



P-ISSN: 2349-8528

E-ISSN: 2321-4902

www.chemijournal.com

IJCS 2020; 8(2): 327-329

© 2020 IJCS

Received: 16-01-2020

Accepted: 20-02-2020

B Rama KrishnaM.Sc. Scholar, Department of
Agronomy, SHUATS, Prayagraj,
Uttar Pradesh, India**Rajesh Singh**Assistant Professor, Department
of Agronomy, SHUATS,
Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India**AC Singh**Assistant Professor and Head,
Department of Agronomy, K. A.
P.G College, Prayagraj, Uttar
Pradesh, India**Corresponding Author:****B Rama Krishna**M.Sc. Scholar, Department of
Agronomy, SHUATS, Prayagraj,
Uttar Pradesh, India

Nodulation, yield and economics of summer Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) as influenced by sulphur levels and plant growth regulators

B Rama Krishna, Rajesh Singh and AC Singh

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22271/chemi.2020.v8.i2e.8788>

Abstract

The experiment was conducted during the *Zaid* season of 2018 Prayagraj (U.P.). The experiment was conducted to study the Nodulation, yield and economics of summer Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) as influenced by sulphur levels and plant growth regulators. The experiment comprised of 2 factors and 13 treatments *viz.* Sulphur (30, 40, 50 kg ha⁻¹) and Plant growth regulators (NAA 50 ppm (at 20 DAS alone & at 20, 40 DAS), GA₃ 30 ppm (at 20 DAS alone & at 20, 40 DAS)) and control. Sulphur and Plant growth regulators application significantly influenced the nodulation, yield attributing characters and economics over control. Addition of sulphur at 40 kg ha⁻¹ along with foliar application of 50 ppm NAA twice at 20 DAS and 40 DAS recorded highest Nodulation per plant (30 no.), Shelling out percentage (69.50%), Matured pods per plant (30.25 no.), Kernel yield (1.37 t ha⁻¹), Gross returns (96,333.00 Rs ha⁻¹), Net returns (53,935.00 Rs ha⁻¹) and B:C ratio (1.27).

Keywords: Groundnut, *Zaid*, sulphur, nodulation, gypsum, kernel yield, B:C ratio

Introduction

Groundnut is also known as the “Wonder nut” or “Poor man’s Cashew nut”. It is world largest source of edible oil, ranks 13th among the food crops as well as 4th most important oilseed crop of the world. Groundnut accounts for 40 per cent of the area and 30 per cent of the production of total oilseeds grown in the country (Anonymous, 2009-10). In India, it was cultivated on an area of 5.53 m ha with production of 9.67 mt and productivity of 1750 kg ha⁻¹ during 2013-2014 (AICRPG, 2015) [1]. Sulphur is now recognized as the fourth major plant nutrient after N, P and K, and also an integral part of balanced fertilization and nutrition for oilseed crops in general and groundnut in particular. Sulphur is also known to promote nodulation in legumes there by N fixation and associated with the crops of spurious nutrition and market quality. Its application increases drought and cold tolerance in plant by process of disulphide linkage. The direct source of sulphur is somewhat costlier than elemental sulphur. Gypsum is another material huge deposits of which are found in the state of Rajasthan and being excavated at large scale. It has been the endeavour of crop physiologists to influence crop growth and production by the exogenous application of the growth regulators. Spraying of NAA during early crop growth stage, especially before flower initiation have been found to result in a higher fertility of flower, early fruit set and increasing the number of filled pods per plant (Gopalakrishnan and Srinivasan, 1975; Valliappan *et al.*, 1985 and Rao, 1997) [7]. Gibberellic acid has been used as a growth regulator to increase yields in different crops like paddy.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted during the *Zaid* season of 2018 at Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology & Sciences, Prayagraj (U.P.) India. Soil was sandy clay loam having pH 7.2, organic carbon around 0.42%, available nitrogen at 245 kg ha⁻¹, available P₂O₅ at 14.8 kg ha⁻¹ and K₂O at 343.2 kg ha⁻¹. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design consisting of 13 treatment combinations each replicated three times. Different levels of sulphur (30, 40 and 50 kg ha⁻¹) and different plant growth regulators (NAA 50 ppm at 20 DAS, NAA

50 ppm twice at 20 & 40 DAS, GA₃ 30 ppm at 20 DAS, GA₃ 30 ppm twice at 20 & 40 DAS). Treatments were randomly arranged in each replication. Data on different growth parameters, yield attributes, yield and economics were recorded from randomly selected ten tagged plants from net plot.

Results and Discussion

At 30, 45 and 60 DAS highest number of Nodules Plant⁻¹ was observed in Application of Sulphur at the rate of 40 kg ha⁻¹ along with foliar application of GA₃ at the rate of 30 ppm ha⁻¹ at 20 & 40 DAS. However, it was statistically at par when Sulphur was applied at the rate of 40 kg ha⁻¹ along with foliar application of NAA at the rate of 50 ppm ha⁻¹ at 20 & 40 DAS). Maximum mature pods plant⁻¹ was recorded in Treatment T₆ (Sulphur was applied at the rate of 40 kg ha⁻¹ along with foliar application of NAA at the rate of 50 ppm ha⁻¹ at 20 & 40 DAS). Maximum shelling percentage was recorded in treatment T₆ (Sulphur was applied at the rate of 40 kg ha⁻¹ along with foliar application of NAA at the rate of 50 ppm ha⁻¹ at 20 & 40 DAS). The improved growth due to S fertilization and GA₃ and NAA coupled with increased photosynthesis on one hand and greater mobilization of photosynthates towards reproductive structures, on the other, might have been responsible for significant increase in yield

attributes of groundnut. Supply of sulphur in adequate amount also helps in the development of floral primordial i.e. reproductive parts, which results in the development of pods and kernels in plants. Similar findings have also been reported earlier by Patel *et al.*, (2009) [6] Reddy *et al.*, (1978) [8] in groundnut. Maximum Kernel yield (1.37 t ha⁻¹) was recorded in Treatment T₆ (Sulphur was applied at the rate of 40 kg ha⁻¹ along with foliar application of NAA at the rate of 50 ppm ha⁻¹ at 20 & 40 DAS). Supply of sulphur and GA₃ and NAA in adequate amount also helps in the development of floral primordial i.e. reproductive parts, which results in the development of pods and kernels in plants. Similar findings have also been reported earlier by Rao *et al.*, (1987) [7] Patel *et al.*, (2009) [6], Reddy *et al.*, (1978) [8]. Gross returns were obtained maximum as ₹96,333.00 in T₆ in which Sulphur was applied at the rate of 40 kg ha⁻¹ along with foliar application of NAA at the rate of 50 ppm ha⁻¹ at 20 & 40 DAS. Net returns was obtained maximum as ₹53,935.00 in T₆ in which Sulphur was applied at the rate of 40 kg ha⁻¹ along with foliar application of NAA at the rate of 50 ppm ha⁻¹ at 20 & 40 DAS. Highest B:C ratio was found in T₆ in which Sulphur was applied at the rate of 40 kg ha⁻¹ along with foliar application of NAA at the rate of 50 ppm ha⁻¹ at 20 & 40 DAS.

Table 1: Nodulation & Yield attributes of Groundnut as influenced by different treatments at Harvest

S. No.	Treatments	Root Nodules plant ⁻¹ (60 DAS)	Mature pod plant ⁻¹ (No.)	Shelling out turn (%)	Pod yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Oil content (%)
1	30 kg ha ⁻¹ S & NAA 50 ppm at 20 DAS	85.67	23.57	64.33	1.80	43.20
2	30 kg ha ⁻¹ S & NAA 50 ppm at 20 & 40 DAS	85.63	23.84	64.15	1.79	42.57
3	30 kg ha ⁻¹ S & GA ₃ 30 ppm at 20 DAS	86.38	24.85	64.67	1.79	42.70
4	30 kg ha ⁻¹ S & GA ₃ 30 ppm at 20 & 40 DAS	90.33	23.76	67.20	1.81	45.87
5	40 kg ha ⁻¹ S & NAA 50 ppm at 20 DAS	87.15	23.44	64.30	1.82	42.70
6	40 kg ha ⁻¹ S & NAA 50 ppm at 20 & 40 DAS	96.30	30.25	69.50	1.97	46.80
7	40 kg ha ⁻¹ S & GA ₃ 30 ppm at 20 DAS	92.50	25.89	65.97	1.81	45.97
8	40 kg ha ⁻¹ S & GA ₃ 30 ppm at 20 & 40 DAS	97.53	29.52	68.00	1.93	46.21
9	50 kg ha ⁻¹ S & NAA 50 ppm at 20 DAS	90.77	26.59	66.40	1.89	43.67
10	50 kg ha ⁻¹ S & NAA 50 ppm at 20 & 40 DAS	94.67	27.95	67.30	1.90	46.80
11	50 kg ha ⁻¹ S & GA ₃ 30 ppm at 20 DAS	96.40	28.51	67.43	1.90	46.10
12	50 kg ha ⁻¹ S & GA ₃ 30 ppm at 20 & 40 DAS	95.67	28.43	67.50	1.92	47.23
13	Control	85.64	23.89	64.12	1.75	44.00
	SEM+	3.54	1.57	1.22	0.03	0.55
	CD (P = 0.05)	10.35	4.60	3.58	0.09	1.61

Table 2: Economics of Groundnut as influenced by different treatments.

S.No	Treatments	Gross returns(₹/ha)	Net returns(₹/ha)	B:C ratio
1.	30 kg ha ⁻¹ S & NAA 50 ppm at 20 DAS	88020.00	46109.00	1.10
2.	30 kg ha ⁻¹ S & NAA 50 ppm at 20 & 40 DAS	87531.00	45559.00	1.09
3.	30 kg ha ⁻¹ S & GA ₃ 30 ppm at 20 DAS	87531.00	43517.00	0.99
4.	30 kg ha ⁻¹ S & GA ₃ 30 ppm at 20 & 40 DAS	88509.00	42331.00	0.92
5.	40 kg ha ⁻¹ S & NAA 50 ppm at 20 DAS	88998.00	46663.00	1.10
6.	40 kg ha ⁻¹ S & NAA 50 ppm at 20 & 40 DAS	96333.00	53935.00	1.27
7.	40 kg ha ⁻¹ S & GA ₃ 30 ppm at 20 DAS	88509.00	44071.00	0.99
8.	40 kg ha ⁻¹ S & GA ₃ 30 ppm at 20 & 40 DAS	94377.00	47875.00	1.03
9.	50 kg ha ⁻¹ S & NAA 50 ppm at 20 DAS	92421.00	49662.00	1.16
10.	50 kg ha ⁻¹ S & NAA 50 ppm at 20 & 40 DAS	92910.00	50090.00	1.17
11.	50 kg ha ⁻¹ S & GA ₃ 30 ppm at 20 DAS	92910.00	48148.00	1.07
12.	50 kg ha ⁻¹ S & GA ₃ 30 ppm at 20 & 40 DAS	93888.00	46862.00	1.00
13.	30 kg ha ⁻¹ S & NAA 50 ppm at 20 DAS	85575.00	44981.00	1.11

Conclusion

Sulphur application significantly influenced the nodulation, yield attributing characters and economics. Treatment in which Sulphur was applied at 40 kg ha⁻¹ along with foliar

application of 50 ppm NAA twice at 20 DAS and 40 DAS Nodulation per plant, Shelling out percentage, Matured pods per plant, Kernel yield, Gross returns, Net returns and B:C ratio.

Reference

1. AICRPG. Annual Report (Kharif, 2014) All India Coordinated Research Project on Groundnut. ICAR-Directorate of Groundnut Research, Junagadh, 2015.
2. Gopalakrishnan S, Srinivasan P. Effect of planofix-an NAA formulation on groundnut. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Chemistry*. 1975a; 81:163-166.
3. Gupta AK, Singh NK. Delineating sulphur deficiency in soils and response studies in principal crop sequences of Semi-Arid Eastern plains of Rajasthan. (In): Proceedings of TSI-FAI-IFA Symposiumcum- Workshop on "Sulphur in Balanced Fertilization", held during October 4-5, 2006 at New Delhi, India, 1982, 91-115.
4. Jordon HV, Reisenaur HM. Sulphur and soil fertility. (In) *Soil Year Book Agriculture, USDA, Washington, 1957*, 107-111.
5. Kalaiyarasan C, Vaiyapuri V, chandrasekharan MVS. Effect of sulphur sources and levels on the growth and yield of groundnut in red laterite soil. *Annals of agricultural Research New Series*. 2002; 23(4):618-621.
6. Patel GN, Patel P, Patel DM, Patel DK, Patel RM. Yield attributes, yield, quality and uptake of nutrients by summer groundnut, (*Arachishypogaea* L.) as influenced by sources and levels of sulphur under varying Irrigation schedule. *Journal of oilseed Research*. 2009; 26(2):119-122.
7. Rao GNSN. Agrotechniques to improve productivity of rabi irrigated JL 24 groundnut Pd.D. Thesis Andhra Pradesh Agricultural University, Hyderabad, 1987.
8. Reddy BS. Effect of bioregulators on growth and yield of groundnut (*Arachis ~gaea* L.) M.Sc (Ag) Thesis Andhra Pradesh Agrlculturar-university, Hyderabad, 1978.
9. Singh S, Kumar Y, Gill OP, Singh S, Kumar Y. Growth characteristics of summer groundnut (*Arachishypogaea* L.) as influenced by sulphur levels, irrigation schedules and organic manures. *Annals of Agriculture research*. 2008; 19(2):135-139.
10. Tandon HLS. Sulphur Research and Agricultural Production in India. 3rd edn. *The Sulphur Institute*, Washington, DC, USA: 140+Viii.
11. Vallippan K, Lakshnanan AR, Kumaresan Effect of different plant growth regulators on the reproductive characters and yield of TMV 7 groundnut. *Oilseeds Journal*. 1985; 15:53-56.