# International Journal of Chemical Studies

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 IJCS 2019; 7(4): 2432-2436 © 2019 IJCS Received: 10-05-2019 Accepted: 12-06-2019

## Abdul Wahab Hekmat

Assistant Professors, Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Paktia University, Gardiz, Afghanistan

#### Nazir Khan Mohammadi

Assistant Professors, Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Paktia University, Gardiz, Afghanistan

#### **Gautam Ghosh**

Professor and Dean, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Correspondence Abdul Wahab Hekmat Assistant Professors, Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Paktia University, Gardiz, Afghanistan

# Effect of NPK, biofertilizer and zinc foliar nutrition on growth and growth attributes of babycorn (Zea mays L.)

# Abdul Wahab Hekmat, Nazir Khan Mohammadi and Gautam Ghosh

#### Abstract

A field experiment was conducted to study the effect of NPK, Zinc, biofertilizers and zinc foliar nutrition on growth and growth attributes of baby corn (Zea mays L.). The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with thirteen treatments combination viz. T1( Control), T2(75% RDF + 5 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup>+ Azotobacter), T<sub>3</sub>(75% RDF + 0.2% Zn + Azotobacter), T<sub>4</sub>(75% RDF + 0.5% Zn + Azotobacter), T<sub>5</sub>(90% RDF + 5 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup>+ Azotobacter), T<sub>6</sub> (90% RDF + 0.2% Zn + Azotobacter), T<sub>7</sub>(90% RDF + 0.5% Zn + Azotobacter), T<sub>8</sub>(75% RDF + 5 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup>+ Azospirillum), T<sub>9</sub>(75% RDF + 0.2% Zn + Azospirillum), T<sub>10</sub>(75% RDF + 0.5% Zn + Azospirillum), T<sub>11</sub>(90% RDF + 5 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup>+ Azospirillum), T<sub>12</sub>(90% RDF + 0.2% Zn + Azospirillum) and T<sub>13</sub>(90% RDF + 0.5% Zn + Azospirillum) in three replications. In general, application of different levels of NPK, basal and foliar application of zinc along with seed treatment of babycorn with Azatobacter and Azospirillum significantly influenced the growth and growth attributes of babycorn. Statistically significantly higher average plant height (82.19cm), number of leaves per plant (8.45), dry matter production per plant (57.01g) and leaf area (1903.05 cm<sup>2</sup>) were recorded in treatment  $T_{11}$  due to application of 90% RDF + 5kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> + Azospirillum at different crop growth stages as compared to rest of the treatments. Furthermore, chlorophyll concentration before and after tasselling were significantly influenced by different treatments, among the treatments application of 90% RDF + 5kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> + Azospirillum registered significantly higher chlorophyll concentration before tasselling (92.93%) and after tasselling (48.61%) as compared to other treatments. However, application of 90% RDF + 5kg Zn ha-1 along with Azospirillum recorded less number of days to tasselling (47.67) as compared to other treatments. While, the lowest value for growth and growth attributes were recorded in control treatment.

Keywords: Baby corn, biofertilizers, growth & growth attributes, nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, zinc

#### Introduction

Baby corn is an important crop of Thailand, Taiwan and India; recently, baby corn has gained popularity in Delhi, Uttar Pradesh, Haryana, Maharashtra, Telangana, Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, Rajasthan and Meghalaya states of India. In India, it is grown on 9.43 m ha area with the production and productivity of 24.35 m t and 2583 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Government of India, 2014). Baby corn is a delicious, decorative and nutritious vegetable, without cholesterol. It is low calorie vegetable which helps in weight management, it is also a low carb, high fiber, fat free vegetable, and has rich source of vitamins and minerals. Babycorn has low glycemic index than regular corn, hence good for controlling blood sugar levels. One Baby corn can be compared with an 'egg' in terms of minerals. It also has nutritive value similar to that of nonlegume vegetables such as cauliflower, cabbage, tomato, cucumber. Hundred gram of baby corn contained 89.1% moisture, 0.2 g fat, 1.9 g protein, 8.2 mg carbohydrate, 0.06 g ash, 28.0 mg calcium, 86.0 mg phosphorus, and 11.0 mg of ascorbic acid (Rakesh et al., 2017)<sup>[16]</sup>. Baby corn is a high value crop which gives good returns in short period of time (About 55-60 days) with bonus of 50-60 tons ha<sup>-1</sup> green fodder. Hence, it is best suited for multiple cropping. It also acts as a contingent crop at the time of crop failure. Baby corn is not only a cash crop but also a catch crop. Towards diversification and value addition through cultivation of baby corn for vegetable purpose is emerging as a highly profitable activity. In addition baby corn is an ideal forage crop having quick growth with high yielding ability, which can be fed to cattle at any stage of growth. The crop can easily be raised as a dual purpose crop- vegetable and fodder. Raising baby corn both for food and forage can be encouraged in peri urban areas as their demand is high throughout the year. Shifts in living standards of people and changes in

food habits from non-vegetarian to vegetarian diet may make the cultivation of baby corn popular. Although baby corn cultivation is popular world- wide, for Afghanistan, it is a completely new crop, people and farmers of Afghanistan are not aware of this crop.

Foliar feeding was stimulated by Tukey and Wittwer during 1950's at Michigan State University, using radioactive isotopes of known plant nutrients which were absorbed by plant foliage and translocated throughout the plant (Dorneanu et al., 2011) <sup>[6]</sup>. According to the recent survey, zinc deficiency in human nutrition is the most wide spread nutritional disorder, next to iron, vitamin 'A' and iodine. Nearly, 49% of the global population does not meet their daily-recommended intake of 15 mg day-1 of zinc for an adult and is one of the leading risk factors associated with diseases such as diarrhoea and retarded growth contributing to the death of 8,00,000 people each year. One third of the world population is reported at the risk of malnutrition due to inadequate dietary intake of zinc (Cakmak, 2009)<sup>[4]</sup>. About 50% of Indian soils are deficient in zinc causing low level of zinc and yield losses in fodder crops and affecting the health of the livestock (Singh, 2011) )<sup>[17]</sup>. Zinc fertilization is used to increase micronutrient in edible parts to reduce the micro nutrient deficiency in human populations. Zinc fertilization is essential for keeping sufficient amount of available zinc in soil solution (by soil application of zinc) and in leaf tissue (by foliar application of zinc) which contributes to the maintenance of adequate root zinc uptake.

*Azotobacter and Azospirillum* is a beneficial free living (nonsymbiosis) nitrogen fixing bacteria which is reported to fix 20-60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> nitrogen in soil annually. *Azotobacter* was the first and is the most common biofertilizer for some plants such as maize, wheat, sorghum and rice which produces some plant growth promoting metabolites, enzymes and hormones (auxin, cytokinin and gibberelin) in addition to fixing air nitrogen (Kumar and Ahlawat 2004)<sup>[10]</sup>.

Nitrogen is an essential element for both fodder quantity and quality as it is a component of protein and chlorophyll. It is thus, essential for photosynthesis, vegetative and reproductive growth and it often determines yield of maize. Both the yield and quality of maize are strongly influence by the availability of nitrogen. Phosphorus being the constituents of sugar, phosphatases, ADP and ATP plays an important role in energy transformations and it is also involved in the basic reactions of photosynthesis. Review regarding this nutrient has been cited in this section. Potassium activates number of enzymes, including those involved in the synthesis of carbohydrates and resistance to diseases and adverse environmental conditions. It also improves the utilization of nitrogen and phosphorous and plays an important role in growth and reproductive development of plants. Potassium is the cation most abundantly available in the cytoplasm that regulates osmotic potential of cells and tissues of glycophytic plant species (Marschner 1995)<sup>[12]</sup>.

At the end Baby corn is an extremely short duration fascinating cereal vegetable preferred in the elite group of society with advantage of planting round the year. Income and employment both can be enhanced through production of baby corn.

# Methodology

The Present experiment was carried out at Agronomy Crop Research Farm, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh, India during summer

season, 2019 in Randomized Block Design with three replications. Babycorn G-5414 variety was chosen for the study. The treatments were consisting of thirteen combinations of different levels of NPK and Zinc basal and foliar application with Biofertilizers viz. T<sub>1</sub>( Control), T<sub>2</sub>(75% RDF + 5 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup>+ Azotobacter), T<sub>3</sub>(75% RDF + 0.2% Zn + Azotobacter),  $T_4(75\% RDF + 0.5\% Zn + Azotobacter)$ , T<sub>5</sub>(90% RDF + 5 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup>+ Azotobacter), T<sub>6</sub> (90% RDF + 0.2% Zn + Azotobacter), T<sub>7</sub>(90% RDF + 0.5% Zn + Azotobacter),  $T_8(75\% \text{ RDF} + 5 \text{ kg Zn ha}^{-1} + Azospirillum)$ ,  $T_9(75\% RDF + 0.2\% Zn + Azospirillum), T_{10}(75\% RDF +$ 0.5% Zn + Azospirillum),  $T_{11}(90\% \text{ RDF} + 5 \text{ kg Zn ha}^{-1} +$ Azospirillum),  $T_{12}(90\% \text{ RDF} + 0.2\% \text{ Zn} + Azospirillum)$  and  $T_{13}(90\% \text{ RDF} + 0.5\% \text{ Zn} + Azospirillum)$ . The soil of the experimental area was sandy loam with moderately alkaline pH (7.14), available N (159.80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and medium in available P (14.39 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) during the year 2019. Before Sowing Baby corn seeds were pre-treated with bio fertilizers and sown were dibbled @ the rate of 2-3 seeds/hole grown at  $45 \times 20$  cm spacing with line and covered with the soil. Chemical fertilizers as basal dose @ 120:60:40 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (N:  $P_2O_5$ :K<sub>2</sub>O) and zinc sulfate at the rate of 5kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied at the time of sowing. The entire quantity of P and half the recommended doses of N and full does K and Zinc were applied as basal and remaining quantity of N were top dressed at knee high stage (25 DAS) of Baby corn. Foliar spray of Zinc was applied at 25 and 45 days after sowing. Standard cultural Practices were followed and irrigation was given as per requirement. Plant height of five randomly selected babycorn plants were recorded at 10, 20, 30, 40 & 50 and interval of 10 days and it was measured from the base of the plants to the tip of last fully emerged leaf, average value for each treatment was computed and expressed in cm. Number of leaves per plant of babycorn were counted from five tagged plants in each plot and the mean value was calculated. Leaf area of babycorn was measured from the base to the tip, the leaf breadth was taken at the midst point of the leaf lamina, the product of the leaf length and breadth was multiplied by the factor 0.75 and the sum of all the leaves was expressed as leaf area in cm<sup>2</sup> plant. To determine the plant dry weight for babycorn five plants were randomly uprooted from sampling zone of each plot, the samples were air dried and then kept in oven for 72 hours at 70° C, dry weight per plant was then calculated and the average was expressed in g plant-<sup>1</sup>. Tasseling was done as soon as the tassels appeared. When the plants were in full bloom, readings were performed using the portable meter of chlorophyll SPAD-502 (Soil- Plant Analysis Development (SPAD) Section, Minolta Camera Co., Ltd, Japan). Measurements with the SPAD were performed at the fifth corn leaf downwards. Evaluations were carried out in five plants per plot, being three readings by leaves. (Araújo et al. 2017)<sup>[1]</sup>. Data were analyzed statistically for test of significance following the Fisher's method of "Analysis of variance".

# **Result and Discussion**

In general, growth and growth attributes of babycorn were significantly influenced by NPK, Zinc and biofertilizers at different crop growth stages. Among the treatments statistically significantly higher plant height (12.09, 33.27, 73.07, 121.87 and 170.67 cm), number of leaves per plant (3.87, 6.80, 89.60, 10.60 and 11.40 cm), dry matter production per plant (1.37, 9.09, 44.00, 92.51 and 138.14g) and leaf area (420.07, 817.20, 1754.73, 2409.47 and 4113.80 cm<sup>2</sup>) were recorded in treatment  $T_{11}$  with application of 90%

 $RDF + 5kg Zn ha^{-1} + Azospirillum at 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50$ days after sowing as compared to rest of the treatments. Furthermore, chlorophyll concentration before and after tasselling were significantly influenced by different treatments, among the treatments application of 90% RDF + 5kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> + Azospirillum registered significantly higher chlorophyll concentration before tasselling (92.93%) and after tasselling (48.61%) as compared to other treatments. However, application of 90% RDF + 5kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> along with Azospirillum recorded less number of days to tasselling (47.67) as compared to rest of the treatments. Whereas, no zinc treatment resulted lower growth attributes. Increase might be due to rapid division and elongation of cells with balanced and adequate NPK and Zinc supply, which seemed to be the reason behind the favorable influence on all the growth attributes of baby corn (Rakesh kumar and Bohra, 2014) [16].

Significant variation in the plant height is due to in time availability of the needed nutrients to the plant at the important growth stages and application of zinc has led to production of IAA resulting in increased plant height (Manasa and Devaranavadagi, 2015)<sup>[11]</sup>. Nitrogen and zinc also helped in more leaf area as a consequence more assimilates were produced and increased the plant height (Jasim Iqbal *et al.*, 2016)<sup>[7]</sup>. The synergistic effect of both nitrogen and zinc

helps in rapid growth and development of plants as they help in photosynthesis and various plant biochemical processes which responds towards growth (Jasim Iqbal et al., 2016)<sup>[7]</sup>. These results are in conformity with Asghar et al., (2011)<sup>[2]</sup> and Parasuraman (2008) [14]. Generally, P has positive significant interaction with N absorption and plant growth. The higher leaf area was due to the increased plant height resulted into more number of nodes per plant leading to more number of leaves per plant. The formation of optimum photosynthetic stage for longer period was through timely supply of nutrients by foliar nutrition. On the other side, the improved photosynthetic capacity was highly influenced by the foliar fertilization of micronutrients (Watson, 1952)<sup>[19]</sup>. Increased dry matter production is due to balanced amount of macro and micro nutrients through foliar fertilization which resulted in better crop growth and photosynthetic activity which led to better supply of photosynthates ultimately resulted in higher dry matter production per plant. Inoculation of Azospirillum showed significantly higher growth and growth attributes as compared to Azotobacter at different crop growth stages and this might be due to the growth promoting effect showed by the beneficial microbe. This finding is also supported by Bhaladhare et al. (2018)<sup>[3]</sup>, Kumar et al. (2007) <sup>[9]</sup>, Kole (2010) <sup>[8]</sup> and (Rafig et al. (2010) <sup>[15]</sup>.



Fig 1: Effect of different levels of NPK and Zinc along with seed inoculation with *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* on growth attributes of babycorn

Table 1: Effect of different levels of NPK and Zinc along with seed inoculation with Azotobacter and Azospirillum on plant height, number of
leaves per plant, dry weight and leaf area at different crop growth stages in babycorn

Treatments	Average of plant height, number of leaves per plant, dry weight and leaf area at 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 DAS				
	Plant height (cm)	Number of leaves plant <sup>-1</sup>	Dry weight (g plant <sup>-1</sup> )	Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	
T <sub>1</sub> : Control	66.97	7.51	48.16	1613.79	
T <sub>2</sub> : 75% RDF + 5 kg Zn ha <sup>-1</sup> + Azotobacter	75.87	7.89	54.34	1773.17	
T <sub>3</sub> : 75% RDF + 0.2% Zn + Azotobacter	69.27	7.68	49.50	1593.13	
T <sub>4</sub> : 75% RDF + 0.5% Zn + Azotobacter	71.87	7.93	50.62	1744.33	
T <sub>5</sub> : 90% RDF + 5 kg Zn ha <sup>-1</sup> + Azotobacter	78.13	8.11	55.20	1873.05	
$T_6: 90\% RDF + 0.2\% Zn + Azotobacter$	73.90	7.95	53.14	1817.25	
T <sub>7</sub> : 90% RDF + 0.5% Zn + Azotobacter	76.78	7.97	51.66	1848.92	
T <sub>8</sub> : 75% RDF + 5 kg Zn ha <sup>-1</sup> + Azospirillum	70.80	7.85	52.26	1779.37	
T <sub>9</sub> : 75% RDF + 0.2% Zn + Azospirillum	68.99	7.84	53.30	1759.57	
T <sub>10</sub> : 75% RDF + 0.5% Zn + Azospirillum	72.72	8.07	53.48	1786.96	
T <sub>11</sub> : 90% RDF + 5 kg Zn ha <sup>-1</sup> + Azospirillum	82.19	8.45	57.02	1903.05	
T <sub>12</sub> : 90% RDF + 0.2% Zn + Azospirillum	76.01	7.93	51.70	1809.52	
$T_{13}$ : 90% RDF + 0.5% Zn + Azospirillum	79.38	8.17	55.88	1867.39	
S.Em.±	1.74	0.08	0.94	38.52	
C.D. at 5%	5.09	0.24	2.75	112.44	

Table 2: Effect of different levels of NPK and Zinc along with seed inoculation with Azotobacter and Azospirillum on days to tasselling and
days to cob emergence in babycorn

Treatments	Days to tasselling	Days to cob emergence
T <sub>1</sub> : Control	51.67	55.33
T <sub>2</sub> : 75% RDF + 5 kg Zn ha <sup>-1</sup> + Azotobacter	48.67	51.33
T <sub>3</sub> : 75% RDF + $0.2\%$ Zn + Azotobacter	49.33	53.00
T <sub>4</sub> : 75% RDF + 0.5% Zn + Azotobacter	50.33	53.67
T <sub>5</sub> : 90% RDF + 5 kg Zn ha <sup>-1</sup> + Azotobacter	48.00	51.33
T <sub>6</sub> : 90% RDF + 0.2% Zn + $Azotobacter$	47.67	50.67
T <sub>7</sub> : 90% RDF + 0.5% Zn + Azotobacter	48.33	52.33
T <sub>8</sub> : 75% RDF + 5 kg Zn ha <sup>-1</sup> + Azospirillum	48.33	51.33
T9: 75% RDF + 0.2% Zn + Azospirillum	49.33	51.67
T <sub>10</sub> : 75% RDF + 0.5% Zn + $Azospirillum$	50.00	52.33
T <sub>11</sub> : 90% RDF + 5 kg Zn ha <sup>-1</sup> + Azospirillum	47.67	51.00
T <sub>12</sub> : 90% RDF + 0.2% Zn + $Azospirillum$	48.00	50.67
T <sub>13</sub> : 90% RDF + 0.5% Zn + $Azospirillum$	49.33	52.33
S.Em.±	0.76	0.68
C.D. at 5%	2.22	1.19

 Table 3: Effect of different levels of NPK and Zinc along with seed inoculation with Azotobacter and Azospirillum on chlorophyll concentration in babycorn

Tucctmente	Chlorophyll concentration (SPAD value)		
Treatments	Before tasselling	After tasselling	
T <sub>1</sub> : Control	45.11	34.20	
T <sub>2</sub> : 75% RDF + 5 kg Zn ha <sup>-1</sup> + Azotobacter	79.69	43.13	
T <sub>3</sub> : 75% RDF + 0.2% Zn + $Azotobacter$	51.47	31.49	
T <sub>4</sub> : 75% RDF + 0.5% Zn + Azotobacter	49.05	31.09	
T <sub>5</sub> : 90% RDF + 5 kg Zn ha <sup>-1</sup> + Azotobacter	47.73	43.95	
T <sub>6</sub> : 90% RDF + 0.2% Zn + $Azotobacter$	89.73	36.20	
T <sub>7</sub> : 90% RDF + 0.5% Zn + <i>Azotobacter</i>	47.13	37.42	
T <sub>8</sub> : 75% RDF + 5 kg Zn ha <sup>-1</sup> + Azospirillum	44.97	37.44	
T9: 75% RDF + 0.2% Zn + $Azospirillum$	84.65	33.25	
$T_{10}$ : 75% RDF + 0.5% Zn + Azospirillum	59.27	35.17	
T <sub>11</sub> : 90% RDF + 5 kg Zn ha <sup>-1</sup> + Azospirillum	92.93	48.61	
$T_{12}$ : 90% RDF + 0.2% Zn + Azospirillum	73.41	40.39	
$T_{13}$ : 90% RDF + 0.5% Zn + Azospirillum	72.47	44.25	
S.Em.±	3.10	1.64	
C.D. at 5%	9.04	4.77	



Fig 2: Effect of different levels of NPK and Zinc along with seed inoculation with Azotobacter and Azospirillum on chlorophyll concentration in babycorn

# Conclusion

Fertility management plays a key role in baby corn production and it exhibit full potential only when supplied with adequate quantities of nutrients in proper way at proper time. This is particularly important in achieving the higher growth and yield as well as for maintaining the soil fertility. On the basis of above findings, It is concluded that among different treatments studied in baby corn, soil application NPK @ 90 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> @ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + foliar spray of ZnSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.5% at 25 DAS and at 40 DAS recorded significantly higher growth and growth parameters as compared to rest of the treatments.

### Acknowledgement / Funding

Author is thankful to the Ministry of Higher Education, Islamic Republic of Afghanistan and Center for Co-operation in Science and Technology among developing societies (CCSTDS, formerly CICS), (A Unit of Indian National Science New Delhi In association with Scientific Agencies & Government Departments) Government of India which provided the opportunity and financial assistance for me to undergo research study in India. I am also thankful to the Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology & Sciences, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh for providing the facilities during the course of my research and training program.

# References

- Araújo ED, Feitosa FM, Silva FS, Júnior IA. Growth and yield of baby corn as influenced by nitrogen topdressing. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2017; 12:(12):963-69.
- 2. Asghar A, Azhar GM, Mummad W, Ayub M, Asif I, Atta UM. Influence of integrated nutrients on growth, yield and quality of maize. American J Plant Sci. 2011; 1(2):63-69.
- 3. Bhaladhare MB, Paithankar DH, Palve MR, Bhahadure AB. Evolutionary study of nitrogen and phosphorus levels on growth of babycorn. International journal of chemical studies. 2018; 6(4):1116-1119.
- 4. Cakmak I. Enrichment of fertilizers with zinc: An excellent investment for humanity and crop production in India. Journal of trace elements in medicine and biology. 2009; 23:281-289.
- 5. DARE [Department of Agricultural Research and Education]. 2013. Annual Report [online]. Available: http://dare.nic.in/node/87[16 Mar. 2015].
- 6. Dorneanu A, Emilia D, Prodan T. Ecological fertilization of agricultural crops using foliar fertilizers. Central Research Station for Plant Growing on Sandy soils, Dabuleni-Dolji. 2011; 2(1):56-64.
- Jasim Iqbal, Rayyan Khan, Abdul Wahid, Kamil Sardar, Nangial Khan, Murad Ali, *et al.* Effect of nitrogen and zinc on maize (*Zea mays* L.) yield components and plant concentration. Adv. Envi. Bio. 2016; 10(10):203-208.
- 8. Kole GS. Response of baby corn to plant density and fertilizer levels. Uni. of Agric. Sci., Dharwad, 2010.
- 9. Kumar MAA, Gali SK, Patil RV. Effect of levels of NPK on quality of sweet corn on vertisols. Karnataka J of Agric. Sci. 2007; 20(1):44-46.
- Kumar V, Ahlawat IPS. Carry over effect of bio-fertilizer and nitrogen applied to wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) and direct applied N in maize (*Zea mays*) in wheat-maize cropping system. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2004; 49(4):233-236.
- 11. Manasa LP, Devaranavadagi SB. Effect of foliar application of micronutrients on growth, yield and nutrient uptake of maize. Karnataka J Agric. Sci. 2015; 28(4):474-476.
- 12. Marschner H. Mineral Nutrition of higher plants Academic Press, Harcourt Brad. Joranvaic Publishers, London, 1995.
- 13. Pandey AK, Prakash V, Mani VP, Singh RD. Effect of rate of nitrogen and time of application on yield and economics of baby corn (*Zea mays*). Indian J Agron. 2000; 45:338-343.
- 14. Parasuraman P, Studies on integrated nutrient requirement of hybrid maize (*Zea mays* L.) under irrigated conditions. Madras Agric. J. 2008; 92(1):89-94.
- Rafiq MA, Ali A, Malik MA, Hussain M. Effect of fertilizer levels and plant densities on yield and protein content of autumn planted maize. Pak. J Agri. Sci. 2010; 47(3):201-208.
- Rakesh K, Narendra K, Sudhir K, Amitesh KS, Bohra JS. Effect of NPKS and Zn Fertilization on, Growth, Yield and Quality of Baby Corn-A Review, International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science. 2017; 6(3):1392-1428.
- 17. Singh MV. Assessing extent of zinc deficiency for soil fertility mapping and nutrition security in humans and animals. Indian Journal of Fertilizer. 2011; 7:36-43.

- Singh D, Singh SM. Response of early maturing maize (*Zea mays* L.) hybrids to applied nutrients and plant densities under agroclimatic conditions of Udaipur in Rajasthan. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2006; 51(6):372-374.
- 19. Watson DJ. The physiological basis for variation in yield. Adv. Agron. 1952; 4:101-145.