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Abstract
The present investigation was carried out to evaluate the 45 F1 hybrids derived from half diallel fashion along with ten parents in randomized block design with three replications during Rabi season of 2018-19 (E1) and 2019-20 (E2) at the Main Experiment Station (MES) of the Department of Vegetable Science, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture & Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya (U.P.). The genetic components of variation were determined for sixteen characters viz., days to 50 % flowering, leaf length (cm), leaf width, number of flower per inflorescence, days to first harvesting, plant height (cm), primary branches per plant, number of fruit per cluster, number of fruits per plant, average fruit weight (g), fruit length (cm), fruit circumference (cm), yield per plant (g), specific gravity, TSS (%) and dry weight/100g. The data were analyzed to fulfill the following objectives to estimates genes action for yield and its component traits analysis of variance revealed significant difference for the parents, F1 S and parent vs. F1s for all the characters indicating wide variation except fruit circumference in both years and fruit length in Y1. Both additive and dominance variance were found important in the inheritance of most of the traits, whereas dominance showed over dominance for the most of the traits.
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Introduction
Brinjal belongs to the family Solanaceous and botanically known as Solanum melongena L. The family contains 75 genera and over 2000 species, out of which, about 150-200 are tuber bearing and belong to section Tuberaria. The majority of species (about 1800) are non-tuber bearing. Cytological studies have indicated that chromosome number 2n = 24 is same in almost all species. There are 3 main botanical varieties under the species melongena (Choudhry, 1976a). The common brinjal, to which large, round or egg-shaped fruited forms belong, are grouped under var. esculentum. The long, slender types are included under var. serpentinum and the dwarf brinjal plants are put under var. depressum. Brinjal is considered a native to India where the major domestication of large fruited cultivars occurred. In “Origin of cultivated plants” published in 1886 De Candolle, stated that the species S. melongena has been known in India from ancient times and regarded it as a native of Asia. Vavilov (1928) was of the opinion that its center of origin was in the Indo-Burma region.

In brinjal, heterostyly is a common feature. Four types of flowers have been reported depending on the length of styles, viz. (i) long-styled with large ovary, (ii) medium-styled with medium size ovary, (iii) Pseudo short-styled with rudimentary ovary and (iv) true short-styled with very rudimentary ovary (Krishnamurthi and Subramaniam, 1954).

The de cholestrolizing action is attributed to the presence of polyunsaturated fatty acid (linoleic and linolenic) which are present in flesh and seed of the fruit in higher amount (65.1%). In addition, variation is also noticed for characters like vegetative growth, maturity and presence or absence of spines on leaves, stem and fruit calyx among the indigenous material. Among the various mating designs diallel cross techniques has been most frequently used to determine nature and magnitude of gene action through the estimate of genetic components, general and specific combining ability variances and their effects in many self, often-cross and cross-pollinated crops. In brinjal several workers have used this design for estimating component of variation and combining ability (Bavage et al., 2005; Panda et al., 2005).
The high level of heterosis in desirable direction coupled with high Sca variance and non-additive type of gene action have also been reported in several major economic traits of brinjal including yield.

Materials and Methods

The present investigation entitled Study on gene action involved in inheritance of yield and its contributing traits in brinjal (Solanum melongena L.) was carried out at Main Experiment Station (Vegetable Research Farm), Narendra Nagar (Kumarganj), Ayodhya (U.P.), India, during Rabi season of 2018-19. Geographically the experimental site falls under humid subtropical climate and is located at 26.47° N latitude and 82.12° E longitude at an elevation of altitude of 113 meter above the mean sea level. Geographically it falls in north east genetic alluvial plains of eastern U.P. Ayodhya region. The experimental field had sandy loam soil, low in organic carbon, nitrogen, medium in phosphorous, potash and slightly alkaline (pH 8.0) in nature. The mechanical mixture of soil was 60.9% sand, 27.8% silt and 11.3% clay. The climate of district Ayodhya is semi-arid with hot summer and cold winter. Maximum rains in this area are received from July to the end of September. The winter months are usually cool and dry but occasional light showers are also not uncommon whereas, summer months are extreme hot and dry. The data was recorded at meteorological observatory of Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Narendra Nagar (Kumarganj), Ayodhya (U.P.). The experiment was conducted in a Randomized Block Design (R.B.D.) with three replications to assess the performance of 45 F₁ hybrids and their 10 parents and one commercial check. The crop was planted in row length spaced 60 cm. apart where, 50 cm. plant to plant spacing was maintained. The ten pure lines of brinjal were provided by the Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Narendra Nagar (Kumarganj), Ayodhya (U.P.). The selected parental lines namely NDB-51, Punjab Sadabahar, MK, KS-224, NDB-3, NDB-2, Pant Rituar, Pant Smart and NDB- White were crossed in all the possible combinations, excluding reciprocals, during the Rabi season of 2018-19. These 45 F₁s along with their parents were evaluated for the study of heterosis, combining ability, gene action, heritability and genetic advance for 18 fruit yield and quality attributing traits. The ten diverse parental lines selected their characteristics and crossed in diallel mating design as suggested by Griffing (1956 b) [7] to produce 45 hybrids in Rabi season of 2018-19 and evaluated during Rabi season of 2018-19 and 2019-20. The experiment laid out in randomized block design with three replications and 55 treatment including 10 parents. The observation were recorded yield and its contributing traits in brinjal, Days to 50% flowering, leaf length and leaf width in both the seasons which indicated that there were excess of dominance gene in the inheritance of these traits among the parents. The average degree of dominance \( \left( \frac{H}{D} \right)^{1/2} \) involve in the action of genes was found as over dominance for all the traits except for days to 50% flowering, no. of flower per inflorescence, average fruit weight and fruit circumference in both the seasons. This suggested that heterosis breeding might be advantageous for improvement of yield and its component traits in brinjal. These findings are similar to that of Singh et al. (2002) [14]; Suneetha et al. (2008) [15]; Tha et al. (2006) [17]; Chaudhary and Kumar (1999) [18] and Patil et al. (2000) [19].

The estimates of components, \( \hat{H}, \hat{I}, \hat{D} \) and \( \hat{E} \) were significant for number of flower per inflorescence during both the seasons (E₁, E₂), \( \hat{F} \) and \( \hat{h}^2 \) were found non-significant and Environmental component \( \hat{E} \) were found significant for both the seasons (E₁, E₂). The significance of \( \hat{H}, \hat{H}, \hat{D} \) values in both the seasons (E₁, E₂) suggested the role of dominance components for expression of this character. The mean degrees of dominance \( \left( \frac{\hat{H}}{\hat{D}} \right)^{1/2} \) less were than one, which showed partial dominance during both the seasons (E₁, E₂). The value of proportion of genes +/- \( \hat{H}^2 /4 \hat{H}^1/2 \) were found 0.19 and 0.19 in E₁, and E₂ respectively indicating the asymmetrical distribution of genes with positive and negative effects among the parents. The proportions of dominant and recessive genes \( \left( \frac{\hat{D}}{\hat{H}} \right)^{1/2} + \hat{F} /\left( \frac{\hat{D}}{\hat{H}} \right)^{1/2} \hat{F} \) in the parents were more than one which indicated that the dominant genes were more frequent than recessive ones. The number of gene groups that control the character \( \hat{h}^2 /\hat{H}^1 \) and exhibit recessive was -0.01 and -0.01 in E₁ and E₂ respectively.
‘r’ values were positive in both \( E_1 \) and \( E_2 \) which showed excess of dominant genes during both the seasons (\( E_1, E_2 \)).

For days to first fruit harvest \( \hat{D}, \hat{H}_1, \hat{H}_2, \hat{F} \) and \( \hat{E} \) in both season indicated that both additive as well as dominance variance were important in the expression of days to first fruit harvesting. The positive \( \hat{F} \) value also suggested less frequency of dominant genes in the inheritance of this trait.

The mean degrees of dominance \( \left( \hat{H}_1/\hat{D} \right)^{1/2} \) was showed over dominance. The value of proportion of genes \( \left( \hat{H}_2/4 \hat{H}_1 \right)^{1/2} \) ratio 0.08 and 0.11 indicated asymmetrical distribution of genes with positive and negative effect among the parents. The values of \( t^2 \) and (1-b/Seb) for days to first fruit harvesting were found non-significant indicating the validity of the hypothesis of diallel cross analysis during both the seasons (\( E_1, E_2 \)).

The estimates of components, \( \hat{D}, \hat{H}_1 \) and \( \hat{H}_2 \) were significant for plant height during both the seasons (\( E_1, E_2 \)). \( \hat{F} \), \( h^2 \) and \( \hat{E} \) Environmental component \( \hat{E} \) were found non-significant for both the seasons (\( E_1, E_2 \)). The significance of \( \hat{H}_1, \hat{H}_2 \) values in both the seasons (\( E_1, E_2 \)) suggested the role of dominance components for expression of this trait character. The mean degrees of dominance \( \left( \hat{H}_1/\hat{D} \right)^{1/2} \) were more than one, which showed over dominance during both the seasons (\( E_1, E_2 \)). The value of proportion of genes +/- \( \left( \hat{H}_2/4 \hat{H}_1 \right)^{1/2} \) were found 0.20 and 0.20 in \( E_1 \), and \( E_2 \) respectively indicating the asymmetrical distribution of genes with positive and negative effects among the parents. The proportions of dominant and recessive genes \( \left( 4\hat{D}\hat{H}_1 \right)^{1/2} + \hat{F}/(4\hat{D}\hat{H}_1)^{1/2} \hat{F} \) in the parents were more than one which indicated that the dominant genes were more frequent than recessive ones. The number of gene groups that control the character \( \left( \hat{h}_2/\hat{h}_2 \right) \) and exhibit dominance was 0.45 and 0.21 in \( E_1 \) and \( E_2 \), respectively. The ‘r’ values were positive in both \( E_1 \) and \( E_2 \) which showed excess of dominant genes during both the seasons (\( E_1, E_2 \)).

For number of fruit per cluster \( \hat{H}_1, \hat{H}_2, \hat{F} \) and \( \hat{h}_2 \) were significant for number of fruits per cluster during both the seasons (\( E_1, E_2 \)). Environmental component was found non-significant for both the seasons (\( E_1, E_2 \)). The significance of \( \hat{H}_1, \hat{H}_2 \) values in both the seasons (\( E_1, E_2 \)) suggested the role of dominance components for expression of this character. The mean degrees of dominance \( \left( \hat{H}_1/\hat{D} \right)^{1/2} \) were more than one, which showed over dominance during both the seasons (\( E_1, E_2 \)). The value of proportion of genes +/- \( \left( \hat{H}_2/4 \hat{H}_1 \right)^{1/2} \) were found 0.21 and 0.08 in \( E_1 \), and \( E_2 \) respectively indicating the asymmetrical distribution of genes with positive and negative effects among the parents. The proportions of dominant and recessive genes \( \left( 4\hat{D}\hat{H}_1 \right)^{1/2} + \hat{F}/(4\hat{D}\hat{H}_1)^{1/2} \hat{F} \) in the parents were more than one which indicated that the dominant genes were more frequent than recessive ones. The number of gene groups that control the character \( \left( \hat{h}_2/\hat{h}_2 \right) \) and exhibit dominance was 0.45 and 0.21 in \( E_1 \) and \( E_2 \), respectively. The ‘r’ values were positive in both \( E_1 \) and \( E_2 \) which showed excess of dominant genes during both the seasons (\( E_1, E_2 \)).

For number of fruit per cluster \( \hat{H}_1, \hat{H}_2, \hat{F} \) and \( \hat{h}_2 \) were significant for number of fruits per cluster during both the seasons (\( E_1, E_2 \)). Environmental component was found non-significant for both the seasons (\( E_1, E_2 \)). The significance of \( \hat{H}_1, \hat{H}_2 \) values in both the seasons (\( E_1, E_2 \)) suggested the role of dominance components for expression of this character. The mean degrees of dominance \( \left( \hat{H}_1/\hat{D} \right)^{1/2} \) were more than one, which showed over dominance during both the seasons (\( E_1, E_2 \)). The value of proportion of genes +/- \( \left( \hat{H}_2/4 \hat{H}_1 \right)^{1/2} \) were found 0.21 and 0.08 in \( E_1 \), and \( E_2 \) respectively indicating the asymmetrical distribution of genes with positive and negative effects among the parents. The proportions of dominant and recessive genes \( \left( 4\hat{D}\hat{H}_1 \right)^{1/2} + \hat{F}/(4\hat{D}\hat{H}_1)^{1/2} \hat{F} \) in the parents were more than one which indicated that the dominant genes were more frequent than recessive ones. The number of gene groups that control the character \( \left( \hat{h}_2/\hat{h}_2 \right) \) and exhibit dominance was 0.45 and 0.21 in \( E_1 \) and \( E_2 \), respectively. The ‘r’ values were positive in both \( E_1 \) and \( E_2 \) which showed excess of dominant genes during both the seasons (\( E_1, E_2 \)).


Table 1: Estimates of components of variation and their related statistics in 10 x 10 diallel crosses of brinjal over two seasons (\( E_1, E_2 \))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components of variation and related statistics</th>
<th>Seasons</th>
<th>Days to 50% flowering (days)</th>
<th>Leaf length (cm)</th>
<th>Leaf width (cm)</th>
<th>Number of flower per inflorescence</th>
<th>Days to first harvesting (days)</th>
<th>Plant height (cm)</th>
<th>Primary branches per plant</th>
<th>No. of fruit per cluster</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \hat{D} ) (Additive effect)</td>
<td>( E_1 )</td>
<td>9.12**±0.28</td>
<td>1.14**±0.56</td>
<td>0.75**±0.12</td>
<td>0.65**±0.05</td>
<td>8.15**±2.36</td>
<td>30.28**±7.38</td>
<td>0.20±0.21</td>
<td>0.14**±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( E_2 )</td>
<td>10.65**±0.52</td>
<td>1.92**±0.52</td>
<td>1.06**±0.14</td>
<td>0.71**±0.05</td>
<td>12.48**±2.65</td>
<td>28.80**±8.85</td>
<td>0.23±0.27</td>
<td>0.56**±0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \hat{H}_1 ) (Dominance effect)</td>
<td>( E_1 )</td>
<td>-5.56±0.59</td>
<td>3.58**±1.20</td>
<td>1.00**±0.21</td>
<td>0.65±10</td>
<td>18.91**±5.02</td>
<td>104.52**±15.72</td>
<td>1.60±0.46</td>
<td>0.15**±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( E_2 )</td>
<td>-3.26±1.12</td>
<td>4.34**±1.12</td>
<td>1.39**±0.31</td>
<td>0.70±1.11</td>
<td>30.90**±5.64</td>
<td>107.78**±18.84</td>
<td>1.78±0.58</td>
<td>0.68**±0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \hat{H}_2 ) (Dominance)</td>
<td>( E_1 )</td>
<td>-3.55±0.50</td>
<td>3.05**±1.02</td>
<td>0.93**±0.23</td>
<td>0.51±±0.09</td>
<td>6.17±4.27</td>
<td>86.14**±13.36</td>
<td>1.40**±0.39</td>
<td>0.11**±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( E_2 )</td>
<td>-1.76±0.95</td>
<td>3.64**±0.94</td>
<td>1.15**±0.26</td>
<td>0.55**±0.09</td>
<td>14.12**±4.80</td>
<td>86.22**±16.01</td>
<td>1.51±0.49</td>
<td>0.51**±0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component of variation and related statistics</th>
<th>Seasons</th>
<th>No. of fruits per plant</th>
<th>Average fruit weight (g)</th>
<th>Fruit length (cm)</th>
<th>Fruit circumference</th>
<th>Yield per plant (g)</th>
<th>Specific gravity</th>
<th>TSS (%)</th>
<th>Dry weight/100g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>D</strong> (Additive effect)</td>
<td>E1</td>
<td>29.49**±3.28</td>
<td>894.86**±18.97</td>
<td>7.61**±1.00</td>
<td>29.02**±2.89</td>
<td>2.30**±0.26</td>
<td>0.07±0.00</td>
<td>0.10**±0.03</td>
<td>0.37**±0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E2</td>
<td>32.15**±3.45</td>
<td>894.80**±19.02</td>
<td>8.08**±1.21</td>
<td>32.84**±3.23</td>
<td>2.44**±0.37</td>
<td>0.08±0.00</td>
<td>0.41**±0.04</td>
<td>0.41**±0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>H_1</strong> (Dominance effect)</td>
<td>E1</td>
<td>37.49**±6.99</td>
<td>178.97**±40.39</td>
<td>8.66**±2.14</td>
<td>12.02±6.15</td>
<td>4.90**±0.55</td>
<td>0.09**±0.01</td>
<td>0.08±0.31</td>
<td>0.89**±0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E2</td>
<td>40.41**±7.35</td>
<td>180.36**±40.49</td>
<td>9.76**±2.58</td>
<td>13.72±6.89</td>
<td>5.78**±0.79</td>
<td>0.10**±0.02</td>
<td>0.47**±0.09</td>
<td>0.98**±0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>H_2</strong> (Dominance indicating asymmetry of +/- effect of genes)</td>
<td>E1</td>
<td>29.38**±5.94</td>
<td>160.44**±34.32</td>
<td>6.13**±1.82</td>
<td>10.18±5.23</td>
<td>3.82**±0.47</td>
<td>0.08**±0.01</td>
<td>0.06±0.11</td>
<td>0.61**±0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E2</td>
<td>31.94**±6.25</td>
<td>161.30**±34.41</td>
<td>6.92**±2.19</td>
<td>11.54±5.85</td>
<td>4.63**±0.67</td>
<td>0.08**±0.01</td>
<td>0.33**±0.08</td>
<td>0.66**±0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F</strong> (Mean Fr over arrays)</td>
<td>E1</td>
<td>13.54±7.58</td>
<td>49.07±43.78</td>
<td>2.61±2.32</td>
<td>-0.83±6.67</td>
<td>2.30**±0.60</td>
<td>-0.01±0.02</td>
<td>0.08±0.00</td>
<td>0.49±0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E2</td>
<td>14.32±7.97</td>
<td>46.21±43.89</td>
<td>2.53±2.79</td>
<td>0.38±7.46</td>
<td>2.39**±0.86</td>
<td>-0.01±0.02</td>
<td>0.13±0.10</td>
<td>0.55±0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>H_2^2</strong></td>
<td>E1</td>
<td>0.33±3.84</td>
<td>203.44**±22.97</td>
<td>-0.07±1.22</td>
<td>-0.05±3.50</td>
<td>0.79**±0.31</td>
<td>0.09**±0.01</td>
<td>0.00±0.04</td>
<td>0.12±0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E2</td>
<td>0.38±4.18</td>
<td>205.59**±23.03</td>
<td>-0.07±1.46</td>
<td>-0.06±3.94</td>
<td>0.84±0.45</td>
<td>1.0**±0.00</td>
<td>-0.00±0.04</td>
<td>0.16±0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>E</strong> (Environmental component)</td>
<td>E1</td>
<td>0.09±0.99</td>
<td>8.75±5.72</td>
<td>0.19±0.30</td>
<td>0.16±0.87</td>
<td>0.01±0.07</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00±0.01</td>
<td>0.00±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E2</td>
<td>0.11±1.04</td>
<td>8.65±5.73</td>
<td>0.22±0.36</td>
<td>0.18±0.97</td>
<td>0.01±0.11</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00±0.01</td>
<td>0.00±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>H_1^2D_1^2</strong></td>
<td>E1</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E2</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
The components, $\hat{H}_1$, $\hat{H}_2$, and $\hat{h}^2$ were significant for number of fruits per plant during both the seasons (E1, E2). $\hat{F}$, $\hat{h}^2$ and Environmental component $\hat{E}$ were found non-significant for both the seasons (E1, E2). The significance of $\hat{H}_1$, $\hat{H}_2$ values in both the seasons (E1, E2) suggested the role of dominance components for expression of this character. The mean degrees of dominance ($\hat{H}_1/\hat{D}$)$^{1/2}$ were more than one, which showed over dominance during both the seasons (E1, E2). The value of proportion of genes +/- ($\hat{H}_2/\hat{H}_1$)$^{1/2}$ were found 0.19 and 0.19 in E1, and E2 respectively indicating the asymmetrical distribution of genes with positive and negative effects among the parents. The proportions of dominant and recessive genes ($4\hat{D}/\hat{H}_{1}^{1/2} + \hat{F}$) in the parents were more than one which indicated that the dominant genes were more frequent than recessive ones. The number of gene groups that control the character ($\hat{h}^2/\hat{H}_2$) and exhibit dominance was 0.01 and 0.01 in E1 and E2, respectively. The ‘r’ values were positive in both E1 and E2 which showed excess of dominant genes during both the seasons (E1, E2). The values of $t^2$ and (1-b/SEb) for number of fruits per plant were found non-significant indicating the validity of the hypothesis of diallel cross analysis during both the seasons (E1, E2).

$\hat{D}$, $\hat{H}_1$, $\hat{H}_2$, and $\hat{h}^2$ were significant for average fruit weight during both the seasons (E1, E2). $\hat{h}^2$ and Environmental component $\hat{E}$ were found significant for both the seasons (E1, E2). The significance of $\hat{H}_1$, $\hat{H}_2$ values in both the seasons (E1, E2) suggested the role of dominance components for expression of this character. The mean degrees of dominance ($\hat{H}_1/\hat{D}$)$^{1/2}$ were less than one, which showed partial dominance during both the seasons (E1, E2).

The value of proportion of genes +/- ($\hat{H}_2/\hat{H}_1$)$^{1/2}$ were found 0.22 and 0.22 in E1, and E2 respectively indicating the asymmetrical distribution of genes with positive and negative effects among the parents. The proportions of dominant and recessive genes ($4\hat{D}/\hat{H}_{1}^{1/2} + \hat{F}$) in the parents were more than one which indicated that the dominant genes were more frequent than recessive ones. R value found positive shows excess of dominant gene and $t^2$ and (1-b/SEb) was to be non-significant. The estimates of components, $\hat{D}$, $\hat{H}_1$, $\hat{H}_2$ were significant for fruit length during both the seasons (E1, E2). $\hat{h}^2$ and Environmental component $\hat{E}$ were found non-significant for both the seasons (E1, E2). The significance of $\hat{H}_1$, $\hat{H}_2$ values in both the seasons (E1, E2) suggested the role of dominance components for expression of this character. The mean degrees of dominance ($\hat{H}_1/\hat{D}$)$^{1/2}$ were more than one, which showed over dominance during both the seasons (E1, E2). The value of proportion of genes +/- ($\hat{H}_2/\hat{H}_1$)$^{1/2}$ were found 0.17 and 0.17 in E1, and E2 respectively indicating the asymmetrical distribution of genes with positive and negative effects among the parents. The proportions of dominant and recessive genes ($4\hat{D}/\hat{H}_{1}^{1/2} + \hat{F}$) in the parents were more than one which indicated that the dominant genes were more frequent than recessive ones. The number of gene groups that control
the character ($\hat{h}^2 / \hat{h}^2$) and exhibit recessive was -0.01 and -0.01 in $E_1$ and $E_2$, respectively. The ‘$r$’ values were positive in both $E_1$ and $E_2$ which showed excess of dominant genes during both the seasons ($E_1$, $E_2$). The values of $t^2$ and (1-b/SEb) for fruit length were found non-significant indicating the validity of the hypothesis of diallel cross analysis during both the seasons ($E_1$, $E_2$).

$\hat{D}$, $\hat{H}_1$, were significant for fruit circumference during both the seasons ($E_1$, $E_2$). $\hat{H}_2$, $\hat{F}$, $\hat{h}^2$ and Environmental component $\hat{E}$ were found non-significant for both the seasons ($E_1$, $E_2$). The mean degrees of dominance ($\hat{H}_1^2 / \hat{D}$) were less than one, which showed partial dominance during both the seasons ($E_1$, $E_2$). The value of proportion of genes $+/-$ ($\hat{H}_2^2 / \hat{H}_1^2$) were found 0.21 and 0.21 in $E_1$ and $E_2$ respectively indicating the asymmetrical distribution of genes with positive and negative effects among the parents. The proportions of dominant and recessive genes ($4 \hat{D} \hat{H}_1^{1/2} + \hat{F} \hat{h}^2 / (4 \hat{D} \hat{H}_1^{1/2} \hat{F})$ in the parents were less than one $E_i$ and more then one $E_2$ which indicated that the dominant genes were more frequent than dominance genes and recessive ones. The values of $t^2$ and (1-b/SEb) for fruit circumference were found non-significant indicating the validity of the hypothesis of diallel cross analysis during both the seasons ($E_1$, $E_2$). The estimates of components, $\hat{D}$, $\hat{H}_1$, $\hat{H}_2$ and $\hat{h}^2$ were significant for yield per plant during both the seasons ($E_1$, $E_2$), $\hat{h}^2$ and Environmental component $\hat{E}$ were found non-significant for both the seasons ($E_1$, $E_2$). The significance of $\hat{H}_1$, $\hat{H}_2$ values in both the seasons ($E_1$, $E_2$) suggested the role of dominant components for expression of this character.

The mean degrees of dominance ($\hat{H}_1^2 / \hat{D}$) were more than one, which showed over dominance during both the seasons ($E_1$, $E_2$). The value of proportion of genes $+/-$ ($\hat{H}_2^2 / \hat{H}_1^2$) were found 0.21 and 0.21 in $E_1$ and $E_2$ respectively indicating the asymmetrical distribution of genes with positive and negative effects among the parents. The values of $t^2$ and (1-b/SEb) for specific gravity were found non-significant indicating the validity of the hypothesis of diallel cross analysis during both the seasons ($E_1$, $E_2$).

The estimates of components, $\hat{D}$, $\hat{H}_1$, $\hat{H}_2$ were significant for total soluble solid during both the seasons ($E_1$, $E_2$), $\hat{F}$, $\hat{h}^2$ and Environmental component $\hat{E}$ were found non-significant for both the seasons ($E_1$, $E_2$). The significance of $\hat{H}_1$, $\hat{H}_2$ values in both the seasons ($E_1$, $E_2$) suggested the role of dominant components for expression of this character.

The mean degrees of dominance ($\hat{H}_1^2 / \hat{D}$) were more than one, which showed over dominance during both the seasons ($E_1$, $E_2$). The value of proportion of genes $+/-$ ($\hat{H}_2^2 / \hat{H}_1^2$) were found 0.18 and 0.17 in $E_1$ and $E_2$ respectively indicating the asymmetrical distribution of genes with positive and negative effects among the parents. The proportions of dominant and recessive genes ($4 \hat{D} \hat{H}_1^{1/2} + \hat{F} \hat{h}^2 / (4 \hat{D} \hat{H}_1^{1/2} \hat{F})$ in the parents were more than one which indicated that the over dominant genes were more frequent than recessive ones. The number of gene groups that control the character ($\hat{h}^2 / \hat{h}^2$) and exhibit not dominance and recessive was -0.00 and -0.00 in $E_1$ and $E_2$, respectively. The ‘$r$’ values were positive in both $E_1$ and $E_2$ which showed excess of recessive genes during both the seasons ($E_1$, $E_2$). The values of $t^2$ and (1-b/SEb) for yield per plant were found non-significant indicating the validity of the hypothesis of diallel cross analysis during both the seasons ($E_1$, $E_2$).

The value $\hat{D}$, $\hat{H}_1$, $\hat{H}_2$ and $\hat{F}$ were significant for dry weight/100g during both the seasons ($E_1$, $E_2$). However, $\hat{h}^2$ and Environmental component $\hat{E}$ were found non-significant for both the seasons ($E_1$, $E_2$). The significance of $\hat{H}_1$, $\hat{H}_2$ values in both the seasons ($E_1$, $E_2$) suggested the role of recessive components for expression of this character.
The mean degrees of dominance \( \frac{\hat{H}_1/\hat{D}}{1/2} \) were more than one, which showed over dominance during both the seasons (E1, E2). The value of proportion of genes +/-(\( \frac{\hat{H}_2/4 - \hat{H}}{1/2} \)) were found 0.17 and 0.17 in E1 and E2 respectively indicating the asymmetrical distribution of genes with positive and negative effects among the parents. The proportions of dominant and recessive genes 

\( 4\hat{D} \hat{H}_1^{1/2} + \hat{F} / (4\hat{D} \hat{H}_1^{1/2} + \hat{F}) \) in the parents were more than one which indicated that the over dominant genes were more frequent than recessive ones. The values of \( t^2 \) and (1-h/SEb) for dry weight/100g were found non-significant indicating the validity of the hypothesis of diallel cross analysis during both the seasons (E1, E2). Similar findings was also recorded by Vaghasiya et al. (2000) [18], Chaudhary, D. R. (2001), (2005); Indiresh et al. (2005) [10] and Kaur and Thakur (2007) [11].
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