



P-ISSN: 2349-8528

E-ISSN: 2321-4902

www.chemijournal.com

IJCS 2021; 9(4): 341-345

© 2021 IJCS

Received: 22-05-2021

Accepted: 26-06-2021

Patil SM

Department of AHDS,
Discipline: Animal Science,
University: Vasanttrao Naik
Marathwada Agriculture
University, Parbhani,
Maharashtra, India

Naik SD

Department of AHDS,
Discipline: Animal Science,
University: Vasanttrao Naik
Marathwada Agriculture
University, Parbhani,
Maharashtra, India

Londhe AS

Department AHDS, Discipline:
Dairy Science, University: Dr.
Balasaheb Sawant Konkan
Agriculture University, Dapoli,
Maharashtra, India

To study the effect of non-genetic factors on production and reproduction performance of Holdeo (Holstein Friesian × Deoni) straightbreds

Patil SM, Naik SD and Londhe AS

Abstract

The present study was undertaken to evaluate the effect of non genetic factors on production and reproduction performance in Holdeo (HF × Deoni). It involves investigations of productive and reproductive characteristics viz., Lactation milk yield, Peak milk yield, Days to reach peak milk yield, Lactation period, Dry period, Service period, Gestation period and Inter calving period. The study included 20 years data (1976-1995) on total number of animals 223 Holdeo straightbred cows. The overall least squares means were recorded for LMY (1491.58 ± 21.62 kg), PMY (7.12 ± 0.02 kg), DRPMY (35.82 ± 0.16 days), LP (288.58 ± 2.14 days), DP (141.24 ± 1.52 days), SP (156.96 ± 2.49 days), (273.77 \pm 0.06 days) and ICP (429.43 ± 2.44 days). The LMY, PMY, LP, DP, SP, GP and ICP in Holdeo cow was not significantly affected by period of calving, season of calving and lactation order and dry period. The DRPMY was significantly affected by lactation order and dry period ($P < 0.05$) in Holdeo straightbred cows. However, period of calving and season of calving had non-significant effect on DRPMY. Overall least squares means of DP in Holdeo cow was 141.24 ± 1.52 days. The effect of period of calving on GP was significant ($P < 0.01$), however, season of calving lactation order and dry period was non-significant effect on GP.

Keywords: Holdeo, productive and reproductive characteristics

Introduction

The decade-wise rate of growth in milk production in India (4.00 per cent) is substantially higher than the world average of 1.50 per cent. Despite of holding the number one position in milk production in the world for over a decade, the milk productivity in the country remains one of the lowest as compared to the many leading country of the world. Indian dairying is an emerging industry, so to make it profitable and sustainable we should have not only produce high producer animals but also develop an economic and profitable production system. Overall, economic return from individual animals depends upon various productive and reproductive performance besides milk production. First dry period is one of the important factor contributing to economic return and mostly control by management practices (Magazine, Yojana oct 2015) [26]. Holstein Friesian is the exotic elite milch purpose breed. It was originated in two Northern most provinces of Netherlands i.e. Western Friesland and North-Holland. Later on it has spread almost all over the European countries as well as over the world. The main stay of dairy industries in countries like U.S.A., Australia, New Zealand is the Friesian breed. With the introduction of exotic inheritance in indigenous cattle, improved attributes like high milk yield, age at first calving, early sexual maturity, efficient feed conversion efficiency and higher butter fat percentage has been observed. Vasanttrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani (MS), has taken a project for improvement of Deoni cattle breed by crossbreeding with elite exotic breed, named as Holstein Friesian. The effect of variation in dry period on subsequent lactation production and reproduction, for modern day dairy cattle is largely unknown and warrants re-evaluation. Therefore, this investigation was planned to study "Effect of Dry Period on the Subsequent Productive and Reproductive Performance in Holdeo (Holstein Friesian x Deoni) Straightbreds".

Materials and Methods

Earlier the CCBP use to maintain Friesian x Deoni cattle. The data accumulated on production characters of Holdeo straightbred was considered in the present study. The information on characters namely lactation milk yield (LMY), Peak milk Yield (PMY), Days to reach peak

Corresponding Author:**Patil SM**

Department of AHDS,
Discipline: Animal Science,
University: Vasanttrao Naik
Marathwada Agriculture
University, Parbhani,
Maharashtra, India

milk yield (DRPMY), Lactation period (LP), Dry period (DP), Service period (SP), Gestation period (GP) and Intercalving period (ICP) were taken from the pedigree sheets, daily milk yield record and breeding records maintained at the farm. In all records of Holdeo straightbred dams with lactations over a period of 20 years (1976 to 1995) were included in the study. The data were statistically analysed for linear model. Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) was employed to test and locate means that significantly differed from each other (Kramer, 1957) [39].

$$y_{ijkl} = \mu + S_i + P_j + e_{ijkl}$$

y_{ijkl} - Observation for i^{th} season and j^{th} period of calving

μ - The overall mean

S_i - The effect of i^{th} season of calving

P_j - The effect of j^{th} period of calving

e_{ijkl} - Random error associated with NID (δ^2e)

Results and Discussion

Lactation milk yield

The least squares means of LMY and analysis of variance showing effect of period of calving, season of calving, lactation order and dry period on lactation milk yield in Holdeo straightbred cattle are presented in Table 1 and 2. The effect of period of calving on LMY was non-significant in Holdeo straightbred cattle (Table 8). The mean LMY (Kg) was higher in period P_3 (1552.02 \pm 33.87) followed by P_1 (1546.67 \pm 54.61), P_2 (1467.16 \pm 36.21) and lowest in P_4 (1400.46 \pm 38.85). The results were supported with the findings of Taneja and Sikka (1981) [60] in Red Sindhi, in HF x Deoni halfbred, in Holstein Friesian x Deoni and in Holstein Friesian x Deoni crossbred cattle. The variation due to season of calving in LMY of Holdeo straightbred cattle was non-significant (Table 8). The LSM of LMY (Kg) was higher in cows calved during season S_1 (1565.72 \pm 32.35) followed by S_2 (1473.24 \pm 32.90) and lowest in S_3 (1435.78 \pm 37.98). Similar results reported by Sing and Dave (1989) in Friesian x Tharparkar, in Holstein Friesian x Deoni crossbred and Bhutkar *et al.* (2015) [9] in Holstein Friesian x Deoni.

Table 1: Lactation milk yield (kg) in Holdeo Straightbreds

Source	Code	N	LSM \pm SE
Mean	μ	860	1491.58 \pm 21.62
Period of calving	P_1	99	1546.67 \pm 54.61
	P_2	276	1467.16 \pm 36.21
	P_3	280	1552.02 \pm 33.87
	P_4	205	1400.46 \pm 38.85
Season of calving	S_1	335	1565.72 \pm 32.35
	S_2	308	1473.24 \pm 32.90
	S_3	217	1435.78 \pm 37.98

Table 2: Analysis of variance for LMY in Holdeo Straightbreds

Sources	DF	SS	MSS	F value calculated
Period of calving	3	3.09	1.03	0.35 ^{NS}
Season of calving	2	2.44	1.22	0.41 ^{NS}

Peak Milk Yield (PMY)

The least squares means of PMY and analysis of variance showing effect of period of calving, season of calving, lactation order and dry period on peak milk yield in Holdeo straightbred cattle are presented in table 3 and 4. The effect due to season of calving on PMY was non-significant (Table 3). This revealed that the season of calving not very influencing the variation on PMY in Holdeo straightbred

cows (Table 9). The LSM for PMY (Kg) was higher in S_3 (7.19 \pm 0.04) followed by S_1 (7.11 \pm 0.04) and lowest in S_2 (7.07 \pm 0.04). These result support that in Jersey x Sahiwal crossbreds, Kumar *et al.* (2014) [41] and Bhutkar *et al.* (2015) [9] in Holstein Friesian x Deoni.

Table 3: Peak Milk Yield (PMY) in Holdeo Straightbreds

Sources	Code	N	LSM \pm SE
Mean	μ	860	7.12 \pm 0.02
Period of calving	P_1	99	7.13 \pm 0.06
	P_2	276	6.96 \pm 0.04
	P_3	280	7.11 \pm 0.04
	P_4	205	7.30 \pm 0.04
Season of calving	S_1	335	7.11 \pm 0.04
	S_2	308	7.07 \pm 0.04
	S_3	217	7.19 \pm 0.04

Table 4: Analysis of variance for PMY in Holdeo Straightbreds

Sources	DF	SS	MSS	F value calculated
Period of calving	3	11.82	3.94	1.08 ^{NS}
Season of calving	2	15.74	7.87	2.16 ^{NS}

Days to reach peak milk yield (DRPMY)

The least squares means of DRPMY and analysis of variance showing effect of period of calving, season of calving, lactation order and dry period on days to reach peak milk yield in Holdeo straightbred cattle are presented in Table 5 and 6. The analysis of variance revealed non-significant effect of period of calving on DRPMY in Holdeo straightbred cattle (Table 6). Similar results reported by Kakde *et al.* (1980) [37] in Deoni cattle and in Holstein Friesian x Deoni interse crossbred cattle and Anarase (2011) [2] in Holstein Friesian x Deoni crossbred cattle. The effect due to season of calving on DRPMY was non-significant (Table 6). The LSM for DRPMY (Days) was same in cows calved during S_1 (35.95 \pm 0.24) and S_3 (35.81 \pm 0.28) and lowest in S_2 (35.71 \pm 0.24). This has indicated that irrespective of any season of calving the cows for their DRPMY remains unchanged. These results were in agreement with Anarase (2011) [2] in Holstein Friesian x Deoni crossbred cattle and Rafiullah (2014) [41] in Holstein Friesian x Deoni crossbred cattle.

Table 5: Days to reach peak milk yield in Holdeo Straightbreds

Sources	Code	N	LSM \pm SE
Mean	μ	860	35.82 \pm 0.16
Period of calving	P_1	99	35.87 \pm 0.40
	P_2	276	35.94 \pm 0.27
	P_3	280	35.85 \pm 0.25
	P_4	205	35.64 \pm 0.29
Season of calving	S_1	335	35.95 \pm 0.24
	S_2	308	35.71 \pm 0.24
	S_3	217	35.81 \pm 0.28

Table 6: Analysis of variance for DRPMY in Holdeo Straightbreds

Sources	DF	SS	MSS	F value calculated
Period of calving	3	10.26	3.42	2.16 ^{NS}
Season of calving	2	9.00	4.50	2.85 ^{NS}

Lactation Period (LP)

The least squares means of lactation period and analysis of variance showing effect of period of calving, season of calving, lactation order and dry period on lactation period in Holdeo straightbreds and presented in Table 7 and 8. The analysis of variance indicated that effect due to period of calving on LP was non-significant (Table 7). The LSM for LP

was higher in cows calved in P₃ (294.49 ± 3.36) followed by P₁ (293.99 ± 5.41), P₂ (288.80 ± 3.59) and lowest in P₄ (277.06 ± 3.85).

Similar results were reported by Auradhkar and Sakhare (1984)^[3], in Sahiwal and in Deoni cattle and Kumar *et al.* (2014)^[41] in HF crossbred cattle. The effect due to season of calving on LP was non-significant (Table 7). The LSM of LP was higher in cows calved during S₁ (295.91 ± 3.21) followed by S₂ (291.10 ± 3.26) and lowest in S₃ (278.73 ± 3.76). These results were in agreement with, Joshi *et al.* (2005) in Deoni and Ongole cattle, Anarase (2011)^[2] in Holstein Friesian x Deoni crossbred cattle, in HF x Deoni and Genzebu *et al.* (2016)^[25] in crossbred cattle.

Table 7: Lactation period in Holdeo Straightbreds

Sources	Code	N	LSM ± SE
Mean	μ	860	288.58 ± 2.14
Period of calving	P ₁	99	293.99 ± 5.41
	P ₂	276	288.80 ± 3.59
	P ₃	280	294.49 ± 3.36
	P ₄	205	277.06 ± 3.85
Season of calving	S ₁	335	295.91 ± 3.21
	S ₂	308	291.10 ± 3.26
	S ₃	217	278.73 ± 3.76

Table 8: Analysis of variance for LP in Holdeo Straightbreds

Sources	DF	SS	MSS	F value calculated
Period of calving	3	3.87	1.29	0.44 ^{NS}
Season of calving	2	3.86	1.93	0.67 ^{NS}

Dry Period (DP)

The least squares means of DP and analysis of variance showing effects of season of calving, period of calving, lactation order and dry period on dry period in Holdeo cows are presented in Table 9 and 10. The effect of period of calving on DP was non-significant in Holdeo cows (Table 9). The mean DP (Days) was higher in period P₃ (144.92 ± 2.38) followed by P₂ (143.14 ± 2.55), P₄ (140.53 ± 2.73) lowest in P₁ (136.35 ± 3.84). These results were supported with the findings of Jadhav *et al.* (1991)^[35] in Friesian x Deoni and in HF cows and Zadeh and in Holstein cows.

The variation due to season of calving in DP was non-significant (Table 16). The LSM of DP (Days) was higher in cows calved during S₃ (143.70 ± 2.67) followed by S₂ (140.00 ± 2.32) and lowest in S₁ (140.00 ± 2.78). More or less similar results were reported by Singh *et al.* Friesian x Sahiwal crossbreds, Siddiqui (1984) in Friesian x Sahiwal halfbred and in Jersey x Deoni, Friesian x Deoni cattle, in HF cows and Zadeh and in Holstein cows.

Table 9: Dry period in Holdeo Straightbreds

Sources	Code	N	LSM ± SE
Mean	μ	860	141.24 ± 1.52
Period of calving	P ₁	99	136.35 ± 3.84
	P ₂	276	143.14 ± 2.55
	P ₃	280	144.92 ± 2.38
	P ₄	205	140.53 ± 2.73
Season of calving	S ₁	335	140.00 ± 2.78
	S ₂	308	140.00 ± 2.32
	S ₃	217	143.70 ± 2.67

Table 10: Analysis of variance for DP in Holdeo Straightbreds

Sources	DF	SS	MSS	F value calculated
Period of calving	3	6.09	2.03	1.4 ^{NS}
Season of calving	2	2.18	1.09	0.75 ^{NS}

Service Period (SP)

The least squares means of SP and analysis of variance showing effects of period of calving, season of calving, lactation order and dry period on service period in Holdeo cows are presented in Table 11 and 12. The effect of period of calving on SP was non-significant in Holdeo cows (Table 18). The DMRT revealed that the SP of Holdeo cows calved during P₃ (166.29 ± 3.91) was higher than cows calved in P₁ (161.13 ± 6.30), P₂ (158.36 ± 4.18), P₄ (142.07 ± 4.48). The results are supported with the findings of in F x D halfbred, Sharma *et al.* (1988)^[52] in Friesian x Ongole, Chaudhari *et al.* (2013)^[13], in Holstein Friesian x Deoni. The variation due to season of calving on SP in Holdeo cows was non-significant (Table 11). The LSM of SP was higher in cows calved during season S₁ (162.98 ± 3.73) followed by S₂ (160.15 ± 3.80), S₃ (147.75 ± 4.38). More or less similar results were reported by Singh and Gurnani (2004) in Karan Fries and Karan Swiss, Chewale (2008) in HF X Deoni interse crossbred, in Friesian x Sahiwal cattle and in Holstein Friesian x Deoni cows.

Table 11: Service period in Holdeo Straightbreds

Sources	Code	N	LSM ± SE
Mean	μ	860	156.96 ± 2.49
Period of calving	P ₁	99	161.13 ± 6.30
	P ₂	276	158.36 ± 4.18
	P ₃	280	166.29 ± 3.91
	P ₄	205	142.07 ± 4.48
Season of calving	S ₁	335	162.98 ± 3.73
	S ₂	308	160.15 ± 3.80
	S ₃	217	147.75 ± 4.38

Table 12: Analysis of variance for SP in Holdeo Straightbreds

Sources	DF	SS	MSS	F value calculated
Period of calving	3	6.96	2.32	0.60 ^{NS}
Season of calving	2	3.18	1.59	0.41 ^{NS}

Gestation Period (GP)

The least squares means of GP and analysis of variance showing effects of period of calving, season of calving, lactation order and dry period on gestation period in Holdeo cow are presented in Table 13 and 14. The effect of period of calving on GP was non-significant ($P < 0.05$) in Holdeo cows (Table 20). The DMRT revealed that the GP of Holdeo cows calved during period P₄ (276.31 ± 0.10) was significantly higher than P₃ (273.58 ± 0.09), P₁ (272.92 ± 0.15) and P₂ (272.27 ± 0.10). The results are supported with the findings of Hassan (1995)^[31] indogenous, Jersey cross, Sindhi cross, Sahiwal cross and in HF x Sahiwal crossbred cattle, Diack *et al.* (2004)^[19], Holstein cross and in Holstein Friesian x Deoni cows. The variation due to season of calving on GP in Holdeo cow was non-significant (Table 13). The LSM of GP (Days) was higher in cows calved during S₂ (273.90 ± 0.08) followed by S₁ (273.77 ± 0.08), S₃ (273.64 ± 0.10). The results revealed that seasonal changes did not influence on the variation on GP in Holdeo cow. More or less similar results were reported by in Jersey cross, Sahiwal cross and Sindhi crossbreds and in Holstein Friesian x Deoni cows.

Table 13: Gestation period in Holdeo Straightbreds

Sources	Code	N	LSM ± SE
Mean	μ	860	273.77 ± 0.06
Period of calving	P ₁	99	272.92 ± 0.15
	P ₂	276	272.27 ± 0.10
	P ₃	280	273.58 ± 0.09
	P ₄	205	276.31 ± 0.10
Season of calving	S ₁	335	273.77 ± 0.08
	S ₂	308	273.90 ± 0.08
	S ₃	217	273.64 ± 0.10

Table 14: Analysis of variance for GP in Holdeo Straightbreds

Sources	DF	SS	MSS	F value calculated
Period of calving	3	18.42	6.14	2.94*
Season of calving	2	8.26	4.13	1.98 ^{NS}

Inter Calving Period (ICP)

The least squares means of ICP and analysis of variance showing effects of period of calving, season of calving and lactation order and dry period on gestation period in Holdeo cows are presented in Table 15 and 16. The effect of period of calving on ICP was non-significant in Holdeo cow (Table 15). The mean ICP (Days) was higher in period P₃ (436.73 ± 3.81) followed by P₁ (433.77 ± 6.15), P₂ (429.75 ± 4.07) and lowest in P₄ (417.46 ± 4.38). The results are supported with the findings of in Friesian x Jersey x Gir, Jersey x Friesian x Gir and Brown Swiss x Friesian x Gir crossbred, in Holstein Friesian x Deoni cows. The variation due to season of calving on ICP in Holdeo cows was non-significant (Table 22). The LSM of ICP (Days) was higher in cows calved during S₁ (437.75 ± 3.64) followed by S₂ (431.68 ± 3.70) and lowest in S₃ (418.85 ± 4.28). The results revealed that Holdeo crossbred genotype possess shorter ICP and well adopted to the season of tract as such there will not be significant deviation in the expression of character. Results of in Holstein Friesian x Deoni halfbred, Dubey and Singh (2005)^[20] in Sahiwal x Holstein Friesian, Sahiwal x Jersey and Sahiwal x Rathi x Holstein Friesian, and in Holstein Friesian x Deoni cows supportive to present trends on ICP.

Table 15: Inter calving period in Holdeo Straightbreds

Sources	Code	N	LSM ± SE
Mean	μ	860	429.43 ± 2.44
Period of calving	P ₁	99	433.77 ± 6.15
	P ₂	276	429.75 ± 4.07
	P ₃	280	436.73 ± 3.81
	P ₄	205	417.46 ± 4.38
Season of calving	S ₁	335	437.75 ± 3.64
	S ₂	308	431.68 ± 3.70
	S ₃	217	418.85 ± 4.28

Table 16: Analysis of variance for inter ICP in Holdeo Straightbreds

Sources	DF	SS	MSS	F value calculated
Period of calving	3	4.5	1.50	0.40 ^{NS}
Season of calving	2	4.62	2.31	0.62 ^{NS}

References

- Adachi N, Kusuvara TK, Nonaka I, Terada F. Effect of Close-up Dry Protein Level and Pre-parturient Nitrogen Balance and Lactating Performance of Primigravid and Multiparous Holstein cows. *Asian Aust. J Anim. Sci* 2006;19(6):831-836.
- Anarase KV. Peak Yield and its Relationship with Persistency and Lactation Production in Holdeo (HF X Deoni) Crossbred Cattle. M.Sc (Agri) Thesis Submitted to Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani (MS) 2011.
- Auradkar SK, Sakhare PG. Agresco Report Submitted to Marathwada Agricultural Karanjkar LM, Kullkarni AN, Rotte SG. Production and Reproduction Performance of Friesian x Deoni Halfbreds. Annual Report of Research Work in Animal Sciences, Marathwada Agricultural University, Parbhani (MS). University, Parbhani (MS), India 1984-1987.
- Badari TM, Atta M, Mohmad T, Ibrahim MT, Gulbartalla KA. Studied on Butana Dairy Cows in Sudan. Res. Opinions in Anim. And Vet. Sci 2011;1(7):429-433.
- Basu SB, Bhatnagar DS, Taneja VK. Estimates of Genetic Parameters of First Lactation Performance in Tharparkar Cattle. *Indian J Anim. Sci* 1982;52(5):279-283.
- Bhatnagar DS, Taneja VK, Basu SB, Rao VP. Effects of Genetic and Non Genetic Factors on Reproductive and Productive Traits in Sahiwal and Crossbreds. *Indian J Dairy. Sci* 1986;32(4):491-495.
- Bhoite UY, Bhoite SU, Ulmek BR. Genetic and Non Genetic Factors Influencing Milk Production Traits in Triple Cross Interbred Cows. *Indian Vet. J* 1999;76(9):810-813.
- Bhuktare JM. Factors Affecting Productive Performance in Holstein Friesian x Sahiwal Crossbred Cows. M. V. Sc. Thesis Submitted to Marathwada Agricultural University, Parbhani (MS) 1998.
- Bhutkar SS, Thombre BM, Bainwad DV. Production Traits in Holstein Friesian X Deoni Crossbred cow. *Bioinfolet* 2015;12(1C):265-271.
- Blottner S, Heins BJ, Hansen LB, Swalve HH. Brown Swiss x Holstein Crossbreds Compared with Pure Holstein for Calving Traits, Body Weight, Fertility and Body Measurements. *J Dairy. Sci* 2011;94(2):1058-1068.
- Chakravarti MK, Sasidhar PVK, Reddy YR. Productive and Reproductive Performance of Deoni Cattle. *Indian J Dairy. Sci* 2002;55(1):56-59.
- Chewale SS. Study on Reproductive Performance of Holstein Friesian x Deoni Intere Crossbred. M.Sc (Agri) Thesis Submitted to MAU, Parbhani (MS) 2008.
- Choudhari M, Kumar R, Khanna AS, Dalal DS, Jakhar A. Genetic Studies on Reproduction Traits in Crossbred Cattle. *Haryana Vet* 2013;52:75-78.
- Corbet NJ, Miller RG, Fraser PF, Loyd AG, Burrow HM. Sire Breed Effects on Gestation Period of Calves in the Subtropics. *Processed Assoc. Advmt. Anim. Breed. Gunet* 1997;12(3):300-304.
- D'Souza Casiein, Bhat PN, Mukundan G. Studies on Growth, Reproduction and Production Traits in Gir and its Halfbred with Friesian. *Indian J Dairy. Sci* 1978;31(4):395-397.
- Dalal DS, Arora KC, Rathi SS. Evaluation of Performance Traits in Halfbred Cattle. *Indian J Dairy. Sci* 1991;44(7):401-405.
- Dhanger MR, Patel JM. Factors Affecting First Lactation Milk Yield in Intere Mated Jersey x Kankrej Cows. *Indian J Anim. Prod. Mgmt* 1991;7(3):151-154.
- Diack A, Sanyan FB, Munstermann S. Lactation Performance on Station of F₁ Crossbred Cattle in the Gambia. *Livestock Res. Rural. Dev* 2005;17(12):310-327.
- Diack A, Sanyan FB, Corr N. Survival, Growth and Reproductive Performance in F₁ Crossbred Cattle Produced and Managed on Station in the Gambia. *Livestock Res. Rural. Develop* 2004;16(9):1420-1429.
- Dubey PP, Singh CV. Estimates of Genetic and Phenotypic Parameters Considering First Lactation and Lifetime Performance Traits in Sahiwal and Crossbred Cattle. *Indian J Anim. Sci* 2005;75(11):1289-1294.
- Dutta T, Bhushan B. Peak Yield and its Association with Production and Reproduction Traits in Crossbreds. *Indian J. Anim. Sci* 2001;71(9):972-973.
- Eid II, Eilshekh MO, Yousif IA. Estimation of Genetic and Non-Genetic Parameters of Friesian Cattle under Hot Climate. *J Agril* 2012;4(4):95-103.
- Elemam MB, Nekheila AMA. Some Productive Traits of Crossbred Dairy Cows in the Farm of University of Khartoum, Sudan. *Emir. J Food Agri* 2012;24(2):155-158.
- Gadmada SS. Studies on Factors Affecting Performance of Jersey x Tharparkar Crossbred Cows. M. V. Sc. Thesis

- Submitted to Marathwada Agricultural University, Parbhani (MS) 1999.
25. Genzebu D, Tamir B, Berhance G. Study Reproductive and Productive performance of Crossbred Dairy Cattle Under Smallholders Management System in Bishoftu and Akaki Towns. *Int. J Adv. Res. Biol. Sci* 2016;3(2):118-123.
 26. Govt Magazine, Yojana 2015, 27-29.
 27. Gupta AK, Tripathi VN. Effect of Parity, Season and Period on Red Sindhi Cattle. *Indian J Dairy Sci* 1994;47(11):976-978.
 28. Hadge MR, Kuralkar SV, Ali SZ, Kharkar KP, Sawaimul AD. Genetic Studies on Production Traits of Sahiwal and Sahiwal x Jersey Crossbred Cows. *Indian J Anim. Res* 2012;46(1):92-94.
 29. Harvey WR. Least Squares Analysis of Data with Unequal Sub Class Numbers. Agricultural Research Service, United States, Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C 1990.
 30. Hashmi R, Thokal MR, Wankhede B, Udhar SA. Effect of Season of Calving on First Production Performance in Crossbred Cows. *Indian J Anim. Res* 2007;41(4):290-292.
 31. Hassan DA. Distribution Pattern and Some Economic Dairy Characters of Local and Crossbred Cows in Mymensingh Sarder M.Sc. *Thesis* Submitted to Bangladesh Agriculture University, Mymensingh 1995.
 32. Hassan F, Khan MS. Performance of Crossbred Dairy Cattle at Military Dairy Farms in Pakistan. *The J Anim. and Plant Sci* 2013;23(3):705-714.
 33. Hussain J, Roychoudhary R, Das GC, Mili DC, Goswami RN. Reproductive Performance of Dairy Cows Under Field Condition of Assam State. *Indian J Anim. Res* 2012;46(2):180-183.
 34. Jadhav KL, Tripathi VN, Taneja VK, Kale MM. Performance of Crossbred Cows for Production and Reproduction Traits in Different Production Level Groups. *Indian J Dairy Sci.* 1992;45(2):620-624.
 35. Jadhav KL, Tripathi VN, Taneja VK, Kale MM. Performance of Various Holstein Friesian x Sahiwal Grades for Lactation, Reproduction and Production Traits. *Indian J Dairy Sci* 1991;44(4):209-216.
 36. Joshi BK, Singh A, Mukherjee S. Genetic Improvement of Indigenous Cattle for Milk and Drought: A Review. *Indian J Anim Sci.* 2005;75(3):335-348.
 37. Kakde PV, Rotte SG, Bonde HS, Deshpande KS. Peak Yield and Days to Attain Peak Yield in Deoni Cows. *Indian Vet. J* 1980;57:816-820.
 38. Kakran PK, Joshi BK. Factors Affecting First Lactation Production and Reproduction Traits of Karan Swiss Cattle. *Indian J Anim. Sci* 1990;60(2):223-227.
 39. Kramer CY. Extension of Multiple Range Test to Group Correlated Adjusted Mean. *Biometrics* 1957;13:13-18.
 40. Kumar A, Singh H, Kumar D, Singh M, Singh RV. Studies on Lactation Curve in Holstein Friesian x Sahiwal Crossbred Cows. *Indian Vet. J* 1995;72(4):356-358.
 41. Kumar N, Abadi Y, Gebrekidan B, Tegegne DT. Production Performance of Dairy cows under Farmer's Management in and Around Mekelle, Ethiopia. *Global Vet* 2014;12(2):207-212.
 42. Lakshmi BS, Gupta BR, Sudhakar K, Prakash MG, Sharma CS. Genetic Analysis of Production Performance of Holstein Friesian X Sahiwal Cows. *Tamil Nadu J Vet. Anim. Sci* 2009;5(1):143-148.
 43. Lohiya ML. Optimisation of Production and Reproduction Traits in Deoni Cattle at ACDF Parbhani. M.Sc (Agri) *Thesis* Submitted to MAU, Parbhani 1980.
 44. Maizi OF, Hossain Md E, Hassan MM. Productive and Reproductive Performance of Crossbred and Indigenous Dairy Cows Under Rural Conditions in Comilla. *Bangladesh Univ. J* 2007;26(5):67-70.
 45. Mathur AK, Khosla SK. Gir Cows in their Breeding Tract. *Indian J Anim. Sci* 1994;64(11):1207-1218.
 46. Nivsarkar AE, Pandey HS, Pandey HN, Saxsena MM. Factors Affecting Reproductive Performance of Triplebred Interse Cross Cows. *Indian J Anim. Prod. Mgmt* 1988;4(1):40-43.
 47. Pandey HN, Garg RC, Kaushik SN. First Lactation Milk Yield, Lactation Length and Dry Period in Haryana x Exotic Crosses. *Indian J Dairy. Sci* 1983;53(3):307-309.
 48. Patel JM, Patel AM, Dave AD. First Lactation Trend in Jersey x Kankrej and Holstein Friesian x Kankrej F₁ Crossbreds. *Indian J Anim. Sci* 1987;58(8):886-888.
 49. Patel JM, Patel AM, Dave AD. First Lactation Trend in Jersey x Kankrej and Holstein x Kankrej F₁ Crossbreds. *Indian J Anim. Sci.* 1989;59(1):162-164.
 50. Rokonzaman M, Hassan MR, Islam S, Sultan S. Productive and Reproductive Performance of Crossbred and Indigenous Dairy Cows Under Smallholder Farming System. *J Bangladesh Agril.Univ.* 2009;7(1):69-72.
 51. Saut N, Rashid MM, Hossain SMJ. A Comparative Study on Productive and Reproductive Performance of Different Crossbred and Indigenous Dairy Cows Under Small Scale Dairy Farm Condition. *J Live. Res* 2007;2:53-62.
 52. Sharma GP, Krishnaiah TKVV, Rao A. Studies on Reproductive Traits of Ongole Crossbreds. *Indian J Dairy. Sci* 1988;41:202-203.
 53. Sharma KC, Singh PK. A Study on the Environmental Factors Affecting the Performance of Friesian x Sahiwal Crossbreds. *Indian J Anim. Res* 1999;33(1):1-6.
 54. Shehu DM, Onioo O, Adeyinka IA, Omage JJ. Genetic and Environmental Factors that Affect Gestation Period in Friesian x Bhunji Crossbred Cattle. *Journal Anim. Sci* 2012;61(4):1012-1021.
 55. Siddiqui MF. Inheritance of Different Segments of Lactation and its Genetic and Phenotypic Association with Some Factors in Friesian x Sahiwal Half Breds and ³/₄th Crossbreds. Ph. D *Thesis* Submitted to MAU, Parbhani (MS) 1984.
 56. Singh B, Bhat PN. Factors Affecting Reproduction and Production Traits in Sahiwal x Friesian Crossbreds. *Indian J Anim. Prod. Mgmt* 1986;2(4):158-162.
 57. Sultana N, Rashid MM, Hossain SMJ. A Comparative Study on Productive and Reproductive Performance of Different Crossbred and Indigenous Dairy Cows under Small Scale Dairy Conditions. *Pakistan J Bio Sci* 2001;4(8):1036-1037.
 58. Tagger RK, Das AK, Kumar D, Mahajan V. Genetic Studies on Performane Traits of Jersey Crossbred Cows in sub – temperate region. *Indian J Anim. Sci* 2014;84(74):771-774.
 59. Tajane KR, Rai AV. Relative Importance of Various Factors Affecting Milk Production Traits in Holstein Friesian x Sahiwal Crossbreds. *Indian J Dairy Sci* 1989;42:87-89.
 60. Taneja UK, Sikka AK. Factors Affecting Some Economic Traits in Indian Dairy Breeds. *Indian J Dairy. Sci* 1981;34(3):374-379.