International Journal of Chemical Studies

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 www.chemijournal.com IJCS 2020; 8(3): 514-521 © 2020 IJCS Received: 19-03-2020 Accepted: 21-04-2020

Jayoti Majumder ICAR-Directorate of Floricultural Research, Pune, Maharashtra, India

Sellam Perinban ICAR-Directorate of Floricultural Research, Pune, Maharashtra, India

Babita Singh

ICAR-Directorate of Floricultural Research, Pune, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author: Jayoti Majumder ICAR-Directorate of Floricultural Research, Pune, Maharashtra, India

Effects of pre-treatment and microwave assisted extraction on natural dye from marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) and nasturtium (*Tropiolum majus* L.) for fabric colouration

Jayoti Majumder, Sellam Perinban and Babita Singh

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22271/chemi.2020.v8.i3f.9263

Abstract

The present study demonstrated dyeing of silk and cotton fabric with the pigment extracted through various methods from two ornamentals, marigold (Tagetes erecta L.) and nasturtium (Tropeolum majus L.) Marigold creates the colour range from yellow to orange whereas for nasturtium off white to purple. The yield of extracted dye was found to be superior (6.24% and 4.48%) in pre-treatment of marigold and nasturtium petal respectively, with Ethanol 50% and under 6 min of microwave interval. To perform the dyeing process two different types of colourless mordants have been used viz. alum and stannous chloride. After scouring, mordanting and dyeing the fabrics were evaluated for colour measurements in HunterlabD25LT Chroma Meter for L* a* and b* values. The L* value were found to be superior when the fabrics were treated with alum. The highest L* value (85.78) was obtained in T15 i.e., pre-treatment of 70% HCl, 6 minute MW interval time and alum mordant in marigold. In nasturtium dyed silk fabric highest L*value (72.84) was found with the T16 treatment (70% EtOH + 6 min MW interval time + stannous chloride). The increased a* value was found with stannous chloride mordant. Through the strip test the tensile strength has been analysed. The highest streamth (412.16 g/m² and 194.66 g/m2) was found in T1 (EtOH 50% + 4 min MW interval + alum) in marigold dyed silk and cotton fabric respectively. The stability of colour hue for the dyed sample after washing with standard soap with manual rubbing showed good in fastness properties and a little colour loss.

Keywords: Tagetes erecta L., Tropiolum majus L., dye, alum, stannous chloride, fastness

Introduction

India is enriched with its diversity of plant kingdom irrespective of edible and non-edible flora and certainly it is indeed treasure house related to plant based organic product. The natural dye is one of them. The dyeing of textile with these natural dyes is an age old practice. After 19th Century arrived synthetic dye naming 'Mauve' by W.H. Perkin 1856, raised over the natural one in production and application (Bhattacharya and Shah 2000)^[3]. The gigantic application of these synthetic dyes has escalated environment pollution and health hazards (Bechtold et al. 2003) ^[2]. Therefore, green minded consumers are back to natural and biodegradable alternatives. The sources of natural dye could be mainly three types like animals, plants and minerals (Jha et al. 2015)^[8]. There are 500 dye bearing plants in existence among them the edible ornamental crops like African marigold (Tagetes erecta L.) and nasturtium (Tropiolum majus L.) are acknowledged (Jha et al. 2015, Niizu & Rodriguez-Amaya, 2005)^[5]. They are also valued for aesthetics and medicinal uses. The country like India where 40% of marigold produces goes wasted every year this study is directed towards the diversified use of marigold and nasturtium to avoid market glut and exploitation of these two crop for revenue generation. Moreover, attention has been implied to develop the most efficient extraction technique for dye extraction along with the primitive one. Microwave-assisted extraction has recently become extremely popular and claimed to increase organic reaction by providing high heat efficiency, remarkable rate enhancement and dramatic reduction in reaction times (Mansour et al. 2011)^[9]. Therefore, the present study was fabricated to use Microwave assisted extraction and extraction in pre treated i.e., with ethanol and carbonate and acid in two different fabrics of silk and cotton.

International Journal of Chemical Studies

The effects of various mordants has been examined through stability and colour shades.

Materials and Methods

The present study was carried out in Directorate of Floricultural Research, Pune and Division of Post Harvest Technology, IARI, New Delhi during 2013-2014. The African marigold and nasturtium with bright orange coloured flowers were selected for the study. Flowers were harvested in the early morning when they were fully turgid. The fabric of silk and cotton were bought from Nalli®, India. All chemicals used were of analytical grade, Ethanol (EtOH), Hydrochloric acid (HCl), carbonate (Na₂CO₃) were procured from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). The mordants (alum and stannous chloride) were purchased from HiMedia (Mumbai). The dyeing method was carried out stepwise i.e., pre-treatment of flower petals, extraction of dye, mordanting and dyeing of fabric and colour fastness test.



Fig 1: Marigold petals,



Fig 2: Nasturtium petals

Pre-treatment and extraction of dye

The 5 gm flower petals were crushed in a blender and further homogenised. The crushed samples were transferred into conical flasks for different pre-treatments viz. 50% EtOH (Ethanol-Water mixture of 50:30 v/v), 70% conc. HCl (Hydrochloric acid - Water mixture of 70:30 v/v) and 0.5g/l Na₂Co₃ in a ratio of 1:20 (w/v). The samples were kept under room temperature (24 °C with 1600 lux light intensity) for one hour. For rapid dye extraction microwave (MW) oven (Samsung, Korea) assisted method was undertaken. The MW time interval was set in 4, 6 and 8 minute with the constant power of 300 W. After the extraction process was over in microwave the samples were then cooled down and the dye was separated with filter paper (1.0 µm membrane Whatmann ashless filter paper). All the filtrated dye was kept under dark condition prior to apply them on fabric. The combinations in, pre-treatment microwave (MW) interval time and mordants are presented in the Table 1.

Table 1: Treatment combinations of pre-treatmer	t, microwave interval time (minutes)) and with any either flower sam	ple (marigold/nasturtium)
---	--------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------

Sl No.	Treatment combinations
T1	EtOH 50% + 4 min MW interval time + alum
T2	EtOH 50% + 4 min MW interval time + stannous chloride
T3	EtOH 50% + 6 min MW interval time + alum
T4	EtOH 50% + 6 min MW interval time + stannous chloride
T5	EtOH 50% + 8 min MW interval time + alum
T6	EtOH 50% + 8 min MW interval time + stannous chloride
T7	Na ₂ Co ₃ 0.5 g/l + 4 min MW interval time + alum
T8	Na ₂ Co ₃ 0.5 g/l + 4 min MW interval time + stannous chloride
T9	Na ₂ Co ₃ 0.5 g/l + 6 min MW interval time + alum
T10	Na ₂ Co ₃ 0.5 g/l + 6 min MW interval time + stannous chloride
T11	Na ₂ Co ₃ 0.5 g/l + 8 min MW interval time + alum
T12	Na ₂ Co ₃ 0.5 g/l + 8 min MW interval time + stannous chloride
T13	HCl 70% + 4 min MW interval time + alum
T14	HCl 70% + 4 min MW interval time + stannous chloride
T15	HCl 70% + 6 min MW interval time + alum
T16	HCl 70% + 6 min MW interval time + stannous chloride
T17	HCl 70% + 8 min MW interval time + alum
T18	HCl 70% + 8 min MW interval time + stannous chloride

Yield of natural colorant

The gravimetric method was used to determine the yield of natural colourant in each flower (Shivkumar *et al.* 2009) ^[16]. The dye filtrates from the extraction procedure were dried in cabinet dryer at 70 $^{\circ}$ C, for 16 hr until no water remains. The dye samples were then cooled under desiccator and yield of

natural colorant was calculated using the following formula (Zulrushdi *et al* 2016)^[18]:

Natural dye extract obtained

% Yield of natural colourant =

X 100

Weight of sample used

Mordanting and dyeing of fabric

The fabrics (silk and cotton) were washed in 2 g/L nonionic detergent solution in waterbath, keeping the temperature at 60 °C for 30 min, while the fabric : liquor ration was 1:40(w/v). Scoured fabrics were thoroughly washed with distilled water and dried at room temperature. Then the fabrics were presoaked in distilled water before mordanting. For mordanting the fabrics were weighed accurately equal of 5 g. The mordants like alum and stannous chloride are metallic salts, which were 2% (owf) dissolved to deionised water in 1:40 ratio inside a beaker. The fabrics were then poured into the mordant solutions. The beakers were kept over a waterbath keeping temperature at 80 °C for half an hour. After heating the samples they were left for cooling for half an hour under room temperature (24 $^{\circ}$ C).

The mordanted fabrics were thoroughly rinsed with distilled water and then squeezed and dried. The mordanted fabrics were then immediately taken for dyeing avoiding exposure to light and temperature variation.

The cotton and silk samples were dyed with dye extract, keeping M:L ratio as 1:40; while dying the silk the pH was maintained at 4 by adding acetic acid buffer solution. For dying, the fabrics were dipped in dye and kept under water bath in 80-85 ^oC for 1 hr. The fabrics were twisted several times inside the dye solution while dying. After dying the fabrics were washed in cold water to remove excess dye.

Colour measurement

The colorimetric evaluation was done for each fabric after dying. Colour parameters of the fabrics was analysed by a HunterlabD25LT Chroma Meter (Virginia, USA) in 'L', 'a', 'b' colour scales. The instrument was calibrated against a white standard. The total colour difference ΔE^* was calculated through the average colour values (Jha *et al.* 2016) of the samples.

 $\Delta E = (\Delta L^2 + \Delta a^{*2} + \Delta b^{*2})^{1/2}$

The Kulbelka- Munk K/S equation (Salikhov and Idriskhodzhaev, 1978) ^[14] indicates the fabric colour strength i.e., the production of reflected light which involves absorption and scattering. It is based on the % reflectance (R), K/S can be calculated by the following formula (Toussirot *et al.* 2014) ^[8]. Here K is the absorption coefficient and S is the Scattering coefficient

 $K/S = (1-R)^2/2R$

Fabric tensile strength test

The test was performed to find out the fabric strength affected after dyeing. The fabric of each treatment was cut into 8' in length and 2" in width. The Llyod Food Analyzer (Ametek®, USA) was used to identify the maximum load that a material can support without fracture when being stretched according to standard test of ASTM 5035-95C (Seiman *et al.* 2014) ^[13]. The specimen was mounted centrally, securely gripped along the full width to prevent slipping. The load is applied uniformly across the full specimen width. The load was measured in g/m².

Fabric fastness test

The fastness test of two different fabric viz. silk and cotton while dyeing with marigold and nasturtium has been done in this study. The fastness tests which were followed were i) light fastness and ii) wash and rubbing fastness. For light fastness, the fabrics were exposed to direct sunlight for 2 days (12+12 hr). Then the colour fastness was evaluated comparing the colour changed due to sunlight exposure with unexposed or control fabrics. The colour fastness to light was evaluated by comparison of colour change of the exposed portion to the unexposed original material (Samanta and Agarwal 2009)^[15]. For washing and rubbing fastness, the dyed samples were sandwiched in between two undyed fabric sample and then they were placed with preheated washing solution (Surf, at 60 ⁰C) in a ratio of 1:50 (washing solution: water). 1.0 g of sandwiched fabric were put inside it for 30 minutes and rubbed manually. They were rinsed in cold water and dried in room temperature. The wash and rubbing fastness was measured by the presence of colour in un-dyed sample (Samanta and Agarwal 2009, Kanchana et al. 2013)^[15,7].

Results and Discussions Yield of natural colorant

Dye content in the petals of marigold and nasturtium are presented in Table 2. It was found out that, marigold produces higher dye yield (6.24%) while extracting with 50% EtOH for 6 minutes MW interval time followed by 70% HCl 6 minutes MW interval time (5.97%). In nasturtium similar type of results have been shown i.e., 4.48% and 4.12% through with 50% Ethanol and 70% HCl for 6 minutes MW interval time respectively. Marigold comes under Asteraceae family. In marigold, the major pigment for colouring is lutein, which is a fat soluble carotene. It is responsible for yellow or orange pigments. And nasturtium has mostly anthocyanins in its petals which is a water soluble pigment. It might be due to these pigments are more dissolvable in organic solvent and acid solution they give more yield content in alcohol and hydrochloric acid (Padma *et al.* 1997, Kanchana *et al.* 2013) ^[7].

Table 2:	Effect of j	pre treatmer	nt and m	icrowave	interval	time on
		yield of	natural	dye		

Flower	Pre	Microwave interval	Yield of natural
sample	treatments	time (min)	colorant (%)
		4	5.33±0.03b
	EtOH 50%	6	6.24±0.04a
		8	3.69±0.09d
		4	4.13±0.03c
Marigold	HCl 70%	6	5.97±0.12a
		8	2.45±0.05fg
		4	2.02±0.02h
	Na2Co3 0.5g/l	6	3.24±0.04e
		8	2.18±0.53fg
		4	3.90±0.57d
	EtOH 50%	6	4.48±0.08c
		8	2.39±0.09fg
		4	2.40±0.20fg
Nasturtium	HCL70%	6	4.12±0.02c
		8	2.09±0.09gh
		4	1.90±0.40h
	Na2Co3 0.5g/l	6	2.55±0.05f
		8	2.18±0.13fgh
	LSD at 0	05	0.37

Colour measurements

It can be observed in Table 3, the effect of alum over the dye extracted from marigold and nasturtium showed brighter colour effect than stannous chloride. The hue (L*) value of marigold and nasturtium changed a little with alum. In case of silk fabric the L* value was superior (85.78) while the dye

was extracted through the treatment combination of T15 viz. 70% HCl + 6 minute MW interval time + alum followed by (83.86) T13 (70% HCl + 4 minute MW interval time + alum). The highest L*value (72.84) in nasturtium dyed silk fabric was found with the T16 treatment, combination of 70% EtOH + 6 min MW interval time + stannous chloride. The a* value (redness) was superior where the silk fabric was treated with stannous chloride. It was shown in Table 3, that the highest a* value (19.65) was obtained in silk fabric from marigold dye with T12 treatment (0.5 g/l Carbonate+ 8 min MW interval + stanous chloride). For nasturtium dye, the highest a* value (5.07) was observed in T14 (0.5 g/l Carbonate + 4 min MW interval + stannous chloride). According to Table 3, the K/S value is found to be the highest (107.18) in marigold dye with the T1 treatment in combinations of 50% EtOH+ 4 min MW interval + alum. Jyothi (2008) showed that the effect of alum and stannous chloride mordant on marigold dye and found the alum gave superior L* and a* value over stannous chloride. In case of cotton fabric the effect of the marigold and nasturtium dye has been presented over Table 4. The L* value is again found to be superior in alum over stannous chloride with marigold dye. The highest L* value (107.17) was found out in T1 treatment in combination of 50% EtOH + 4 min MW interval + alum. In Nasturtium dye, it was 74.32 with T7 treatment (0.5g/l Carbonate + 4 min MW interval + alum). The highest a* value (12.36) was obtained with T16 (70% HCl + 6 min MW interval + stannous chloride).

Floren accorde	Mandanta		Colour m	easurement	
Flower sample	Moruants	L*	a*	b*	K/S value
	T1	72.84±0.02 ef	10.89±0.02 f	77.84±0.10 a	107.18±0.39 a
	T2	75.28±0.20 d	3.52±0.20 n	42.15±3.12 c	86.37±0.14 fg
	Т3	76.48 ±0.89 c	4.69±0.89 lm	24.19±0.1 e	91.25±2.34 e
	T4	72.67±1.0 efg	4.48±1.00 m	40.32±0.10	83.24±0.85 g
	T5	70.85±0.28 k	6.92±0.28 i	66.86±0.40 b	97.75±0.37 d
	T6	72.39±0.20 fgh	3.52±0.20 n	42.15±2.00 c	84.18±0.74 g
	T7	71.50±0.1 ijk	5.51±0.01 k	66.67±0.03 b	97.80±0.19 d
	T8	68.34±0.14 nop	19.24±0.14 b	23.33±0.03 e	74.74±0.12 h
M · 11	Т9	68.06±0.06 nop	9.96±0.06 g	75.36±0.17a	102.02±0.04 bc
Marigold	T10	68.85±0.40 mn	13.65±0.40 e	70.17±0.20 ab	99.29±0.35 bcd
	T11	69.48±0.28 lm	8.04±0.28 h	75.49±0.20 a	102.86±0.13 b
	T12	67.68±0.08 p	19.65±0.08 a	23.30±0.17 e	74.21±0.08 h
	T13	83.86±0.16 b	4.76±0.03 lm	34.67±0.17 cd	92.67±0.06 e
	T14	68.85±0.05 mn	13.65±0.05 e	70.17±0.10 ab	99.29±0.05 bd
	T15	85.78±0.03 a	6.11±0.16 j	31.58±0.10 cd	89.83±0.17 ef
	T16	68.52±0.02 no	15.18±0.02 d	69.91±0.10 ab	99.08±0.17 cd
	T17	84.09±0.09 b	6.30±0.09 j	31.52±0.20 cd	90.03±0.09 ef
	T18	66.52±0.07 q	16.01±0.07c	69.91±0.10 ab	97.87±0.08 hijk
	T1	71.48±0.10 ijk	2.33±0.10 p	12.54±0.10 f	72.61±0.11 hij
	T2	72.10±1.0 ghi	0.93±1.0 rst	3.83±0.02 ghi	72.21±1.00 hij
	Т3	70.04±0.041	2.85±0.40 o	14.12±0.09 f	71.51±0.07 hijk
	T4	70.85±0.39 k	1.36±0.39 q	3.79±0.13 hi	70.96±0.39 jkl
	T5	68.94±0.20 mn	1.17±0.20 qr	13.26±0.09 f	70.22±0.20 hijk
Nasturtium	T6	71.36±0.48 jk	1.36±0.47q	3.79±0.10 ghi	71.48±0.47 hijk
	T7	73.37±0.54 e	0.61±0.54 stu	11.75±0.75 fgh	74.31±0.53 h
	T8	72.23±0.23 fgh	0.59±0.23ut	6.37±0.24 fghi	72.51±0.18 hij
	Т9	72.00±0.50 hij	0.30±0.50 uv	12.28±0.60 fg	73.04±0.52 ihj
	T10	67.70±0.70 p	0.79±0.70 rst	6.77±0.30 fghi	68.06±0.66 kl
	T11	68.90±0.98 mn	1.01±0.98 qrs	12.41±0.02 f	70.04±0.93 jkl
	T12	71.88±0.10 hij	4.43±0.10 m	6.62±0.03 fghi	72.18±0.10 hij
	T13	68.64±0.10 no	0.13±0.10 uv	10.41±0.20 fghi	69.56±0.11 jkl
	T14	72.24±0.10 fgh	5.07±0.901 u	2.70±0.10 i	72.33±0.10 hij
	T15	69.90±0.91	2.42±0.10 p	9.61±0.10 fghi	70.74±0.90 ijkl
	T16	72.84±0.40 ef	2.53±0.40 op	3.48±0.20 hi	72.97±0.40 hij
	T17	66.12±0.02 q	3.39±0.03 n	10.94±0.09 fghi	67.16±0.041
	T18	71.16±0.06 k	2.73±0.06 op	3.29±0.20	71.28±0.06 hijk
LSD at 0	.05	0.7051	0.4027	6.48	3.68

Table 3: Effects of pre-treatments, drying conditions and mordants over colour measurements in silk fabric

Flower sample	Treatment combinations		Colour mea	surement	
		L*	a*	b*	K/S value
	T1	107.17±0.03 a	4.80±0.05 g	52.10±0.06 i	88.68±0.24 c
	T2	86.36±0.14 f	7.90±0.40 d	54.32±0.02 e	79.96±0.07 h
	Т3	91.24±3.30 e	5.10±0.10 g	53.06±0.06 gh	88.08±0.01 d
	Τ4	83.24±0.83 g	8.77±0.07 c	54.17±0.07 e	79.85±0.11 h
	T5	97.75±0.37 d	5.66±0.06 f	53.76±0.06 f	89.50±0.10 b
	T6	84.18±0.74 g	6.92±0.07 e	50.69±0.09 j	77.58±0.09 c k
	T7	97.80±0.19 d	3.13±0.20 lmn	48.14±0.04 k	89.05±0.04 bc
	T8	74.73±0.12 h	7.85±0.05 d	82.00±0.50 a	102.32±0.29 a
Marigold	Т9	102.02±0.04 bc	1.64±0.10 r	42.11±0.111	84.38±0.10 e
_	T10	99.28±0.35 bcd	8.23±0.10 d	52.76±0.06 h	79.37±0.59 i
	T11	102.86±0.13 b	1.79±0.09 r	41.57±0.07 m	84.19±0.81 e
	T12	74.20±0.08 hi	8.76±0.06 c	53.37±0.07 g	79.88±0.08
	T13	92.66±0.06 e	0.92±0.10 s	36.96±0.06 o	78.23±0.10 j
	T14	99.29±0.05 bcd	11.30±0.03 b	56.56±0.73 c	80.14±0.56 h
	T15	89.83±0.17 ef	1.41±0.10 r	34.34±0.10 p	77.68±0.11 k
	T16	99.08±0.17 cd	12.36±1.00 a	58.18±0.80 b	82.12±0.05 f
	T17	90.03±0.09 ef	0.57±0.07 s	40.53±0.03 n	79.77±0.47 hi
	T18	97.87±0.08 d	11.93±0.45 a	55.51±0.10 d	81.13±0.17 g
	T1	72.61±0.11 hij	3.86±0.06 hij	14.50±0.04 t	72.94±0.09 p
	T2	72.21±1.00 hij	2.49±0.10 pq	10.88±0.10 zy	66.02±0.07 v
	Т3	71.51±0.07 hijk	3.54±0.01 jkl	18.44±0.10 q	77.75±0.11 k
	Τ4	70.96±0.39 ijk	2.64±0.04 opq	$11.78 \pm 0.05 \text{ w}$	65.66±0.06 vw
	T5	70.22±0.20 jkl	3.68±0.03 j	15.59±0.09 s	77.97±0.98 jk
	T6	71.48±0.47 hijk	2.37±0.07 q	10.73±0.10 z	65.22±0.08 w
	T7	74.32±0.53 hi	3.06±1.00 mno	9.77±0.07 b	74.75±0.08 n
	T8	72.51±0.18 hij	3.48±0.08 jklm	12.21±0.01 v	67.97±0.07 sr
Negturtium	T9	73.04±0.52 hij	3.22±0.02 klmn	10.17±0.07 a	72.93±0.04 p
INasturtium	T10	68.06±0.66 kl	3.69±0.09 ij	12.75±0.25 u	67.77±0.06 rst
	T11	70.04±0.94 jkl	3.16±0.06 lmn	8.78±0.08 c	73.43±0.03 o
	T12	72.18±0.10 hij	3.55±0.05 jkl	12.57±0.56 u	67.35±0.08 t
	T13	69.56±0.11 jkl	4.12±0.12 hi	16.94±0.10 r	75.47±0.65 m
	T14	72.33±0.10 hij	3.60±0.10 jk	11.19±0.04 xy	67.51±0.10 st
	T15	70.74±0.90 ijk	4.16±0.04 h	8.86±0.06 c	71.22±0.04 q
	T16	72.97±0.40 hij	3.12±0.02 lmn	11.62±0.02 w	68.00±0.03 r
	T17	67.12±0.041	4.25±0.05 h	10.30±0.20 a	76.46±0.051
	T18	71.29±0.06 hijk	2.82±0.02 nop	11.43±0.09 wx	66.64±0.09 u
	LSD at 0.05	3.6843	0.4342	0.356	0.4683

Table 4: Effects of pre-treatments, drying conditions and mordants over colour measurements in cotton fabric

Fabric strip test

The strength which was put to tear the strip of silk fabric has been presented in fig. 3. According to it the highest strength (412.16 g/m^2) to tear has been found out with the T1 treatment (EtOH 50% + 4 min MW interval + alum) in marigold tinted silk fabric. Whereas, the lowest strength (58.68 g/m^2) was seen in T18 treatment in marigold dyed silk (70% HCl + 8 min MW interval + stannous chloride). In case of Nasturtium dye (fig. 3) the maximum strength (296.23 g/m²) for silk fabric was found out with the T1 treatment (EtOH 50% + 4 min MW interval + alum). It has been found out that the effect of alum gives better strength to fabric compared to stannous chloride for silk fabric. For cotton fabric similar kind of strength application has been seen like silk fabric i.e.., alum proved to be a better strength provided for fabric than stannous chloride. The highest strength (194.66 g/m^2) to the cotton strip was found in (T1) Ethanol 50% + 4 minutes MW interval + alum. The marigold dyed cotton showed better strength affects than the nasturtium. The highest strength in nasturtium (90.07 g/m²) was found in (T1) Ethanol 50% + 4 minutes MW interval + alum. In case of silk, the fabric breaking strength was less with acid treatments. This was probably due to intense acid activity at higher temperature condition (Nakpathm, 2014)^[10]. Fabric breakage can be expressed in terms of elongation capacity. In other words, breaking elongation gives the measure of the resistance of the material to elongate and finally break. It means how much the fibre will extend or elongate which subjected to stretching. This was showed in cotton fibre by Hosseinali 2012.



Fig 3: Effect of dyeing over the silk fabric strength (g/m²), LSD=6.7718



Fig 4: Effect of dyeing over the cotton fabric strength (g/m^2) , LDS= 1.9601

Fastness test of fabric

In light fastness tests of marigold and nasturtium over silk (Fig. 4&5) and cotton (Fig. 8&9) fabric for the colour variation has been observed. This may be due to formation of a complex with the metal salts which protects the chromatophore to minimum photolytic degradation (Ali *et al.* 2009) ^[1]. For lightfastness, the substitution pattern of dyes seems to play an important role for colour determination. The increase or decrease of electron density through the substituent may accelerate oxidation otherwise reduction reaction of molecules. Which can be seen that the marigold lutein has two hydroxyl group gives good fastness (Ali *et al.* 2009, Kanchana *et al.* 2013) ^[1,7].

Washing and rubbing fastness (Fig. 6&7 for silk and Fig. 10&11 for cotton) of the dye is influenced by the rate of diffusion of the dye and state of the dye inside the fibre by the rate of diffusion of the dye and state of the dye inside the fibre (Jyothi, 2008). Marigold and nasturtium extracted dye exhibit good wash and rubbing fastness. With mordant it complexes and create the dye an insolubilizing effect to make the colour fast. (Raja *et al.* 2010) ^[12]. Fabric samples dyed in marigold and nasturtium pigment with alum as a mordant have resulted in good wash fastness along with good rub fastness. Similar result was seen by Kanchana *et al.* 2013 ^[7] and Jothi 2008 ^[6].



Fig 5: light fastness test on silk fabric dyed with marigold pigment

								Ex	traction 1	nethod								
Mordant	dant 50 %Ethanol + 4 50 % min MW min Pre Post Pre		50 %E min M	0 %Ethanol+6 50 %Ethan in MW min MW		thanol+8 W	nol+8 0.5g/l Carbonate +4 min MW		0.5g/l Carbonate +6 min MW		0.5g/l Carbonate +8 min MW		70% H min M	ICL+4 W	70% H min M	ICL+6 IW	70% H 8 min	ICL+ MW
	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post
Alum	the second			X					The second		11-20 C	14		A.		R		
Stannous Chloride			No.		and at			4			S.E			1			- 14	

Fig 6: Light fastness test on silk fabric dyed with nasturtium pigment

								Ex	traction	nethod								
Mordant	50 %Ethanol + 4 min MW		50 %Ethanol+6 min MW		50 %Ethanol+8 min MW		0.5g/l Carbonate +4 min MW		0.5g/l Carbor min M	nate +6 W	0.5g/l +8 min	Carbonate MW	70% H min M	ICL+ 4 IW	70% F min M	ICL+6 IW	70% I 8 min	HCL+ MW
	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post
Alum			1	1		Rely				1915								
Stannous Chloride									t T	+				1				

Fig 7: Wash and rubbing fastness test on silk fabric dyed with marigold pigment

								E	xtraction	method								
Mordant	Mordant 50 %Ethanol + 4 min MW		50 %E min M	50 %Ethanol+6 min MW		50 %Ethanol+8 min MW		0.5g/l Carbonate +4 min MW		0.5g/l Carbonate +6 min MW		ate +8 W	70% H min Mi	CL+4 iW	70% H min Mi	CL+ 6 cro	70% H min M	CL+ 8 W
	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post
Alum	a the								1 the	and a second			See					
Stannous Chloride			No.		and the second		- Sh	-0-			IS E					-		

Fig 8: Wash and rubbing fastness test on silk fabric dyed with nasturtium pigment



Fig 9: Light fastness test on cotton fabric dyed with marigold pigment

		Extraction method																
Mordant	50 %Ethanol + 4 50 %Ethanol+6 min MW min MW		50 %Ethanol+8 min MW		0.5g/l Carbonate +4 min MW		0.5g/l Carbonate +6 min MW		0.5g/l Carbonate +8 min MW		70% F min N	HCL+4 IW	70% H min M	ICL+6 IW	70% I 8 min	łCL+ MW		
	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post
Alum																		
Stannous Chloride													P					17 10

Fig 10: Light fastness test on cotton fabric dyed with nasturtium pigment

								Ex	straction	method								
Mordant	50 %E min M	50 %Ethanol + 4 min MW		50 %Ethanol+6 min MW		50 %Ethanol+8 min MW		0.5g/l Carbonate +4 min MW		nate +6 IW	0.5g/l +8 m	Carbonate in MW	70% min N	HCL+4 AW	70%] min N	HCL+6 /W	70% l 8 min	HCL+ MW
	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post
Alum									APP-									200 80
Stannous Chloride										and a second sec				and The se				

Fig 11: Wash and rubbing fastness test on cotton fabric dyed with marigold pigment

	Extraction method																	
Mordant	ordant 50 %Ethanol + 4 min MW		50 %Ethanol+6 min MW		50 %Et min M	hanol+8 W	0.5g/l Carbonate +4 min MW		0.5g/l Carbonate +6 min MW		0.5g/l Carbor min M	nate +8 W	70% H min N	ICL+ 4 IW	70% H min N	ICL+ 6 IW	70% H min M	ICL+ 8 IW
	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post
Alum										1								
Stannous Chloride												EN LT	þ.					

Fig 12: Wash and rubbing fastness test on cotton fabric dyed with nasturtium pigment

The whole process of extraction and dyeing is ecologically safe. The obtained results have shown the dyeing potential of all three natural plant sources as source for dyeing. There is need for proper knowledge, documentation and assessment of dye- yielding plants as well as the dying techniques so as to increase the use of natural dyes.

Acknowledgement

Dr. Ramesh Kumar, Ex-Director, DFR, Pune for providing the financial support and Dr. S. K. Jha, Professor, Division of Food Science & Post Harvest Technology, IARI for providing facilities for conducting experiment.

References

- 1. Ali S, Hussain T, Nawaz R. Optimization of alkaline extraction of natural dye from Henna leaves and its dyeing on cotton by exhaust method. Journal of Cleaner Production. 2009; 17:61-66.
- 2. Bechtold T, Turcanu A, Ganglberger E, Geissler S. Natural dyes in modern textile dyehouses-how to combine experiences of two centuries to meet the demands of the future. Journal of Clean Production. 2003; 11:499- 509.
- 3. Bhattacharya SD, Shah AK. Journal of Society for Dyers and Chemists. 2000; 116:10.
- 4. Hosseinali F. Investigation of tensile properties of individual cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) fibres. Thesis submitted to Graduate faculty of Texus Tech University USA, 2012.
- 5. Jha CK, Kumar R, Kumar VS, Rajeswari VD. Extraction of natural dye from marigold flower (*Tagetes erecta*. L) and dyeing of fabric and yarns: A focus on colorimetric analysis and fastness properties. Der Pharmacia Lettre. 2015; 7:185-195.
- 6. Jothi D. Extraction of natural dyes from African marigold flower *Tagetes erecta* for textile coloration. Autex Research journal. 2008; 8:49-53.
- Kanchana R, Fernandes A, Bhat B, Budkule S, Dessai S, Mohan R. Dyeing of Textiles With Natural Dyes - An Eco-Friendly Approach. International Journal of Chem Tech Research. 2013; 5:2109-2112.
- 8. Toussirot M, Nowik W, Hnawia E, Lebouvier N, Hay A E, Sayette A *et al.* Dyeing Properties, Coloring compounds and antioxidant activity of *Hubera nitidissima* (Dunal) Chaowasku (Annonaceae). *Dyes and Pigments.* 2014; 102:278-284.
- Mansour HF, Gamal AM. Environmental assessment of dosage orange extraction and its dyeing properties on protein fabrics Part 1: Standardization of extraction. Journal of Environmental Science Technology. 2011; 4:395-402.

- Nakpathom M, Somboon B, Narumol N. Papain enzymatic degumming of Thai *Bombyx mori* silk fibre. Journal of Microscopy Society of Thailand. 2014; 23:142-146.
- 11. Niizu PY, Rodriguez-Amaya DB. Flowers and leaves of *Tropaeolum majus* L. as rich sources of lutein. Journal of Food Science. 2005; 70:605-609.
- Raja D, Arputharaj A, Prakash C, Ramesh B, Koushik CV. Study on Dyeing Behaviour of Cotton/Organic Cotton Knitted Fabrics. Indian Journal of Science and Technology. 2010; 3:746-751.
- 13. Saiman MP, Wahab MS, Wahit MU. The effect of fabric weave on tensile stretches of woven kenaf reinforced unsaturated polyester composite. International colloquium on textile engineering, fashion, apparel and design. 2014; 6:51-56.
- 14. Salikhov SA, Idriskhodzhaev UM. Prospective coloring plant for the food industry. Khlebopekarnayai Konditerskaya Promyshlennost. 1978; 8:23-24.
- 15. Samanta AK, Agarwal P. Application of Natural Dyes on Textiles. Indian Journal of Fibre and Textile Research. 2009; 34:384-399.
- Sivakumar V, Anna JL, Vijayeeswarri J, Swaminathan G. Ultrasound assisted enhancement in natural dye extraction from beetroot for industrial applications and natural dyeing of leather. Ultrasonics Sonochemistry. 2009; 16:782-789.
- Vasudevan P, Kashyap S, Sharma S. Tagetes: A Multipurpose Plant. Bioresource Technology. 1997; 62:29-35.
- Zulrushdi NAF, Hassan RM, Yusoff AM. Microwaveassisted extraction of natural colorant extracted from mesocarp and exocarp of *Cocos nucifera* (coconut palm). European Journal of Biotechnology and Bioscience. 2016; 4:01-05.