



P-ISSN: 2349-8528

E-ISSN: 2321-4902

[www.chemijournal.com](http://www.chemijournal.com)

IJCS 2021; 9(1): 3568-3573

© 2021 IJCS

Received: 01-10-2020

Accepted: 07-12-2020

**Sandeep L Badgujar**Vasantnao Naik Marathwada  
Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani,  
Maharashtra, India**Pawan K Dhoke**Vasantnao Naik Marathwada  
Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani,  
Maharashtra, India**Manjusha J Shiradkar**Vasantnao Naik Marathwada  
Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani,  
Maharashtra, India**Sagar Jagdhane**Vasantnao Naik Marathwada  
Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani,  
Maharashtra, India**Corresponding Author:****Sandeep L Badgujar**Vasantnao Naik Marathwada  
Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani,  
Maharashtra, India

## To evaluate *in vitro* the efficacy of fungicides, bio-control agents and plant extract against *Colletotrichum capsici*

**Sandeep L Badgujar, Pawan K Dhoke, Manjusha J Shiradkar and Sagar Jagdhane**

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22271/chemi.2021.v9.i1ax.11787>

### Abstract

*Colletotrichum capsici* infection will be higher in the mature stage of chilli plant than in the early stage of plant (Krairuan *et al.*, 2008). The fungus prefers warm humid environment for spreading the anthracnose disease uniformly and effectively. All the two contact and six systemic fungicides evaluated *in vitro* were found fungistatic against *Colletotrichum capsici*. Among all these fungicides, Tricyclazole was found to be most effective with significantly maximum mycelial growth inhibition and least mycelial growth followed by fungicides *viz.* Azostrobin, Tebuconazole, Difenconazole, Propiconazole, Carbendazim + Mancozeb. While comparatively minimum average radial mycelial growth inhibition was recorded with Hexaconazole followed by Propineb. All the seven fungal and one bacterial antagonists evaluated *in vitro* were found antifungal against *Colletotrichum capsici* exhibited significant mycelial growth inhibition. However, *Trichoderma asperillum*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, *Trichoderma harzianum* and *Gliocladium virens* recorded significantly highest mycelial growth inhibition. Rest of the bioagents, *Trichoderma ligronum*, *Trichoderma koningii* and *Trichoderma longibrachiatum* and *Trichoderma hamatum* tested also caused significant mycelial inhibition of the test pathogen.

**Keywords:** *Colletotrichum capsici*, efficacy of fungicides, *in vitro*

### Introduction

Chilli crop is affected by several fungal, bacterial and viral diseases: (Anand *et al.*, 2009). Among the major fungal diseases; Damping off (*Pythium aphanidermatum*), Powdery mildew (*Leveillula taurica*), Anthracnose or fruit rot (*Colletotrichum capsici*) and *Cercospora* leaf spot (*Cercospora capsici*) are the major diseases. The important bacterial diseases includes; Bacterial wilt (*Ralstonia solanacearum*), Leaf spot (*Xanthomonas vesicatoria*), nematode diseases like Root knot and Root gall caused by *Meloidogyne spp.* and viral diseases like Chilli mosaic, leaf roll and leaf curl. Among the large number of diseases affecting chilli cultivation, anthracnose disease caused by *Colletotrichum species*, bacterial wilt by *Pseudomonas solanacearum* and viral diseases like chilli mosaic virus (CMV) infection have been most detrimental to chilli production.

Anthracnose of chilli was first reported from New Jersey, USA, described the causal agents as *Gloeosporium piperatum* and *Colletotrichum nigrum*. These taxa were then considered as synonyms of *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* heavy crop losses worldwide. Specifically, *Colletotrichum* is an asexual genus belonging to phylum Ascomycete and Coeleomycetes class of Fungi imperfectii. The disease has been reported from many countries including India, United States of America, Nigeria, Bangladesh and Indonesia. Anthracnose disease is a major problem in India and first reported in India from Coimbatore of Madras Presidency. These disease caused by more than one *Colletotrichum* species including; *Colletotrichum acutatum*, *Colletotrichum capsici*, *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* and *Colletotrichum coccodes*. *Colletotrichum capsici* infection will be higher in the mature stage of chilli plant than in the early stage of plant. The fungus prefers warm humid environment for spreading the anthracnose disease uniformly and effectively. The fungus is primarily invades into injured or weakened tissues of plants, produces various specialized structures during infection process.

In tropical countries, high moisture condition due to monsoon rain during June-October favors sporulation of *Colletotrichum capsici* which enhances the fruit rot disease incidence and helps outbreak of the disease. Hence, the objective of present study was to evaluate *in vitro* the efficacy of fungicides, bio-control agents and plant extract against *Colletotrichum capsici*.

## Material and Methods

### *In vitro* evaluation of fungicides

#### Experimental details

Design: C.R.D.

Replications: Three

Treatments: Nine

**Table 1:** Treatment details

Sr. No.	Common Name	Trade Name	Concentration
T <sub>1</sub>	Carbendazim + Mancozeb	Saaf 75 WP	0.05%, 0.1% 0.2%
T <sub>2</sub>	Propineb	Antracol 70 WP	0.05%, 0.1% 0.2%
T <sub>3</sub>	Hexaconazole	Contaf 5% EC	0.025%, 0.05% 0.1%
T <sub>4</sub>	Propiconazole	Tilt 25 EC	0.025%, 0.05% 0.1%
T <sub>5</sub>	Difenconazole	Score 25 EC	0.025%, 0.05% 0.1%
T <sub>6</sub>	Tebuconazole	Folicur 25 EC	0.025%, 0.05% 0.1%
T <sub>7</sub>	Azoxystrobin	Amistar 25 EC	0.025%, 0.05% 0.1%
T <sub>8</sub>	Tricyclazole	Beam 75% WP	0.025%, 0.05% 0.1%
T <sub>9</sub>	Control		

Observations on radial mycelial growth of the test fungus were recorded at 24 hrs interval and continued till growth of the test pathogen in untreated control plate was fully covered. Per cent inhibition of the test pathogen was calculated by applying the formula.

$$\text{Per cent inhibition (R)} = \frac{C - T}{C} \times 100$$

Where,

C= Growth of the test fungus in untreated control plates. T= Growth of the test fungus in treated plates.

### *In vitro* evaluation of bioagents

Experimental Details : Design

: C.R.D.

Replications : Three

Treatments : Nine

**Table 2:** Treatment details

Tr. No.	Treatments
T <sub>1</sub>	<i>Trichoderma asperallum</i>
T <sub>2</sub>	<i>Trichoderma harzianum</i>
T <sub>3</sub>	<i>Trichoderma hamatum</i>
T <sub>4</sub>	<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i>
T <sub>5</sub>	<i>Trichoderma ligronum</i>
T <sub>6</sub>	<i>Trichoderma koningii</i>
T <sub>7</sub>	<i>Trichoderma longibrachiatum</i>
T <sub>8</sub>	<i>Gliocladium virens</i>
T <sub>9</sub>	Control

Observations on linear mycelial growth of the test pathogen and test bioagent were recorded at an interval of 24 hours, continued till untreated control plates were fully covered with mycelial growth of the test pathogen and averaged finally. Per

cent inhibition of the test pathogen was calculated by applying the formula.

Where,

$$\text{Per cent inhibition (R)} = \frac{C - T}{C} \times 100$$

C= Growth of the test fungus in untreated control plates.

T= Growth of the test fungus in treated plates.

### *In vitro* evaluation of botanicals (plant extracts)

#### Experimental details

Design : C.R.D.

Replication : Three

Treatment : Eight

T<sub>1</sub> : karanj (Leaves extract)

T<sub>2</sub> : Onion (Bulbextract)

T<sub>3</sub> : Garlic (Bulbextract)

T<sub>4</sub> : Turmeric (Rhizome extract)

T<sub>5</sub> : Neem (Leaves extract)

T<sub>6</sub> : Ginger (Rhizome extract)

T<sub>7</sub> : Tulsi (Leaves extract)

T<sub>8</sub> : Control (Untreated).

Observations on radial mycelial growth of the test fungus were recorded at 24 hrs interval and continued till growth of the test pathogen in untreated control plate was fully covered. Per cent inhibition of the test pathogen was calculated by applying the formula.

$$\text{Per cent inhibition (R)} = \frac{C - T}{C} \times 100$$

Where,

C= Growth of the test fungus in untreated control plates.

T= Growth of the test fungus in treated plates.

## Results and Discussion

### *In vitro* efficacy of fungicides

A total 8 fungicide evaluated *in vitro* against *Colletotrichum capsici* exhibited a wide range of mycelial growth and inhibition of the test pathogen. The results obtained are presented in the Table-1 and depicted in Fig.- 3 and PLATE-5 (A).

### Radial mycelial growth

Results (Table 1, Fig 1, Plate 5 B) indicated that the mycelial growth of *Colletotrichum capsici* was significantly differed with all the contact fungicides evaluated at 0.05%, 0.1% and 0.2% and systemic fungicides 0.025%, 0.05% and 0.1%. (Table 1, Fig 3, Plate 5 B).

Systemic fungicides at 0.25% and contact fungicides at 0.05%, radial mycelial growth of the test pathogen were ranged from 20.00 mm (Tricyclazole) to 53.33 mm (Propineb). However, significantly least mycelial growth was recorded with the fungicide Tricyclazole (20.00 mm) followed by the fungicides *viz* Azoxystrobin (24.00 mm), Difenconazole (26.33 mm), Tebuconazole (27.66 mm), Propiconazole (31.66 mm), Carbendazim + Mancozeb (36.00 mm) and Hexaconazole (39.66 mm) which were at par with

each other. Whereas, comparatively maximum radial mycelial growth was recorded with Propineb (53.33 mm).

Systemic fungicides at 0.05% and contact fungicides at 0.1%, radial mycelial growth of the test pathogen were ranged from 18.66 mm (Tricyclazole) to 36.33 mm (Propineb). However, significantly least mycelial growth was recorded with the fungicide Tricyclazole (18.66 mm) followed by the fungicides *viz* Tebuconazole (21.00 mm), Azoxystrobin (22.66 mm), Difenconazole (25.00 mm), Propiconazole (29.33 mm), Carbendazim + Mancozeb (33.00 mm) which were at par with each other. Whereas, comparatively maximum radial mycelial growth was recorded with Propineb (36.33 mm).

Systemic fungicides at 0.1% and contact fungicides at 0.2%, radial mycelial growth of the test pathogen were ranged from 9.66 mm (Azoxystrobin) to 31.00 mm (Propineb and Hexaconazole). However, significantly least mycelial growth was recorded with the fungicide Azoxystrobin (9.66 mm) followed by the fungicides *viz* Tebuconazole (12.66 mm), Tricyclazole (15.00 mm) Propiconazole (18.00 mm), Difenconazole (22.00 mm) and Carbendazim + Mancozeb (23.33 mm) which were at par with each other. Whereas, comparatively maximum radial mycelial growth was recorded with Propineb and Hexaconazole (31.00 mm). Pathogen. The results obtained are presented in the Table-3 and depicted in Fig. and PLATE.

**Table 3:** *In vitro* efficacy of fungicides against mycelial growth and inhibition of *Colletotrichum capsici*

Tr. No.	Treatments	Colony Dia. *(mm) at Percentage			Av. (mm)	% Inhibition* at Percentage			Av. inhibition (%)
		0.05	0.1	0.2		0.05	0.1	0.2	
T <sub>1</sub>	Carbendazim + Mancozeb	36.00 (36.86)	33.00 (35.06)	23.33 (28.88)	30.77 (33.69)	60.00 (50.76)	63.3 (57.73)	74.07 (59.38)	65.80 (54.21)
T <sub>2</sub>	Propineb	53.33 (46.90)	36.33 (37.06)	31.00 (33.83)	40.22 (39.36)	40.74 (39.66)	59.63 (50.55)	65.55 (54.05)	55.30 (48.04)
		0.025	0.05	0.1		0.025	0.05	0.1	
T <sub>3</sub>	Hexaconazole	39.66 (39.03)	33.33 (35.26)	31.00 (33.83)	34.66 (36.06)	55.93 (48.40)	62.96 (52.51)	65.55 (54.05)	61.48 (51.63)
T <sub>4</sub>	Propiconazole	31.66 (34.24)	29.33 (32.79)	18.00 (25.10)	26.33 (30.87)	64.82 (53.62)	67.41 (55.18)	80.00 (63.43)	70.74 (57.25)
T <sub>5</sub>	Difenconazole	26.33 (30.87)	25.00 (30.00)	22.00 (27.97)	24.44 (29.62)	70.74 (57.25)	72.22 (58.19)	75.55 (66.36)	72.83 (58.58)
T <sub>6</sub>	Tebuconazole	27.66 (31.73)	21.00 (27.27)	12.66 (20.84)	20.44 (26.87)	69.26 (56.32)	76.66 (61.11)	85.93 (67.96)	77.28 (61.53)
T <sub>7</sub>	Azoxystrobin	24.00 (29.33)	22.66 (28.42)	9.66 (18.10)	18.77 (25.67)	73.33 (38.90)	74.82 (59.88)	89.26 (70.86)	79.13 (62.81)
T <sub>8</sub>	Tricyclazole	20.00 (26.54)	18.66 (25.59)	15.00 (22.78)	17.88 (25.01)	77.77 (61.86)	79.26 (76.26)	83.33 (65.90)	80.12 (63.52)
T <sub>9</sub>	Control	90.00 (71.56)	90.00 (71.56)	90.00 (71.56)	90.00 (71.56)	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00
	S.E.+	5.79	4.06	0.82		0.63	0.54	0.72	--
	C.D.(P=0.01)	1.93	1.35	2.46		1.91	1.63	2.15	--

\*: Mean of three replications, Dia: Diameter. Figures in parenthesis are arc sine transformed value

## Plate



(A) 0.1%.

- T<sub>1</sub>: Carbendazim 25%+Mancozeb 50%  
 T<sub>2</sub>: Propineb25 EC  
 T<sub>3</sub>: Hexaconazole  
 T<sub>4</sub>: Propiconazole  
 T<sub>5</sub>: Difenconazole  
 T<sub>6</sub>: Tebuconazole  
 T<sub>7</sub>: Azoxystrobin  
 T<sub>8</sub>: Tricyclazole  
 T<sub>9</sub>: Control

## *In vitro* efficacy of fungicides on radial mycelial growth and inhibition of *Colletotrichum capsici* Plate



(A) 0.05%



(B) 0.025%,

- T<sub>1</sub>: Carbendazim 25%+Mancozeb 50%  
 T<sub>2</sub>: Propineb25 EC  
 T<sub>3</sub>: Hexaconazole  
 T<sub>4</sub>: Propiconazole  
 T<sub>5</sub>: Difenconazole

T6: Tebuconazole  
 T7: Azoxystrobin  
 T8: Tricyclazole  
 T9: Control

### Mycelial growth inhibition

The results (Table and Fig.) indicated that all contact fungicides evaluated at 0.05%, 0.1% and 0.2% and systemic fungicides 0.025%, 0.05% and 0.1% which significantly inhibited mycelial growth of the test fungus over untreated control (00.00%).

Further, it was found that percentage growth inhibition was increased with the increase in concentrations of the fungicides tested (PLATE). Systemic fungicides at 0.025% and contact fungicides at 0.05%, percentage mycelial growth inhibition were ranged from 40.74% (Propineb) to 77.77% (Tricyclazole). However, significantly highest percentage of mycelial growth inhibition was recorded with Tricyclazole 77.77%. This was followed by the fungicides viz., Azoxystrobin (73.33%) Difenconazole (70.74%), Tebuconazole (69.26%), Propiconazole (64.82%), Carbendazim + Mancozeb (60.00%) and Hexaconazole (55.93%). Whereas, comparatively least percentage of mycelial growth inhibition was recorded with Propineb (40.74%). Systemic fungicides at 0.05% and contact fungicides at 0.1 percentage, mycelial growth inhibition was ranged from 79.26% (Tricyclazole) to 59.63% (Propineb). However, significantly highest percentage of mycelial growth inhibition was recorded with Tricyclazole (79.26%) followed

by the fungicides viz Tebuconazole (76.66%), Azoxystrobin (74.82%), Difenconazole (72.22%), Propiconazole (67.41%), Carbendazim + Mancozeb (63.33%) and Hexaconazole (62.96%). Whereas, comparatively least percentage of mycelial growth inhibition was recorded with Propineb (59.63%).

Systemic fungicides at 0.1% and contact fungicides at 0.2%, mycelial growth inhibition were ranged from 89.26% (Azoxystrobin) to 65.55% (Propineb and Hexaconazole). However, Significantly highest percentage of mycelial growth inhibition was recorded with Azoxystrobin (89.26%) followed by the fungicides viz Tebuconazole (85.93%), Tricyclazole (83.33%), Propiconazole (80.00%), Difenconazole (75.55%) and Carbendazim + Mancozeb (74.07%) Whereas, comparatively least percentage of mycelial growth inhibition was recorded with Propineb and Hexaconazole (65.55%).

The present results are also in agreement with the results obtained by Hegde *et al.*, (2002b) tested the efficacy of three triazole fungicides viz., Hexaconazole (0.1%), Propiconazole (0.1%) and Triadimefon (0.1%) against fruit rot pathogen *Colletotrichum capsici* by poison food technique and reported that significant inhibition of mycelial growth was recorded with all three fungicides.

### In vitro evaluation of bioagents/ antagonists

The results obtained on mycelial growth and inhibition *Colletotrichum capsici* with seven fungal and one bacterial antagonists are presented in (Table, Fig. and PLATE).

**Table 4:** In vitro efficacy of different bioagents against mycelial growth and inhibition of *Colletotrichum capsici*

Tr. No.	Treatments	Colony Dia. of test pathogen* (mm)	% Inhibition
T <sub>1</sub>	<i>Trichoderma asperallum</i>	17.00 (24.35)	81.11 (64.23)
T <sub>2</sub>	<i>Trichoderma harzianum</i>	26.65 (31.08)	70.38 (57.02)
T <sub>3</sub>	<i>Trichoderma hamatum</i>	45.00 (42.13)	50.00 (45.00)
T <sub>4</sub>	<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i>	23.00 (28.65)	74.44 (59.63)
T <sub>5</sub>	<i>Trichoderma ligronum</i>	32.66 (34.85)	63.71 (52.95)
T <sub>6</sub>	<i>Trichoderma koningii</i>	41.66 (40.19)	53.71 (47.12)
T <sub>7</sub>	<i>Trichoderma longibrachiatum</i>	43.33 (41.16)	51.85 (46.06)
T <sub>8</sub>	<i>Gliocladium virens</i>	28.66 (32.36)	68.15 (55.64)
T <sub>9</sub>	Control	90.00 (71.56)	00.00
	S.E. +	1.75	0.54
	C.D. (P=0.01)	5.23	1.63

\*Mean of three replications. Dia: Diameter. Figures in parenthesis are arc sine transformed value

The results are presented in Table, Plate and depicted graphically in Fig 4.-revealed that, all the antagonists tested against *Colletotrichum capsici* were effective in checking the growth of the pathogen. Out of eight antagonists tested, least mycelial growth of the test pathogen was recorded in *Trichoderma asperallum* (17.00 mm) which was at par with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (23.00 mm) followed by *Trichoderma harzianum* (26.65 mm), *Gliocladium virens* (28.66 mm), *Trichoderma ligronum* (32.66 mm), *Trichoderma koningii* (41.66 mm) and *Trichoderma longibrachiatum* (43.33 mm) while maximum growth recorded with *Trichoderma hamatum* (45.00 mm).

The result presented in Table-4 revealed that, *Trichoderma asperallum* (81.11%), *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (74.44%), *Trichoderma harzianum* (70.38%) *Gliocladium virens* (68.15%), *Trichoderma ligronum* (63.71%) and *Trichoderma koningii* (53.71%) significantly inhibited the pathogen. whereas, *Trichoderma longibrachiatum* (51.85%) and *Trichoderma hamatum* (50.00%) recorded least effective. It is evident from these studies that among all the antagonists

evaluated by dual culture method, *Trichoderma asperallum*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Gliocladium virens* consistently showed strong antagonistic property against *C. capsici* compared to the other antagonists tested hence considered as potential antagonists.

### Plate



T <sub>1</sub> :	<i>T. asperallum</i>
T <sub>2</sub> :	<i>T. harzianaum</i>
T <sub>3</sub> :	<i>T. hamatum</i>
T <sub>4</sub> :	<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i>
T <sub>5</sub> :	<i>T. lignorum</i>
T <sub>6</sub> :	<i>T. Koningii</i>
T <sub>7</sub> :	<i>T. longibrachiatum</i>
T <sub>8</sub> :	<i>Gliocladium virens</i>
T <sub>9</sub> :	Control

### **In vitro efficacy of bioagents on mycelial growth and inhibition of *Colletotrichum***

**In vitro evaluation of plant extracts / botanicals:** In present studies total botanicals were tested for their efficacy against *Colletotrichum capsici* at 15% and 20% concentration by employing poisoned food technique and data are presented in (Table, Fig, and Plate). Results revealed that all the botanicals tested were effective in inhibiting mycelial growth of *Colletotrichum capsici* over untreated control.

**Table 5:** *In vitro* efficacy of different botanicals/plant extract against mycelial growth and inhibition of *Colletotrichum capsici*

Tr. No.	Treatments	Colony Diameter (mm)*		Ave. (mm)	% Inhibition at Conc.		Ave. (mm)
		@ 15% Concentration	@ 20% Concentration		@ 15%	@ 20%	
T <sub>1</sub>	Karanj	66.00 (54.33)	45.66 (42.51)	55.83 (48.34)	26.66 (31.08)	49.26 (44.57)	37.96 (38.03)
T <sub>2</sub>	Onion	36.66 (37.26)	33.00 (35.06)	34.83 (36.16)	59.26 (50.33)	63.33 (52.73)	61.29 (51.52)
T <sub>3</sub>	Garlic	47.33 (43.46)	20.00 (26.56)	33.66 (35.46)	47.41 (43.51)	77.77 (61.86)	62.59 (52.29)
T <sub>4</sub>	Turmeric	30.00 (33.21)	11.00 (19.36)	20.50 (26.92)	66.66 (54.73)	87.77 (69.53)	77.21 (61.48)
T <sub>5</sub>	Neem	21.00 (27.27)	17.00 (24.35)	19.00 (25.84)	76.66 (6.11)	81.11 (64.23)	78.88 (62.64)
T <sub>6</sub>	Ginger	41.00 (39.81)	31.33 (34.03)	36.16 (36.96)	54.44 (47.54)	65.18 (53.83)	59.81 (50.65)
T <sub>7</sub>	Tulsi	43.00 (40.97)	29.32 (32.78)	36.16 (36.96)	52.22 (46.27)	67.42 (55.19)	59.81 (50.65)
T <sub>8</sub>	Control	90.00 (71.56)	90.00 (71.56)	90.00 (71.56)	00.00	00.00	00.00
S.E. +		4.24	4.37		0.54	0.533	
C.D. (P= 0.05)		1.40	1.44		1.65	1.61	

\*: Mean of three replications, Dia: Diameter

Figures in parenthesis are arc sine transformed value

At 15% concentration out of seven botanicals tested the Neem leaf extract was found to be most effective and recorded least mycelial growth (21.00 mm) with highest percent mycelial inhibition (76.66%) of *Colletotrichum capsici* and statistically significant over rest of the botanicals followed by Turmeric rhizome extract which recorded



(A) @ 15%

### Plate VIII



(C) @ 20%

### **In vitro efficacy of plant extract/botanicals at (A) 15%, (B) 20% on growth and inhibition of *Colletotrichum***

Diameter and percent mycelial inhibition of 30.00 mm and (66.66%) and statistically significant over rest of the botanicals. Onion bulb extract recorded colony diameter of 36.66 mm and corresponding inhibition of 59.26% and statistically at par with Ginger extract (41.00 mm and 36.16%). It was statistically significant over rest of the botanicals. The Tulsi leaf extract (43.00 mm and 52.22%) was statistically at par with Garlic clove extract which recorded 47.33 mm colony diameter and 47.41% inhibition. Karanj leaf extracts which recorded minimum colony diameter which was 66.00 mm and 26.66% mycelial growth inhibition. The untreated control plates recorded maximum colony diameter *i.e.*; (90.00 mm).

At 20% concentration out of seven botanicals tested the Turmeric Rhizome extract was found to be most effective and recorded least mycelial growth (11.00 mm) with highest mycelial inhibition (87.77%) of *Colletotrichum capsici* and statistically significant over rest of the botanicals followed by Neem leaf extract which recorded mean colony diameter and percent mycelial inhibition of 17.00 mm and (81.11%) and statistically significant over rest of the botanicals. Garlic bulb extract recorded colony diameter of 20.00 mm and corresponding inhibition of 77.77% and statistically at par with Tulsi leaf extract (29.32 mm and 67.42%). It was statistically significant over rest of the botanicals. The Ginger rhizome extract (31.33 mm and 65.18%) was statistically at par with Onion bulb extract which recorded 33.00 mm colony diameter and 63.33 inhibition. Karanj leaf extracts which recorded minimum colony diameter which was 45.66 mm and 49.26% mycelial growth inhibition. The untreated control plates recorded maximum colony diameter *i.e.*; (90.00 mm).

Average mycelial growth least mycelial growth recorded by Neem leaf extract (19.00 mm) with highest percent mycelial inhibition (78.88%) of *Colletotrichum capsici* over rest of the botanicals followed by Turmeric rhizome extract which recorded mean colony diameter and percent mycelial

inhibition of 20.50 mm and 77.21% and statistically significant over rest of the botanicals. Garlic bulb extract recorded colony diameter of 33.66 mm and corresponding inhibition of 62.59% and statistically at par with onion bulb extract (34.83 mm and 61.29%). It was statistically significant over rest of the botanicals. The Tulsi leaf extract and Ginger Rhizome extract recorded same average mycelial growth and percent inhibition (36.16 mm and 59.81%). Karanj leaf extracts which recorded minimum average colony diameter which was 55.83 mm and 37.96% mycelial growth inhibition.

### Summary and Conclusions

- All the two contact and six systemic fungicides evaluated *in vitro* were found fungistatic against *Colletotrichum capsici*. Among all these fungicides, Tricyclazole was found to be most effective with significantly maximum mycelial growth inhibition and least mycelial growth followed by fungicides viz. Azostrobin, Tebuconazole, Difenconazole, Propiconazole, Carbendazim + Mancozeb. While comparatively minimum average radial mycelial growth inhibition was recorded with Hexaconazole followed by Propineb
- All the seven fungal and one bacterial antagonists evaluated *in vitro* were found antifungal against *Colletotrichum capsici* exhibited significant mycelial growth inhibition. However, *Trichoderma asperallum*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, *Trichoderma harzianum* and *Gliocladium virens* recorded significantly highest mycelial growth inhibition. Rest of the bioagents, *Trichoderma ligronum*, *Trichoderma koningii*.

### References

1. Saikia R, Padun A, Arora DK. Purification and characterization of toxins produced by *Colletotrichum capsici*, causing fruit rot in chilli. J Mycol. Pl. Pathol 2004;34(2):421-424.
2. Sangdee A, Sachan S, Khankhum S. Morphological, pathological and molecular variability of *Colletotrichum capsici* causing anthracnose of chilli in the North-east of Thailand. African J Microbiol. Res 2011;5(25):4368-4372.
3. Sawant IS, Narkar SP, Shetty DS, Upadhyay A, Sawant SD. First report of *Colletotrichum capsici* causing anthracnose on grapes in Maharashtra, India. New Disease Reports 2012;25:2.
4. Saxena A, Raghuwanshi R, Gupta VK, Singh HB. Chilli Anthracnose: The Epidemiology and Management. Frontiers in Microbiology 2016;7:1-18.
5. Saxena A, Sarma BK, Singh HB. Effect of Azoxystrobin Based Fungicides in Management of Chilli and Tomato Diseases. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., India, Sect. B Biol. Sci 2016;86(2):283-289.
6. Sharma P, Kulshrestha G, Gopal M, Kadu LN. Integrated management of chilli die back and anthracnose in Delhi region. Indian Phytopath 2004;57(4):52-55.
1. Sharma SG, Ram Narayan, Sangam Lal, Chaturvedi C. Role of phenolic compounds in resistance of maize to leaf blight caused by Drechslera state of *Cochliobolus heterostrophus*. Indian Phytopath 1983;36(1):43-46.