Biochemical and sensory evaluation of cookies produced from Aerial yam (Dioscorea bulbifera L.) flour
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Abstract
A study was carried out at Post Harvest Laboratory, Department of Horticulture, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola during the year 2016-17. Cookies were produced from aerial yam and wheat flour blends. Aerial yam was processed into flour and used to substitute wheat flour at different proportions (20% aerial yam flour: 80% wheat flour, 40% aerial yam flour: 60% wheat flour, 60% aerial yam flour: 40% heat flour, 80% aerial yam flour: 20% wheat flour, 100% aerial yam flour) and 100% wheat flour as control. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with four replication, the cookies were analyzed for biochemical and sensory attributes. From findings it was observed that, the increase in aerial yam flour increased the percentage of moisture, ash, fat and crude fibre content of cookies while protein and carbohydrate content was decreased. The sensory attributes (appearance, taste, texture, flavor and crispiness) were evaluated for acceptability of yam cookies with the help of score card by panel of judges. From the sensory evaluation, the treatment with 60% aerial yam flour + 40% wheat flour had highest overall acceptability. It was also found to be the most acceptable with respect to organoleptic characters especially taste and flavor/aroma developed during baking as compared to other treatments.
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Introduction
Roots and tuber crops such as yams, cassava, cocoyam and sweet potatoes rank next in importance to the cereals grains in providing the major part of the daily coloric needs of people in the tropics (Ihekoronye and Ngoddy, 1985) [8]. Yam makes a major contribution to the nutrition of West Africans as a source of carbohydrate, before the introduction of cassava and sweet potatoes. Yams serve as major contributor to food security in West Africa (Zannou, 2006) [25], but out of the over 600 known yam species, only seven are mostly consumed (Jayakody et al., 2007) [9]. These include Dioscorea rotundata Poir (White yam), Dioscorea cayenensis (Yellow yam), Dioscorea alata (Water yam), Dioscorea bulbifera (Aerial yam), Dioscorea esculenta, Dioscorea praehensalis (Bush yam) and Dioscorea dumetorum (Bitter yam). D. rotundata is the most important species grown and consumed in Ghana, in terms of area planted and quantity produced (Otoo and Asiedu, 2008) [17].

Aerial yam (Dioscorea bulbifera L.) also known as potato yam, creeky yam (Ojinnaka et al., 2016) [13] is a species of yam grown throughout the world. This bulbils bearing yam belongs to the family Dioscoreaceae is unpopular among the edible yam species. Their tubers are used by the tribal population of central India as food particularly in Madhya Pradesh, Chhattisgarh, Jharkhand and Orissa (Subasini et al., 2013) [22]. In Maharashtra it is grown as a minor vegetable crop of bulbous group in high rainfall area of Konkan and eastern Vidarbha zone (EVZ). In Konkan region it is called as “karanda” whereas “mataru” in EVZ.

Aerial yam produces aerial bulbs which look like potatoes. Its composition includes 63-67 per cent moisture, 1.1-1.5 per cent proteins, 0.04 per cent fat, 0.7-1.1 per cent fibre, 1.08-1.5 per cent ash and 22-33 per cent carbohydrates (Nwosu, 2014) [12]. It is a good source of calories and minerals such as iron, calcium and phosphorous (Tindall, 1983 and Abara et al., 2000) [23, 3]. “Diosgenin” is pharmacologically active component of aerial yam obtained from root and rhizomes which is one of the most costly and important steroidal drug used worldwide for manufacturing of birth control pills and other steroidal formulations (Sharma, 2004) [21].
Aerial yam bulbs can be prepared and processed into edible food by boiling, freezing or roasting. It can be processed to various forms such as crisps, chips or flakes and can be converted into shelf stable and convenient forms such as flours (Nwosu, 2014) [12]. This flour can be used in combination with different flours obtained from root, tubers, cereals and legumes crops to form composite flour. Flour prepared from aerial yam bulbs has the potential for application in the bakery industry with minimal processing. Therefore, investigation into the nutritional and other quality characteristics of the aerial yam is essential, with the ultimate aim being the promotion of its usage, and suggesting possible product that it could be incorporated into, since aerial yam has received very low attention by food processors and consumers. This study seeks to investigate the possibility of preparation of cookies from aerial yam flour with good acceptability.

Material and Method

The raw materials

Aerial yam bulbs were procured from the local market of Gadchiroli, Maharashtra. Wheat flour and other ingredients i.e. sugar, hydrogenated fat, sodium bicarbonate (baking powder), butter, milk powder and cardamom were purchased from local market of Akola.

Preparation of composite flour

The composite flour was prepared by mixing aerial yam flour and wheat flour at different levels given below.

Table 1: Formulation of composite flour of aerial yam and wheat for preparation of cookies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Aerial yam flour (g)</th>
<th>Wheat flour (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1 (AYF 20% + WF 80%)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 (AYF 40% + WF 60%)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3 (AYF 60% + WF 40%)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4 (AYF 80% + WF 20%)</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5 (AYF 100%)</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6 (WF 100%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Preparation of cookies

Cookies were prepared using AACC (2000) [1] methods. Various ingredients were weighed accurately. The hydrogenated fat and powdered sugar were creamed until light and fluffy. The composite flour was slowly added to the cream. The traditional creaming method was used for the preparation of cookies. The dough was thoroughly kneaded by adding required amount of water dissolved with sodium bicarbonate. After kneading the dough was rolled between polyethylene sheet having thickness of 1-1.2 cm and pieces was cut using cookie cutter. The pieces were placed in baking tray smeared with fat and baked at 180-200°C, for 15 minutes in baking oven. The cookies were allowed to cool, packed in HDPE bags.

Chemical analysis of flour and cookies

Aerial yam flour and its cookies were evaluated for its proximate composition viz. moisture, fat, protein, ash and carbohydrate content using standard method (AOAC, 1995) [2].

Moisture content (%)

About 5 g of sample was weighed and transferred to pre-dried, covered dish. Weighed sample was then dried in hot air oven at 105±1 °C for 4 hrs. The dish with dried sample was transferred to the desiccator and cooled to room temperature. The dish was then weighed. Moisture content in per cent was calculated from loss in weight.

\[
\text{Moisture (\%)} = \frac{(W_1 - W_2)}{W_1 - W} \times 100
\]

Where,

\( W_1 = \text{Weight (g) of the dish with the material before drying} \)

\( W_2 = \text{Weight (g) of the dish with the material after drying} \)

\( W = \text{Weight (g) of the empty dish} \)

Fat

The fat content was determined by the ether extraction using Soxhlet’s apparatus.

Reagents

Petroleum ether having a boiling point of 40-60°C.

Procedure

About 5 g powder sample was taken on filter paper and made a suitable size packet. The packet was placed in extraction flask of Soxhlet’s unit and attached to collecting flask. One and half spoonful of solvent was poured through extraction flask into pre-weighed collecting flask. Assembly condenser was connected to tap water and the flask was heated at 60°C. Sample with 6-8 siphoning was extracted and most of the solvent was distilled off to extraction flask. The collecting flask was dried on water bath and then at 100°C for 1hr in oven. The flask was cooled and weighed. Increase in weight of flask represented crude fat content. Per cent fat content in the sample was calculated using formula.

\[
\text{Fat (\%)} = \frac{\text{Weight of fat (g)}}{\text{Weight of sample (g)}} \times 100
\]

Protein

The protein content was determined by Micro-kjeldahl's apparatus.

Reagents

1. N- Free sulphuric acid
2. Hydrogen peroxide (30 %)
3. Boric acid (4 %)
4. Sodium hydroxide (50 %) containing sodium thiosulphate (5 %)
5. Catalyst mixture (potassium sulphate 9.9 g, mercuric oxide 0.41 g, copper sulphate 0.8 g).
6. Mixed indicator (Dissolved separately 0.2 % each of bromo-cresol green and methyl red indicators in 95 % ethyl alcohol and mixed together in 5:1, respectively and transferred to bottle provided with stopper).
7. Standard hydrochloric acid (Diluted 0.17 ml concentration HCl to 100 ml with water and checked the concentration against 0.02 N sodium hydroxide).

Procedure

About 0.2 g defatted powder sample was taken and transferred to the digestion flask. The catalyst mixture (1g) and 5 ml each of H2O2 and conc. H2SO4 were added carefully. The sample was digested until it became colour less by frequent rotating the flask. The flask was cooled and a 5 ml portion of water was slowly added with mixing. After cooling, the content was transferred to 50 ml volumetric flask with 2-3 rinsing, and the volume was made up with distilled water and mixed thoroughly. Blank digestion was carried out
simultaneously. The distillation unit was cleaned by starting
and sucking back the water. The beaker of 100 ml capacity
containing 10 ml boric acid, 4 drops of indicator was taken
and placed under condenser with its tip dipped in solution.
The digest (5 ml) with rinsing was transferred to distillation
flask, 5 ml NaOH was added and closed with stop cork. The
digest was allowed to boil and about 50 ml distillate of
ammonia liberated in boric acid was collected. The distillate
was titrated with hydrochloric acid until blue colour
disappeared. Blank titration was carried out simultaneously.
Protein content was calculated using following formula.

\[
\text{Protein} (%) = \frac{(W_1 - W_2)}{W_1} \times 100
\]

Where,
\( W_1 \) = Weight of material before ashing (g)
\( W_2 \) = Weight of material after ashing (g)

**Crude fibre**

Reagent
1. Sulphuric acid (0.255 N)
2. Sodium hydroxide (0.313 N)
3. Potassium sulphate (10 % solution)

Procedure
About 2 g fat free residue was taken and then transferred to
the digestion flask. 200 ml boiling sulphuric acid was added
and immediately the flask was connected to condenser. The
flask was heated, boiled by frequently rotating for 30 min and
the volume was maintained with hot water. Then filtered
through filter cloth in fluted funnel. The residue was washed
on cloth with hot water or potassium sulphate solution. The
residue was returned to digestion flask by washing with hot
water, 200 ml boiling sodium hydroxide was added and boiled
for 30 min. The volume was adjusted with boiling water,
filtered it through the muslin cloth and the residue free of
alkali was washed. The residue was transferred into crucible
and washed with 15 ml alcohol and the crucible was dried at
110 °C for 2 hrs. The crucible was cooled in desiccator and
weighed. The loss in weight represented the crude fibre.

**Ash**

About 5 g of the powder sample was accurately weighed into
a pre-weighed silica crucible. It was then carbonized in silica
crucible on burner followed by heating at about 600 °C for 6
hrs in the muffle furnace to get complete white coloured ash,
allowed to cool in the furnace. Then the crucible was
transferred to a desiccator and weighed as possible to prevent
moisture absorption. The ash was calculated using following
formula.

\[
\text{Ash} (%) = \frac{\text{Weight of ash (g)}}{\text{Weight of sample (g)}} \times 100
\]

**Sensory evaluation**
The cookies were evaluated for the sensory parameters using
standard procedure reported by Amerine *et al.* (1965). The
cookies were evaluated for sensory attributes by a panel of 5
expert judges, using a 9 point Hedonic scale ranging from like
to dislike extremely for different parameters. The mean values of score for appearance, taste, texture,
flavor/aroma and overall acceptability were calculated.

**Statistical analysis**
The data obtained for each parameter was subjected to
statistical analysis of variance (ANOVA) within the
 treatments. The comparison of mean was carried out by
randomized block design for significance of SE and CD at 5
per cent level as suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1985).

**Result and discussion**

**Biochemical properties of cookies**
The results of the effect of different flour combination of
aerial yam on moisture, ash, fat, protein, crude fibre and
carbohydrate content of cookies are presented in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Moisture</th>
<th>Ash</th>
<th>Fat</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Crude fibre</th>
<th>Carbohydrate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1 (AYF 20% + WF 80%)</td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>12.41</td>
<td>21.08</td>
<td>8.92</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>63.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 (AYF 40% + WF 60%)</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>13.77</td>
<td>22.23</td>
<td>8.32</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>62.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3 (AYF 60% + WF 40%)</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>15.11</td>
<td>23.34</td>
<td>7.72</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>61.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4 (AYF 80% + WF 20%)</td>
<td>5.36</td>
<td>16.33</td>
<td>24.48</td>
<td>7.13</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>59.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5 (AYF 100%)</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>17.66</td>
<td>25.64</td>
<td>6.53</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>58.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6 (WF100%)</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>11.10</td>
<td>19.98</td>
<td>9.51</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>65.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Moisture content (%)
The treatment T3 (AYF 100%) showed maximum (5.83%) moisture which was found significantly superior over
remaining treatments, while minimum (3.42%) moisture was recorded in T6 (WF100%) treatment.

It is observed from the data that minimum moisture content of
cookies was observed in the cookies prepared from 100% wheat flour. Nwosu (2014) [12], Sengev *et al.* (2015) [20]
and Rita and Essuman (2016) [19] reported that cookies made from
100% wheat flour showed lowest moisture content. Moisture
content of the cookies increased with increased in aerial yam
flour. The results are in confirmation with the findings of Nwosu (2014) [12], Chukwu and Lawal (2015) [7], Sengev et al. (2015) [20] and Rita and Essuman (2016) [19]. This increased in moisture content brought about decreased in dry matter and might be due to the fact that initially aerial yam flour has high moisture content.

**Ash content (%)**
The treatment T5 (AYF 100%) showed maximum (1.76%) ash which was found to be significantly superior over remaining treatments, while minimum (1.10%) ash was recorded in T6 (WF100%) treatment. It is revealed from the data (table 2) that the ash content of cookies increased with the increase in supplementation of yam flour. This is in confirmation to the findings of Nwosu (2014) [12] who observed that ash content of cookies decreased with the increase in percentage composition with wheat flour. This might be due to the high ash content of yam flour thus implies high mineral content in yam.

**Fat content (%)**
The treatment T3 (AYF 100%) showed maximum (25.64%) fat which was found significantly superior over rest of the treatments, while minimum (19.98%) fat was recorded in T6 (WF100%) treatment. It is well evident from the data presented in table 2 that fat content of the cookies made from wheat flour was minimum and gradually increased with the increase in aerial yam flour substitution in the composite flour. Similar results were observed by Chinma and Gernah (2007) [6], Nwosu (2014) [12], Laelago et al. (2015) [11] and Rita and Essuman (2016) [19]. This might be due to the substitution effect of wheat flour with yam flour which contained more fat. The fat content of cookies might have significantly increased when formulated into cookies due to the added ingredients.

**Protein content (%)**
The treatment T5 (WF 100%) showed maximum (9.51%) protein which was found to be significantly superior over remaining treatments, while minimum (6.53%) protein was recorded in T3 (AYF100%) treatment. It is well evident from the data that the cookies prepared from the treatment T6 (WF 100%) showed maximum protein content while T3 (AYF 100%) with minimum protein content. The protein content continued to decrease as the proportion of aerial yam flour increased in the baked cookies. This might be due to low protein content of aerial yam. This is similar to the earlier findings of Oluwamukoni et al. (2011) [13], Sengev et al. (2015) [20] and Uchenna and Omolaya (2017) [23]. Oluwole and Karim (2005) [14] and Olatunde et al. (2016) [14] also reported greatest protein content in wheat flour and least in cassava which is poor source of protein.

**Carbohydrate (%)**
The treatment T5 (AYF 100%) showed maximum (65.45%) carbohydrate which was found to be significantly superior over remaining treatments, while minimum (58.49%) carbohydrate was recorded in T6 (WF100%) treatment. It is observed from the data that, cookies produced from the treatment T5 (AYF 100%) recorded highest crude fibre percentage while lowest in the treatment T6 (wheat flour 100%). The crude fibre content increased with the increased of yam flour in composite flour. This is agreement with the findings of Nwosu (2014) [12], Laelago et al. (2015) [11] and Olatunde et al. (2016)[14].

**Sensory evaluation of cookies**
Data pertaining to the effect of different flour combination of aerial yam on appearance, taste, texture, flavour/aroma, crispiness and overall acceptability score of cookies are presented in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Appearance</th>
<th>Taste</th>
<th>Texture</th>
<th>Flavour/aroma</th>
<th>Crispiness</th>
<th>Overall acceptability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1(AYF 20% + WF 80%)</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2(AYF 40% + WF 60%)</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3(AYF 60% + WF 40%)</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4(AYF 80% + WF 20%)</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5(AYF 100%)</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6(WF100%)</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CD** at 5%: 0.24, 0.16, 0.12, 0.10, 0.13, 0.20

**SE**(m): ± 0.08, ± 0.05, ± 0.04, ± 0.03, ± 0.04, ± 0.07

**Appearance of cookies**
Appearance is an important sensory attribute of any food because of its influence on acceptability. It is an important parameter in judging properly baked cookies. The highest appearance score of 8.5 was obtained by the cookies (T5) i.e. 100% aerial yam flour as compared to other treatments. The lowest score of 6.4 was obtained by cookies (T6) i.e. 100% wheat flour. Increase in appearance score of the cookies was observed as the composition of the aerial yam flour increased. The results are in contradictory to the findings of Nwosu (2014) [12] who reported less score in appearance due to the characteristics colour of yam flour used as imparted by non-enzymatic browning during processing of yam flour.

**Taste of cookies**
Taste is an important sensory attribute of any food because of its influence on acceptability. It is observed from Table 3 that the taste score of cookies was significantly affected due to
addition of different levels of aerial yam flour. Significant higher taste score was obtained in the cookies prepared from T1 (AYF 60% + WF 40%) while the lowest score in T3 (AYF 100%) as it resulted in slight bitter taste which comes from the natural taste of the yam flour used for preparation of cookies. The preferences for taste of the samples showed an increase with increasing substitution with yam flour upto 60%. Similar results were reported by Abayomi et al. (2013).

Texture of cookies

It is observed that texture score of cookies was affected significantly due to addition of different levels of aerial yam flour. Significantly highest score (8.3) was received to be cookies prepared with 100% aerial yam flour i.e. under T3 treatment while lowest score (6.0) was received by cookies prepared from 100% wheat flour i.e. T6. Increase in yam flour increased the texture score of the cookies. This could be due to the high moisture and fat content which resulted in soft texture of the cookies. Whereas, cookies made from 100% wheat flour scored least due to its hard texture which may be due to less moisture content and fat content of cookies making it dry and hard. However, the present findings are in contradictory to the reports of Nwosu (2014) and Rita and Essuman (2016) who observed highest score for 100% wheat flour cookies. The variation in the findings may be due to the choice of panelist and their preference for the aerial yam cookies.

Flavour/aroma

Flavour is the main criteria that make the product to be liked or disliked. Supplementation of wheat flour to aerial yam flour upto 60% increased the texture score and these lowered down as the acidic flavor and aroma was not preferred by the panelist. Thus giving maximum score for flavor/aroma to AYF 60% + WF 40% cookies. Inferior flavor of cookies made from 100% AYF (T6) was not liked. Similar findings are reported by Sharif et al. (2009), Nwosu (2014) and Laelago et al. (2015). The variation in the findings may be due to the choice of panelist and their preference for the aerial yam cookies.

Crispiness of cookies

Significantly highest crispiness score (7.5) was obtained in cookies prepared with 100% wheat flour i.e. under all treatments which was superior over all the treatments while lowest crispiness score (5.0) was obtained in treatment T1 (AYF 100%). It was observed that the crispiness of the cookies increased as the proportion of aerial yam flour was decreased in the formulation. The present findings are similar to the findings of Nwosu (2014) and Rita and Essuman (2016). They also reported that crispiness was increased with decrease in yam flour. Nwosu (2014) reported decreased in crispiness due to high level of fat in yam flour which leads to low crispiness of cookies.

Overall acceptability of cookies

The appearance, taste and texture of the cookies, as well as their flavor and crispiness, all contributed to the general characteristics of the performance of the cookies. Overall acceptability score for the 100% yam flour and 100% wheat flour was less. Whereas the score tend to increase with the supplementation of yam flour to wheat flour up to 60% after which it again decreased. The results are in confirmation with the findings of Chinma and Gernah (2007) who reported that cookies made from composite flours were more acceptable than 100% wheat flour. This may be due to the enhancement attractive colour, flavor and taste imparted by the yam flour. The decline in acceptability at higher level of supplementation i.e. aerial yam flour 80% and 100% may be due to acridic and slight bitter taste and flavor originating from yam flour. However the supplementation of aerial yam flour to wheat flour was accepted upto 60%. Kayode et al. (2017) also confirmed the supplementation of 60-80% of aerial yam flour for use in flour formulations for desirable sensory characteristics.

Summary

Protein and carbohydrate content of prepared cookies were decreased with higher replacement of aerial yam flour. Moisture, ash, fat and crude fibre content of cookies was increased with higher replacement of aerial yam flour to the wheat flour.

Sensory evaluation revealed that, the score for appearance, taste and texture of cookies increased with increasing aerial yam flour in substitution to wheat flour while crispiness was reduced. Flavour/aroma and overall acceptability of cookies was increased with the addition of more aerial yam flour upto 60 per cent, making it most acceptable for cookies. Cookies prepared with 60 per cent aerial yam flour and 40 per cent wheat flour was found to be most acceptable with respect to organoleptic characteristics especially taste and flavour/aroma developed during baking.

Conclusions

Aerial yam flour can be used for substitution of wheat flour upto 60 per cent with maximum acceptability by the consumers. The results obtained showed the feasibility of producing nutritious cookies from aerial yam flour. This study has indicated that acceptability and nutritious cookies would be prepared from the composite flour of aerial yam and wheat.

These results can be further applied for the development of nutritious and high fibre cookies. The above conclusion is however based on the laboratory study and hence is suggestive.
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