



P-ISSN: 2349-8528

E-ISSN: 2321-4902

www.chemijournal.com

IJCS 2021; 9(1): 193-197

© 2021 IJCS

Received: 05-11-2020

Accepted: 09-12-2020

Aditya Delu

M.Sc. Research Scholar, School
of Agriculture, Lovely
Professional University, Punjab,
India

BS Brar

Professor, Lovely Professional
University, School of
Agriculture, Lovely Professional
University, Punjab, India

Corresponding Author:**Aditya Delu**

M.Sc. Research Scholar, School
of Agriculture, Lovely
Professional University, Punjab,
India

International Journal of Chemical Studies

Effect of different level of sulphur on growth and yield of lentil crop: A review

Aditya Delu and BS Brar

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22271/chemi.2021.v9.i1c.11232>

Abstract

Efficient use of sulphur doses, the biological, grain and straw yield of lentil crop are significantly higher as compared with control control during first and second year of experimentation. On the basis of economics, application of sulphur/ha is significantly best for achieving higher gross income and benefit cost ratio of lentil as well as economically more net return. This study aims at reviewing the effect of different level of sulphur on growth and yield of sulphur. Sulphur showed a synergetic effect on yields of lentil. Protein content increases significantly with increase of sulphur. Information gained from from this study can be utilized to develop more efficient sulphur fertilization levels in winter lentil.

Keywords: Sulphur, lentil, growth, yield

Introduction

Lentil is the principal little grain vegetable for food utilization on the worldwide scale. The territory planted in Turkey is 214.787 hectares (ha) delivering 410.000 tons (t). Mean yield is 1930 kg ha⁻¹ for Turkey (FAO, 2012). The current profitability patterns of lentil is diminished on many years. Helpless treatment territory is viewed as a significant factor. The plant needs some full scale and micronutrients for its ordinary development. Sulfur assumes an essential function in plant digestion. Sulfur establishes the principle component of amino acids, for example, cysteine and methionine, which are of basic supplement esteem. Lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik) may have been one of the principal agrarian harvests developed over 8,500 years back. Creation of this cool season yearly yield spread from the Near East to the Mediterranean region, Asia, Europe lastly the Western Hemisphere. It might have been acquainted with the United States in the mid 1900s. The yield has gotten pretty much nothing research consideration regarding improve its yield and quality. It fills well in restricted precipitation regions of the world.

Lentil is a protein/calorie crop. Protein content ranges from 22 to 35%, but the nutritional value is low because lentil is deficient in the amino acids methionine and cystine. Lentil is an excellent supplement to cereal grain diets because of its good protein/carbohydrate content. It is used in soups, stews, casseroles and salad dishes. Sometimes they are difficult to cook because of the hard seed coat that results from excessively dry production conditions.

Lentil (*Lens culinaris* M) is one of the most seasoned and most nutritious pluses crops. Its development clears back to beginning farming itself. It is likewise utilized as a cover harvest to check the dirt disintegration in pain points. It is generally eaten as 'dal'. The grain is devoured in general decorticated or decorticated and split. The cotyledons are profound orange red or then again orange yellow in shading. The entire intense cultivated grain which is generally known as "Malka Masoor" is likewise utilized in a portion of the dishes. In India, beats possess 23.76 million ha territory contributing 14.11 million tones to the world food crate (Anonymous 2007). India positioned first in the zone (18.00 lakh ha) and second in the creation (11.00 lakh tons) with 39% and 22% of world territory and creation, separately. The most elevated profitability is recorded in Croatia (2862 kg/ha) trailed by New Zealand (2469 kg/ha). Canada rank first underway (41%) due to high degree of profitability (1633 kg/ha) as thought about to India (611 kg/ha), (Annomymouse 2017). The present request of heartbeats is around 18 million tones and will be around 29 million tones in the year 2025. The efficiency of Lentil in U.P. is totally low because of a few constraint viz.- supplements lacks (Macro and Micro supplements), awkwardness manure the board practices and invasion of

genuine infection and vermin just as absence of new innovation like legitimate planting time, plant populace and insufficient flexibility of manure and absence of good seeds and so forth Vegetables typically require practically equivalent measure of phosphorus and sulfur. Sulfur underneath basic sum in the dirt antagonistically influence both plant development and nature of produce. To expand creation with adjusted preparation especially and sulfur. In this manner present investigation was directed to contemplate the creation and efficiency of lentil as impact different degrees of and sulfur.

The function of sulphur

The scope of organic mixes that contain sulfur is immense. S is found in nutrients *viz*, biotin and thiamine; cofactors Sadenosyl-L-methionine, coenzyme A, molybdenum cofactor (MoCo), and lipoic corrosive; the chloroplast lipid sulfoquinovosyl 524 diacylglycerol; and numerous auxiliary mixes (Leustek, 2002, Leustek and Saito, 1999). It likewise serves significant auxiliary, administrative and synergist capacities with regards to proteins, and as a significant cell redox cradle as the tripeptide glutathione and certain proteins, for example, thioredoxin, glutaredoxin and protein disulfide isomerase. A component of numerous sulfur-containing mixes is that the S moiety is regularly legitimately associated with the synergist or synthetic sensitivity of the compound. A brilliant model is the manner by which cysteine deposits in proteins now and again structure covalent disulfide bonds. Disulfides can, thusly, be diminished to the thiol structure by glutathione or redox proteins like thioredoxin (Leustek and Saito, 1999; Saito, 2000). For certain catalysts, disulfide bond arrangement serves to control action. Numerous catalysts of carbon dioxide obsession are directed in this manner as a way to facilitate their movement with the light responses of photosynthesis. The administrative particle for this situation is thioredoxin, which lessens target catalysts utilizing electrons from ferredoxin (Leustek and Saito, 1999; Saito, 2000; Scherer, 2001; Matsubayashi *et al.*, 2002).

Sulphur deficiencies in soil

S inadequacy in crops has as of late become far and wide (Scherer, 2001). Beforehand, adequate S to meet yield prerequisites was acquired from the continuous accidental increases of S to soils when N and P composts, for example, ammonium sulfate and single superphosphate, were applied. Modern contamination because of coal burning moreover contributed considerable measures of S for plant needs by elevated affidavit. In the course of the most recent twenty years, notwithstanding, there has been a central move in the S balance toward deficiency in horticultural frameworks for a few reasons. High investigation N and P composts have continuously supplanted customary ones that contain S. Likewise, yields of agrarian harvests have expanded especially, and at times dramatically increased, during the last twenty years, bringing about expanded expulsion of supplements, counting S from soils (Scherer, 2001). In serious yield revolutions including oil crops, S take-up can be extremely high, particularly when the yield buildup is taken out from the field alongside the item. This prompts extensive S exhaustion in soil if the relating measure of S isn't applied through compost. It is presently entrenched that S inadequacy is wide spread in Indian soils, and no doubt is on the expansion. S inadequacy which was seen numerous years prior just in restricted regions has inundated a lot bigger territory in its overlap (Takkar, 1987).

Sulphur fertilizers

Creature excrements are an amazing wellspring of sulfur and are even as for nitrogen. Harvest deposits, for example, feed and straw are additionally acceptable. Among the inorganic manures, sulfate of potash magnesia is a characteristic compost, langbeinite. Gypsum is calcium sulfate.

Unadulterated sulfur for rural intentions is acquired from normally happening stores in the southern U.S. or on the other hand as a side-effect of the desulfurization of different gases and coal. It very well may be bought either as a fine residue, frequently called blossoms of sulfur, or granulated sulfur. Sulfur dust is a blast danger, so it ought to be maneuvered carefully; the granulated structure is more secure to utilize. Epsom salts are magnesium sulfate, either solidified from common stores or incorporated. Potassium sulfate and ammonium sulfate are combined items. Sulfur is once in a while insufficient in the dirt inasmuch as some sulfur-containing materials are spread. Inadequacies happen when the utilization of concentrated, sans sulfur manures animate plant development and cause the evacuation of soil sulfur without remuneration.

Gypsum applied to a soluble soil will frequently improve the dirt structure by dissolving sodium carbonate when the dirt is wet. Gypsum additionally improves plant development in a corrosive soil. The explanation isn't clear, however some corrosive soils are exceptionally drained and perhaps low in sulfur. Unadulterated sulfur is utilized to ferment an antacid soil. Sulfur-adoring microbes oxidize it, so, all in all it joins with water to shape sulfuric corrosive. Sulfur is now and again applied to make phosphorus more accessible in soluble soils, maybe what could be compared to superphosphate.

Effect of sulphur in production:

The yield expanded with due to improvement in development characters and yield credits. The impact of Sulphar in improving the harvest yield may be expected to low accessibility of its protein content. Comparative impact of manure application was likewise gotten in the event of straw yield which was because of great impact of sulphar, manure on development characters of Lentil. Gather record expanded with expanding sulphar levels when contrasted with control. This may be because of the way that better movement of photosynthesis from source to sink expands the seed yield. The discoveries are right up front similarity with these Singh *et al.* (2004) Singh and Chauhan (2005) and Singh and Sekhan (2007).

The number of grain per plant, grain weight per plant, and grain yield was found maximum in case of ssp + granular sulphar.

Importance of sulphur in lentil

The substance of protein, tryptophan, lysine, methionine, globulin and egg whites expanded fundamentally with expanding levels of Sulfur upto 30kg/ha. The expanding pattern in the protein divisions might be ascribed to the way that Sulfur animates the biosynthesis of proteins and Sulfur containing amino acids which is reflected during the measure of the examples. Comparable pattern was noticed for tryptophan and lysine too. Sulfur being a basic part of amino acids, co-catalysts engaged with protein blend may have prompted the expanding pattern in protein and amino acids obvious in the current examination. Comparable discoveries have been accounted for by Mishra *et al.*, (2012), Raikwar *et al.*, (2012) in wheat and grain individually. Jamal *et al.*, (2005) detailed tantamount outcomes in soybean and Chiaiese

et al., (2004) in chickpea. Egg whites content likewise expanded essentially with expanding levels of Sulfur up to 30Kg/Ha. This might be because of high amino corrosive content especially Sulfur containing amino corrosive methionine which is a significant constituent of egg whites protein division. On the opposite globulin division didn't show any increment. The outcomes are in understanding to the discoveries of Sharma and Sharma (2014); Sharma *et al.*, (2013).

Effect of sulphur on various parameters

Highest lentil seed yield (1 147 kg/ha) was recorded with 30 kg sulphur treatment, whereas lowest yield (1 015 kg/ha) was noticed with no application of Sulphur (Singh *et al.* 2002, Singh and Singh 2008 and Thiagarajan *et al.* 2003) [19, 18]. To get comprehensive and combined residual response of both the nutrients on lentil seed yield two data are presented in Table 4. Results of interaction of both the nutrient was synergistic in manner up to extant possible except at highest level. Results revealed that minimum lentil seed yield of 960 kg/ha was recorded with control, where nothing has been applied, whereas corresponding maximum lentil seed yield (1 243 kg/ha) was recorded with combined application of 30 kg sulphur and 6 kg zinc (Singh and Gupta 1986, Singh *et al.* 2011 and Thiagarajan *et al.* 2003). Sulphur influenced rice greatly, whereas in case of lentil K concentration gets improved with increasing doses of Zn (Table 5). It was noticed that the concentration of all the three major nutrients (NPK) across the treatment was higher side in case of lentil in comparison to rice (Singh *et al.* 2001, Sahaa *et al.* 2007).

Lentil response to sulphur nutrition

Sulfur (S) is presently perceived as the 4th significant plant supplement alongside nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P) and potassium (K). The inadequacy of S has been accounted for with expanding recurrence in the course of recent years everywhere on the world (Scherer, 2001). Among various locales, Asia speaks to the area with the most noteworthy S manure prerequisite. In Asia, India and China alone right now represent around 60% of the all out assessed shortfall. Consistent mining of S from soils has prompted boundless S inadequacy and negative soil spending plan (Aulakh and Bahl, 2001). For example, of the aggregate 400 areas of India, in excess of 200 regions have shifting extent of S-lacking soils. As per Tandon (1995a, 1995b), around 51 million ha or about 30% of its of developed land have different levels of S lack. Broad reviews made to outline S lacking zones in various pieces of the nation uncovered that S insufficiency changes from 5 to 83% with a general normal of 41% (Aulakh and Dev, 1976a, 1976b, Singh, 2001). Most of the soils of the Indo-Gangetic alluvial fields, red and lateritic and slope soils are inclined to S lack while seaside soils contain adequate or even over the top measure of S as sulfide or dissolvable sulfates. A few pieces of the nation, nonetheless, are as yet unexplored, which may likewise be conceivably S-insufficient territories. The expanding S lacks in soils are inferable from the accompanying variables (Pasricha and Aulakh, 1986, 1991; Tandon, 1991):

- The appropriation of high-yielding harvest cultivars which request a high ripeness level and bring about more prominent misuse of soil save supplements and expulsion of a lot bigger amounts of supplements in the reaped crop.
- The expanded editing power (escalated development).

- An intense decrease in accidental increases of S through manures, environmental SO₂ particularly around modern urban areas, pesticides and different agrochemicals. In created nations, annual S affidavit is estimated to be 3.7 to 25.2 kg S ha⁻¹ (Pasricha and Fox, 1993). In India, sulfate fixation in water is generally under 0.1 mg L⁻¹, along these lines next to no promotion of S from air contamination and corrosive downpour (Singh, 2000).
- Another significant factor is the expanded utilization of high-examination without s manures. In 1950s, S-containing composts were regular sources of N and P. Around then, most of N as ammonium sulfate and P as single superphosphate (SSP) were applied. The utilization of manure N and P has expanded strongly from that point forward. Nonetheless, the utilization of N and P manure aggravates which contain practically zero S, such as urea, diammonium phosphate (DAP), ammonium chloride, calcium ammonium nitrate, nitro-phosphates, and triple superphosphate has moderately expanded. Accordingly, the expansion in the utilization of S didn't coordinate with its evacuation by crops. As a result utilization has expanded from around 8000 tons in 1950-51 to about 0.8 million tons in 2000-2001 (FAI, 2001).

Effect of sources of sulphur in lentil

Seed, haulm and complete sulfur take-up were altogether ($p < 0.001$) affected by the primary impact of sulfur and their collaboration of Rhizobium inoculant and sulfur application. Besides, fundamental impact of Rhizobium inoculant demonstrated a huge ($p < 0.05$) impact on seed and all out S take-up and non-critical on haulm S take-up. Greatest seed sulfur take-up (4 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded under vaccination without S treatment, which was essentially higher than different medicines. In this manner, seed S take-up that come about because of immunization without S treatment surpassed the seed S take-up acquired in the control by about 79%. With regard to un-immunized, seed S take-up altogether improved as S rate progressively expanded. Nonetheless, seed S take-up was diminished altogether with expanding levels of sulfur from nil to 40 kg ha⁻¹. The higher seed S take-up due to vaccination could be ascribed to the way that a few separates of Rhizobia have the capacity expanded accessibility of sulfur in the dirt and along these lines increment seed S take-up in plants.

The highest haulm sulphur uptakes (23 and 21 kg ha⁻¹) were observed without S fertilization under inoculation and at 40 kg S ha⁻¹ under no vaccination, separately. While, the base (12 kg ha⁻¹) was acquired from both vaccinated at 40 kg S ha⁻¹ and un-vaccinated plants without S treatment. Each expansion in S rate came about in fundamentally diminished haulm sulfur take-up under vaccinated medicines, while the impact of S under no vaccination the opposite is valid. The expansion in haulm sulfur takes-up with expanded sulfur levels under no vaccination could be because of expanded accessibility of sulfur in the dirt as a consequence of the applied compost. An expansion in HSU due to vaccination with lower S rate could be identified with a positive impact of Rhizobium vaccination in supplement take-up. The cooperation of S and Rhizobium vaccination is synergistic at ideal rates and hostile at unreasonable degrees of one of them. Coordinated utilization of Rhizobium strains and S could be a suitable methodology to improve the S take-up in seed what's more, haulm on soybean. They reasoned that at higher pace of S with Rhizobium the S take-up in seed and haulm declined

because of lopsided S application in soil. Greatest absolute S take-up (25 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded at the joined utilization of Rhizobium inoculants without S treatment, while the base all out S take-up (13 kg ha⁻¹) was acquired from the control. Seed vaccination with sulfur from nil to 40 kg ha⁻¹ altogether diminished absolute S take-up. Notwithstanding, under no vaccination with sulfur from nil to 40 kg ha⁻¹ total S take-up likewise fundamentally affected with expanding mean worth. By and large, an increment in all out S take-up because of vaccination and S could be identified with the critical expansion in seed and haulm S take-up bringing about higher gathering of absolute S take-up in plants. Be that as it may, haulm S take-up more added to add up to S take-up than seed S take-up. (Gebrekidan Feleke Mekuria, Walelign Worku, Asnake Fikre Woldemedhin, 2019)

Conclusion

The field explore demonstrated that S treatment improved all factors concentrated aside from number of knob plant-1 and number of seed case 1, thusly the enhancements were more articulated for the joined use of sulfur under vaccination than their different application. Rhizobium immunization likewise essentially improved certain yield a lot segments just as sulfur take-up of lentil. In any case, days to blooming, nodulation boundaries, for example, number of knob plant - 1 and knob dry weight and supplement use productivity, for example, agronomic productivity, recuperation effectiveness and reap record of lentil were not fundamentally affected by the primary impact of Rhizobium inoculant. Joint use of Rhizobium inoculant and sulfur brought about greatest estimations of days to blooming, number of knobs plant-1, knob dry weight plant-1, number of seed case 1, over-the-ground dry biomass, seed yield and sulfur take-up just as supplement use proficiency boundaries followed by the individual medicines of sulfur and Rhizobium inoculant. This upgrade may be because of, expansion of sulfur and Rhizobium inoculant to soil causes a progression of synthetic changes prompts gathering of natural issue that lightens soil characters favor Rhizobium development and action, which in turn, owe to ideal nitrogen obsession and creation of enormous valuable mixes which considered decidedly lentil crop. Use of the double treatment of 40 kg S ha⁻¹ without Rhizobium inoculant and Rhizobium inoculant with nil use of sulfur were compelling procedure for improving seed yield and sulfur take-up of lentil. The best suggestion for medicines dependent on high net advantage, generally low factor cost along with a satisfactory and most extreme MRR turns into the provisional suggestion. Accordingly, it very well may be suggested that Rhizobium immunization without S application was the best treatment for ranchers because of adequate and most elevated MRR. Nonetheless, it is hard to make an unequivocal and draw sound suggestion in view of one area and one season analyze. Along these lines, consideration will be given to directing comparative examination over areas and seasons would be pertinent to get convincing result and the viability of these business inoculants of lentil regarding soil fruitfulness status and editing framework need further examination.

References

1. Ahlawat IPS, Ali M. Fertilizer management in food crops. (In). Nutrient Management for Pulses - A Review. Tandon H L S (Eds). FDCO, New Delhi 1993,114-38p.
2. Ajay Singh Chauhan, Mishra LK. Influence of Various Levels of Zinc and Sulphur on Storage Proteins and

- Protein Quality of Lentil (*Lens culinaris*) Varieties. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2018;7(06):3032-3037
3. Ali M, Gupta S. Carrying capacity of Indian agriculture: pulse crops. Current Science 2012;874-881.
4. Arshad Jamal, Yong-Sun Moon, Malik Zainul Abidin. Sulphur - a general overview and interaction with nitrogen 2010,1835-2707.
5. Aulakh MS, Pasricha NS, Dev G. Response of different crops to sulphur fertilization in Punjab. Fert News 1977a;22:32-36
6. Charliers RS, Carpenter LJ. The role of Sulfur in biology and its importance in agriculture. Bull Docum Assoc intern Fabr Superph 1956;19: 1-37
7. Chesnin, L. and Yien, C.H. (1951) Turbidimetric determination of available sulphate. Soil Science Society of America Proceedings 1956;15:149-151.
8. Cimrin KM, Togay Y, Togay N, Sönmez F. Effect of different sulphur and pyrite levels on yield, yield components and nutrients uptake of lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medic.). Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences 2008;78(6):543-7
9. Dwivedi AK, Nayak GS, Patel KS. Interaction effects of sulphur and phosphorus fertilizer on yield and nutrient composition of lentil grown on a vertisol. Journal of Soil Sci. & Crops 2000;2:203-205
10. Heberto José Pirela. Pirela, Heberto José, "Chemical nature and plant availability of sulfur in soils " (1987). Retrospective Theses and Dissertations 1987,8575
11. Hart EB, Peterson WA. Sulfur requirements of farm crops in relation to the soil and air supply. Wisconsin Agric. Exp. Stn. Res. Bull 1911,14.
12. Hart MGR. Sulphur oxidation in tidal mangrove soils of Sierra Leone. Plant Soil 1959;11:215-236.
13. Harward ME, Chao TT, Fang SC. The sulfur status and sulfur supplying power of Oregon soils. Agron. J 1962;54:101-106.
14. Hussain K, Islam M, Siddique MT, Hayat R, Mohsan S. Soybean growth and nitrogen fixation as affected by sulphur fertilization and inoculation under rain fed conditions in Pakistan. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology 2011;13:951-955.
15. Kumar A, Sharma S, Sital JS, Singh S. Effect of Sulfur and Nitrogen Nutrition on Storage Protein Quality in Mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek] Seeds. Indian Journal of Agriculturae Biochemistry 2013;26(1):86-91.
16. Roy F, Boye JJ, Simpson BK. Bioactive proteins and peptides in pulse crops: Pea, chickpea and lentil. Food research international 2010;43(2):432-442.
17. Sekhon HS, Kaul JN, Sandhu TS. Effect of sulphur fertilization on growth and yield of lentil. Lens Newsletter 1998;25(1/2):30-32
18. Singh AK, Singh NP. Yield and uptake of primary nutrients by large seeded varieties of lentil under varying seed rates in normal and late sown conditions. Journal of Food Legumes 2008;20(2):187-9.
19. Singh SP, Sing CD. Response of lentil (*Lens culinaris*) cultivars to sources and levels of sulphur. Indian Journal of Agronomy 2002;47(1):94-97.
20. Singh S, Singh KP, Sarkar AK, Singh S. Effect of sulphur on yield, protein content and S uptake by lentil in acid soil. Journal of Research 1998;10(2):131-134.8 ref
21. Singh Vijay, Chauhan DVS. Effect of phosphorus and sulphur on yield and quality of lentil (M). Annuals of Plant Physio 1987;2:227-232.

22. Singh, Bhagwan, Kumar, Vinod. Effect of phosphorus and sulphur on lentil under rainfed condition. Indian J. Agron 1996;41(3):420-423
23. Singh SP, Sing CD. Response of lentil (*Lens culinaris*) cultivars to sources and levels of sulphur. Indian Journal of Agronomy 2002;47(1):94-97.
24. Singh SP, Chauhan DS, Singh SP. Response of lentil cultivar to sources and levels of sulphur. Indian J Agron 2002;47(1):94-97
25. Usta S. Soil chemistry. Agricultural Fac. Pub. No:1387 Practice Guide 1995,401.