials for biosensing and molecular diagnostic applications. Biosensors and Bioelectronics. 2016 Jun 15;80:543-559.
4. Dincer C, Bruch R, Costa-Rama E, Fernández-Abedul MT, Merkoçi A, Manz A, *et al*. Disposable sensors in diagnostics, food, and environmental monitoring. Advanced Materials. 2019 Jul;31(30):1806739.
5. Rajapaksha RD, Udayantha UL, Ayoib A. Hybrid and Smart Nanomaterials in Biosensors. InHybrid-Nanomaterials: Fabrication, Characterization and Applications 2024 Dec 21 p. 241-257. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
6. Naresh V, Lee N. A review on biosensors and recent development of nanostructured materials-enabled biosensors. Sensors. 2021 Feb 5;21(4):1109.
7. Puttaningaiah KP, Hur J. Recent advances in phthalocyanine-based hybrid composites for electrochemical biosensors. Micromachines. 2024 Aug 23;15(9):1061.
8. Ahmed S, Ansari A, Kashif Ali S, Patil BR, Riyaz F, Khan A, *et al*. Pioneering Sensing Technologies Using Borophene-Based Composite/Hybrid Electrochemical Biosensors for Health Monitoring: A Perspective. Analysis & Sensing. 2024 Sep;4(5):e202400034.
9. Zhu QL, Xu Q. Metal–organic framework composites. Chemical Society Reviews. 2014;43(16):5468-5512.
10. Liu H, Wang X, Wu D. Innovative design of microencapsulated phase change materials for thermal energy storage and versatile applications: A review. Sustainable energy & fuels. 2019;3(5):1091-149.
11. Kalantar-zadeh K, Ou JZ, Daeneke T, Strano MS, Pumera M, Gras SL. Two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides in biosystems. Advanced Functional Materials. 2015 Aug;25(32):5086-5099.
12. Han WB, Lee JH, Shin JW, Hwang SW. Advanced materials and systems for biodegradable, transient electronics. Advanced Materials. 2020 Dec;32(51):2002211.
13. Bindra AK, Wang D, Zhao Y. Metal–organic frameworks meet polymers: from synthesis strategies to healthcare applications. Advanced Materials. 2023 Oct;35(40):2300700.
14. Ates HC, Nguyen PQ, Gonzalez-Macia L, Morales-Narváez E, Güder F, Collins JJ, *et al*. End-to-end design of wearable sensors. Nature Reviews Materials. 2022 Nov;7(11):887-907.

15. Shikha S, Salafi T, Cheng J, Zhang Y. Versatile design and synthesis of nano-barcodes. Chemical Society Reviews. 2017;46(22):7054-93.
16. Gao X, Han S, Zhang R, Liu G, Wu J. Progress in electrospun composite nanofibers: Composition, performance and applications for tissue engineering. Journal of Materials Chemistry B. 2019;7(45):7075-89.
17. Sharma S, Sudhakara P, Omran AA, Singh J, Ilyas RA. Recent trends and developments in conducting polymer nanocomposites for multifunctional applications. Polymers. 2021 Aug 28;13(17):2898.
18. Walcarius A. Electrochemical applications of silica-based organic− inorganic hybrid materials. Chemistry of Materials. 2001 Oct 15;13(10):3351-72.
19. Junior DW, Hryniewicz BM, Kubota LT. Advanced hybrid materials in electrochemical sensors: combining MOFs and conducting polymers for environmental monitoring. Chemosphere. 2024 Feb 15:141479.
20. Sun J, Yang R, Li Q, Zhu R, Jiang Y, Zang L, *et al*. Living synthelectronics: A New Era for bioelectronics powered by synthetic biology. Advanced Materials. 2024 Jun;36(25):2400110.
21. Banerjee A, Pons T, Lequeux N, Dubertret B. Quantum dots–DNA bioconjugates: Synthesis to applications. Interface focus. 2016 Dec 6;6(6):20160064.
22. Devnani H, Sharma C, Jain P. Immobilization Techniques in the Fabrication of Nanomaterial-Based Electrodes for Biosensing. InNanomaterial-Modified Electrodes: Design and Applications 2024 Oct 9 p. 135-156. Cham: Springer International Publishing.
23. Wang HH, Li MJ, Wang HJ, Chai YQ, Yuan R. p–n-Sensitized heterostructure Co3O4/fullerene with highly efficient photoelectrochemical performance for ultrasensitive DNA detection. ACS applied materials & interfaces. 2019 Jun 6;11(26):23765-23772.
24. Khafaga DS, Muteeb G, Elgarawany A, Aatif M, Farhan M, Allam S, *et al*. Green nanobiocatalysts: enhancing enzyme immobilization for industrial and biomedical applications. PeerJ. 2024 Jul 8;12:e17589.
25. Meng L. Tailoring Conducting Polymer Interface for Sensing and Biosensing. Linköping University Electronic Press; 2020 Sep 17.
26. Ghalkhani M, Teymourinia H, Ebrahimi F, Irannejad N, Karimi-Maleh H, Karaman C, *et al*. Engineering and application of polysaccharides and proteins-based nanobiocatalysts in the recovery of toxic metals, phosphorous, and ammonia from wastewater: A review. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules. 2023 Jul 1;242:124585.
27. Wang Y, Wang F, Shen Y, He Q, Guo S. Tumor-specific disintegratable nanohybrids containing ultrasmall inorganic nanoparticles: from design and improved properties to cancer applications. Materials Horizons. 2018;5(2):184-205.
28. Bensana A, Achi F. Analytical performance of functional nanostructured biointerfaces for sensing phenolic compounds. Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces. 2020 Dec 1;196:111344.
29. Sreejith S, Huong TT, Borah P, Zhao Y. Organic–inorganic nanohybrids for fluorescence, photoacoustic and Raman bioimaging. Science bulletin. 2015 Apr 1;60(7):665-678.
30. Liu Y, Lu S, Zhang Z, Yang Z, Cui X, Liu G. Printable biosensors towards next-generation point-of-care testing: paper substrate as an example. Lab on a Chip. 2023;23(15):3328-3352.
31. Fu L, Zheng Y, Li X, Liu X, Lin CT, Karimi-Maleh H. Strategies and applications of graphene and its derivatives-based electrochemical sensors in cancer diagnosis. Molecules. 2023 Sep 20;28(18):6719.
32. Dehdashtian S, Hashemi B, Aeenmehr A. The application of perlite/cobalt oxide/reduced graphene oxide (PC-rGO)/metal organic framework (MOF) composite as electrode modifier for direct sensing of anticancer drug idarubicin. IEEE Sensors Journal. 2019 Aug 26;19(24):11739-11745.
33. Jin L, Zhao X, Qian X, Dong M. Nickel nanoparticles encapsulated in porous carbon and carbon nanotube hybrids from bimetallic metal-organic-frameworks for highly efficient adsorption of dyes. Journal of colloid and interface science. 2018 Jan 1;509:245-253.
34. Majeed SA. Recent advances in metal–organic framework/carbon nanotube nanocomposites for developing analytical applications. Nanoscale. 2023.
35. Srimuk P, Luanwuthi S, Krittayavathananon A, Sawangphruk M. Solid-type supercapacitor of reduced graphene oxide-metal organic framework composite coated on carbon fiber paper. Electrochimica Acta. 2015 Mar 1;157:69-77.
36. Kasula M, Le T, Thomsen A, Esfahani MR. Silver metal organic frameworks and copper metal organic frameworks immobilized on graphene oxide for enhanced adsorption in water treatment. Chemical Engineering Journal. 2022 Jul 1;439:135542.
37. Kasula M, Le T, Thomsen A, Esfahani MR. Silver metal organic frameworks and copper metal organic frameworks immobilized on graphene oxide for enhanced adsorption in water treatment. Chemical Engineering Journal. 2022 Jul 1;439:135542.
38. Tourani S. Preparation of multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNT) and graphene oxide (GO) incorporated Cr-TA@ SSZ composite metal-organic frameworks and their use as adsorbents for adsorptive removal of TPA, BA, and p-tol from wastewaters.
39. Arul P, Gowthaman NS, John SA, Lim HN. Ultrasonic assisted synthesis of size-controlled Cu-metal–organic framework decorated graphene oxide composite: sustainable electrocatalyst for the trace-level determination of nitrite in environmental water samples. ACS omega. 2020 Jun 10;5(24):14242-14253.
40. Oveisi M, Asli MA, Mahmoodi NM. Carbon nanotube based metal-organic framework nanocomposites: synthesis and their photocatalytic activity for decolorization of colored wastewater. Inorganica Chimica Acta. 2019 Mar 1;487:169-176.
41. Zhao P, Huang L, Wang H, Wang C, Chen J, Yang P, *et al*. An ultrasensitive high-performance baicalin sensor based on C3N4-SWCNTs/reduced graphene oxide/cyclodextrin metal-organic framework nanocomposite. Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical. 2022 Jan 1;350:130853.
42. Azizzadeh A, Amooey AA, Ghasemi S. Ternary metal-organic framework/multi-walled carbon nanotube/iron oxide nanocomposite for removal of butachlor pesticide. Environmental Sciences Europe. 2022 Dec;34(1):49.
43. Azizzadeh A, Amooey AA, Ghasemi S. Ternary metal-organic framework/multi-walled carbon nanotube/iron oxide nanocomposite for removal of butachlor pesticide. Environmental Sciences Europe. 2022 Dec;34(1):49.

44. Shi X, Yu J, Liu Q, Shao L, Zhang Y, Sun Z, *et al*. Metal–organic-framework-derived N-, P-, and O-codoped nickel/carbon composites homogeneously decorated on reduced graphene oxide for energy storage. ACS Applied Nano Materials. 2020 Jun 1;3(6):5625-5636.
45. Naser SA, Badmus KO, Khotseng L. Synthesis, properties, and applications of metal organic frameworks supported on graphene oxide. Coatings. 2023 Aug 18;13(8):1456.
46. Zhang K, Lee TH, Cha JH, Jang HW, Choi JW, Mahmoudi M, *et al*. Metal-organic framework-derived metal oxide nanoparticles@ reduced graphene oxide composites as cathode materials for rechargeable aluminium-ion batteries. Scientific Reports. 2019 Sep 24;9(1):13739.
47. Qu HJ, Huang LJ, Han ZY, Wang YX, Zhang ZJ, Wang Y, *et al*. A review of graphene-oxide/metal–organic framework composites materials: characteristics, preparation and applications. Journal of Porous Materials. 2021 Dec;28:1837-1865.
48. Hemdan M, Ali MA, Doghish AS, Mageed SS, Elazab IM, Khalil MM, *et al*. Innovations in biosensor technologies for healthcare diagnostics and therapeutic drug monitoring: applications, recent progress, and future research challenges. Sensors (Basel, Switzerland). 2024 Aug 8;24(16):5143.
49. Jain S, Nehra M, Kumar R, Dilbaghi N, Hu T, Kumar S, *et al*. Internet of medical things (IoMT)-integrated biosensors for point-of-care testing of infectious diseases. Biosensors and Bioelectronics. 2021 May 1;179:113074.
50. Ardila CM. Advancing healthcare through laboratory on a chip technology: Transforming microorganism identification and diagnostics. World Journal of Clinical Cases. 2025 Jan 26, 13(3).
51. Shrivastava S, Trung TQ, Lee NE. Recent progress, challenges, and prospects of fully integrated mobile and wearable point-of-care testing systems for self-testing. Chemical Society Reviews. 2020;49(6):1812-1866.
52. Liu M, Wen Y. Point-of-care testing for early-stage liver cancer diagnosis and personalized medicine: Biomarkers, current technologies and perspectives. Heliyon. 2024 Sep 25.
53. Wang P, Kricka LJ. Current and emerging trends in point-of-care technology and strategies for clinical validation and implementation. Clinical chemistry. 2018 Oct 1;64(10):1439-1452.