

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902

www.chemijournal.com IJCS 2020; 8(2): 1020-1023 © 2020 IJCS

Received: 10-01-2020 Accepted: 15-02-2020

## Kishor Kumar Mandal

Department of Soil Science. College of Agriculture. Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya. Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

#### LK Srivastava

Department of Soil Science. College of Agriculture. Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya. Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

#### Bindiya Painra

Department of Soil Science. College of Agriculture. Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya. Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

## Hareesh Kumar

Department of Soil Science. College of Agriculture. Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya. Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

Corresponding Author: Kishor Kumar Mandal Department of Soil Science. College of Agriculture. Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya. Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

## Nitrogen use efficiency of high zinc rice genotypes in vertisols under varying levels of fertility

## Kishor Kumar Mandal, LK Srivastava, Bindiya Painkra and Hareesh Kumar

**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.22271/chemi.2020.v8.i2p.8903

#### Abstract

Nitrogen is one of the most important mineral nutrients required for intensive crop production and the enhancement of nutrient use efficiency (NUE) is an important economic and global goal. Rice crop is very important not only because it is the most staple food in the world but also because of its lowest Nutrient Use Efficiency (NUE) among the cereals. A field experiment was conducted at research cum instructional farm, IGKV, Raipur. The overall objective of present study was to identify the Nitrogen Use Efficiency of high zinc containing rice genotypes in *Vertisols* of Chhattisgarh during kharif season of 2013. The experiment was carried out in split-plot design with three replications having four fertility levels in main plots and twelve varieties in sub plots. Nitrogen use efficiencies of different rice genotype ranged from 22.30 to 49.40 per cent with overall average value of 38.70 per cent. The highest NUE was recorded by R-1033-968-1(ABL) that yielded maximum grain produce. Low fertility level exhibited higher efficiency than that of medium and high fertility level.

Keywords: Nitrogen use efficiency, NPK, Oryza sativa l., vertisols, zinc rice

## Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) Is a C3 plant belong to the family Poaceae. Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is one of the most important staple food crops for approximately half of the global population, total rice crop area was 42.56 million hectares and production was 115.60 million tonnes (Anonymous, 2018-19a) [3]. Nitrogen is one of the major plant nutrient required for plant growth for maximizing the yield and encourages vegetative growth of plants. Nutrient use efficiency (NUE) is a critically important concept in the evaluation of crop production systems. Increasing nutrient levels of N increased plant height, sterility, normal kernels and 1000 grain weight (Amin *et al.*, 2004) [2]. Application of higher levels of nitrogen produced higher plant height, higher effective tiller per hill, panicle length, filled grains per panicle and 1000 grain weight (Ahmed *et al.*, 2005) [1]. The objective of experiment was to investigate response of high zinc containing rice genotype under different nitrogen fertilizers levels and to quantify high zinc rice nitrogen use efficiency.

## **Materials and Methods**

Twelves rice genotypes of *Oryza sativa* L. used to study NUE, the experiment was conducted at Indira Gandhi Agricultural University, during *kharif* 2013 Raipur, Chhattisgarh. The soil of the experimental field comes under the order of *Vertisols* and identified as Arang II series. It is clayey in texture, dark brown to black in color, neutral to alkaline in reaction due to presence of lime concretion in lower horizon. The experiment was carried out in split-plot design with three replications having four fertility levels (00, 50, 80 and 110 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) in main plots and twelve varieties R-RF-31 (ABL) (G-1), R-1033-968-1 (ABL) (G-2), SWARNA× MOROBRAKEN-23 (G-3), SWARNA×MOROBRAKEN-21 (G-4), Bas 1×IR681444 (G-5), IR 681444×HMT (G-6), IR 94297 (G-7), IR 94033 (G-8), IR 681444-2B-2-2-3-1-127 (G-9), IR 83286-22-1-2-7-1(G-10), Improve Chitimutalya (G-11) and Chandrahasini (G-12), in sub plots. 1/3<sup>rd</sup> dose of N as basal and remaining 2/3<sup>rd</sup> N was applied in two equal splits at early tillering and PI stage. Data was recorded at maturity *viz.*, number of effective tillers per meter square, grain test weight and straw yield quintals per ha. Nitrogen, use efficiency was calculated by using the following formula.

NUE (%) = 
$$\frac{\text{Uptake from treated plot (kg ha}^{-1}) - \text{Uptake from control plot (kg ha}^{-1})}{\text{Total nutrient applied}} \times 100$$

The data was analyzed using OPSTAT

**Table 1:** Some chemical properties of the soil used for the study.

| Parameter                                    | Rating/value | Parameter          | Rating/value |
|--|--------------|--------------------|--------------|
| EC (dSm <sup>-1</sup> )                      | 0.18         | Available Zn (ppm) | 1.89         |
| Soil pH                                      | 8.03         | Available Fe (ppm) | 6.56         |
| Organic Matter %                             | 0.58         | Available Mn (ppm) | 6.33         |
| CEC (c mol (p+) Kg <sup>-1</sup> )           | 36.32        | Available Cu (ppm) | 2.51         |
| Available nitrogen (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )    | 238.3        | Texture            | Clay         |
| Available Phosphorous (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | 14.2         |                    |              |
| Available Potash (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )      | 459.2        |                    |              |

### Result and Discussion Yield attributes

The Number of effective tillers (Table 2), test weight (Table 3), grain yield (Table 4) and straw yield (Table 5) were significantly varied under different genotypes and fertility levels. The average effective tillers were significantly higher with R-1033-968-1(ABL) followed by R-RF-31(ABL), IR 94297. The mean effective tillers of 156 /m<sup>2</sup> were recorded by Improved Chitimutalya, which was significantly lower than all other genotypes. The average test weight was significantly higher in R-RF-31(ABL) followed by R-1033-968-1(ABL), IR 94297. The test weight of 18.13 gram was recorded by Improve Chitimutalya, which was significantly lower than all other genotypes except IR 681444×HMT. The average grain yield was significantly higher in R-1033-968-1(ABL) followed by R-RF-31(ABL), followed by IR 94297. The grain yield of 30.09 q ha<sup>-1</sup> was recorded by Improve Chitimutalya, which was significantly lower than all other genotypes, except (SWARNA×MOROBRAKEN-23). The average straw yield was accumulated significantly higher in R-RF-31(ABL) followed by R-1033-968-1(ABL), IR 94033. The straw yield of 43.33 q ha<sup>-1</sup> was recorded by BAS 1×IR681444 which was significantly lower than all other genotypes, except SWARNA×MOROBRAKEN-21, IR 681444-2B-2-2-3-1-127 and Improve Chitimutalya. Fertility levels were also significantly influenced the effective tillers, test weight, grain and straw yield of different rice genotypes as shown in the Table 2, Table 3, Table 4 and Table 5 respectively. Significantly highest effective tillers, test weight, grain and

straw yield of 230 m<sup>2</sup>, 25.26 gram, 53.89 q ha<sup>-1</sup> and 61.87 q ha<sup>-1</sup> were recorded under high soil fertility level (F3) followed by medium soil fertility level (F2) with 222 m<sup>2</sup>, 24.19 gram. While in case of grain and straw yields were at par with medium fertility level (51.11 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and (57.91 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) (F2). Control soil fertility level (F0) recorded significantly lowest effective tillers, test weight, grain and straw yield of rice respectively, 165 m<sup>2</sup>, 22.32 gram, 31.38 q ha<sup>-1</sup> and 34.54 q ha<sup>-1</sup> <sup>1</sup>. Different rice genotypes have responded to the graded dose of fertilizer application created from low to high fertility level. Similar findings were also reported by Tabar et al. (2012) [8]. Test weight of rice genotypes increased with increasing levels of fertilizer application was also reported by Tabar et al. (2012) [8] and Ahmad et al. (2005) [1]. Similar results for grain yield also reported by Uddin et al. (2013) [9], Fageria et al. (2011) [5], Metwally et al. (2011) [6] and Awan et al. (2011) [4], who reported increase in paddy yield of rice as the rates of N increased. Genotypes had significant effect on the growth and yield attributes and among them, R-1033-968-1(ABL) recorded the higher growth and yield attributes i.e. total tiller, effective tiller and their combined effect resulted the maximum rice yield. Superiority of R-1033-968-1(ABL) over other varieties may also seems to be on account of higher root and shoot growth, leaf area index and efficient translocation of metabolites towards grain formation. The findings of increased paddy straw yields by the different fertility levels are also in consonance with the results of Fageria et al. (2011) [5], who reported increase in paddy yield of rice as the rates of N increased.

**Table 2:** Effective tillers m<sup>2</sup>

| S.N.  | Rice genotype            | Fertility levels |                  |                  |                  |      |
|-------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------|
| 9.11. | Rice genotype            | 00               | 50               | 80               | 110              | Mean |
| 1     | R-RF-31(ABL)             | 184              | 240              | 245              | 265              | 236  |
| 2     | R-1033-968-1(ABL)        | 224              | 254              | 263              | 275              | 251  |
| 3     | SWARNA×MOROBRAKEN-23     | 140              | 175              | 210              | 217              | 185  |
| 4     | SWARNA×MOROBRAKEN-21     | 142              | 187              | 208              | 214              | 188  |
| 5     | Bas 1×IR681444           | 182              | 226              | 235              | 240              | 221  |
| 6     | IR 681444×HMT            | 163              | 182              | 200              | 210              | 189  |
| 7     | IR 94297                 | 170              | 218              | 245              | 266              | 225  |
| 8     | IR 94033                 | 154              | 182              | 210              | 208              | 188  |
| 9     | IR 681444-2B-2-2-3-1-120 | 161              | 196              | 224              | 232              | 203  |
| 10    | IR 83286-22-1-2-7-1      | 170              | 184              | 219              | 233              | 201  |
| 11    | Improved Chitimutalya    | 142              | 149              | 163              | 170              | 156  |
| 12    | Chandrahasini            | 152              | 189              | 240              | 233              | 204  |
|       | MEAN                     | 165 <sup>D</sup> | 198 <sup>C</sup> | 222 <sup>B</sup> | 230 <sup>A</sup> | 204  |

CD at 5% for, F\*\*= 5.02, G\*\*=6.66

Table 3: Test weight (1000 grain weight (g).

| S.N.  | Dies construe            |                    | Fertility levels   |                    |                    |       |  |
|-------|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------|--|
| S.IN. | Rice genotype            | 00                 | 50                 | 80                 | 110                | Mean  |  |
| 1     | R-RF-31(ABL)             | 26.24              | 27.61              | 28.43              | 29.40              | 27.92 |  |
| 2     | R-1033-968-1(ABL)        | 26.53              | 27.00              | 27.57              | 28.97              | 27.51 |  |
| 3     | SWARNA×MOROBRAKEN-23     | 21.51              | 23.65              | 23.60              | 24.50              | 23.31 |  |
| 4     | SWARNA×MOROBRAKEN-21     | 22.94              | 23.12              | 23.46              | 24.08              | 23.40 |  |
| 5     | Bas 1×IR681444           | 22.08              | 24.06              | 25.32              | 25.87              | 24.33 |  |
| 6     | IR 681444×HMT            | 17.98              | 18.98              | 19.67              | 19.76              | 19.09 |  |
| 7     | IR 94297                 | 23.57              | 24.59              | 26.35              | 27.83              | 25.58 |  |
| 8     | IR 94033                 | 22.71              | 24.28              | 24.08              | 25.53              | 24.15 |  |
| 9     | IR 681444-2B-2-2-3-1-120 | 22.16              | 23.83              | 24.93              | 25.40              | 24.08 |  |
| 10    | IR 83286-22-1-2-7-1      | 22.87              | 23.05              | 24.38              | 26.18              | 24.11 |  |
| 11    | Improve Chitimutalya     | 16.39              | 17.48              | 18.84              | 19.82              | 18.13 |  |
| 12    | Chandrahasini            | 22.91              | 22.61              | 23.63              | 25.71              | 23.71 |  |
|       | MEAN                     | 22.32 <sup>D</sup> | 23.35 <sup>C</sup> | 24.19 <sup>B</sup> | 25.26 <sup>A</sup> | 23.78 |  |

CD at 5% for, F\*\*= 0.55, G\*\*=0.93

Table 4: Grain yield q ha<sup>1</sup>

| CN   | Disc sourches            |                    | Fertility levels   |                     |         |       |
|------|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------|-------|
| S.N. | Rice genotype            | 00                 | 50                 | 80                  | 110     | Mean  |
| 1.   | R-RF-31(ABL)             | 33.87              | 49.46              | 62.80               | 64.04   | 52.54 |
| 2.   | R-1033-968-1(ABL)        | 38.49              | 56.71              | 64.58               | 68.36   | 57.03 |
| 3.   | SWARNA×MOROBRAKEN-23     | 21.82              | 30.09              | 36.05               | 38.67   | 31.66 |
| 4.   | SWARNA×MOROBRAKEN-21     | 29.55              | 42.22              | 48.31               | 50.67   | 42.69 |
| 5.   | Bas 1×IR681444           | 32.98              | 45.60              | 57.07               | 57.60   | 48.3  |
| 6.   | IR 681444×HMT            | 32.22              | 43.56              | 50.13               | 50.58   | 44.12 |
| 7.   | IR 94297                 | 36.18              | 50.22              | 56.93               | 58.36   | 50.42 |
| 8.   | IR 94033                 | 27.11              | 41.87              | 45.95               | 45.29   | 40.05 |
| 9.   | IR 681444-2B-2-2-3-1-127 | 29.29              | 45.95              | 55.91               | 59.87   | 47.75 |
| 10.  | IR 83286-22-1-2-7-1      | 32.80              | 43.78              | 50.22               | 61.65   | 47.11 |
| 11.  | Improve Chitimutalya     | 23.78              | 30.14              | 32.40               | 34.04   | 30.09 |
| 12.  | Chandrahasini            | 38.49              | 43.02              | 53.02               | 57.60   | 48.03 |
|      | MEAN                     | 31.38 <sup>D</sup> | 43.55 <sup>C</sup> | 51.11 <sup>AB</sup> | 53.89 A | 44.98 |

CD at 5% for, F\*\*= 4.86, G\*\*=3.42

Table 5: Straw yield q ha1

| S.N. | Dies construe            |                    | Fertility levels   |                     |                    |       |  |
|------|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------|--|
| 5.N. | Rice genotype            | 00                 | 50                 | 80                  | 110                | Mean  |  |
| 1    | R-RF-31(ABL)             | 43.52              | 65.75              | 84.79               | 84.93              | 69.75 |  |
| 2    | R-1033-968-1(ABL)        | 46.50              | 68.37              | 77.71               | 81.05              | 68.41 |  |
| 3    | SWARNA×MOROBRAKEN-23     | 35.46              | 48.93              | 55.72               | 63.68              | 50.95 |  |
| 4    | SWARNA×MOROBRAKEN-21     | 29.76              | 42.48              | 49.65               | 52.40              | 43.57 |  |
| 5    | Bas 1×IR681444           | 28.85              | 40.68              | 51.62               | 52.17              | 43.33 |  |
| 6    | IR 681444×HMT            | 32.53              | 47.96              | 55.92               | 56.76              | 48.29 |  |
| 7    | IR 94297                 | 34.14              | 47.81              | 55.54               | 59.88              | 49.34 |  |
| 8    | IR 94033                 | 34.24              | 55.57              | 57.07               | 60.78              | 51.92 |  |
| 9    | IR 681444-2B-2-2-3-1-127 | 26.40              | 42.47              | 50.46               | 57.67              | 44.25 |  |
| 10   | IR 83286-22-1-2-7-1      | 31.51              | 44.55              | 51.24               | 62.71              | 47.50 |  |
| 11   | Improve Chitimutalya     | 35.38              | 46.19              | 49.58               | 52.72              | 45.97 |  |
| 12   | Chandrahasini            | 36.24              | 44.66              | 55.65               | 57.73              | 48.57 |  |
|      | MEAN                     | 34.54 <sup>D</sup> | 49.62 <sup>C</sup> | 57.91 <sup>AB</sup> | 61.87 <sup>A</sup> | 50.99 |  |

CD at 5% for, F\*\*= 4.92, G\*\*=3.56

Table 6: Nitrogen use efficiency

| S.N.  | Rice genotype Symbol     | Symbol | Fertility levels |        |       |                      |
|-------|--------------------------|--------|------------------|--------|-------|----------------------|
| S.IV. |                          | Symbol | Low              | Medium | High  | Mean                 |
| 1     | R-RF-31(ABL)             | G-1    | 0.514            | 0.509  | 0.458 | 0.494a               |
| 2     | R-1033-968-1(ABL)        | G-2    | 0.533            | 0.509  | 0.444 | 0.495a               |
| 3     | SWARNA×MOROBRAKEN-23     | G-3    | 0.317            | 0.315  | 0.288 | 0.307e               |
| 4     | SWARNA×MOROBRAKEN-21     | G-4    | 0.403            | 0.393  | 0.337 | 0.378 <sup>bcd</sup> |
| 5     | Bas 1×IR681444           | G-5    | 0.375            | 0.439  | 0.365 | 0.393bc              |
| 6     | IR 681444×HMT            | G-6    | 0.371            | 0.342  | 0.336 | 0.350 <sup>cde</sup> |
| 7     | IR 94297                 | G-7    | 0.460            | 0.456  | 0.388 | 0.435ab              |
| 8     | IR 94033                 | G-8    | 0.425            | 0.379  | 0.344 | 0.383 <sup>bcd</sup> |
| 9     | IR 681444-2B-2-2-3-1-127 | G-9    | 0.508            | 0.477  | 0.442 | 0.476a               |
| 10    | IR 83286-22-1-2-7-1      | G-10   | 0.329            | 0.343  | 0.310 | 0.327 <sup>de</sup>  |

| 11 | Improve Chitimutalya | G-11 | 0.270       | 0.224       | 0.176              | 0.223 <sup>f</sup>   |
|----|----------------------|------|-------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| 12 | Chandrahasini        | G-12 | 0.354       | 0.359       | 0.312              | 0.342 <sup>cde</sup> |
|    | MEAN                 |      | $0.409^{A}$ | $0.398^{B}$ | 0.353 <sup>C</sup> | 0.383                |

CD at 5% for, F\*\*= 0.080, G\*\*=0.079, Interaction (FG\*\*)-NS

# Nitrogen use efficiency as influenced by rice genotypes and fertility levels

The data presented that nitrogen use efficiencies of different rice genotype ranged from 22.30 to 49.40 per cent with overall average value of 38.70 per cent. The highest average NUE was recorded by R-1033-968-1(ABL) (G2) followed by R-RF-31(ABL), followed by IR 681444-2B-2-2-3-1-127, followed by IR 94297 and the lowest NUE was recorded by Improve Chitimutalya. Low fertility level exhibited higher nitrogen use efficiency (0.409 per cent) than that of medium (0.398 per cent) and high fertility level (0.353 per cent). Under low fertility level the genotype R-1033-968-1(ABL) recorded higher nitrogen use efficiency and the lowest was recorded by Improve Chitimutalya. Similar results on nitrogen use efficiency as influence by N, P and K levels were also reported by Zhang *et al.* (2009) [10] and Swain *et al.* (2006) [7].

#### References

- Ahmed M, Md. Monirul Islam, Paul SK. Effect of Nitrogen on Yield and Other Plant Characters of Local T. Aman Rice, Var. Jatai. Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences. 2005; 1(2):158-161.
- Amin M, Ayyaz M, Ahmed Ramzan M. Effect of increased plant density and fertilizer dose on the yield of rice variety IR-6. Journal of Research (Science.). 2004; 15(1):09-16.
- Anonymous. Annual Report, Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare Government of India. 2018-19a, 4-5.
- Awan TH, Ali RI, Manzoor Z, Ahmad M, Akhtar M. Effect of different nitrogen levels and row spacing on the performance of newly evolved medium grain ric variety, ksk-133. The Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences. 2011; 21(2):231-234.
- Fageria NK, Santos AB, Heinemann AB. Lowland rice genotypes evaluation for phosphorus use efficiency in tropical lowland. Journal of Plant Nutrition. 2011; 34(8):1087-1095.
- 6. Metwally TF, Gewaily EE, Naeem SS. Nitrogen response curve and nitrogen use efficiency of Egyptian hybrid rice. J Agric. Res. Kafer El-Sheikh Univ, 2011, 37(1).
- 7. Swain DK, Bhaskar BC, Krishnan P, Rao KS, Nayak SK, Dash RN. Variation in yield, N uptake and N use efficiency of medium and late duration rice varieties Journal of Agricultural Science. 2006; 144:69-83.
- 8. Tabar Y. Effect of Nitrogen and Phosphorus Fertilizer on Growth and Yield Rice (*Oryza Sativa* L). Int. 1 J of Agronomy and Plant Production. 2012; 3(12):579-584.
- 9. Uddin S, Sarkar MAR, Rahman MM. Effect of nitrogen and potassium on yield of dry direct seeded rice cv. NERICA 1 in aus season. Intl J Agron. Plant. Prod. 2013; 4(1):69-75.
- 10. Zhang YL, Fan JB, Wang DS, Shen QR. Genotypic differences in grain yield and physiological nitrogen use efficiency among rice cultivars. Pedosphere. 2009; 19(6):681-691.