International Journal of Chemical Studies

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 www.chemijournal.com IJCS 2021; 9(2): 310-312 © 2021 IJCS Received: 02-01-2021 Accepted: 04-02-2021

Yashvantkumar KH AICRP, Vegetables, RHREC, Dharwad, Karnataka, India

Imamsaheb SJ AICRP, Vegetables, RHREC, Dharwad, Karnataka, India

Laxman AP AICRP, Vegetables, RHREC, Dharwad, Karnataka, India

Arunkumar B AICRP, Vegetables, RHREC, Dharwad, Karnataka, India

Shreedhar D AICRP, Vegetables, RHREC, Dharwad, Karnataka, India

Corresponding Author: Yashvantkumar KH AICRP, Vegetables, RHREC, Dharwad, Karnataka, India

Influence of micronutrients on yield and economics of bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia*)

Yashvantkumar KH, Imamsaheb SJ, Laxman AP, Arunkumar B and Shreedhar D

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22271/chemi.2021.v9.i2e.11826

Abstract

The experiment was conducted during *Kharif* seasons of 2019-20 at AICRP (Vegetables) RHREC, Dharwad, Karnataka. Result reveled that significantly higher fruit length (13.56 cm), average fruit weight (110 g), Number of fruit per plant (17.28), yield (127.48/ha), while significantly lower fruit length (10.80 cm), average fruit weight (84.33 g), Number of fruit per plant (13.28), yield (96.45/ha) and The maximum cost benefit ratio of 2.38 was obtained by foliar spray of mixture of all the micronutrients (T₈) recording Rs 190863 /ha as net return and gross return of Rs 271200/ha. The lowest B:C ratio was found in control (1.66).

Keywords: Micronutrients, bitter gourd, yield and economics

Introduction

Bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.) is one of the important vegetable crops belonging to the family Cucurbitaceae. In India, bitter gourd was cultivated in an area of about 79,000 hectare and the production was 8,07,000 metric tonnes (Anon, 2018) ^[11]. Among all cucurbitaceous vegetables, fruit of bitter gourd records the highest calorific value. In terms of medicinal properties, bitter gourd ranks first among the cucurbits due to higher nutritive value being rich in all the essential vitamins and minerals especially vitamin A (210 IU/100g), vitamin C (88 mg/100g), iron (1.8 mg/100g), phosphorus (55mg/100g), calcium (20 mg/100g) and momordicin (29 mg/g). Micronutrients are usually required in minute quantities, nevertheless, are vital to the growth of plant (Benepal, 1967) ^[2].

The micronutrients though required in small quantities are as important as macronutrients. Nutrients removal by crop depends on the nutrient availability and their absorption which is influenced by soil pH, soil moisture and soil temperature. Micronutrients play a greater role in regulation of plant growth and yield. The agronomic adaptations like crop management, cropping system and input management like use of organic manures, use of micronutrients, use of biofertilizers *etc.*, which are mitigations options of changing climate, require emphasis. Imbalance supply of organic inputs reduces the availability of essential micronutrients, which ultimately affect the growth, yield and quality of fruits. Boron, zinc and copper normally result in premature floral abscission that leads to failure of seed set (Brown *et al.*, 2002) ^[5]. The productivity of bitter gourd (6.87t/ha) in Bihar is comparatively lower than the national productivity (110 q/ha), which emphasizes the need of judicious and balanced use of macro as well as micronutrients. Therefore, the rational dose of micro nutrients in view of changing climate needs to be explored. Hence, the present investigation was made to study the effect of foliar application of micronutrients on yield and economics of bitter gourd.

Material and Methods

The experiment was conducted during *Kharif* seasons of 2019-20 at AICRP (Vegetables) RHREC, Dharwad, Karnataka, (15.475° N latitude, 74.979° E longitude and 655 m altitude), the experimental soil was well drained and sandy loam in texture. The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design (RBD) with fifteen treatments with three replications.

The experimental fields was ploughed three times and all the cultural practices were done as per the package of Practices of University of Horticultural Sciences Bagalkot. The treatments Details shown in Table No.1

Table 1: Treatment Details

| Treatments | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| T ₁ | Control | | | |
| T2 | Boric acid (B) | | | |
| T3 | Zinc sulphate (ZnSo ₄) | | | |
| T4 | Ammonium molybdate (Mo) | | | |
| T5 | Copper sulphate (CuSo ₄₎ | | | |
| T ₆ | Ferrous sulphate (FeSo ₄) | | | |
| T7 | Manganese sulphate (MnSo ₄) | | | |
| T8 | Mixture of all | | | |
| T9 Mixture of all without B | | | | |
| T10 | Mixture of all without Zn | | | |
| T11 | Mixture of all without Mo | | | |
| T ₁₂ | Mixture of all without Cu | | | |
| T13 | Mixture of all without Fe | | | |
| T14 | Mixture of all without Mn | | | |
| T15 | Commercial formulation | | | |

Results and Discussions

Foliar application of micronutrients on bitter gourd resulted reveled that, significantly improvement towards growth yield and as compare to control. The data displayed in Table 2 revealed that the foliar feeding of mixture of all the micronutrients (T₈) being statistically at par with commercial formulation (T₁₅) recorded significantly higher fruit length (13.56 cm), average fruit weight (110 g), Number of fruit per plant (17.28), yield (127.48/ha), while significantly lower fruit length (10.80 cm), average fruit weight (84.33 g), Number of fruit per plant (13.28), yield (96.45/ha) however were recorded in control (T₁). The improvement in vine length as a result of foliar feeding of micronutrients might be due to enhanced photosynthetic and other metabolic activities which lead to increase in various plant metabolites responsible for cell division and elongation as opined by Hatwar *et al.*, (2003), Karthick *et al.*, 2018 ^[6] and Bharati *et al.*, 2018 ^[3].

The maximum cost benefit ratio of 2.38 was obtained by foliar spray of mixture of all the micronutrients (T₈) recording Rs 190863 /ha as net return and gross return of Rs 271200/ha. The lowest B:C ratio was found in control (1.66) which recorded minimum net return of Rs 131839. (table5). The highest net return and B: C ratio occured under these treatments was due to highest fruit yield. These findings are in conformity with the observations of Bhatt *et al.* (2004) ^[4], Patil *et al.* (2008) ^[7] and Singh and Verma (1991) ^[8] in tomato, who obtained maximum benefit cost ratio with micronutrients combinations.

| Table 2: Res | ponse of bitter g | ourd to foliar | feeding of | micronutrients |
|--------------|---------------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|
| Table 2. Res | poinse of officer g | ouru to roman | ficcuing of | meronuments |

| Treatments | | Fruit length (cm) | Average fruit weight in grams | Number of fruits per plant | Fruit yield /plot (kg) | Fruit yield q/ha |
|-----------------|---|-------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| T1 | Control | 10.80 | 84.33 | 13.28 | 8.68 | 96.45 |
| T_2 | Boric acid (B) | 12.82 | 99.67 | 16.01 | 10.70 | 118.93 |
| T3 | Zinc sulphate (ZnSo ₄) | 11.29 | 96.33 | 15.27 | 9.18 | 101.96 |
| T ₄ | Ammonium molybdate (Mo) | 11.20 | 83.67 | 14.90 | 9.34 | 103.74 |
| T ₅ | Copper sulphate (CuSo ₄₎ | 11.60 | 79.33 | 14.46 | 10.09 | 112.11 |
| T ₆ | Ferrous sulphate (FeSo ₄) | 12.46 | 87.67 | 15.71 | 10.18 | 113.07 |
| T7 | Manganese sulphate (MnSo ₄) | 11.24 | 103.00 | 15.65 | 9.09 | 101.00 |
| T ₈ | Mixture of all | 13.56 | 110.00 | 17.28 | 11.47 | 127.48 |
| T9 | Mixture of all without B | 13.32 | 93.67 | 15.34 | 9.74 | 108.22 |
| T ₁₀ | Mixture of all without Zn | 13.03 | 102.67 | 16.45 | 10.57 | 117.48 |
| T ₁₁ | Mixture of all without Mo | 12.15 | 93.00 | 15.90 | 10.35 | 115.04 |
| T ₁₂ | Mixture of all without Cu | 12.52 | 98.67 | 15.70 | 10.36 | 115.07 |
| T ₁₃ | Mixture of all without Fe | 11.97 | 100.00 | 16.44 | 9.97 | 110.74 |
| T14 | Mixture of all without Mn | 11.91 | 94.00 | 16.12 | 10.29 | 114.37 |
| T15 | Commercial formulation | 13.58 | 110.00 | 16.70 | 10.97 | 121.92 |
| | SE m± | 0.56 | 6.35 | 0.76 | 0.81 | 9.10 |
| | CD (0.05) | 1.55 | 18.41 | 2.22 | 2.37 | 26.36 |
| | CV (%) | 7.60 | 11.49 | 8.47 | 14.09 | 14.09 |

Table 3: Effect of foliar application of different micronutrients on economics of bitter gourd

| | Treatments | Fruit yield q/ha | Cost of cultivation (Rs./ha) | Gross Return (Rs./ha) | Net returns (Rs./ha) | B:C Ratio |
|------------------------|---|------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| T_1 | Control | 96.45 | 79641 | 211480 | 131839 | 1.66 |
| T_2 | Boric acid (B) | 118.93 | 79699 | 247580 | 167881 | 2.11 |
| T_3 | Zinc sulphate (ZnSo ₄) | 101.96 | 79689 | 219040 | 139351 | 1.75 |
| T_4 | Ammonium molybdate (Mo) | 103.74 | 80041 | 224980 | 144939 | 1.81 |
| T_5 | Copper sulphate (CuSo ₄₎ | 112.11 | 79741 | 237880 | 158139 | 1.98 |
| T_6 | Ferrous sulphate (FeSo ₄) | 113.07 | 79671 | 239660 | 159989 | 2.01 |
| T_7 | Manganese sulphate (MnSo ₄) | 101.00 | 79701 | 219600 | 139899 | 1.76 |
| T_8 | Mixture of all | 127.48 | 80337 | 271200 | 190863 | 2.38 |
| T9 | Mixture of all without B | 108.22 | 80279 | 234300 | 154021 | 1.92 |
| T_{10} | Mixture of all without Zn | 117.48 | 80289 | 253680 | 173391 | 2.16 |
| T_{11} | Mixture of all without Mo | 115.04 | 79937 | 248700 | 168763 | 2.11 |
| T ₁₂ | Mixture of all without Cu | 115.07 | 80307 | 248000 | 167693 | 2.09 |
| T 13 | Mixture of all without Fe | 110.74 | 80267 | 239020 | 158753 | 1.98 |
| T_{14} | Mixture of all without Mn | 114.37 | 80277 | 247440 | 167163 | 2.08 |
| T 15 | Commercial formulation | 121.92 | 80709 | 259980 | 179271 | 2.22 |

References

- 1. Anonymous. National Horticulture Database, NHB 2018.
- 2. Benepal PS. Influence of micronutrients on growth and yield of potatoes. Ame. Pot. J 1967;44(10):363-369.
- Bharati1 DK, Verma RB, Singh VK, Ravi Kumar, Superna Sinha, Sinha SK. Response of Bitter Gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.) to Foliar Feeding of Micronutrient on the Growth, Yield and Quality. Int. J Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci 2018;7(2):2341-2346.
- 4. Bhatt Lalit, Srivastava BK, Singh MP. Studies on the effect of foliar application of micronutrients on growth yield and economics of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill). Prog. Hort 2004;36(2):331-334.
- 5. Brown PH, Bellaloui N, Wimmer MA, Bassil ES, Ruiz J, Hu H *et al.* Boron in plant biology. Plant Biology 2002;4:205-223.
- Karthick R, Rajalingam GV, Praneetha S, Sujatha KB, Arumugam T. Studies on the influence of micronutrients on yield, quality and economics of bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia*) cv. CO 1. Int. J Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci 2018;6(2):678-681.
- Patil BC, Hosamani RM, Ajjappalavara PS, Naik BH, Smitha RP, Ukkund KC. Effect of Foliar Application of Micronutrients on Growth and Yield components of Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.), Karnataka J Agric. Sci 2008;21(3):428-430.
- Singh SS, Verma SK. Influence of potassium and boron on growth and yield of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). Veg. Sci 1991;18(2):122-129.