Soil test crop response based gradient experiment of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) with NPK fertilizers in alluvial soil of Varanasi
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Abstract

Soil Test Crop Response (STCR) studies are very useful for balanced fertilizer recommendation in farmers’ field. But before conducting STCR experiment, a gradient experiment is requisite. For that a gradient experiment was conducted in a farmer’s field at Varanasi during kharif 2017. The experiment was conducted in three strips (I, II and III) in which three varying doses of NPK fertilizers were applied [low (0, 0, 0), medium (120, 60, 60) and high (240, 120, 120) kg ha\(^{-1}\) of N, P\(_2\)O\(_5\) and K\(_2\)O], respectively. Rice (var. HUR105) was grown as exhaust crop. After harvest, grain and straw yields of rice were recorded and plant samples were collected and analyzed for NPK uptake determination. We noticed significant difference on NPK uptake, grain and straw yields, and post-harvest soil test values of rice crop which was the reflection of graded levels of NPK fertilizer application. Fertility gradient was created and the field was ready for further advancement of STCR experiment for fertilizer recommendation.
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Introduction

Proper soil-fertility management is the prime issue in an endeavor to increase crop productivity. But in the post green revolution era, indiscriminate and blanket application of fertilizers without adequate knowledge leads to exhaustion/inefficiency of nutrients, poor soil fertility status and also hampers crop productivity (Ray *et al.*, 2000) \(^1\). Most importantly, farmers are applying fertilizers without knowing the actual fertility status of his/her field, which is the preliminary hindrance for judicious fertilization in farmers’ field. Moreover, declining soil fertility and increasing demand of fertilizers create a crisis, and integrated use of organic and inorganic origin plant nutrients is the possible way out from this crisis. Soil Test Crop Response (STCR) based fertilizers recommendation, application of soil test and target yield based fertilizer dose has been recognized as crucial for not only achieving targeted yield of crops but also enhancing the fertility status of the soil. STCR based fertilizer recommendation is valid and apt against the debated approaches namely ‘fertilizing the soil’ versus ‘fertilizing the crop’. Yield targeting based fertilizer recommendation is a unique cultivation package as it not only indicates soil test based fertilizer recommendation but also considers the level of yield that a farmer is expecting/targeting (Velayutham, 1979) \(^2\). The STCR experiments are conducted based on the basic assumption that fertilizer recommendations depend upon the crop response, in which minimization of spatial variability is performed against every independent variable that can affect crop yield except for the particular nutrient(s) in question. However, many non-fertility variables (like bulk density, soil texture, available water content *etc.*.) and other fertility variables would also significantly influence the crop yield. Ramamoorthy *et al.* (1967) \(^3\) inaugurated theoretical basis and experimental proof under Indian conditions for the fact that Liebig’s law of minimum works equally well for nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium. This principle forms the basis for ‘Targeted yield’ concept which was developed by Troug (1960) \(^4\). Targeted yield equation is considered as soil-fertilizer based precision farming approach to meet the demand of nutrient for achieving specified yield target (Balasubramanian *et al.*, 1999) \(^5\). Ramamoorthy (1968) \(^6\) developed a quantitative relationship between different measured levels of a single factor (e.g. fertilizer N) of crop production with the resultant yield via field experiment.
In STCR experiment, it is necessary to have wide range of data of control variable (fertilizer) over uncontrolled variable (soil fertility). Since different levels of fertility cannot be possible at the same field, Ramamoorthy and Velayutham (1971 and 1974) \cite{7,8} elaborated Inductive approach and STCR field design in which wide variation is created in the same field and heterogeneity in soil type is reduced. Before conducing STCR experiment, establishment of a fertility gradient among the experimental field is one of the most important prerequisite for the success of it. In fertility gradient experiment, field is divided into different fertility strips by applying varying doses of fertilizer and exhaust crop was grown for natural transformation of nutrients present in the soil and creation of fertility gradient for test crop experiment. Rice is the most important stable food crop for more than 60% population of the world. Uttar Pradesh holds 3rd position in respect to production (13.27 MT) in the country (Economic Survey 2018-19, vol 2). Present experiment was conducted with an objective of successfully developing fertility gradient among the experimental strips for the succeeding test crop of STCR experiment.

### Methods and Materials

The present investigation involved one season of field experiment during kharif 2017 for establishing fertility gradient among the experimental strips and to have significant relationship between soil test values, uptake of NPK and yield of rice. Rice (var. HUR105) was grown as exhaust crop. This fertility gradient experiment was carried out in the farmer’s field of Loharpur village of Varanasi. Varanasi is located at 25°18’ N, 80°36’E, and 80.71m above mean sea level and comes under subtropical climate with 647.4 mm rainfall, 30°C & 18°C mean maximum & minimum temperature during the experiment (Figure 1).

The experimental soil was clay loam texture with slightly alkaline pH (7.49), 0.243 dSm\(^{-1}\) electrical conductivity and medium organic carbon content (0.57%). Moreover, the soil was low in available nitrogen (245 kg ha\(^{-1}\)), medium in available phosphorus (18.44 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) and medium in available potassium (206 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) status. Variation in soil fertility was created by adopting the inductive methodology developed by Ramamoorthy, Narasimhan, and Dinesh (1967) \cite{9} and Ramamoorthy and Velayutham (1971) \cite{7}. For this purpose, selected 1269.6 m\(^2\) field was divided into three strips of equal size (strip I, II and III). Healthy 4 weeks old Rice Seedlings were transplanted in the third week i.e. 21st of July in the experimental fields. Different fertilizer doses, low (0, 0, 0), medium (120, 60, 60) and high (240, 120, 120) kg ha\(^{-1}\) of N, P\(_2\)O\(_5\) and K\(_2\)O, were applied to the L\(_1\), L\(_2\) and L\(_3\) strips, respectively. Treatment wise the half of nitrogen and the full dose of phosphorus and potassium were applied as basal. The remaining half dose of nitrogen was top dressed in two equal split doses during tillering stage (28 DAT) and vegetative-stage (56 DAT). Zinc sulfate was sprayed twice, one during the tillering stage (33 DAT) and another one during vegetative-stage (25 Days after first application) at the rate of 0.5% solution. Zinc sulfate (ZnSO\(_4\).7H\(_2\)O) solution was prepared by using 2.5% lime water to neutralize the acidity. The crop was harvested at 120 DAT when foliage started yellowing and grain husk became brownish. Grain and straw yield data were taken and plant samples were collected and analyzed for estimating N, P and K uptake. The samples were oven-dried (65°C) in a hot air oven. Soil samples (0-20 cm in depth) were collected from each experimental strips after harvesting of crop, and collected soils were dried and passed through 2 mm sieve and analyzed for various soil properties (table 1).

The rice yields, nutrient uptake and soil parameters’ data were statistically analyzed by applying one-way design. Duncan’s Multiple Range Test (DMRT) was used to compare means through least significant difference (LSD). Statistical analysis
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**Table 1: Soil parameters and analysis methods**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil parameters</th>
<th>Methods followed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organic carbon</td>
<td>Walkley and Black (1965) \cite{10}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>Jackson (1973) \cite{11}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
<td>Jackson (1973) \cite{11}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available nitrogen</td>
<td>Subbiah and Asija (1956) \cite{12}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available phosphorus</td>
<td>Olsen et al. (1954) \cite{13}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available potassium</td>
<td>Hanway and Heidal (1952) \cite{14}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig 1:** Weather data of experimental site during the experiment
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\[Fig 1:\] Weather data of experimental site during the experiment
and interpretation were done by calculating the value of S.Em (±) and CD at a 5% level of significance.

Results and Discussion

Grain and straw yield: Grain and straw yields of rice were significantly (p=0.05) differed among different fertility strips as shown in the table 2. Highest grain yield was obtained from strip III (6.01 t ha\(^{-1}\)) followed by strip II (4.84 t ha\(^{-1}\)) and least from strip I (3.36 t ha\(^{-1}\)). Similar trend was found for rice straw yield, as highest straw yield was obtained from strip III (7.83 t ha\(^{-1}\)) followed by strip II (6.23 t ha\(^{-1}\)) and least from strip I (4.12 t ha\(^{-1}\)). Highest amount of fertilizers are applied in Strip III which favorably influenced the growth and yield of rice. Obtained higher yield was due to the balanced supply of all important nutrients to the plants. The variations in the yields of rice at different sites in different strips were mainly the consequence of different rates fertilization and associated with soil fertility and the N, P and K uptake ratio by aboveground parts of rice. Plants without fertilizer application exhibited lowest yield due scarcity of available nutrients. These findings were in agreement with findings of other researchers (Pan et al., 1993) [14] (Yousaf et al., 2017) [15]. However, Sridhar and Adeoye (2003) [16] highlighted the ill effects of excessive inorganic fertilizers which may induce nutrient imbalance, soil acidity, and pollution of soil as well as ground water.

Table 2: Nutrient uptake, grain and straw yield of rice among different fertility strips

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strips</th>
<th>Fertility Gradient</th>
<th>N (kg ha(^{-1}))</th>
<th>P (kg ha(^{-1}))</th>
<th>K (kg ha(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Rice yield (t ha(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Nutrient uptake (kg ha(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>L0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.12c</td>
<td>4.36c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>L1</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>6.23b</td>
<td>4.84b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>L2</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>7.83a</td>
<td>6.01a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Similarly, significantly highest available N, P and K contents in soil was obtained from strip III (255.37, 23.36 and 229.56 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) followed by strip II (245.46, 19.42 and 216.27 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) and least from strip I (235.40, 17.35 and 199.81 kg ha\(^{-1}\)). These data reveals that contribution of fertilizer application on soil properties. Strip III had highest fertility status followed by strip II and poor fertility status from strip I as no fertilizer was applied. Significant stock pile of available NPK occurred in strips (II and III) received fertilizer P, compared to strip I in which NPK were never applied. Residual nutrients (NPK) of fertilizers in soil after harvest can significantly contribute to the plant pool during the period of the growth of succeeding crops. Fertilization ensures the constant presence of active microorganisms and regular dynamic of biomass carbon, and these contribute to the maintenance of available nutrient levels in soil [20].

Table 3: Post-harvest soil test values in different fertility gradients after rice harvest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strips</th>
<th>Fertility Gradient</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>EC (dSm(^{-1}))</th>
<th>OC (%)</th>
<th>Av. N (kg ha(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Av. P (kg ha(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Av. K (kg ha(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>L0</td>
<td>7.89a</td>
<td>0.236c</td>
<td>0.51c</td>
<td>235.40c</td>
<td>17.35c</td>
<td>199.83c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>L1</td>
<td>7.62b</td>
<td>0.267b</td>
<td>0.66b</td>
<td>245.46b</td>
<td>19.42b</td>
<td>216.27b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>L2</td>
<td>7.35c</td>
<td>0.294a</td>
<td>0.78a</td>
<td>255.37a</td>
<td>23.36a</td>
<td>229.56a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion

From this STCR gradient crop experiment, it can be concluded that graded levels of NPK fertilizer application significantly influenced nutrient uptake, grain and straw yield of exhaust rice crop. As a result post-harvest soil nutrients’ status were also affected and fertility gradient was developed
among the experimental strips. Now the experimental field is ready for further enhancement of that STCR experiment and test crop can be grown for developing fertilizer prescription equations, based on those equations we can recommend fertilizer on target yield basis.
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