

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 IJCS 2019; 7(6): 239-246 © 2019 IJCS Received: 01-07-2019 Accepted: 05-08-2019

#### **GS Gathiye**

Ph.D. Scholar, Mahatma Gandhi Chitrakoot Gramodaya Vishwa Vidyalaya, Chitrakoot, Satna, Madhya Pradesh, India

#### HS Kushwaha

Associate Professor (Agronomy), Mahatma Gandhi Chitrakoot Gramodaya Vishwa Vidyalaya, Chitrakoot, Satna, Madhya Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author: GS Gathiye Ph.D. Scholar, Mahatma Gandhi Chitrakoot Gramodaya Vishwa Vidyalaya, Chitrakoot, Satna, Madhya Pradesh, India

# Effect of soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill] based cropping systems on soil fertility balance in *Vertisols* of western Madhya Pradesh

# GS Gathiye and HS Kushwaha

#### Abstract

A field experiment was conducted to study the effect of soybean [Glycine max (L.) Merrill] based cropping systems on soil fertility balance in Vertisols of western Madhya Pradesh. The present investigation was carried out during kharif, rabi and zaid seasons of 2015-16 and 2016-17 at the research farm of Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Dhar, M. P. The initial status of soil of the experimental field was clay loam in texture, neutral in reaction (pH 7.60) with normal EC (0.58 dS/m) and medium organic carbon contents (0.59 %) and low in available N (218 kg/ha), medium in available P (11.60 kg/ha) and high in available K (350 kg/ha) contents. In this study, 16 cropping sequences tested in randomized block design with four replications. Soybean Yield Equivalent (SEY) of cropping-system as a whole, T15-Soybean (JS 93-05) - Potato (Kufri jyoti) - Onion (AFLR) cropping sequence recorded the highest productivity (177.31 q/ha) in terms of soybean-equivalent yield as compared to existing cropping sequences (Soybean-wheat and soybean-chick pea). The minimum productivity of the cropping sequence based on SEYs was registered in Soybean (JS 95-60) - Chickpea (JG-130) desi i.e. 42.79 q/ha. The availability of nutrients were numerically higher N in T16- Soybean (JS 93-05) - Garden pea (Arkel) - Garlic (G-282) 226.20 kg/ha, P in T15- Soybean (JS 93-05) - Potato (Kufri jyoti) - Onion (AFLR) (15.20 kg/ha), K in T15- Soybean (JS 93-05) - Potato (Kufri jyoti) - Onion (AFLR) 370.20 kg/ha and minimum N was recorded in T<sub>1</sub>- Soybean (JS 95-60) - Wheat (HI-1544) aestivum 192.20 kg/ha, P in T<sub>3</sub> - Soybean (JS 95-60) - Chickpea (JG-130) desi (9.50 kg/ha), K in T<sub>3</sub> - Soybean (JS 95-60) - Chickpea (JG-130) desi (320.0 kg/ha) at end of experimentation.

Keywords: Soybean-based cropping systems, soybean equivalent yield, nutrient uptake

#### Introduction

Soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill] is a major legume crop recognized as the efficient producer of the two scarce quality characters i.e. the protein and oil, which are not only the major components in the diet of vegetarians mass but a boon to the developing countries as well. It has the potential to bridge the gap between the demand and supply of edible oil and protein. Due to short growing season, soybean fits well in a number of cropping systems and is well suited for intercropping with a number of crops resulting in better land equivalent ratio and helps in the risk aversion due to climatic uncertainties in rainfed conditions.

Large area under soybean is spread over Central India. Generally, it is grown as a monsoon season crop under rainfed situation mainly under Vertisols and associated soils. It has resulted increased cropping intensity and profitability. In Malwa and Nimar valley region, its cultivation is largely practiced in rainy season followed by Gram/wheat on conserved soil moisture. Under irrigated conditions, soybean is largely grown in soybean-wheat cropping system, while soybean-chickpea cropping system is prevalent under rainfed conditions. The major cropping system in the Vertisols and associated soils of Central India under regime is soybean-wheat in which soybean is a rainfed crop. Both soybean and wheat are most productive crops and predominantly grown in a sequential cropping, particularly under irrigated production system in almost all districts of Malwa plateau agro-climatic zone of Madhya Pradesh. Soybean-chickpea system is also prevalent as a next important cropping sequence mainly in those areas, where rainfall is not adequate or irrigation water is scarce. Generally, cultivation of both Soybean and wheat in a sequence are nutrients exhaustive and these crops require heavy investment in desirable agricultural operations during their cultivation. Long term regular practice of Soybean-Chickpea and Soybean-Wheat system in the growing region is posing severe problems before the growers such as complexity in weed

management, deterioration of soil-properties, delayed sowing of wheat and low market value of produce owing low productivity as well as poor economic viability of this cropping system. The diversification of existing soybean wheat/chickpea system needs to be evaluated to meet the domestic need of farmers. Simultaneously, the economic status of the farmers of Soybean-wheat growing areas will also be raised by replacing any of the two crop components with the introduction of high value crop without degrading the land-resources.

Since the number of crops being grown during *rabi* season in district Dhar of Malwa region is relatively more than other districts, though in relatively smaller area *e.g.* chickpea, garlic, onion, potato, garden pea etc. Under such circumstances, the diversification of crops under soybean based cropping system appears to be a possible way for improving the productivity and profitability per unit area per year without jeopardizing the soil health. Hence, diversification of cropping system is necessary to get higher yield, net returns, maintain soil health, preserve environment and meet daily food and fodder requirement of human and animals.

# **Materials and Methods**

A field experiment was carried out for two years during *kharif, rabi* and *zaid* seasons of 2015-16 and 2016-17 at research Farm of Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Dhar (M.P.). Temperature extremes vary between a minimum temperature of  $12^{\circ}$ C in December and January months to maximum temperature of  $45^{\circ}$ C in May and June. The soil of the field was a typical medium black soil. Due to dominance of Montmorillonite clay content it has high capacity to swell and shrink and high CEC. The experiment comprised 16 cropping sequences, soybean was sequenced with feasible *rabi* viz.

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum & Triticum durum* L.), Chick pea (*Cicer arietinum* L.), Garlic (*Allium sativum* L.), Onion (*Allium cepa* L.), Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) and garden pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) with inclusion of Garlic (*Allium sativum* L.), Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) in *zaid* and tested in randomized block design with four replications. The recommended dose of fertilizers was given to each crop. The calculated quantities of fertilizers were applied to the respective crops grown as per treatments.

Since, the present investigation is a part of continuous study, which has been started since Kharif season of the year 2015-16 without changing the site of field, treatments and layout plan of experiment. Hence, present investigation was related with the soil-properties from the beginning of the crop-cycle of the second year of experimentation. Representative soil samples were collected from the experimental field. The Soil samples were taken at the end of crop-cycles in each crop sequence from 0-30 cm depth of soil with the help of screwtype soil auger. After this, samples were carried in the laboratory, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Dhar. The Samples were ground plot wise separately and then analysis of soil properties viz. pH (Piper, 1967), EC (Black, 1965), organic carbon (Walky and Black, 1978), N (Subbiah and Asiija 1956), P (Olsen et al, 1954)<sup>[14]</sup> and K (Hanwey and Heidal, 1952) <sup>[6]</sup> were made as per standard procedure after this, changes in these soil properties over their initial status were determined. Sowing of different crops under different crop sequences was done as per recommended package of practices for crops under irrigated condition. The recommended dose of N:P:K (kg/ha) for soybean 20:80:20, wheat 120:60:40, chick pea 20:60:20, garlic 100:50:50, onion 100:75:50, potato 120:50:100 and garden pea 20:60:20 was applied.

The cropping sequences were evaluated in terms of seed and straw soybean-equivalent yield.

Seed soybean equivalent	Grain/tuber/bulb yield of a crop (q/ha) x Price of yield (₹/q)	
yield = $(q/ha)$	Price of soybean yield $(\mathbf{Z}/\mathbf{q})$	
Straw Soybean equivalent	Straw/haulm yield of a crop (q/ha) x Price of straw $(\overline{z}/q)$	
yield $(q/ha) =$	Price of soybean straw $(\mathbf{Z}/\mathbf{q})$	

# Results and Discussion Initial status of soil properties and changes on completion of first crop cycle

The data pertaining to initial status of various physico-

chemical properties soils and changes in physico-chemical properties due to the effect of treatments after the end of first crop cycle during the year 2015-16 were recorded. These data are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Initial status of soil properties and changes on completion of first crop cycle during the year 2015-16 under different treatments

	Treatments	Sail all	E.C. (dS/m)	$O(C_{\alpha}/ r_{\alpha})$	Availabl	e nutrien	t (kg/ha)
	Treatments	Son bu	E.C. (05/11)	<b>O.C.</b> (g/kg)	Ν	Р	K
	Initial	7.60	0.58	5.90	218.00	11.60	350.00
T1	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Wheat (HI-1544) aestivum	7.36	0.48	4.60	193.20	10.50	325.00
$T_2$	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Wheat (HI-8663) durum	7.36	0.22	4.50	192.30	10.80	340.00
T <sub>3</sub>	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Chickpea (JG-130) desi	7.11	0.24	6.00	220.40	9.60	320.00
$T_4$	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Chickpea (RVKG-101) Kabuli	7.44	0.54	5.90	218.60	9.80	340.00
T <sub>5</sub>	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Garlic (G-282)	7.11	0.55	4.80	198.50	12.50	350.00
T <sub>6</sub>	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Onion (AFLR)	7.45	0.54	4.70	198.20	13.50	360.00
T <sub>7</sub>	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Potato (Kufri jyoti) - Onion (AFLR)	7.38	0.58	5.20	209.10	15.20	370.00
T8	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Garden pea (Arkel) - Garlic (G-282)	7.38	0.56	6.10	220.80	14.60	360.00
T9	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Wheat (HI-1544) aestivum	7.70	0.58	4.80	200.40	10.50	345.00
T10	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Wheat (HI-8663) durum	7.37	0.52	4.70	200.00	10.90	351.20
T <sub>11</sub>	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Chickpea (JG-130) desi	7.37	0.55	6.10	220.20	10.70	345.00
T12	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Chickpea (RVKG-101) Kabuli	7.43	0.57	6.00	218.80	10.50	325.00
T13	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Garlic (G-282)	7.12	0.50	4.80	201.40	12.60	360.00
T14	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Onion (AFLR)	7.12	0.51	4.80	201.00	13.50	365.00
T15	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Potato (Kufri jyoti) - Onion (AFLR)	7.36	0.58	5.40	214.50	15.40	373.00
T16	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Garden pea (Arkel) - Garlic (G-282)	7.42	0.58	6.30	222.70	14.70	365.00

It is evident from data that the initial status of soil of the experimental field was clay loam in texture, neutral in reaction (pH 7.60) with normal EC (0.58 dS/m) and having medium OC contents (0.59 %). The available N, P and K contents were low (218 kg/ha), medium (11.6 kg/ha) and high (350 kg/ha), respectively. It is also clear from the data that soil pH, EC, OC contents and available N, P, K contents did not deviate much over their initial status after the completion of first crop cycle during the end of the year 2015-16 due to the effect of different crop-sequences tested.

## Uptake study of nutrients

Data pertaining to removal of essential nutrient elements (N,P,K) by different crops grown sequentially under various crop- sequences at the end of crop cycle of each year and their mean values of both years are given in Table 2. It is evident from the data that all crop-sequences had similar trend of nutrient removal in both years of investigation. Based on two year mean data, it is obvious that all diversified and intensified crop-sequences ( $T_2$ ,  $T_4$ ,  $T_5$ ,  $T_6$ ,  $T_7$ ,  $T_8$ ,  $T_{10}$ ,  $T_{12}$ ,  $T_{13}$ ,  $T_{14}$ ,  $T_{15}$ ,  $T_{16}$ ) tested in present investigation led to record the removal of each essential element in higher quantities than those of recorded under four existing crop-sequences ( $T_1$ ,  $T_3$  to  $T_9$ ,  $T_{11}$ ) prevalent in the region.

# Uptake of nitrogen

It is evident from the data that N uptake by different crop sequences significantly varied with each other. As regard uptake of N by a crop-sequence as whole, it was greater with all intensified crop-sequences under existing crop sequences. Based on 2-year data maximum N uptake (457.0 kg/ha) was recorded under T<sub>16</sub>- Soybean (JS 93-05)-Garden pea (Arkel)-Garlic (G-282) followed by T<sub>15</sub>- Soybean (JS 93-05)-Potato (Kufri jyoti)-Onion (AFLR) (444.50 kg/ha), T<sub>7</sub>-Soybean (JS 95-60)-Potato (Kufri jyoti)-Onion (AFLR) and T<sub>8</sub>- Soybean (JS 95-60)-Garden pea (Arkel) - Garlic (G-282), (431.5 kg/ha) (413.0 kg/ha) respectively whereas minimum (220.0 kg/ha) N uptake was recorded under T<sub>3</sub>- Soybean (JS 95-60) -Chickpea (JG-130) desi. Remaining diversified intensive crop sequences (T7, T8, T13, T10, T5, T10, T9 T14 T2, T1, T6, T12, T11 and T<sub>3</sub>) led to record N uptake ranging from 220 to 431.50 kg/ha, which were higher than existing crop-sequences  $T_3$ -Soybean (JS 95-60) - Chickpea (JG-130) desi (220.0 kg/ha). Another existing crop sequence T<sub>8</sub>- Soybean (JS 95-60) -Garden pea (Arkel) - Onion (AFLR) (431.50 kg/ha) significantly removed more N than intensified crop sequence  $T_8$ -Soybean (JS 95-60) - Potato (Kufri jyoti) - Garlic (G-282) (413.0 kg/ha).

# Uptake of phosphorus

Like N uptake, the uptake of P by T<sub>15</sub>- Soybean (JS 93-05) -Potato (Kufri jyoti) - Onion (AFLR) system was also maximum (65.85 kg/ha), which was at par with T<sub>7</sub>-Soybean (JS 95-60) - Potato (Kufri jyoti) - Onion (AFLR) (61.75 kg/ha), T<sub>16</sub>- Soybean (JS 93-05)-Garden pea (Arkel)-Garlic (G-282) (57.20 kg/ha) and T<sub>8</sub>- Soybean (JS 95-60)-Garden pea (Arkel)-Garlic (G-282) (55.10 kg/ha). The descending order of P removal by remaining cropping systems was as T<sub>10</sub>-Soybean (JS 93-05)-Wheat (HI-8663) durum (46.65 kg/ha),T<sub>2</sub>-Soybean (JS 95-60) - Wheat (HI-8663) durum (44.75 kg/ha), T<sub>9</sub>- Soybean (JS 93-05) - Wheat (HI-1544) aestivum (41.25 kg/ha), T<sub>1</sub>- Soybean (JS 95-60) - Wheat (HI-1544) aestivum (39.35 kg/ha), T13- Soybean (JS 93-05) -Garlic (G-282) (36.55 kg/ha), T<sub>5</sub>- Soybean (JS 95-60) - Garlic (G-282) (34.70 kg/ha), T14- Soybean (JS 93-05) - Onion (AFLR) (24.30 kg/ha), T<sub>12</sub>-Soybean (JS 93-05) - Chickpea (RVKG-101) Kabuli (22.0 kg/ha) and T<sub>6</sub>- Soybean (JS 95-60) - Onion (AFLR) (21.90 kg/ha). But differences between T<sub>11</sub>,  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  were not significant.

# Uptake of potassium

The pattern of uptake of K by different crop sequences was almost identical to uptake of N by them. The uptake of K was maximum (562.73 kg/ha) with T15- Soybean (JS 93-05) -Potato (Kufri jyoti) - Onion (AFLR) followed by T7 -Soybean (JS 95-60) - Potato (Kufri jyoti) - Onion (AFLR)  $(540.99 \text{ kg/ha}), T_{16}$ - Soybean (JS 93-05) - Garden pea (Arkel) - Garlic (G-282) (244.62 kg/ha), T<sub>8</sub>- Soybean (JS 95-60) -Garden pea (Arkel) - Garlic (234.7 kg/ha), T<sub>14</sub>- Soybean (JS 93-05) - Onion (AFLR) (205.49 kg/ha), T<sub>6</sub>- Soybean (JS 95-60) - Onion (AFLR) (195.10 kg/ha), T<sub>13</sub>- Soybean (JS 93-05) - Garlic (G-282) (188.91 kg/ha), T<sub>5</sub>- Soybean (JS 95-60) -Garlic (G-282) (179.03 kg/ha), But variations between T<sub>10</sub> and T<sub>9</sub>, T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub>, were not much significant. T<sub>3</sub>- Soybean (JS 95-60) - Chickpea (JG-130) desi first ranked existing cropsequence removed minimum K among all crop sequences. T<sub>11</sub>, T<sub>4</sub> were not significant but it was at par to first ranked existing T<sub>3</sub>- Soybean (JS 95-60) - Chickpea (JG-130) desi crop sequence. The variation between  $T_4$  and  $T_{11}$  was also almost comparable.

Table 2: Mean total N,P,K uptake under different cropping systems during 2015-16 & 2016-1	7
---	---

				Up	take of	Nutrie	nts (kg/	ha)		
	Crop sequences	2	2015-1	6	2	2016-1′	7	Mean		
		Ν	Р	K	Ν	Р	K	Ν	Р	K
$T_1$	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Wheat (HI-1544) aestivum	288.00	39.40	167.70	294.00	39.30	167.60	291.00	39.35	167.65
$T_2$	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Wheat (HI-8663) durum	300.00	46.10	167.90	311.00	43.40	167.62	305.50	44.75	167.76
<b>T</b> <sub>3</sub>	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Chickpea (JG-130) desi	209.00	16.90	131.10	231.00	17.80	132.30	220.00	17.35	131.70
<b>T</b> 4	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Chickpea (RVKG-101) Kabuli	234.00	20.00	145.00	255.00	20.20	145.20	244.50	20.10	145.10
T5	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Garlic (G-282)	311.00	32.60	177.90	326.00	36.80	180.17	318.50	34.70	179.03
T <sub>6</sub>	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Onion (AFLR)	276.00	21.00	190.80	300.00	22.80	199.40	288.00	21.90	195.10
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Potato (Kufri jyoti) - Onion (AFLR)	416.00	51.80	538.80	447.00	71.70	543.18	431.50	61.75	540.99
<b>T</b> <sub>8</sub>	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Garden pea (Arkel) – Garlic (G-282)	387.00	52.40	230.80	439.00	57.80	238.60	413.00	55.10	234.70
<b>T</b> 9	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Wheat (HI-1544) aestivum	310.00	41.10	176.00	322.00	41.40	179.06	316.00	41.25	177.53
T <sub>10</sub>	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Wheat (HI-8663) durum	323.00	47.80	176.20	339.00	45.50	179.07	331.00	46.65	177.63
T <sub>11</sub>	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Chickpea (JG-130) desi	232.00	18.60	139.40	260.00	19.90	143.75	246.00	19.25	141.58
T <sub>12</sub>	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Chickpea (RVKG-101) Kabuli	256.00	21.70	153.30	284.00	22.30	155.53	270.00	22.00	154.42
T <sub>13</sub>	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Garlic (G-282)	334.00	34.30	186.20	354.00	38.80	191.62	344.00	36.55	188.91
T <sub>14</sub>	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Onion (AFLR)	299.00	22.70	200.15	328.00	25.90	210.84	313.50	24.30	205.49
T <sub>15</sub>	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Potato (Kufri jyoti) - Onion (AFLR)	439.00	57.90	562.60	475.00	73.80	562.87	457.00	65.85	562.73
T <sub>16</sub>	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Garden pea (Arkel) – Garlic (G-282)	422.00	54.50	239.20	467.00	59.90	250.04	444.50	57.20	244.62

SEm <u>+</u>	1.29	1.11	2.37	3.08	1.27	2.16	1.67	0.84	1.60
CD (P=0.05)	3.70	3.18	6.78	8.80	3.62	6.16	4.67	2.36	4.49

## Soil analysis and nutrient balance sheet Changes in Soil Properties

Data pertaining to changes in various chemical properties of soil at the end of crop cycles under different crop sequences during both years 2015-16 and 2016-17 over their initial status are given 3. There was no significant variation in the values of pH and electrical conductivity (EC) of all cropsequences over their parental values at the end of third cycle during 2016-17. But OC contents significantly varied due to different crop-sequences at the end of third crop-cycle over its initial status. The maximum positive balance of OC was recorded in 08 crop sequences viz. T<sub>16</sub>- Soybean (JS 93-05) -Garden pea (Arkel) - Garlic (G-282) (6.10 g/kg) followed by T<sub>8</sub>- Soybean (JS 95-60) - Garden pea (Arkel) – Garlic (G-282) (5.85 g/kg). The existing Soybean (JS 93-05) - Chickpea (JG-130) desi  $(T_{11})$  and Soybean (JS 95-60) - Chickpea (JG-130) desi (T<sub>3</sub>) cropping systems led to record significant positive balance over its initial value because soybean-chick pea crops might have contributed considerable biomass of N to the soil through left over biomass. The negative OC contents over parental status were also recorded at the end of third cropcycle under intensive crop sequences viz, T<sub>13</sub> - Soybean (JS 93-05) - garlic (G-282) and T<sub>14</sub>- Soybean (JS 93-05) - Onion (AFLR) but rate of reduction was not significant.

The trend of available N status over its initial status at the end of third crop cycle under different crop-cycles was almost same as it was in case of OC status. The available N was significantly lower than its 1<sup>st</sup> year initial value (218.0 kg/ha) due to continuous cropping. T<sub>16</sub>- Soybean (JS 93-05) - Garden pea (Arkel) - Garlic (G-282) 226.20 kg/ha, T<sub>8</sub>- Soybean (JS 95-60) - Garden pea (Arkel) – Garlic (G-282) 224.60 kg/ha, T<sub>11</sub> - Soybean (JS 93-05) - Chickpea (Jg-130) desi (220.10 kg/ha), T<sub>3</sub>- Soybean (JS 95-60) - Chickpea (JG-130) desi (219.50 kg/ha) and T<sub>12</sub>- Soybean (JS 93-05) - Chickpea

(RVKG-101) Kabuli (217.50 kg/ha) had positive balance of available N in soil in descending order over its parental 2<sup>nd</sup> year value (208.13 kg/ha) and variations among these treatments were not found significant. Rest of the treatments viz. T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>15</sub>, T<sub>7</sub>, T<sub>13</sub> and T<sub>14</sub> were found lower values in descending order respectively over its parental values and found significant when compared to  $T_6$ ,  $T_5$ ,  $T_{10}$ ,  $T_9$ ,  $T_2$  and  $T_1$ . The available P contents of the soil did not deviate much over itsinitial status (12.21 kg/ha) up to end of continuous third crop cycle under different crop-sequences tested under present investigation. However, numerically a little decline in P status was noted under all crop-sequences except to T<sub>15</sub>-Soybean (JS 93-05) - Potato (Kufri jyoti) - Onion (AFLR) (15.20 kg/ha), T7 - Soybean (JS 95-60) - Potato (Kufri jyoti) -Onion (AFLR) (14.80 kg/ha), T<sub>16</sub>- Soybean (JS 93-05) -Garden pea (Arkel) - Garlic (G-282) (14.10 kg/ha), T<sub>8</sub>-Soybean (JS 95-60) - Garden pea (Arkel) - Garlic (G-282) (13.20 kg/ha), T<sub>14</sub>- Soybean (JS 93-05) - Onion (AFLR) (12.900 kg/ha) and T<sub>6</sub>- Soybean (JS 95-60) - Onion (AFLR) 12.50 kg/ha systems.

http://www.chemijournal.com

The available K contents of soil was higher in T<sub>15</sub>- Soybean (JS 93-05) - Potato (Kufri jyoti) - Onion (AFLR) (370. 20 kg/ha), T<sub>7</sub> - Soybean (JS 95-60) - Potato (Kufri jyoti) - Onion (AFLR) (353.0 kg/ha), T<sub>16</sub>- Soybean (JS 93-05) - Garden pea (Arkel) - Garlic (G-282) (366.50 kg/ha) systems, after completion of third crop cycle under all crop-sequences tested than its initial status (355.31 kg/ha), but rate of positive balance in K content was remarkable only due to continuous cropping under T<sub>15</sub>, T<sub>7</sub>, T<sub>16</sub> and T<sub>8</sub> cropping systems. The available K contents of soil was lesser in T<sub>10</sub> (345.0 kg/ha), T<sub>12</sub> (339.0 kg/ha), T<sub>11</sub> (340.50 kg/ha), T<sub>2</sub>- (339.40 kg/ha), T<sub>12</sub> (339.0 kg/ha), T<sub>4</sub> (338.0 kg/ha), T<sub>1</sub> (324.20 kg/ha) and T<sub>13</sub> (320.0 kg/ha) in ascending order over its initial status (355.31 kg/ha).

	Treatments	Soil pH	E.C. (dS/m)	$O(C_{\alpha}/ k_{\alpha})$	Availabl	e nutrien	t (kg/ha)
	Treatments	Soil pH	E.C. (05/11)	<b>O.C.</b> (g/kg)	Ν	Р	K
	Initial	7.34	0.51	5.29	208.13	12.21	355.31
T1	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Wheat (HI-1544) aestivum	7.10	0.52	4.40	192.20	10.20	324.20
T <sub>2</sub>	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Wheat (HI-8663) durum	7.10	0.42	4.50	193.30	10.40	339.40
<b>T</b> 3	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Chickpea (JG-130) desi	7.20	0.43	5.65	219.50	9.50	320.00
<b>T</b> 4	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Chickpea (RVKG-101) Kabuli	7.20	0.51	5.30	215.80	9.60	338.00
T5	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Garlic (G-282)	7.30	0.52	4.90	197.60	11.40	350.60
T <sub>6</sub>	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Onion (AFLR)	7.30	0.52	5.00	199.50	12.50	353.00
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Potato (Kufri jyoti) - Onion (AFLR)	7.30	0.50	5.20	208.50	14.80	368.00
T8	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Garden pea (Arkel) - Garlic (G-282)	7.40	0.50	5.85	224.60	13.20	360.00
T9	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Wheat (HI-1544) aestivum	7.40	0.42	4.65	195.20	10.60	340.80
T <sub>10</sub>	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Wheat (HI-8663) durum	7.50	0.48	4.70	196.40	10.90	345.00
T <sub>11</sub>	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Chickpea (JG-130) desi	7.40	0.51	5.70	220.10	10.20	340.50
T <sub>12</sub>	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Chickpea (RVKG-101) Kabuli	7.30	0.48	5.60	217.50	10.50	339.00
T <sub>13</sub>	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Garlic (G-282)	7.40	0.45	5.30	200.50	11.60	355.50
T14	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Onion (AFLR)	7.30	0.48	5.20	203.40	12.90	362.50
T <sub>15</sub> Soybean (JS 93-05) - Potato (Kufri jyoti) - Onion (AFLR)		7.30	0.40	5.45	210.60	15.20	370.20
T <sub>16</sub>	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Garden pea (Arkel) - Garlic (G-282)	7.20	0.47	6.10	226.20	14.10	366.50
	SEm+		0.02	0.28	2.92	0.68	2.55
	CD (P=0.05)	0.27	0.06	0.65	8.34	1.95	7.30

Table 3: Changes in chemical properties of soil over their initial status due to different crop sequences at the end of third crop cycle (2016-17)

## Nutrient balance sheet

Treatment wise initial and final (after crop harvesting) soil analysis was calculated for both the crops. It showed that the availability of all the nutrient *viz.* nitrogen, phosphorus and potash were recorded slightly changed under the recommended doses of fertilizers. The highest removal of N was recorded in  $T_{16}$ - Soybean (JS 93-05) - Garden pea (Arkel) - Garlic (G-282) cropping sequence and was found significantly superior to other cropping sequence followed by  $T_{15}$ - Soybean (JS 93-05) - Potato (Kufri jyoti) - Onion

(AFLR) and  $T_7$  - Soybean (JS 95-60) - Potato (Kufri jyoti) - Onion (AFLR) sequence. The maximum removal of N may be attributed to greater biomass production of crops under these cropping systems.

The addition of nitrogen after soybean was found highest under the treatment combination of T<sub>16</sub>- Soybean (JS 93-05) -Garden pea (Arkel) - Garlic (G-282). The highest mean balance of nitrogen was found in T<sub>16</sub>- Soybean (JS 93-05) -Garden pea (Arkel) - Garlic (G-282) 313.51 kg/ha followed by T<sub>8</sub>- Soybean (JS 95-60) - Garden pea (Arkel) - Garlic 293.86 kg/ha, T<sub>12</sub>-Soybean (JS 93-05) - Chickpea (RVKG-101) Kabuli 222.25 kg/ha, T<sub>15</sub>- Soybean (JS 93-05) - Potato (Kufri jyoti) - Onion (AFLR) 215.29 kg/ha, T<sub>13</sub>- Soybean (JS 93-05) - Garlic (G-282) 210.23 kg/ha, T<sub>4</sub>- Soybean (JS 95-60) - Chickpea (RVKG-101) Kabuli 208.26 respectively while the lowest mean balance of nitrogen after harvesting of crop was recorded in T<sub>1</sub>- Soybean (JS 95-60) - Wheat (HI-1544) aestivum i.e. 141.80 kg/ha and rest of treatments were in ascending order viz. T2, T9, T10, T7, T14, T3, T5 which resulted into the nitrogen removal from the soil pool.

The addition of phosphorus after harvesting was registered higher (negative) under T7-Soybean (JS 95-60)-Potato (Kufri jyoti)-Onion (AFLR) (-147.98 kg/ha) followed by T15-

Soybean (JS 93-05)-Potato (Kufri jyoti)-Onion (AFLR) (-142.46 kg/ha), T<sub>6</sub>-Soybean (JS 95-60)-Onion (AFLR) (-89.91 kg/ha), T<sub>1</sub>- Soybean (JS 95-60) - Wheat (HI-1544) aestivum (-87.65 kg/ha), T<sub>14</sub>- Soybean (JS 93-05) - Onion (AFLR) (-87.37 kg/ha) while it was found lowest (negative) in T<sub>12</sub>-Soybean (JS 93-05)-Chickpea (RVKG-101) Kabuli (-13.52 kg/ha), followed by T<sub>4</sub>- Soybean (JS 95-60)-Chickpea (RVKG-101) Kabuli (-15.91 kg/ha) and rest of the treatments were ascending order i.e. T<sub>11</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>16</sub>, T8, T<sub>13</sub>, T<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>10</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> which resulted into the phosphorus removal from the soil pool.

The addition of potassium was found highest under the treatment  $T_{15}$ - Soybean (JS 93-05)-Potato (Kufri jyoti)-Onion (AFLR) (400.67 kg/ha) followed by  $T_7$ -Soybean (JS 95-60)-Potato (Kufri jyoti)-Onion (AFLR) (387.64 kg/ha) while potassium was found minimum under the treatment  $T_4$ -Soybean (JS 95-60)-Chickpea (RVKG-101) Kabuli 72.37 kg/ha which resulted into the potassium removal from the soil pool. In rest of treatments, the addition of potassium to the soil was also found in range from 85.19 to 139.03 kg/ha to the soil. In general, it was found that the addition of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium was higher with the cropping intensity 300 % and lower with cropping intensity 200 %.

Table 4: N balance	sheet under various	cron sequences	during the	vears 2015-16 & '	2016-17
	sheet under various	crop sequences	uuring the	y cars 2015-10 cc	2010-17

						I	Nitrogen	(kg/ha)				
				2015	-16				2016-17			
	Treatments	Initia l	Added	Upta ke	STV at harvest	Balance (+/-)	Initial	Added	Uptake	STV at harvest	Balance (+/-)	Mean balance (+/-)
$T_1$	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Wheat (HI-1544) aestivum	218.0	140.0	287.7	205.4	135.1	208.1	140.0	293.9	202.7	148.5	141.8
$T_2$	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Wheat (HI-8663) durum	218.0	140.0	300.4	205.4	147.8	208.1	140.0	311.0	201.2	164.1	156.0
T <sub>3</sub>	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Chickpea (JG-130) desi	218.0	40.0	208.9	220.4	171.3	208.1	40.0	231.4	223.0	206.3	188.8
$T_4$	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Chickpea (RVKG-101) Kabuli	218.0	40.0	233.6	215.6	191.2	208.1	40.0	255.5	218.0	225.4	208.3
$T_5$	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Garlic (G-282)	218.0	120.0	311.2	205.4	178.6	208.1	120.0	326.1	201.5	199.5	189.0
$T_6$	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Onion (AFLR)	218.0	120.0	276.4	213.1	151.5	208.1	120.0	300.2	215.6	187.7	169.6
$T_7$	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Potato (Kufri jyoti) - Onion (AFLR)	218.0	240.0	386.8	220.4	149.2	208.1	240.0	438.6	216.0	206.5	177.9
$T_8$	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Garden pea (Arkel) - Garlic (G-282)	218.0	140.0	415.8	213.1	270.9	208.1	140.0	446.9	218.0	316.8	293.9
T <sub>9</sub>	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Wheat (HI-1544) aestivum	218.0	140.0	310.5	205.6	158.1	208.1	140.0	322.0	205.6	179.5	168.8
T <sub>10</sub>	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Wheat (HI-8663) durum	218.0	140.0	323.2	193.2	158.4	208.1	140.0	339.2	196.8	187.9	173.1
T <sub>11</sub>	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Chickpea (JG-130) desi	218.0	40.0	231.7	205.4	179.1	208.1	40.0	259.5	205.4	216.8	197.9
T <sub>12</sub>	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Chickpea (RVKG-101) Kabuli	218.0	40.0	256.3	202.7	201.0	208.1	40.0	283.6	208.0	243.5	222.3
T <sub>13</sub>	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Garlic (G-282)	218.0	120.0	333.9	198.4	194.3	208.1	120.0	354.2	200.0	226.1	210.2
T <sub>14</sub>	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Onion (AFLR)	218.0	120.0	299.2	193.2	154.4	208.1	120.0	328.4	198.0	198.3	176.3
T <sub>15</sub>	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Potato (Kufri jyoti) - Onion (AFLR)	218.0	240.0	422.2	222.7	186.9	208.1	240.0	466.8	225.0	243.7	215.3
T <sub>16</sub>	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Garden pea (Arkel) - Garlic (G-282)	218.0	140.0	438.6	208.0	288.6	208.1	140.0	475.0	211.5	338.4	313.5

Table 5: P balance sheet under various crop sequences during the years 2015-16 & 2016-17

						Pho	sphoru	s (kg/ha	)			
				2015-2	16				2016-1	17		
	Treatments		Added	Uptake	STV at harvest	Balance (+/-)	Initial	Added	Uptake	STV at harvest	Balance (+/-)	Mean balance (+/-)
$T_1$	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Wheat (HI-1544) aestivum	11.6	140.0	39.4	10.5	-101.7	12.2	140.0	39.3	39.3	-73.6	-87.6
$T_2$	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Wheat (HI-8663) durum	11.6	140.0	46.1	11.6	-93.9	12.2	140.0	43.4	43.4	-65.4	-79.7
$T_3$	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Chickpea (JG-130) desi	11.6	40.0	16.9	9.6	-25.1	12.2	40.0	17.8	17.8	-16.6	-20.9
$T_4$	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Chickpea (RVKG-101) Kabuli	11.6	40.0	20.0	11.6	-20.1	12.2	40.0	20.2	20.2	-11.8	-15.9
$T_5$	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Garlic (G-282)	11.6	120.0	32.6	10.5	-88.5	12.2	120.0	36.8	36.8	-58.7	-73.6
$T_6$	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Onion (AFLR)	11.6	120.0	21.0	15.4	-95.2	12.2	120.0	23.8	23.8	-84.6	-89.9
$T_7$	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Potato (Kufri jyoti) - Onion (AFLR)	11.6	240.0	51.8	12.7	-187.1	12.2	240.0	71.7	71.7	-108.9	-148.0
$T_8$	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Garden pea (Arkel) - Garlic (G-282)	11.6	140.0	52.6	15.2	-83.8	12.2	140.0	57.8	57.8	-36.6	-60.2
$T_9$	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Wheat (HI-1544) aestivum	11.6	140.0	41.1	14.7	-95.8	12.2	140.0	41.4	41.4	-69.4	-82.6
$T_{10}$	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Wheat (HI-8663) durum	11.6	140.0	47.8	9.2	-94.6	12.2	140.0	45.5	45.5	-61.3	-77.9
$T_{11}$	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Chickpea (JG-130) desi	11.6	40.0	18.6	10.9	-22.1	12.2	40.0	19.9	19.9	-12.4	-17.3
T <sub>12</sub>	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Chickpea (RVKG-101) Kabuli	11.6	40.0	21.7	10.5	-19.4	12.2	40.0	22.3	22.3	-7.6	-13.5
T <sub>13</sub>	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Garlic (G-282)	11.6	120.0	34.3	12.5	-84.8	12.2	120.0	38.8	38.8	-54.6	-69.7
$T_{14}$	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Onion (AFLR)	11.6	120.0	22.7	14.6	-94.3	12.2	120.0	25.9	25.9	-80.5	-87.4
T <sub>15</sub>	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Potato (Kufri jyoti) - Onion (AFLR)	11.6	240.0	57.9	13.5	-180.2	12.2	240.0	73.8	73.8	-104.7	-142.5
T <sub>16</sub>	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Garden pea (Arkel) - Garlic (G-282)	11.6	140.0	54.4	12.6	-84.6	12.2	140.0	59.9	59.9	-32.5	-58.6

						Potas	ssium (k	g/ha)				
				2015-16			2016-17					
	Treatments	Initial	Added	Uptake	STV at harvest		Initial	Added	Uptake	STV at harvest	Balance (+/-)	Mean balance (+/-)
$T_1$	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Wheat (HI-1544) aestivum	350.0	60.0	167.7	345.0	102.7	355.3	60.0	167.6	345.8	98.1	100.4
$T_2$	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Wheat (HI-8663) durum	350.0	60.0	167.9	360.0	117.9	355.3	60.0	167.6	365.0	117.3	117.6
$T_3$	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Chickpea (JG-130) desi	350.0	40.0	131.1	345.0	86.1	355.3	40.0	132.3	366.0	103.0	94.5
$T_4$	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Chickpea (RVKG-101) Kabuli	350.0	40.0	145.0	320.0	75.0	355.3	40.0	145.1	320.0	69.8	72.4
$T_5$	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Garlic (G-282)	350.0	70.0	177.9	340.0	97.9	355.3	70.0	181.2	363.5	119.4	108.6
$T_6$	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Onion (AFLR)	350.0	70.0	190.8	310.0	80.8	355.3	70.0	199.4	315.5	89.6	85.2
$T_7$	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Potato (Kufri jyoti) - Onion (AFLR)	350.0	170.0	538.8	390.0	408.8	355.3	170.0	543.2	348.6	366.5	387.6
$T_8$	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Garden pea (Arkel) - Garlic (G-282)	350.0	90.0	230.8	375.0	165.8	355.3	90.0	238.6	355.2	148.5	157.2
$T_9$	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Wheat (HI-1544) aestivum	350.0	60.0	176.0	380.0	146.0	355.3	60.0	179.1	335.6	99.3	122.7
$T_{10}$	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Wheat (HI-8663) durum	350.0	60.0	176.2	350.0	116.2	355.3	60.0	179.1	347.9	111.7	113.9
T <sub>11</sub>	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Chickpea (JG-130) desi	350.0	40.0	139.4	360.0	109.4	355.3	40.0	143.8	367.4	115.8	112.6
T <sub>12</sub>	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Chickpea (RVKG-101) Kabuli	350.0	40.0	153.3	340.0	103.3	355.3	40.0	156.5	348.0	109.2	106.3
T <sub>13</sub>	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Garlic (G-282)	350.0	70.0	186.2	365.0	131.2	355.3	70.0	192.6	351.2	118.5	124.9
T <sub>14</sub>	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Onion (AFLR)	350.0	70.0	199.1	360.0	139.1	355.3	70.0	210.8	353.4	138.9	139.0
T <sub>15</sub>	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Potato (Kufri jyoti) - Onion (AFLR)	350.0	170.0	563.6	355.0	398.6	355.3	170.0	562.9	365.2	402.8	400.7
T <sub>16</sub>	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Garden pea (Arkel) - Garlic (G-282)	350.0	90.0	239.2	390.0	189.2	355.3	90.0	250.0	349.5	154.2	171.7

Table 6: K balance sheet under various crop sequences during the years 2015-16 & 2016-17

# System productivity

Soybean Yield Equivalent (SEY) of cropping-system as a whole, T<sub>15</sub>-Soybean (JS 93-05)-Potato (Kufri jyoti)-Onion (AFLR) system was recorded significantly maximum SEYs (177.31 q/ha) among all crop-sequences mainly due to greater SEY of potato during Rabi along with considering good SEYs of onion in zaid season. The next best crop- sequence was T<sub>7</sub> -Soybean (JS 95-60)-Potato (Kufri jyoti)-Onion (AFLR) with regard to SEYs (173.18 g/ha) mainly owingto the higher SEYs in kharif soybean and rabi potato and onion in zaid followed by SEY 104.43 q/ha in T<sub>14</sub>- Soybean (JS 93-05) -Onion (AFLR), SEY 101.24 q/ha in T<sub>6</sub>- Soybean (JS 95-60) -Onion (AFLR), SEY 93.44 q/ha in T<sub>16</sub>- Soybean (JS 93-05) -Garden pea (Arkel) - Garlic (G-282) and SEY 90.06 q/ha in T<sub>8</sub>- Soybean (JS 95-60) - Garden pea (Arkel) - Garlic (G-282). The higher SEYs in Soybean (JS 93-05) - Onion (AFLR) and Soybean (JS 95-60) - Onion (AFLR) cropping sequences was become of higher yield of onion in the

sequence. Further, the results revealed that there is sufficient scope to intensify the existing cropping sequence with inclusion of onion and garlic during zaid. Inclusion of onion and garlic during *zaid* increased cropping sequence productivity. The minimum productivity of the cropping sequence based on SEYs was registered in Soybean (JS 95-60) - Chickpea (JG-130) desi i.e. 42.79 q/ha. This could be ascribed due to low yield realized from desi chick pea in the sequence. Several researchers have also reported heterogeneity in production of potential varying cropsequences from different agro- production systems (Tyagi et al., 2011; Chitale et al., 2011; Narkhede, et al., 2011; Kumar, et al., 2012; Billore 2013, Gallani et al., 2013, Shrikant et al., 2013; Singh et al., 2013; Meena et al., 2013, Prajapat et al., 2014; Singh and Kumar, 2014; Shridhara et. al., 2017; Singh et al., 2017; Turkhede et al., 2017; Chavan et al., 2018; Jugnahake et al., 2018; Sammauria, et al., 2018; Bhargavi and Behera, 2019)<sup>[25, 2, 4, 22, 12, 16, 8]</sup>.

 Table 7: System productivity (q/ha) in different seasons under various crop sequences

		Grain	/seed/tub	er SEY	(q/ha)	Straw	/haulm S	EY (q/h	a)
	Crop sequences	Kharif	Rabi	Zaid	Total	Kharif	Rabi	Zaid	Total
		Season	Season	Season	Total	Season	Season	Season	Ioui
<b>T</b> <sub>1</sub>	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Wheat (HI-1544) aestivum	18.78	30.08	-	49.09	25.85	21.54	-	47.39
$T_2$	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Wheat (HI-8663) durum	19.09	31.99	-	51.17	26.43	22.03	-	48.46
<b>T</b> <sub>3</sub>	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Chickpea (JG-130) desi	19.46	23.33	-	42.79	28.39	39.43	-	67.82
$T_4$	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Chickpea (RVKG-101) Kabuli	19.04	28.77	-	47.83	26.89	37.35	-	64.24
<b>T</b> 5	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Garlic (G-282)	19.75	62.28	-	82.03	27.43	38.10	-	65.53
<b>T</b> <sub>6</sub>	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Onion (AFLR)	19.76	82.01	-	101.24	27.16	37.72	-	64.88
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Potato (Kufri jyoti) - Onion (AFLR)	20.18	83.08	70.03	173.18	27.16	37.72	17.32	82.20
<b>T</b> <sub>8</sub>	Soybean (JS 95-60) - Garden pea (Arkel) - Garlic (G-282)	20.24	17.91	52.41	90.06	29.13	40.46	18.06	87.64
<b>T</b> 9	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Wheat (HI-1544) aestivum	20.84	31.99	-	52.83	27.51	22.93	-	50.44
T <sub>10</sub>	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Wheat (HI-8663) durum	20.62	33.20	-	54.33	27.39	22.83	-	50.22
T <sub>11</sub>	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Chickpea (JG-130) desi	20.56	24.13	-	44.81	28.22	39.19	-	67.41
T <sub>12</sub>	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Chickpea (RVKG-101) Kabuli	20.38	29.50	-	49.88	25.77	35.79	-	61.56
T <sub>13</sub>	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Garlic (G-282)	21.18	47.03	-	84.19	25.20	35.00	-	60.20
T14	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Onion (AFLR)	21.58	83.48	-	104.43	24.91	34.60	-	59.51
T15	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Potato (Kufri jyoti) - Onion (AFLR)	21.29	83.55	72.47	177.31	24.89	34.57	19.10	78.56
T <sub>16</sub>	Soybean (JS 93-05) - Garden pea (Arkel) - Garlic (G-282)	21.56	18.58	53.42	93.44	25.49	35.40	19.31	80.20
	SEm <u>+</u>	0.39	1.60	0.48	1.12	0.88	0.91	0.38	1.82
	CD (P=0.05)	1.09	4.48	1.33	3.15	2.50	2.60	1.08	5.19

## Conclusion

It is clear from the data that chemical properties of soil *viz*. soil pH, EC, OC and available N, P and K did not show remarkable variation in the soil after harvesting of *zaid* crops

in any of the crop sequences over their initial status. The availability of nutrients were numerically higher N in  $T_{16}$ -Soybean (JS 93-05) - Garden pea (Arkel) - Garlic (G-282) 226.20 kg/ha, P in  $T_{15}$ -Soybean (JS 93-05) - Potato (Kufri

jyoti) - Onion (AFLR) (15.20 kg/ha), K in  $T_{15}$ - Soybean (JS 93-05) - Potato (Kufri jyoti) - Onion (AFLR) 370.20 kg/ha and minimum N was recorded in  $T_1$ - Soybean (JS 95-60) - Wheat (HI-1544) aestivum 192.20 kg/ha, P in  $T_3$  - Soybean (JS 95-60) - Chickpea (JG-130) desi (9.50 kg/ha), K in  $T_3$  - Soybean (JS 95-60) - Chickpea (JG-130) desi (320.0 kg/ha) at end of experimentation. Rest of the cropping sequence possessed almost similar nutrient availability after completion of their sequence.

It is evident from the data that all crop-sequences had similar trend of nutrient removal in both years of investigation. Based on two year mean data, it is obvious that all diversified and intensified crop-sequences ( $T_2$ ,  $T_4$ ,  $T_5$ ,  $T_6$ ,  $T_7$ ,  $T_8$ ,  $T_{10}$ ,  $T_{12}$ ,  $T_{13}$ ,  $T_{14}$ ,  $T_{15}$ ,  $T_{16}$ ) tested in present investigation led to record the removal of each essential element in higher quantities than those of recorded under four existing crop-sequences ( $T_1$ ,  $T_3$  to  $T_9$ ,  $T_{11}$ ) prevalent in the region.

Soybean Yield Equivalent (SEY) of cropping-system as a whole, T<sub>15</sub>-Soybean (JS 93-05)-Potato (Kufri jyoti)-Onion (AFLR) system was recorded significantly maximum SEYs (177.31 q/ha) among all crop-sequences mainly due to greater SEY of potato during *Rabi* along with considering good SEYs of onion in *zaid* season. Inclusion of onion and garlic during *zaid* increased cropping sequence productivity. The minimum productivity of the cropping sequence based on SEYs was registered in Soybean (JS 95-60) - Chickpea (JG-130) desi i.e. 42.79 q/ha. This could be ascribed due to low yield realized from desi chick pea in the sequence.

# References

- Billore SD, Ramesh A, Joshi OP, Vyas AK. Influence of tillage operations on sustainable production of soybean based cropping systems. Soybean Research. 2005; 3(17):22-15.
- 2. Billore SD, Joshi OP, Ramesh A, Vyas AK. Productivity, sustainability and stability of soybean based cropping systems under different tillage systems. Soybean Research. 2013; 11(1):43-57.
- 3. Behera UK. Organic manuring for soil biological health and productivity of a wheat-soybean cropping system in the Vertisols of central India. Crop & Pasture Science. 2009; 60(4):382-393.
- 4. Gallani R, Sharma SK, Sirothia P, Joshi OP. Feasibility of organic farming system under soybean-wheat cropping sequence in Malwa region of Western Madhya Pradesh. Soybean Research. 2013; 11(2):62-69.
- 5. Gurjar N. Performance of need based intensive cropping systems under irrigated condition in Rewa region of Madhya Pradesh. M.Sc. Agriculture thesis submitted to the JNKVV, Jabalpur, 2017.
- Hanway JJ, Heidal H. Soil analysis methods as used in Lowa state collage Soil Testing Laboratory Lowa Agric. 1952; 57:1-31.
- Jaybhay SA, Taware SP, Varghese P, Idhol BD. Crop management through organic and inorganic inputs in Soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merill) based cropping systems. International Journal of Advanced Research. 2015; 3(4):705-711.
- Jugnahake M, Prajapat R, Maurya BM, Kurmvanshi SM. Identification of Cropping System Module for Irrigated Farming System of Rewa Region Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2018; 7(10):687-694.
- 9. Kalwe SP, Rani R. Nutrient management in soybean. Indian Journal of Fertilizers. 2005; 1(3):47-51.

- Karunakaran V, Behera UK. Tillage and residue management practices on yield, profitability, energy and water use efficiency in soybean-wheat cropping system. Experimental Agriculture, 2015, doi: 10.1017/S0014479715000277.
- 11. Kharub AS, Chouhan DS, Sharma RK, Chhokar RS, Tripathi SC. Diversification of rice wheat system for improving soil fertility and productivity. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2003; 48(3):149-152.
- 12. Meena BP, Billore SD, Ramesh A. Dynamics of potassium in Vertisols under soybean-wheat cropping system. Soybean Research. 2013; 11(2):35-42.
- Narolia RS, Meena DS, Meena HP, Singh P, Nagar BL. Productivity, Profitability and Sustainability of Soybean (*Glycine max*)-wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) Cropping System as Influenced by Improved Water Management Technology in South Eastern Rajasthan. Soybean Research. 2018; 16(1&2):25-33.
- 14. Olsen SR, Cole CV, Watanabe FS, Dean LA. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with Sodium bicarbonate. USDA Circular No. 939, 1954.
- 15. Patra AK, Mishra KN, Garnayak LM, Mohanty AK. Influence of long-term organic nutrient management on soil quality and crop productivity in rice (*Oryza sativa*)– potato (*Solanum tuberosum*)–okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) cropping system under irrigated condition. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2017; 62(3):268-274.
- 16. Prajapat K, Vyas AK, Dhar Shiva. Productivity, profitability and land use efficiency of soybean (*Glycine max*)-based cropping systems under different nutrient management practices. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2014; 59(2):229-234.
- Ramesh P, Panwar NR, Singh AB. Crop productivity, soil fertility and economics of soybean (*Glycine max*), chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) and blond psyllium (*Plantago ovata*) under organic nutrient management practices. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2010; 80(11):965-969.
- Ramesh P, Panwar NR, Singh AB, Ramana S. Production potential, nutrient uptake, soil fertility and economics of soybean (*Glycine max*)-based cropping systems under organic, chemical and integrated nutrient management practices Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2009; 54(3):278-283.
- 19. Rao AS, Reddy DD, Takkar PN. Phosphorus management- A key to boost productivity of soybean-wheat cropping system on swell-shrink soils. Fertilizer News. 1995; 40(12):87-95.
- 20. Roy SK, Sharma RC, Sud KC. Production potential profitability and soil fertility as affected by potato based crop sequences in N.W. plains of Punjab. Journal of the Indian Potato Association. 1999; 26(1/2):33-38.
- 21. Sharma RP, Pathak SK, Haque M, Manser Lal. Productivity, profitability and nutrient balance as influenced by diversification of rice (*Oryza sativa*)-wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping system, Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2008; 53(2):97-101.
- 22. Shrikant C, Tiwari A, Bhoi S, Savu RM, Tomar HS, Urkurkar JS. Performance of soybean (*Glycine max*)-based cropping sequences under organic, inorganic and integrated nutrient supply systems in a Vertisols. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2013; 58(2):163-167.
- 23. Singh D, Singh H, Ram S. Impact of sowing dates, plant densities and farmyard manure on productivity of soybean (*Glycine max*). Extended Summaries Vol 1: 2<sup>nd</sup>

International Agronomy Congress, Nov. 26-30, New Delhi, 2002, pp.446-47.

- 24. Singh AK, Kushwaha HS. Assessment of Soybean (*Glycine max* Merill L.) based cropping systems through Organic and Inorganic inputs in Bundelkhand Region. J Krishi Vigyan. 2018; 6(2):7-12.
- 25. Tyagi PK, Singh VK, Shukla KC, Upadhyay VB. Impact of different nutrient management practices on productivity and economics of soybean-wheat cropping system at farmers' field in Tikamgarh district of Madhya Pradesh. New Agriculturist. 2011; 22(1):53-56. 4 ref.