

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 www.chemijournal.com IJCS 2021; 9(2): 618-621 © 2021 IJCS Received: 14-12-2020 Accepted: 20-02-2021

Abdul Wasi Amiri

Department of Fruit Science, University of Horticultural Sciences, Udyanagiri, Bagalkote, Karnataka, India

Ashok S Alur

Department of Fruit Science, University of Horticultural Sciences, Udyanagiri, Bagalkote, Karnataka, India

Mahabaleshwar Hegde

Department of Fruit Science, University of Horticultural Sciences, Udyanagiri, Bagalkote, Karnataka, India

GSK Swamy

Department of Fruit Science, University of Horticultural Sciences, Udyanagiri, Bagalkote, Karnataka, India

DR Patil

Department of Fruit Science, University of Horticultural Sciences, Udyanagiri, Bagalkote, Karnataka, India

Kulapati H

Department of Fruit Science, University of Horticultural Sciences, Udyanagiri, Bagalkote, Karnataka, India

Gangadhar Narabenchi

Department of Fruit Science, University of Horticultural Sciences, Udyanagiri, Bagalkote, Karnataka, India

KS Shankarappa

Department of Fruit Science, University of Horticultural Sciences, Udyanagiri, Bagalkote, Karnataka, India

G Basavaraj

Department of Fruit Science, University of Horticultural Sciences, Udyanagiri, Bagalkote, Karnataka, India

Corresponding Author:

Abdul Wasi Amiri Department of Fruit Science, University of Horticultural Sciences, Udyanagiri, Bagalkote, Karnataka, India

Effect of plant density and placement of emitters on yield, post-harvest and quality parameters in Banana *cv*. Grand Naine

Abdul Wasi Amiri, Ashok S Alur, Mahabaleshwar Hegde, GSK Swamy, DR Patil, Kulapati H, Gangadhar Narabenchi, KS Shankarappa and G Basavaraj

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22271/chemi.2021.v9.i2i.11886

Abstract

An experiment was conducted to study the effect of varied plant densities and different placement of emitters on yield, post harvest and quality parameters in banana *cv*. Grand Naine. The experiment was laid out in factorial RCBD design with three varied plant densities S_1 (1.5 x 1.5 m), S_2 (1.8 x 1.8 m) and S_3 (2 x 2 m) and four different placement of emitters E_1 (placement of emitters at plain), E_2 (placement of emitters at 40 cm), E_3 (placement of emitters at 50 cm) and E_4 (placement of emitters at 60 cm) with three replications. The results of the experiment clearly indicated that the highest yield (79.37, 86.48 tons/ha) was registered in treatment (S_1 , E_2 respectively) and the lowest yield (51.35, 54.33 tons/ha) was observed in treatment (S_3 , E_1 respectively) in plant crop. Postharvest parameters like pulp weight (82.15 g, 104.53 g), pulp to peel ratio (1.83, 2.50) and shelf life (8.19, 8.52 days) was registered highest and peel weight was found lowest (46.00 g, 42.04 g) in treatment (S_3 , E_2 respectively) in plant crop. The highest quality parameters *viz.*, total sugar (19.56, 19.54 %), reducing sugar (16.96, 16.59 %), non reducing sugar (2.70, 2.75 %) and total soluble solid (23.11, 23.37 ⁰Brix) was recorded highest in treatment (S_3 , E_2 respectively) in plant crop. The similar trend of post harvest and quality parameters was found in ratoon crop also. However the lowest postharvest and quality parameter was observed in treatment (S_1 , E_1) in plant and ratoon crop respectively.

Keywords: Plant density, placement of emitters, plain (beside the plant), *cv*. grand Naine, post harvest and quality parameters, plant and ratoon crop

Introduction

Banana (Musa spp) has emerged as the major cash – subsistence crop across the world and it is grown in almost all parts of the world especially in the tropical regions. In the world of fruits, banana is a complete food fruit packed with all the necessary energy and health giving elements (Anon, 1969)^[3]. On account of these properties combined with delicious taste and flavor, it is in great demand in fresh as well as processed form all over the world and has gained commercial popularity in the international fruit trade (Thomas et al. 1968)^[18]. Banana is botanically a herb, where training and pruning response is not applicable. Hence, alternative technologies to improve the productivity of banana are main concerns of present researchers. High density planting (HDP) as an intensive system of cultivation in banana not only provides high production and net returns but also facilitates efficient utilization of solar energy, nutrients and water (Apshara and Sathiamoorthy, 2003)^[4]. A closer spacing can be adopted under good management conditions using micro irrigation and fertigation techniques. Drip irrigation in banana plantations has helped in saving water and offers a great promise, owing to precise and direct application of water in the root zone of plants (Shashidhara et al. 2007) [17]. In addition, due to higher frequency of irrigation, ensuring availability of moisture at critical crop growth stages saves the plants from moisture stress throughout the growing period (Dahiwalkar et al. 2004)^[8]. The other issue related to drip irrigation is its economic viability and the farmers are often reluctant to adopt this method due to their weak resource base.

Material and Methods

The present study was conducted during 2018-19 and 2019-20 at Horticultural Research Station, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bengaluru. The main objective of the study was to identify the optimum yield, post harvest and quality parameters under varied plant densities and different placement of emitters in banana cv. Grand Naine. The investigation was carried out by planting tissue cultured banana plants at 3 varied plant densities viz, S₁ (1.5 x 1.5 m), S_2 (1.8 x 1.8 m) and S_3 (2.0 x 2.0 m) and 4 different placement of emitters E_1 (Placement of emitters at plain), E_2 (Placement of emitters at 40 cm), E₃ (Placement of emitters at 50 cm) and E_4 (Placement of emitters at 60 cm) with 3 replications. The treatments were imposed in the month of September 2018. Fertilizer application schedule followed with a dose of 200:100:300 g NPK (Urea, P2O5 and K2O) per plant as per the package of practice of UAS, Bengaluru recommended for tissue cultured banana (Anon, 2017)^[3]. A drip irrigation system was installed at the experimental site with different placement of emitters. The emitters' water discharge rate was 4 liters per hour. The treatment details are furnished as below.

 $T_1 \; (S_1 E_1) \; 1.5 \; x1.5 \; m$ + Emitters Placement at 0 cm (plain) + 100% RDF

 $\begin{array}{l} T_2\,(S_1E_2)\ 1.5\ x1.5\ m+Emitters\ Placement\ at\ 40\ cm+100\%\ RDF\\ T_3\,(S_1E_3)\ 1.5\ x\ 1.5\ m+Emitters\ Placement\ at\ 50\ cm+100\%\ RDF\\ T_4\,(S_1E_4)\ 1.5\ x\ 1.5\ m+Emitters\ Placement\ at\ 60\ cm+100\%\ RDF\\ T_5\,(S_2E_1)\ 1.8\ x1.8\ m+Emitters\ Placement\ at\ 40\ cm+100\%\ RDF\\ T_6\,(S_2E_2)\ 1.8\ x1.8\ m+Emitters\ Placement\ at\ 40\ cm+100\%\ RDF\\ T_7\,(S_2E_3)\ 1.8\ x1.8\ m+Emitters\ Placement\ at\ 50\ cm+100\%\ RDF\\ T_8\,(S_2E_4)\ 1.8\ x1.8\ m+Emitters\ Placement\ at\ 50\ cm+100\%\ RDF\\ T_8\,(S_2E_4)\ 1.8\ x1.8\ m+Emitters\ Placement\ at\ 60\ cm+100\%\ RDF\\ T_9\,(S_3E_1)\ 2\ x\ 2\ m+Emitters\ Placement\ at\ 40\ cm+100\%\ RDF\\ T_{10}\,(S_3E_2)\ 2\ x\ 2\ m+Emitters\ Placement\ at\ 40\ cm+100\%\ RDF\\ T_{11}\,(S_3E_3)\ 2\ x\ 2\ m+Emitters\ Placement\ at\ 50\ cm+100\%\ RDF\\ T_{12}\,(S_3E_4)\ 2\ x\ 2\ m+Emitters\ Placement\ at\ 50\ cm+100\%\ RDF\\ T_{12}\,(S_3E_4)\ 2\ x\ 2\ m+Emitters\ Placement\ at\ 60\ cm+100\%\ RDF\\ *Plain:\ Beside\ the\ plant \end{array}$

Result and Discussion

Significant difference was registered among the treatments with varied plant densities and different placement of emitters on yield per hectare in both plant and ratoon crop (Table 1).

The highest yield per hectare was registered in high plant density S_1 (1.5 x 1.5 m), while, it was lowest in lower plant density S_3 (2 x 2 m). With regard to placement of emitters the yield was found significantly highest with E_2 (Placement of emitters at 40 cm) and lowest in E_1 (placement of emitters at plain). The highest post harvest parameters *viz.*, pulp weight, peel weight, pulp to peel ratio and shelf life as well the highest quality parameters like total sugars, reducing sugar, non reducing sugar and total soluble solids were registered in S_3 (2 x 2 m) and E_2 (placement of emitters at 40 cm), while the lowest were found in S_1 (1.5 x 1.5 m) and E_1 (placement of emitters at plain) (Table 2 and 3).

The highest yield per hectare was registered in S_1 (1.5 x 1.5 m) and E_2 (placement of emitters at 40 cm) can be attributed to increase in plant population per unit urea (Ahmad and Manan, 1970)^[1]. But the highest morphological and physiological characters was registered in low plant density S_3 (2 x 2 m), therefore it was recorded the highest yield in

individual levels of plant, but number of plants occupied per hectare area was low. With respect to placement of emitters, increase in fruit yield was due to the improvement in bunch weight of banana under drip irrigation, possibly due to enhanced water utilization through drip, better nutrients uptake and excellent soil-water-air environment in the root zone.

Increase in the pulp weight was observed with decrease in plant density (S₃) and closer placement of emitters (E₂) may be due to high photosynthetic assimilates, better flow of assimilates in to growing fingers and beneficial optimum amount of water and also efficiency of nutrients. The results are in agreement with (Badway *et al.* 2010^[6] and Pawar and Dingre, 2013^[14]) in banana *cv*. Grand Naine.

Decrease in the mean peel weight was observed with lowest plant density (S₃) and closer placement of emitters'(E₂) might be due to high photosynthetic assimilates, better flow of assimilates for developing fingers particularly pulp weight. The results are in conformity by Basavaraj (2014) ^[7] and Puttana (2016) ^[15] in banana *cv*. Grand Naine

The highest values obtained with respect to pulp to peel ratio was observed with lower plant density (S₃) and placement of emitters at closer distance (E₂) might be due to the finger development phase; growing fruits act as heavy sink and better assimilates resulted in highest physiological efficiency. The results are in agreements with Ney Poovan (Murugan, 2003)^[12] and Grand Naine, (Badway *et al.* 2010)^[7].

Plant and ratoon crop extended of highest shelf life in lowest plant density (S₃) and closer placement of emitters (E₂) could be due to antisense properties inhibited ethylene biosynthesis and reduced metabolic activity which will help to extended shelf life. These results are well supported by the previous findings of banana *viz.*, Cavendish banana (Kurien *et al.* 2000)^[10] and Nendran (Manivannan, 1994).^[11]

The highest total sugar content was registered in lowest plant density (S₃) and closer placement of emitters (E₂) could be due to the fact that, low plant density might have caused increase in light efficiency led to greater photosynthetic activity in the banana plant. With respect to closer placement of emitters, it can provide more precise and uniform amount of the water. These findings are in corroboration with Ney Poovan (Murugan, 2003) ^[12], Rajapuri (Athani and Hulamani, 2000) ^[5].

Both the plant and ratoon crop had the highest reducing sugar content in lower plant density (S₃) and closer placement of emitters (E₂). It might me due to allowing proper light distribution in the plants which is a key function in increasing the quality of fruits. Further, the optimum amount of water through more number of emitters improved the nutrient uptake and nutrient mobilization towards growing fruits led to good sugar content as reported by from the work of Ney Poovan (Murugan, 2003)^[12], Robusta (Nalina *et al.* 2003)^[13]. In the present study, lower plant density (S₃) and closer placement of emitters (E₂) increased TSS. This might be due to light interception of the plant canopy regulation during vegetative growing period and better utilization and efficiency of water. This is in line with the work of Poovan (Sanjay, 2011)^[16] and Grand Naine, (Gaonkar, 2018)^[9].

Table 1: Effect of different plant densities and placement of emitters on yield (tons/ha) in Banana cv. Grand Naine

| Treatments | Yield (tons/ha) | | | | |
|------------|------------------------|-------|--|--|--|
| Treatments | Plant crop Ratoon crop | | | | |
| Factor-01 | Spacing | | | | |
| S_1 | 79.37 | 77.75 | | | |

| S ₂ | 68.25 | 60.00 | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| S ₃ | 51.35 | 46.42 | | | | | |
| S.Em + | 1.45 | 1.53 | | | | | |
| C.D.at 5% | 4.29 | 4.53 | | | | | |
| Factor-02 | Placem | ent of Emitters | | | | | |
| E1 | 54.33 | 51.43 | | | | | |
| E2 | 86.48 | 73.72 | | | | | |
| E3 | 65.86 | 62.64 | | | | | |
| E4 | 58.63 | 57.77 | | | | | |
| S.Em + | 1.68 | 1.77 | | | | | |
| C.D.at 5% | 4.96 | 5.23 | | | | | |
| Interaction effect (S X F) | | | | | | | |
| S_1E_1 | 69.68 | 66.65 | | | | | |
| S_1E_2 | 94.21 | 90.60 | | | | | |
| S_1E_3 | 78.15 | 77.40 | | | | | |
| S_1E_4 | 75.44 | 76.35 | | | | | |
| S_2E_1 | 52.00 | 47.82 | | | | | |
| S_2E_2 | 93.59 | 77.70 | | | | | |
| S_2E_3 | 70.60 | 62.80 | | | | | |
| S_2E_4 | 56.81 | 51.69 | | | | | |
| S_3E_1 | 41.33 | 39.84 | | | | | |
| S_3E_2 | 74.64 | 52.88 | | | | | |
| S ₃ E ₃ | 48.82 | 47.72 | | | | | |
| S ₃ E ₄ | 43.63 | 45.27 | | | | | |
| S.Em + | 2.91 | 3.07 | | | | | |
| C.D.at 5% | NS | NS | | | | | |

NS: Non Significant

Table 2: Post harvest parameters as influenced by different plant densities and placement of emitters in Banana cv. Grand Naine

| Treatmonta | Pulp weight (g) | | Peel weight (g) | | Pulp to peel ratio | | Shelf life (Days) | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Treatments | Plant crop | Ratoon crop | Plant crop | Ratoon crop | Plant crop | Ratoon crop | Plant crop | Ratoon crop | | |
| Factor-01 | Spacing | | | | | | | | | |
| S_1 | 70.78 | 68.02 | 49.73 | 47.96 | 1.45 | 1.45 | 6.87 | 6.74 | | |
| S_2 | 79.30 | 77.67 | 47.25 | 45.42 | 1.75 | 1.75 | 7.48 | 7.38 | | |
| S ₃ | 82.15 | 80.45 | 46.00 | 43.86 | 1.83 | 1.89 | 8.19 | 7.71 | | |
| S.Em+ | 0.28 | 0.52 | 0.66 | 0.58 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.32 | 0.15 | | |
| C.D.at 5% | 0.83 | 1.55 | 1.95 | 1.713 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.94 | 0.45 | | |
| Factor-02 | | | | Placement | of Emitters | | | | | |
| E1 | 59.16 | 58.07 | 53.33 | 51.51 | 1.15 | 1.12 | 6.71 | 6.7 | | |
| E2 | 104.53 | 101.57 | 42.04 | 40.05 | 2.50 | 2.54 | 8.52 | 7.63 | | |
| E3 | 77.50 | 75.71 | 46.31 | 44.71 | 1.67 | 1.70 | 7.69 | 7.49 | | |
| E4 | 68.45 | 66.17 | 48.96 | 46.73 | 1.39 | 1.41 | 7.14 | 7.21 | | |
| S.Em+ | 0.32 | 0.60 | 0.76 | 0.67 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.37 | 0.17 | | |
| C.D.at 5% | 0.96 | 1.79 | 2.25 | 1.97 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 1.09 | 0.52 | | |
| | | | Inte | raction effect (S | X F) | | | | | |
| S_1E_1 | 56.54 | 55.07 | 56.00 | 53.57 | 1.08 | 1.02 | 5.71 | 6.62 | | |
| S_1E_2 | 92.76 | 88.25 | 44.00 | 41.66 | 2.11 | 2.11 | 8.08 | 6.84 | | |
| S_1E_3 | 69.28 | 67.32 | 48.93 | 48.41 | 1.41 | 1.38 | 7.03 | 6.84 | | |
| S_1E_4 | 64.55 | 61.46 | 50.03 | 48.22 | 1.28 | 1.27 | 6.67 | 6.67 | | |
| S_2E_1 | 59.68 | 58.56 | 53.00 | 51.19 | 1.25 | 1.14 | 6.68 | 6.90 | | |
| S_2E_2 | 109.06 | 107.53 | 42.04 | 40.46 | 2.60 | 2.66 | 8.11 | 7.85 | | |
| S_2E_3 | 80.20 | 78.24 | 46.04 | 44.25 | 1.74 | 1.77 | 7.74 | 7.72 | | |
| S_2E_4 | 68.26 | 66.35 | 48.07 | 45.79 | 1.42 | 1.44 | 7.38 | 7.06 | | |
| S_3E_1 | 61.26 | 60.59 | 51.07 | 49.77 | 1.19 | 1.21 | 7.71 | 6.84 | | |
| S_3E_2 | 111.78 | 108.92 | 40.12 | 38.02 | 2.79 | 2.86 | 9.38 | 8.20 | | |
| S ₃ E ₃ | 83.03 | 81.58 | 44.00 | 41.47 | 1.88 | 1.96 | 8.31 | 7.91 | | |
| S ₃ E ₄ | 72.54 | 70.72 | 48.88 | 46.19 | 1.48 | 1.52 | 7.38 | 7.95 | | |
| S.Em + | 0.56 | 1.05 | 1.32 | 1.16 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.64 | 0.30 | | |
| C.D.at 5% | 1.66 | 3.11 | NS | NS | NS | 0.17 | NS | NS | | |

NS: Non Significant

Table 3: Quality parameters as influenced by different plant densities and placement of emitters in Banana cv. Grand Naine

| Treatments | Total sugars (%) | | Reducing sugars (%) | | Non-reducing sugars (%) | | TSS (⁰ Brix) | |
|-----------------------|------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| | Plant crop | Ratoon crop | Plant crop | Ratoon crop | Plant crop | Ratoon crop | Plant crop | Ratoon crop |
| Factor-01 | Spacing | | | | | | | |
| S_1 | 17.19 | 15.94 | 15.10 | 14.57 | 2.05 | 1.37 | 20.92 | 20.25 |
| S_2 | 19.33 | 18.41 | 16.91 | 16.17 | 2.26 | 2.21 | 22.98 | 22.48 |
| S ₃ | 19.56 | 19.00 | 16.96 | 16.46 | 2.70 | 2.54 | 23.11 | 22.25 |

International Journal of Chemical Studies

| S.Em+ | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.08 | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-------|-------|--------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|--|--|
| C.D.at 5% | 0.33 | 0.21 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.37 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.24 | | |
| Factor-02 | Placement of Emitters | | | | | | | | | |
| E1 | 17.86 | 17.25 | 16.14 | 15.45 | 1.86 | 1.79 | 21.56 | 20.81 | | |
| E2 | 19.54 | 18.40 | 16.59 | 16.04 | 2.75 | 2.35 | 23.37 | 22.57 | | |
| E3 | 18.87 | 17.93 | 16.33 | 15.86 | 2.54 | 2.06 | 22.46 | 21.80 | | |
| E4 | 18.51 | 17.57 | 16.22 | 15.57 | 2.20 | 1.95 | 21.96 | 21.42 | | |
| S.Em+ | 0.13 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.09 | | |
| C.D.at 5% | 0.38 | 0.25 | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.42 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.28 | | |
| | | | In | teraction effect (| (SXF) | | | | | |
| S_1E_1 | 16.60 | 15.56 | 14.95 | 14.15 | 1.65 | 1.41 | 20.24 | 19.90 | | |
| S_1E_2 | 17.70 | 16.47 | 15.42 | 14.99 | 2.36 | 1.47 | 21.28 | 20.08 | | |
| S_1E_3 | 17.32 | 16.07 | 15.06 | 14.75 | 2.26 | 1.32 | 21.23 | 20.65 | | |
| S_1E_4 | 17.16 | 15.67 | 14.96 | 14.37 | 1.94 | 1.29 | 20.95 | 20.37 | | |
| S_2E_1 | 18.46 | 18.07 | 16.73 | 16.07 | 1.73 | 1.94 | 22.28 | 21.89 | | |
| S ₂ E ₂ | 20.22 | 19.09 | 17.15 | 16.32 | 2.42 | 2.77 | 24.31 | 23.69 | | |
| S ₂ E ₃ | 19.52 | 18.35 | 16.90 | 16.19 | 2.62 | 2.16 | 23.03 | 22.21 | | |
| S_2E_4 | 19.14 | 18.20 | 16.86 | 16.11 | 2.27 | 1.97 | 22.31 | 22.11 | | |
| S_3E_1 | 18.52 | 18.17 | 16.76 | 16.14 | 2.19 | 2.03 | 22.16 | 20.63 | | |
| S ₃ E ₂ | 20.70 | 19.64 | 17.21 | 16.82 | 3.48 | 2.82 | 24.51 | 23.94 | | |
| S ₃ E ₃ | 19.77 | 19.37 | 17.02 | 16.65 | 2.75 | 2.72 | 23.15 | 22.53 | | |
| S ₃ E ₄ | 19.24 | 18.83 | 16.85 | 16.24 | 2.39 | 2.59 | 22.62 | 21.77 | | |
| S.Em+ | 0.22 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.25 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.16 | | |
| C.D.at 5% | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | 0.22 | 0.29 | 0.49 | | |

NS: Non Significant

Conclusion

Application of three varied plant densities and four different placement of emitters influenced on yield, post harvest and quality parameters of banana cv. Grand Naine. The yield per hectare was found highest in high plant density (S₁) and closer placement of emitters (E₂) which is due to more plant population per unit area. The highest post harvest and quality parameters were registered highest in low plant density (S₃) and closer placement of emitters (E₂). Whereas the lowest was observed in higher plant density (S₁) and wider placement of emitters (E₁). However, long-term studies are needed to determine the effect of different plant densities and placement of emitters as well as their interaction effect with other factors such as fertilizer, desuckering and management practices.

References

- 1. Ahmed K, Mannan A. Effect of size of Pt and spacing on the performance of Amritsagar banana. Punjab Fruit J 1970;32:7-13.
- 2. Anonymous. Package of practices for horticulture crops, UAS, Bengaluru 2017.
- Anonymous. Medicinal secrets of Yarn Food. Pub. Sec., Indo America Hospital. N.R. Mahulla. Mysore 1st Ed. 1969, 183-191.
- 4. Apshara ES, Sathiamoorthy S. Effect of planting more than one sucker per pit on growth and yield of Banana *cv*. Nendran (AAB). Indian J Horti 2003;60(4):339-342.
- Athani SI, Hulamani NC, Shiroi AM. Effect of vermicompost on fruit yield and quality of banana *cv*. Rajpuri (Musa AAB). Karnataka J Agril. Sci 2000;13(4):942-946.
- Badway MA, Roshdy KA, El-Shenawi MR. Effect of plant density on growth, flowering, fruiting and yield of Grand Naine banana in Sandy Soil. Alexandria Sci. Exchange J 2010;31(4):380-384.
- Basavaraj AK. Effect of NPK levels and high density plants on growth, yield and quality of ratoon crop of tissue culture banana *cv*. Grand Naine under hill zone. M.Sc. (Hort.) Thesis submitted to UAHS Shivamogga India 2014.
- 8. Dahiwalkar SD, Divekar BK, Sonawane DA. Relative performance of fertigation on growth, yield and quality of

banana. J Maharashtra Agricultural Universities 2004;29(2):235-237.

- 9. Gaonkar YA. Studies on plant density and nutrient requirement in banana *cv*. Grand Naine. Ph.D. (Hort.) thesis submitted to Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth Parbhani. India 2018.
- Kurien S, Anil BK, Rajeevan PK, Bharathan V, Krishnan S. Phosphorus mobilization to uneconomic tissues and effects of bunch trimming regimes in banana Scientific Hort 2000;83:25-32.
- Manivannan M. Effect of planting density on growth and development of banana *cv*. Poovan (AAB). M. Sc. (Hort.) Thesis. Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore 1994.
- Murugan V. Influence of fertigation on growth and productivity of banana *cv*. Ney Poovan under different planting densities. M. Sc. Thesis. Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore 2003.
- Nalina L, Kumar N, Sathiamoorthy S. Studies on high density planting in banana *cv*. Robusta (AAA). Indian J Hort 2003;60(4):307-311.
- 14. Pawar DD, Dingre SK. Influence of fertigation scheduling though drip on growth and yield of banana in western Maharashtra. Indian J Hort 2013;70(2):200-205.
- Puttana C. Effect of high density planting and nutrition on growth, yield and quality of tissue culture banana *cv*. Grand Naine under transitional zone of Karnataka. M. Sc. (Hort.) Thesis submitted to UAHS (University of Agricultural and Horticultural Sciences), Shivamogga India 2016.
- Sanjay MA. Standardization of nutrient requirements for high density planting in banana *cv*. Poovan (Mysore AAB). M.Sc. Thesis. Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India 2011.
- 17. Shashidhara KK, Bheemappa A, Hirevekanagoudar LV, Shashidhar KC. Benefits and constraints in adoption of drip irrigation among the plantation crop growers. Karnataka J Agri. Sci 2007;20(1):82-84.
- Thomas P, Dulal WB, Pushpa MC, Amla BL. Harvesting, handling and transportation of bananas for export from India. Indian Food Packer 1968;22:16-21.