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Abstract
For the improvement of onion seed yield mode of gene action is essential to know. Significant D indicated additive variations were greater in magnitude except umbel diameter and significant H indicated dominant variation for scape height and umbel diameter. Significant F indicating the presence of dominant genes only in number of umbel. H component is non-significant for all the characters except scape height. Non-significant h suggested dominance effect over all loci in the heterozygous phase was not important for number of umbel, scape height and umbel volume.

Introduction
Onion (Allium cepa L.) a member of the family Alliaceae is one of the most important spice crops grown all over the world. It is popularly used both at immature and mature bulb stages as a vegetable as well as spice and an indispensable item in every kitchen. The use of onion is not limited to any climate or associated with nationality. It is an important ingredient in several cooked vegetables and is used for their flavor, aroma and taste in the preparation of different dishes. Fresh onions contain about 86.8% moisture, 11.6% carbohydrates including 6 to 9% respectively (Manjunath, 1948; Brahmachari and Augusti, 1962). According to traditional medical literature (Nadkarni, 1954), onions are a source of many vitamins and are useful in fever, dropsy catarh and chronic bronchitis. Onion has diuretic properties, beneficial to the digestive tract, good for the eyes, to act as a heart stimulant and useful as an anti-rheumatic remedy. It has a lipid-lowering effect. Augusti (1974) reported that regular use of onion by a diabetic patient lowered his insulin dosage considerably. Onion can be stored after harvest and can be disposed of later in the market at the time when the prices are in favour of the growers. There is thus a lesser risk of overproduction in onion than in most other vegetables, which have to be marketed at once after harvest (Pandey and Singh, 1993).

Among the spice grown in Bangladesh, onion is grown in 1.79 lakh hectares and produced 17.38 lakh mt in terms of area and production (BBS, 2018). The average bulb yield of onion is very low as 6.93 t/ha when compared to the world average yield as 17.10 t/ha (FAO, 2001). Simultaneously, the seed yield of onion is very low as 370 to 500 kg/ha (HRDP, 1995) when compared to the yield as 1000 to 1200 kg/ha of some other countries of the world (Brewster, 1994). The total production of onion seed is about 150 mt/year but its requirement is more than 300 mt (Rahim, 1991). Though the area, per acre yield and production increases in the subsequent year (BBS, 2018) but still now Bangladesh is not sufficient in...
onion production as per the demand of her population. The use of old varieties like Taherpuri, Faridpuri, Jhitka etc. is one of the most important reasons for low productivity. So, the country needs to import a large quantity of onion every year and expend a huge amount of money for that. Mila and Parvin (2019) \[12\] reported that the yield level of onion is quite low (approximately 370-500 kg/ha) as compared to the higher yield (1000-1200 kg/ha) produced in other countries and this is happening due to lack of quality seed and improved varieties as well as improper cultural practices.

Looking at the importance and production of this crop greater attention is needed for its improvement. Therefore, efforts should be made to develop high yielding varieties through breeding research. But the success of the breeding programme depends on the knowledge of genetic variability of a population, about the nature and different gene actions governing the various quantitative traits. Most agronomic and economic characters are quantitative in nature and show continuous variation, which might be produced by a multitude of individual genes, each with a small effect. Studies of these characters are done by standard biometrical techniques of Hayman (1954a) \[15\], Hayman (1954b) \[16\] and Griffing (1956) \[14\] approaches. Genetic information of onion is not available, as little or no work has been done in this regard in Bangladesh. Therefore, the present study was undertaken to determine the mode of gene action in governing seed yield contributing characters and also to generate information for the identification of good general and specific combiners for the improvement of onion seed yield and its attributes.

Materials and Methods

The four released varieties viz. BARI Piaz-1, BARI Piaz-2, BARI Piaz-3 and BARI Piaz-4 and one promising line (ON0256) of onion collected from Spices Research Centre (SRC), Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute (BARI), Bogura were used in the present experiment as materials. Among them, BARI Piaz-1\((P_1)\), BARI Piaz-4\((P_4)\) and ON0256 \((P_3)\) are winter varieties and BARI Piaz-2 \((P_2)\) and BARI Piaz-3 \((P_3)\) are summer varieties. The experiment was set up in a randomized complete block design with three replications. Twenty cross combinations for \(F_1\) seed production as well as 5 parents (produced by selfing) were considered as 25 treatments in the trial. For the production of \(F_1\) seeds, two rows per plot having 1m long and 30cm space between them and plants to plant distance were 20 cm in the rows. Of the three replications, each contained 20 plots with 10 plants in each. Hybridization and selfing were done following full diallel fashion. Selfing was done by putting individual bamboo made frames with cotton net (20 mesh) over the plants as soon as the first flower opened. Then flies were introduced to ensure pollination. Besides, after anthesis the umbels were rubbed against each other daily for a few days to ensure self-pollination. Individual bamboo made frame fitted on each plot where onion bulbs of two parents planted and cotton net (20 meshes) fixed over the frame in each combination of parents in one plot during the flowering stage to check cross-pollination. Emasculation was done prior to anthesis. Then, for a few days in the morning, the male umbel was gently rubbed over the emasculated umbel (female parent) to ensure pollen shedding and cross-pollination. Simultaneously, flies were introduced into the individual net to encourage pollination between two parents. The introduction of flies in the net was continued up to the formation of seeds. The seeds of \(F_1\), reciprocals and parents were kept separately in the polythene packet and preserved in the refrigerator.

Data on four seed production related characters such as number of umbel, scape height, umbel diameter and umbel volume were recorded from 5 randomly selected plants of each plot and the collected data were analyzed according to Hayman (1954a) \[15\], Hayman (1954b) \[16\] and Griffing (1956) \[14\] approaches. Techniques of analyses of the data are described under the following sub-heads:

i). Testing the significance differences

The data were first analyzed to test the significance of crossing differences. The total variability in the treatments was partitioned into components like variance due to replication, crosses (including parents), interaction \((C \times R)\) and within error.

The sums of squares are calculated as follows:

Correction factor = \((\text{Grand total})^2 / r \times (n \times n) \times s\)

Total \(SS = \text{Individual observation}^2 – C\)

Replication \(SS = \sum X^2 / c \times s – \text{CF}\)

Cross (including parents) \(SS = \sum X^2 / rs – \text{CF}\)

\(C \times R\) \(SS = \text{Total } SS – \text{Treatment } SS – \text{Replication } SS\)

Here, \(X_i = \text{replication total}, \ X_j = \text{treatment total}, \ X_{ij} = \text{cross (including parents) total}, r = \text{number of replications}, n = \text{number of parents}, c = \text{number of crosses \((n \times n)\) and } s = \text{number of plants or sibs.}\)

ii). Combining ability analysis

ANOVA for combining ability analysis has been done using method 1 (Griffing, 1956) \[14\]. Sum of squares due to GCA, SCA and reciprocals and their GCA \((g_{ij})\), SCA \((s_{ij})\) and reciprocals \((r_{ij})\) effects are obtained as follows:

\[ \text{GCA}_{\text{SS}} = \frac{1}{2n} \left[ \sum (Y_{ij} + Y_{ji})^2 - \frac{2}{n}Y^2 \right] \]

\[ \text{SCA}_{\text{SS}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum \sum (Y_{ij} - Y_{ji})^2 \]

\[ \text{Reciprocal}_{\text{SS}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum \sum (Y_{ij} - Y_{ji})^2 \]

\[ g_{ij\text{ effect}} = 1/2n(Y_{ij} + Y_{ji}) - 1/n^2Y^2 \]

\[ s_{ij\text{ effect}} = \frac{1}{2} (Y_{ij} - Y_{ji}) - 1/2n(Y_{ij} + Y_{ji}) + 1/n^2Y^2 \]

\[ r_{ij\text{ effect}} = \frac{1}{2} (Y_{ij} - Y_{ji}) \]

iii). Estimation of variance and covariances

First and second degree statistics (Mather, 1949) \[27\] were calculated from the mean data. With the environmental expectations \((E)\) included, the statistics of the above parameters may be shown as follows (Hayman, 1954b) \[16\]:

\[ \text{Variance} = \frac{1}{2n} \left[ \sum (Y_{ij} + Y_{ji})^2 - \frac{2}{n}Y^2 \right] \]

\[ \text{Covariance} = \frac{1}{2} \sum \sum (Y_{ij} - Y_{ji})^2 \]

\[ \text{Correlation} = \frac{1}{2} \sum \sum \frac{(Y_{ij} - Y_{ji})^2}{Y^2} \]
Parental mean = \frac{\text{Sum of all the diagonal values}}{\text{Number of parents}}

\[ V_{0L0} = \frac{1}{n-1} \left( \sum \text{Diagonal values}^2 - \frac{(\sum \text{Diagonal values})^3}{\text{Number of diagonal values}} \right) \]

\[ V_{r} = \frac{1}{n-1} \left( \sum \text{Crosses involving a particular parent}^2 - \frac{(\sum \text{Crosses involving a particular parent})^2}{\text{Number of parents}} \right) \]

\[ V_{1L1} = \frac{1}{n} \sum V_{ri} \]

\[ W_r = \frac{1}{n-1} \left( \sum \text{Arrays} \times \text{no. recurring parents} - \sum \text{Arrays} \sum \text{Diagonal values} \right) \]

\[ W_{0L01} = \frac{1}{n} \sum W_{ri} \]

\[ V_{0L1} = \frac{1}{n-1} \left[ \sum \text{Array means}^2 - \frac{(\sum \text{Array means})^2}{\text{Number of arrays}} \right] \]

\[ (ML_1 - ML_0)^2 = \frac{1}{n} \left( \frac{\text{Grand total} - \text{Diagonal values}}{n} \right)^2 \]

\[ E = \frac{1}{r} \left\{ \text{Interaction n Error ss} + \text{Within Error ss} + \text{Rep ss} \right\} \]

\[ \text{Interaction n Error df} + \text{Within Error} + \text{Rep df} \]

iv). Components of variation and their proportions

The expected values of the components of variation obtained by least square computations are as follows:

\[ D = V_{0L0} - E \]

\[ F = 2V_{0L0} - 4W_{0L01} - 2(n - 2)E/n \]

\[ H_1 = V_{0L0} - 4W_{0L01} + 4V_{1L1} - (3n - 2)E/n \]

\[ H_2 = 4V_{1L1} - 4V_{0L1} - 2E \]

\[ h^2 = 4(ML_1 - ML_0)^2 - 4(n - 1)E/n^2 \]

For testing the significance of each of these above components, respective standard errors were calculated.

Additional proportional values were measured as follows:

Degree of dominance = \frac{H_1}{D^{1/2}}

Proportion of genes with positive and negative effects in the parents = H_1/4 H_1

Proportion of dominant and recessive genes in the parents = \frac{[4D H_1^{1/2} + F]/[4D H_1^{1/2} - F]}{H_1}

The number of groups of genes which control the character and exhibit dominance = h^2/H_1

Estimates the order of dominance of the parents = V_{1L1}/W_{0L01}

Results and Discussion

According to Hayman (1954b) [10], the results of the analysis of variance (ANOVA) of five-parent full diallel for testing the significant differences among crosses including parents is shown in Table 1. This analysis involved in partitioning the total variance into treatment, replication, crosses (including parents), crosses \times replication (C \times R) and within error.

The above items in the table were tested against their both error mean squares viz., interaction and within error (Table 1). Pooled error was used in all the characters except umbel volume. The values of treatment were highly significant for all the characters indicated that crosses including parents were significantly different from each other and the values of replication was non-significant for number of umbel and umbel diameter. When scape height and umbel volume, respectively were tested against within error and interaction were found to be significant. The values of crosses were found to be highly significant in all the characters. As treatment MS was significant, therefore, further analyses were done.

For the combining ability analysis, Method-1 of Griffing’s (1956) [14] was considered. The variations due to GCA and SCA (Table 2) were found to be significant for all the studied traits indicating that both additive and non-additive gene actions played a significant role in the expression of these characters. Pathak and Gowda (1993) [32] reported that scape height and many yield contributing characters of onion were controlled by both additive and non-additive gene action. Both GCA and SCA values in ANOVA were found to be significant by Ara et al., (2011) [3] in onion, Farid et al., (2012) [13] in shallot and Patil and Subramaniam (2020) [33] in white onion. Reciprocal crosses were found to be significant for all the characters except umbel volume in this material.

The relative magnitude of GCA (Table 3) was higher than SCA for all the four characters in this material. It was observed from this table that parent P_4 showed the highest positive significant GCA effects for different characters. So, P_4 was noted as good general combiner for scape height, umbel volume and number of umbel followed by P_5 for scape height and umbel volume and P_1 only for the trait scape height. A positive and high magnitude of GCA effect was obtained by Mani et al., (1999) [25] for bulb yield in onion. Farid et al., (2012) [13] observed that genotypes Tiron and Timor displayed the highest GCA value on all characters except plant height and bulb diameter in shallot. Parents exotics JV16 and JV12 noted as good general combiner by Patil and Subramaniam (2020) [33] for yield and processing quality characters in white onion. Hosfield et al., (1976, 1977a, 1977b) [18, 19, 20] recorded large GCAs for yield, bulb quality, and maturity. On the other hand, significant GCAs was noted by Aghora and Pathak (1991) [11] and Joshi and Tandon (1976) [22] in their studied materials.

Table 4 showed significant and non-significant positive and negative SCA values for F_{1’s} and reciprocals. In case of SCA effects due to F_{1}, higher positive significant values were obtained for scape height in P_3 \times P_5 followed by scape height in P_1 \times P_2, umbel volume in P_1 \times P_2 and scape height in P_3 \times P_5 and P_1 \times P_3. In this study, scape height showed the higher positive significant SCA effects in five combinations and cross P_1 \times P_3 exhibited positive significant values for all the characters except umbel volume. On the other hand, higher significant positive SCA values were recorded for the reciprocal crosses in P_2 \times P_1 and P_3 \times P_1 for scape height and in P_5 \times P_1 for umbel volume. Reciprocal cross P_2 \times P_1 showed significant positive values for all the characters under study. Similarly, P_3 \times P_4 showed significant positive values for all the characters except scape height. These crosses may therefore, be utilized for the study of hybrid vigour and fixation of dominant genes in further breeding research. A similar trend of results was recorded in chilli as observed by Lohithaswa et al., (2000) [24]. They studied that out of 45 crosses, 15 crosses showed significant positive SCA effects for fruit yield of which one cross exhibited SCA effects in respect of fruit index, fruit weight, number of fruits per plant; one cross showed SCA for plant height, capsaicin content etc. and so on. The highest SCA value showed by the crosses viz., Kuning \times Tiron, Timor \times Bima Juna, Tiron \times Timor and...
Kuning × Sibolangit in shallot (Farid et al., 2012) [13] while, the cross AF × J12 was the best specific combiner for bulb weight, bulb diameter, total soluble solids, percent bolting, percent doubles, pungency and yield in white onion (Patil and Subramaniam, 2020) [33]. Hosfield et al., (1976, 1977a, 1977b) [18, 19, 20] generated diallel crosses among inbred lines and observed SCA estimates were moderate to low. Aghora and Pathak (1991) [4] and Joshi and Tandon (1976) [22] reported highly variable SCA estimates among specific crosses.

Genetic component D, which measures additive variation, was highly significant for all the characters except umbel diameter (Table 5). The significant D indicated the importance of the additive gene effect in controlling of the above characters. A similar result was obtained by Patel et al., (2009) [31] and Basari et al., (2011) [5] in their studied materials. The F values were non-significant for all the characters except number of umbel, where it was significant indicating the presence of dominant genes. The non-significant F values suggested the presence of an equal amount of dominant and recessive genes in the parents. This result is in agreement with the findings of Deb (2020) [10]. The H2 component is significant only for scape height and umbel diameter and the remaining two characters are found to be non-significant. Significant H2 indicated that dominant variation was important for scape height and umbel diameter. Dominant genetic component noted as important by Patel et al., (2009) [31] and Basari et al., (2011) [5] in mung bean and black gram, respectively. But Ahmed et al., (1982) [2] found both additive and dominance genetic effects were important for number of days to fruiting and height, whereas only additive gene effects were important for seed number and fruit weight in chilli. Component H2 is non-significant for all the characters except scape height. Significant H2 value of scape height indicating that dominance with asymmetry of positive and negative effects was present for this respective trait. Chowdhury and Deb (2016) [9] noted both significant and non-significant H2 components in chickpea. H2 is less than H1 for all the characters except number of umbel indicating that dominance effect was important in controlling these three characters and parents contained positive and negative dominant genes in equal proportion. In this investigation, component h22 found to be non-significant for number of umbel, scape height and umbel volume which suggested that dominance effect over all loci in heterozygous phase was not important for these traits. Whereas, the same (h22) for umbel diameter was significant, indicated that dominance effect over all loci in the heterozygous phase was important. The dominance effect over all loci was in the heterozygous phase for CAMF, NSBMF, NPdPP and NSPP observed by Deb (2020) [10]. All the four genetic parameters viz. D, H1, H2 and h22 were found to be significant in onion by Ara et al., (2011) [3]. The magnitude of E for scape height and umbel volume was lower than the respective values of D and H1. On the other hand, E was lower than D but higher than H1, for number of umbel. The vice-versa result was noticed in umbel diameter. The lower values of E indicated that the environmental influence over the character expression was less than additive and dominant effects.

In the present study, the ratio of (H2/D)1/2 suggested over dominance for the character scape height and umbel diameter, whereas partial dominance was recorded for the remaining two characters. The same results were observed for V1L1/ W0L0 ratio. Singh and Rai (1986) [36] reported that in 8 × 8 half diallel crosses in chilli where partial dominance was important for fruit length, fruit number per plant and fruit yield per plant. Even El-Ahmedi and Stevens (1979b) [11] also reported similar findings of partial dominance for flowers per cluster and percent fruit set in tomato. On the other hand, over dominance was noted by Karami (2011) [23] and Deb (2020) [10] in chickpea. Chowdhury and Deb (2016) [9] observed partial to over dominance in lentil. The proportion, H2/H1 measures average value of positive and negative genes i.e., uv over all loci in the parents. In case of unequal allelic frequencies i.e., u ≠ v at all loci estimated from the ratio H2/H1 was less than its maximum value 0.25, which happens when u = v = 0.5 at all loci. Both symmetry and asymmetrical distribution of genes with positive and negative effects were recorded from the estimated value of H2/H1 in the present investigation for different characters. The values of this ratio studied for scape height, umbel diameter and umbel volume were less than 0.25 indicating unequal distribution of positive and negative alleles. But this ratio greater than 0.25 was recorded only for number of umbel indicated equal distribution of positive and negative alleles. Both unequal and equal gene frequencies were obtained by Basari et al., (2011) [5] and Deb (2020) [10] in black gram and chickpea, respectively. The ratio of [(4DH1)1/2 + F] / [(4DH1)1/2 – F] determines the proportion of dominant and recessive genes in the parents. In this material, the ratio was greater than one was recorded for umbel volume indicated the presence of an excess of dominant genes in the parents. This value for the other three traits is less than one suggested that parents had more recessive than dominant genes. Traits DFF, PHFF, NSBFF, CAMF, NPdPP, NSPP and RW showed excess dominant genes in the parent whereas, traits NPBFF, NSBMF, PdWPP, SWPP and IPW exhibited excess recessive gene than dominant genes in the parents (Deb, 2020) [10]. Hong-Pang-Wu (1968b) [17] estimated an equal proportion of dominant and recessive genes for number of primary branches per panicle and panicle length in rice. The ratio of h22/H2 estimates the number of effective factors or groups of genes, which control the character exhibiting the degree of dominance. All the four characters having this ratio smaller than one indicated the involvement of at least one group of dominant genes are responsible for their genetic control and this has happened may be due to non-isodirectional distribution of dominant genes with positive and negative effects. Ara et al., (2011) [3] observed two to one group of genes are responsible to control the inherited traits in onion. Rahman and Eunus (1971) [35] also reported 1 to 21 effective factors for the control of quantitative traits in jute. Only one group of dominant genes was detected to control some agronomic characters in chickpea by Deb (2020) [10].

Conclusion

The combing ability analysis in onion showed that GCA and SCA variances with each parent played a significant role in the choice of parents regarding all four seed-yield contributing characters. The parent P3 was a good general combiner for scape height, umbel volume and number of umbel. The best combiner as observed from SCA effects in both of F1 (P3 × P1, P3 × P2, P1 × P3, P1 × P2 and P2 × P3) and reciprocal crosses (P3 × P1, P3 × P1, and P3 × P3) for different characters. The above parent and crosses appeared to be important and indicating that these crosses would likely help do a successful breeding plan easily for the improvement of potential varieties in onion.
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Table 1: ANOVA of five-parent full diallel of four agronomic traits in onion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Number of umbel</th>
<th>Scape height (cm)</th>
<th>Umbral diameter (cm)</th>
<th>Umbral volume (cm³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>df</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>VR₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replication</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.612</td>
<td>1.806</td>
<td>1.0318**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crosses (including parents)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1098.33</td>
<td>45.7368</td>
<td>26.3056*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C × R</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>81.258</td>
<td>1.6929</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within error</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>521.900</td>
<td>1.7397</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pooled error</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>603.158</td>
<td>1.7332</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* and ** indicates significant at 5% and 1% level, respectively and NS indicate non-significant.

Table 2: ANOVA of combining ability (GCA and SCA) of four agronomic traits in onion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources</th>
<th>Number of umbel</th>
<th>Scape height (cm)</th>
<th>Umbre diameter (cm)</th>
<th>Umbre volume (cm³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GCA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.9504**</td>
<td>140.0184**</td>
<td>1.4517**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCA</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.6940**</td>
<td>81.7583**</td>
<td>0.2957**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reciprocal</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.59623**</td>
<td>101.5621**</td>
<td>0.5856**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.1067</td>
<td>3.5906</td>
<td>0.0569</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* and ** indicates significant at 5% and 1% level, respectively and NS indicate non-significant.

Table 3: Estimated values of general combining ability (GCA) effects of four agronomic traits in onion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parents</th>
<th>Number of umbel</th>
<th>Scape height (cm)</th>
<th>Umbre diameter (cm)</th>
<th>Umbre volume (cm³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P₁</td>
<td>0.2489*</td>
<td>1.4873*</td>
<td>0.0844NS</td>
<td>0.0200NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂</td>
<td>-1.1081**</td>
<td>-7.5727**</td>
<td>-0.3103*</td>
<td>-2.3134*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₃</td>
<td>-0.7311**</td>
<td>-7.7277**</td>
<td>-0.4736*</td>
<td>-3.7800*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₄</td>
<td>1.0085**</td>
<td>7.2873**</td>
<td>0.2851*</td>
<td>3.8867*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₅</td>
<td>0.5819**</td>
<td>6.0707**</td>
<td>0.4144*</td>
<td>2.1867*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* and ** indicates significant at 5% and 1% level, respectively and NS indicate non-significant.

Table 4: Estimated values of specific combining ability (SCA) effects of F₁’s and reciprocals of four agronomic traits in onion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crosses</th>
<th>Number of umbel</th>
<th>Scape height (cm)</th>
<th>Umbre diameter (cm)</th>
<th>Umbre volume (cm³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P₁ × P₂</td>
<td>0.0978NS</td>
<td>6.4160**</td>
<td>-0.3697*</td>
<td>0.3133NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₁ × P₃</td>
<td>-0.2942NS</td>
<td>3.5327**</td>
<td>0.0136NS</td>
<td>-0.5533NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₁ × P₄</td>
<td>0.2478**</td>
<td>-0.0773NS</td>
<td>0.2049*</td>
<td>4.6133*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₁ × P₅</td>
<td>0.5411**</td>
<td>3.7060**</td>
<td>0.3389*</td>
<td>0.4800NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂ × P₃</td>
<td>0.0445**</td>
<td>-8.8907NS</td>
<td>-0.3894*</td>
<td>1.4467NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂ × P₄</td>
<td>-0.9952**</td>
<td>-0.3673NS</td>
<td>-0.5104*</td>
<td>-1.8867NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂ × P₅</td>
<td>0.1315**</td>
<td>0.9660NS</td>
<td>0.0069NS</td>
<td>-3.0200*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₃ × P₄</td>
<td>0.9278**</td>
<td>7.8827**</td>
<td>0.2092NS</td>
<td>-2.4200NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₃ × P₅</td>
<td>-0.8122**</td>
<td>-6.8673**</td>
<td>-0.0831NS</td>
<td>0.1133NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₄ × P₅</td>
<td>0.0981NS</td>
<td>2.0060**</td>
<td>-0.1217NS</td>
<td>-0.0535NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* and ** indicates significant at 5% and 1% level, respectively and NS indicate non-significant.

Table 5: Components of variation and their ratios of four agronomic traits in onion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notation</th>
<th>Number of umbel</th>
<th>Scape height (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estimated values</td>
<td>Proportion</td>
<td>Estimated values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Components of variation</td>
<td>(H/D)½</td>
<td>103.1782 ± 30.3522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion</td>
<td>H/D</td>
<td>1.499916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated values</td>
<td>Proportion</td>
<td>Estimated values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Components of variation</td>
<td>(H/D)½</td>
<td>20.094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion</td>
<td>H/D</td>
<td>0.76599</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Umbral diameter (cm) Umbre volume (cm³)
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