

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 www.chemijournal.com IJCS 2022; 10(5): 134-136 © 2022 IJCS Received: 04-07-2022 Accepted: 15-08-2022

NB Shaikh AICRP on Fruits, Banana, Jalgaon, Maharashtra, India

VM Rajenimbalkar AICRP on Fruits, Banana, Jalgaon, Maharashtra, India

AR Mendhe AICRP on Fruits, Banana, Jalgaon, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author: NB Shaikh AICRP on Fruits, Banana, Jalgaon, Maharashtra, India

# Bunch improvement in banana Cv. grand naine

# NB Shaikh, VM Rajenimbalkar and AR Mendhe

#### Abstract

With a view to improve the weight and size of fingers of banana for domestic as well as export market, the present investigation entitled, "Bunch Improvement in Banana Cv. Grand Naine" was conducted at AICRP on Banana Jalgaon under the Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri during the year 2014 - 2017. The treatments under 'dehanding' were no-dehanding, dehanding at 10<sup>th</sup> hand and 8<sup>th</sup> hand while treatments under bunch sprays were water spraying (control), 0.5% Potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KH<sub>2</sub> PO<sub>4</sub>) + 1% Urea, 2% Sulphate of Potash (SOP) and 1% 19:19:19 grade fertilizer. The dehanding of bunch after 8<sup>th</sup> hand has recorded significantly maximum finger length, finger girth, finger weight, bunch weight and yield. Under spraying treatments significantly maximum finger length, finger girth, finger weight bunch weight and yield were found under 0.5% KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> + 1% urea spray. The pooled results of interaction under dehanding after 8<sup>th</sup> hands + spraying 0.5% KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> + 1% urea showed significantly maximum finger girth, finger girth, finger 8<sup>th</sup> hands with spraying 0.5% KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> + 1% urea gave the best results in respect of yield traits, B: C ratio, sustainable yield index and sustainable value index after three series of trial.

Keywords: Dehanding, finger, bunch, KH2PO4, SOP, 19:19:19 grade

#### Introduction

In India banana is one of the major economically important crop, the second largest growing fruit crop, occupy 20% area among the total area under crop. Total area under banana crop is 8, 83,000 ha and total production is 30.80 million MT. with productivity 52.05 Mt/ha. And production share of major fruit crops in India is 33.6per cent (Anonymous, 2018)<sup>[1]</sup>.

Banana is one of heavy feeder of nutrients. However, it draws the nutrients from a very limited depth of soil because it has a shallow root system. The choice and dosage of nutrients applied mainly depends on the cultivar, initial soil fertility, stage of the plant growth and climate (Lahav and Turner, 1983)<sup>[7]</sup>. Under traditional farming system, banana crop receives its last dose of fertilizer (nitrogen and potassium) at 7th month after planting to support the requirement of nutrients till harvest, since large quantity of photosynthetic move from the source to the sink i.e. developing bunches at this phase. Any limitation in the supply of nutrients at this stage leads to poor finger filling & development, reduce the bunch size and quality (Jeyakumar et al., 2010)<sup>[5]</sup>. However, it is not advisable to go for soil application of fertilizers at finger development stage, since the uptake is slow and low at this stage. The uptake of nutrients is very slow and low at bunch development stage. As uptake of nutrients through the finger peel is considerably faster than through roots, bunch spray of water-soluble fertilizers and nutrients at this stage is being successfully used to increase the yield of banana (Sandhya et al., 2018)<sup>[9]</sup>. In banana, fruit quality is mainly judged by the sugar content and acidity in the pulp. The foliar and bunch spray of sulphate of potash appeared to be effective in enhancing various quality parameters such as TSS, reducing sugar, non-reducing sugar, total sugars and acidity (Gamit et al., 2017)<sup>[3]</sup>. In general, the last hands are discarded or sold at lower prices because they fail to meet the standard of specialized markets. Fingers of the distal hand (at the bottom of bunch) are 30-40% smaller than proximal fingers at the top of the bunch. Due to competition for assimilate; pulp cell number is reduced in younger fruits of distal hands, which ultimately become determining factor in decreasing pulp dry weight in distal hands (Jullien et al., 2001)<sup>[6]</sup>.

Keeping all these factors in consideration a study on "Bunch improvement in banana cv. Grand Naine (AAA)" was taken during 2014-17 with objectives to improve the weight and size of individual banana fingers for domestic as well as export market and to study the economics of treatments.

#### **Material and Methods**

There were major two sets of treatments 'Dehanding' and 'Bunch spray'. Under dehanding group of treatments, there were three treatments viz., D<sub>1</sub> No dehanding, D<sub>2</sub> dehanding at 10<sup>th</sup> hands and D<sub>3</sub> dehanding at 8<sup>th</sup> hands.Under bunch spray group of treatments there were four treatments viz., S<sub>1</sub> water spraying, S<sub>2</sub> 0.5% KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4+</sub>1% Urea, S<sub>3</sub> 2% SOP and S<sub>4</sub> 1% 19:19:19 grade fertilizer. The experiment was laid out in a factorial randomized block design having 12 treatment combination with 3 replications. The de-handing treatments were applied immediately after opening of all hands in a bunch while under the spraying treatments, first spray was taken immediately after all hands were opened and de-handed while second spray was taken 15 days after Ist spray. The observations were taken during harvesting of bunches. The data so obtained was subjected to to statistical analysis by using method of Fisher's analysis of variance (ANOVA) for factorial randomized block design (FRBD). The level of significance used in 'F' and 't' tests was P=0.05 (Fisher and Yates, 1963)<sup>[2]</sup>.

## **Results and Discussions**

The pooled results of interaction showed significantly maximum finger length (24.26 cm) under de-handing after 8 hands + spraying 0.5%  $KH_2PO_4$  + 1% urea which was at par with interaction combination de-handing after 8 hands + spraying 2% SoP (24.01 cm). Similarly significantly maximum finger girth (13.77 cm) and maximum finger

weight (194.0 gm) were found under de-handing after 8 hands  $KH_2PO_4$  5% + urea 1% spray combination. The bunch weight was found to be non-significant under different treatment combinations. The yield tons per ha was significantly maximum (116.30 t) under de-handing after 8 hands +  $KH_2PO_4$  5% + urea 1% spray combination. It was followed by no dehanding +  $KH_2PO_4$  5% + urea 1% spray combinations (114.30 mt). The higher yield may be due to de handing because of higher fruit filling as result of lesser sink because of reduction in the number of hands in a bunch. These results are in conformity with Hasan et al. (2007)<sup>[4]</sup>, Vargas-Calvo (2014) Sarkar et al. (2015)<sup>[10]</sup>, while spraying of KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> and Urea resulted in the increase in the cell wall plasticity, cell division, cell differentiation and stimulation of photosynthetic activity due to increased CO<sub>2</sub> fixation and further increase in protein biosynthesis. These results are in conformity with the results reported by Hanumanthaiah et al. (2015) and Sarkar et al. (2015) <sup>[10]</sup>. Venkatarayppa et al. (1979) <sup>[12]</sup> Sateesh and Bangarusamy (2006)<sup>[11]</sup>. Similar types of results as

reported by Jullien *et al.* (2001) <sup>[6]</sup>, Rajni *et al.* (2017) <sup>[8]</sup> and Priya and Pandian (2019) in banana bunch improvement studies.the treatment de-handing after 8 hands recorded the net income of Rs.6, 03590/- with B: C ratio of 2.70 while the treatments spraying bunches with 0.5% KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> + 1% urea recorded maximum net income of Rs.5, 04166 with B: C ratio 2.42. The interaction treatment combination de-handing after 8 hands + spraying 0.5% KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> + 1% urea recorded the maximum net returns of Rs. 6, 34,383 with B: C ratio 2.79.

Table 1: Yield attributes as influenced by spraying of different chemicals and de-handing (Pooled mean of 3 years)

Treatment	<b>Finger Length</b>	<b>Finger Girth</b>	Finger	<b>Bunch Weight</b>	Yield	Gross Monetary	Net Income	B:C
	(cm)	(cm)	Weight (g)	(kg)	(MT/ha)	returns (Rs./ha)	Rs./ha	ratio
A) De-handing								
D <sub>1</sub> - No de-handing	21.34	12.37	152.05	25.95	115.32	7,05920	3,53920	2.17
D <sub>2</sub> - 10 hands	22.55	13.22	171.00	25.84	114.83	8,25075	4,72075	2.33
D <sub>3</sub> - 8 hands	23.70	13.58	188.72	26.36	117.14	956590	6,03590	2.70
S.E. <u>+</u>	0.07	0.03	1.92	0.26	1.16	-	-	-
CD at 5%	0.24	0.13	6.64	0.90	4.04	-	-	-
B) Chemical spraying								
S <sub>1</sub> -Water spray	22.03	12.81	164.06	24.85	110.42	6,75904	3,22756	1.91
S <sub>2</sub> -0.5% KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> + 1% Urea	22.98	13.26	175.22	26.76	118.92	8,58000	5,04166	2.42
S <sub>3</sub> -2% SOP	22.72	13.16	172.93	26.60	118.22	8,48400	4,94700	2.39
S <sub>4</sub> -1%19:19:19	22.39	12.99	170.16	25.98	115.49	8,30100	4,76569	2.34
S.E. <u>+</u>	0.04	0.08	1.08	0.23	1.03	-	-	-
CD at 5%	0.13	0.26	3.74	0.80	3.57	-	-	-
Interactions								
$D_1S_1$	20.52	12.05	144.0	24.34	108.18	6,61504	3,09504	1.87
$D_1S_2$	21.86	12.55	156.8	26.96	119.79	8,57250	5,04083	2.42
$D_1S_3$	21.57	12.52	155.5	26.79	119.08	8,5590	5,00867	2.41
$D_1S_4$	21.39	12.38	151.8	25.70	114.22	8,20575	4,68575	2.33
$D_2S_1$	22.17	12.90	165.2	24.53	109.04	7,81650	4,28169	2.21
$D_2S_2$	22.81	13.46	174.8	26.42	117.40	8,44500	4,90333	2.38
$D_2S_3$	22.57	13.41	173.2	26.51	117.81	8,47350	4,93317	2.39
$D_2S_4$	22.66	13.14	170.6	25.89	115.06	8,26875	4,73011	2.33
$D_3S_1$	23.41	13.50	182.8	25.66	114.06	9,28625	5,75377	2.62
$D_3S_2$	24.26	13.77	194.0	26.91	119.58	9,88550	6,34383	2.79
$D_3S_3$	24.01	13.57	190.0	26.49	117.75	9,54125	6,00092	2.69
$D_3S_4$	23.12	13.46	188.0	26.37	117.19	9,55145	6,01281	2.69
S.E. <u>+</u>	0.15	0.03	1.07	0.15	0.68	6,61504	-	-
CD at 5%	0.46	0.09	3.16	0.45	1.99	8,57250	-	-

### Conclusion

The treatment combination de-handing after  $8^{th}$  hands with spraying 0.5%  $KH_2PO_4$  + 1% urea gave the best results in

respect of yield traits, net income and higher B: C ratio after three series of trial.

### References

- 1. Anonymous. Area and production of Horticultural crops for 2018-19 National Horticulture Board. www.nhb.gov.in, 2018.
- 2. Fisher RR, Yates F. Statistical tables for biological, agricultural and medical research. Sixth edition, Oliver and Boyd, Tweedale Court, Edinberg, 1963, 747-77.
- Gamit S, Patil SJ, Prajapti D. Effect of post shooting foliar spray of fertilizes on quality parameters of banana (*Musa paradisiaca* L.) cv. Grand Naine. Int. J. Chem. Stud. 2017;5(4):959-960.
- Hasan MA, Chowdhury Ray R, Sarkar S, Mathew S. Effect of bunch -trimming on yield and quality in banana. J. Hort. Sci. 2007;2(2):159-161.
- Jeyakumar P, Ramesh Kumar A, Kumar N. Effect of Post shooting Spray of Potash (SOP) on Yield and Quality of Banana cv. Robusta (AAA- Cavendish). Res. J. Agric. Biol. Sci. 2010;4(6):655-659.
- Jullien A, Nathalie G, Munier J, Eric M, Marc C, Bertrand N. Effect of pulp cell number and assimilate availability on dry matter accumulation rate in a banana fruit Cv. Grand Naine. Annals of Botany. 2001;88(2):321-330.
- Lahav E, Turner DW. IPI-Bulletin No.7. *Int. Potash Inst.*, Bern, Switzerland, p. 33. Priya G and Pandian BJ (2019) Effect of post shooting foliar spray on yield attributes, yield and economics of tissue culture Nendran banana.journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2019;8(5)2146-2149.
- Rajni R, Gaikwad SS, Gotur Mutteppa, Joshi CJ, Chavda JK. 3Effect of post shooting bunch spray of chemicals on maturity and quality of banana (*Musa Paradisiaca* L.) cv. Grand Naine International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2017;5(4):1676-1679.
- Sandhya GC, Kulapathi Hipparagi, Sadanand K. Mushrif Ashwini Ganur, Sampath PM. Studies on Influence of Post Shooting Sprays of Nitrogen and Potassium on Quality Attributes of Banana cv. Grand Naine. Int. J Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2018;7(3):3368-3375.
- 10. Sarkar S. Dehanding in improving fruit quality of Banana. International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management. 2015;6(2):198-201.
- Sateesh KS, Bangarusamy. Effect of post shooting application of certain nutrients on fruit quality of banana cv. Nendran (ABB). South Indian Hort. 2006;40(4):94-95.
- 12. Venkatarayappa K, Narsimham B, Venkatesam C. Effect of potassium dihydrogen phosphate applied after shooting on the development and composition of banana fruits. Mysore J Agric. Sci. 1979;13:428-432.