



P-ISSN: 2349-8528

E-ISSN: 2321-4902

IJCS 2019; 7(3): 399-402

© 2019 IJCS

Received: 04-03-2019

Accepted: 08-04-2019

**Dr. US Surve**

Chief Agronomist, AICRP-IFS,  
MPKV, Rahuri, Maharashtra,  
India

**Dr. AS Dhonde**

SRF, AICRP-IFS, MPKV,  
Rahuri, Maharashtra, India

**Dr. JS Kumbhar**

Jr. Economist, AICRP-IFS,  
OFR Centre, Padegaon, Satara,  
Maharashtra, India

**Dr. PU Bhosale**

Jr. Soil Scientist, AICRP-IFS,  
MPKV, Rahuri, Maharashtra,  
India

## Diversification/intensification of cropping system and nutrient status under irrigated conditions in western Maharashtra

**Dr. US Surve, Dr. AS Dhonde, Dr. JS Kumbhar and Dr. PU Bhosale**

**Abstract**

This study was conducted at IFS, MPKV, Rahuri in Western Maharashtra, the maize equivalent yield of treatment T<sub>10</sub> (Maize + soybean (2:4)-Garlic) recorded significantly highest (91075 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) as compared to other treatment which was followed by cropping system Maize- Onion (84748 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The diversified cropping sequence of soybean-onion (T<sub>11</sub>) registered significantly maximum gross monetary returns, net monetary returns and B: C ratio (Rs. 4, 44, 078 ha<sup>-1</sup>, Rs. 3, 62, 338 ha<sup>-1</sup> and 5.43, respectively). The nutrient uptake of nitrogen was highest in soybean based cropping system. The highest value of pH and electrical conductivity was recorded significantly under treatment T<sub>10</sub> in both the season while the highest value of available N in treatment T<sub>7</sub> and T<sub>4</sub>.

**Keywords:** diversification, cropping system, intensification

**Introduction**

Crop diversification in India viewed as a shift from traditionally grown less remunerative crops to more remunerative crops (Hazara, 2000) [2]. A cropping system consists of cropping pattern in terms of crop combination, spatial arrangement and sequences of cropping in addition to the resources, input management and technology attributed to involve in the production of the desired products through improving land productivity (Okigbo BN., 1981) [4]. The goal of sustainable intensification is to increase food production from existing farmland while minimizing pressure on the environment. It is a response to the challenges of increasing demand for food from a growing global population, in a world where land, water, energy and other inputs are in short supply, overexploited and used unsustainably.

Now a days the diversification/intensification of crop from low value to high value crop is emerging issue for sustainable agriculture. Natural resource management for sustainable agriculture development is important for India's food and nutritional security. Crop diversification can be a useful means to increase crop output under different situations. Diversification of agriculture in favour of commercial crops leads to greater market orientation of farm production and progressive substitution of non-traded inputs in favor of purchased inputs (Joshi *et al.*, 2002) [3]. Increasing diversification of cereal cropping systems by alternating crops, such as oilseed, pulse, and forage crops, is another option for managing plant disease risk (Krupinsky *et al.*, 2002) [5]. It is a climate-smart agriculture strategy for food security, mitigation and adaptation. Therefore, keeping this in view an investigation entitled "Cropping system diversification/intensification under irrigated conditions" ought to be initiated with the objective of to identify need based and most competent cropping system under irrigated conditions to replace prevailing Maize-wheat cropping system in Western Maharashtra.

**Methodology**

An experiment was conducted at IFSRP, MPKV, Rahuri to study the cropping system diversification/intensification under irrigated conditions during 2017-18 with three replications. Twelve cropping sequences with randomized block design were used for this study. The treatment detail is given in table 1.

**Correspondence****Dr. AS Dhonde**

SRF, AICRP-IFS, MPKV,  
Rahuri, Maharashtra, India

**Table 1:** Treatment details of cropping systems

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| 1. Maize-Potato             | 2. Maize + Soybean (2:4)- Wheat                                |
| 3. Maize-Garlic             | 4. Maize + Soybean (1:3)- Onion + Cabbage                      |
| 5. Maize-Onion              | 6. Maize + Soybean (2:4)- Potato                               |
| 7. Soybean-Potato           | 8. Maize + Soybean (2:4)- Chickpea + Mustard (6:1)             |
| 9. Soybean-Garlic           | 10. Maize + Soybean (2:4)- Garlic                              |
| 11. Soybean-Onion (Control) | 12. Pearl millet (F) + Soybean (RB)- Onion (F) + Chickpea (RB) |

## Results

**Maize equivalent yield:** The maize equivalent yield and system productivity are presented in Table 2, indicate that the treatment T<sub>10</sub> (Maize + soybean (2:4)-Garlic) recorded significantly highest maize equivalent yield (91075 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was followed by the prevailing cropping system Maize-Onion (84748 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The system productivity was recorded highest in T<sub>10</sub> Maize + soybean (2:4)-Garlic (93026 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was followed by prevailing cropping system Maize-Onion (89964 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

**Table 2:** Maize equivalent yield and system productivity of different cropping Sequences

| Cropping systems   | Days for sequence | MEY (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | System Productivity (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | System Productivity (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| 1. Maize-Potato  | 213               | 55275                      | 60154                                      | 282.41   |
| 2. Maize +Soybean (2:4)- Wheat                               | 223               | 28536                      | 30146                                      | 135.18   |
| 3. Maize-Garlic  | 253               | 82787                      | 87798                                      | 347.02   |
| 4. Maize +Soybean (1:3)- Onion+ Cabbage                      | 260               | 65775                      | 67263                                      | 258.70   |
| 5. Maize-Onion   | 260               | 84748                      | 89964                                      | 346.02   |
| 6. Maize +Soybean (2:4)- Potato                              | 213               | 62650                      | 64463                                      | 302.64   |
| 7. Soybean-Potato  | 200               | 4829                       | 7547                                       | 37.74  |
| 8. Maize +Soybean (2:4)- Chickpea + Mustard 6:1)             | 233               | 31080                      | 32879                                      | 141.11   |
| 9. Soybean-Garlic  | 240               | 7002                       | 9833                                       | 40.97  |
| 10.Maize+Soybean (2:4)- Garlic                               | 221               | 91075                      | 93026                                      | 420.93   |
| 11.Soybean-Onion (Control)                                   | 247               | 6506                       | 9518                                       | 38.53  |
| 12.Pearl millet (F) + Soybean (RB)- Onion(F) + Chickpea (RB) | 249               | 5500                       | 6886                                       | 27.65  |

**Note:** F-Furrow, RB-Raised bed

**Economics:** The economics of diversified cropping system are presented in Table 3. The diversified cropping sequence of soybean-onion (T<sub>11</sub>) registered significantly maximum gross monetary returns, net monetary returns and B:C ratio (Rs. 4, 44, 078 ha<sup>-1</sup>, Rs. 3, 62, 338 ha<sup>-1</sup> and 5.43, respectively) which

was closely followed by treatment T<sub>5</sub>- Maize-onion (Rs. 4, 17, 295 ha<sup>-1</sup>, Rs. 3, 33, 666 ha<sup>-1</sup> and 4.99 respectively) and T<sub>4</sub>- Maize + Soybean (1:3)-Onion + Cabbage cropping systems (Rs. 3, 41, 350 ha<sup>-1</sup>, Rs. 2, 64, 747 ha<sup>-1</sup> and 4.46 respectively)

**Table 3:** Gross, net monetary returns and B: C ratio as influenced by different cropping systems

| Cropping systems   | Gross monetary returns (Rs.ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Cost of cultivation (Rs.ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Net monetary returns (Rs.ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | B:C ratio |
|--|---|--|---|-----------|
| 1. Maize-Potato  | 294706  | 109091                                     | 185615                                      | 2.70      |
| 2. Maize + Soybean (2:4)-Wheat                                 | 132447  | 78459                                      | 53988                                       | 1.69      |
| 3. Maize-Garlic  | 240751  | 82741                                      | 158010                                      | 2.91      |
| 4. Maize + Soybean (1:3)-Onion + Cabbage                       | 341350  | 76603                                      | 264747                                      | 4.46      |
| 5. Maize-Onion   | 417295  | 83629                                      | 333666                                      | 4.99      |
| 6. Maize + Soybean (2:4)-Potato                                | 330025  | 110190                                     | 219835                                      | 3.00      |
| 7. Soybean-Potato  | 343618  | 107277                                     | 236341                                      | 3.20      |
| 8. Maize+ Soybean (2:4)- Chickpea + Mustard (6:1)              | 142511  | 74851                                      | 67660                                       | 1.90      |
| 9. Soybean-Garlic  | 273360  | 80893                                      | 192467                                      | 3.38      |
| 10.Maize + Soybean (2:4)-Garlic                                | 270451  | 82111                                      | 188340                                      | 3.29      |
| 11.Soybean-Onion (Control)                                     | 444078  | 81740                                      | 362338                                      | 5.43      |
| 12.Pearl millet (F) + Soybean (RB) - Onion (F) + Chickpea (RB) | 179566  | 69126                                      | 110440                                      | 2.60      |

**Note:** F-Furrow, RB-Raised bed

## Nutrient uptake and soil fertility status

Total nutrient uptake by *Kharif* and *Rabi* crops are presented in Table 4 and 5, indicate that uptake of nitrogen was higher in soybean based cropping system while, in case of

phosphorus and potassium was higher in maize based cropping system during *kharif* season. In *rabi* season uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus was higher in potato while regarding potassium maximum uptake was found in onion.

**Table 4:** NPK uptake (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) by kharif crops (2017-18)

| Cropping systems                         | <i>Kharif</i> |            |           |            |           |            |
|--|---------------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|
|  | N             |            | P         |            | K         |            |
|  | Main crop     | Inter crop | Main crop | Inter crop | Main crop | Inter crop |
| 1. Maize-Potato                          | 136.18        | -          | 64.96     | -          | 113.63    | -          |
| 2. Maize + Soybean (2:4)-Wheat           | 42.77         | 106.60     | 19.33     | 16.57      | 35.41     | 45.03      |
| 3. Maize-Garlic                          | 139.87        | -          | 65.33     | -          | 116.08    | -          |
| 4. Maize + Soybean (1:3)-Onion + Cabbage | 40.64         | 136.96     | 18.49     | 21.68      | 34.17     | 58.30      |
| 5. Maize-Onion                           | 150.50        | -          | 68.41     | -          | 121.09    | -          |
| 6. Maize + Soybean (2:4)-Potato          | 48.65         | 118.17     | 21.94     | 18.92      | 40.66     | 52.05      |

|  |        |        |       |       |       |       |
|--|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 7. Soybean-Potato  | 173.38 | -      | 26.46 | -     | 71.24 |       |
| 8. Maize + Soybean (2:4)-Chickpea + Mustard (6:1)              | 49.95  | 118.73 | 21.97 | 18.10 | 41.38 | 49.14 |
| 9. Soybean-Garlic  | 180.85 | -      | 28.85 | -     | 75.87 |       |
| 10. Maize + Soybean (2:4)-Garlic                               | 49.92  | 139.08 | 23.90 | 23.49 | 44.07 | 69.00 |
| 11. Soybean-Onion (Control)                                    | 192.97 | -      | 30.89 | -     | 81.21 |       |
| 12. Pearl millet (F) + Soybean (RB)- Onion (F) + Chickpea (RB) | 34.24  | 71.07  | 6.46  | 13.08 | 69.19 | 50.01 |

Table 5: NPK uptake (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) by *rabi* crops (2017-18)

| Cropping systems   | <i>Rabi</i> |            |           |            |           |            |
|--|-------------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|
|  | N           |            | P         |            | K         |            |
|  | Main crop   | Inter crop | Main crop | Inter crop | Main crop | Inter crop |
| 1. Maize-Potato  | 186.39      | -          | 42.58     | -          | 36.25     | -          |
| 2. Maize + Soybean (2:4)-Wheat                                 | 85.09       | -          | 30.97     | -          | 58.98     | -          |
| 3. Maize-Garlic  | 35.07       | -          | 8.20      | -          | 30.61     | --         |
| 4. Maize + Soybean (1:3)- Onion + Cabbage                      | 52.61       | 88.15      | 29.49     | 23.43      | 60.79     | 93.73      |
| 5. Maize-Onion   | 73.71       | -          | 29.10     | -          | 91.34     | -          |
| 6. Maize + Soybean (2:4)-Potato                                | 218.32      | -          | 43.79     | -          | 43.11     | -          |
| 7. Soybean-Potato  | 238.89      | -          | 48.94     | -          | 45.22     | -          |
| 8. Maize + Soybean (2:4)- Chickpea + Mustard (6:1)             | 191.67      | -          | 29.39     | -          | 132.12    | -          |
| 9. Soybean-Garlic  | 45.04       | -          | 9.46      | -          | 37.10     | -          |
| 10. Maize + Soybean (2:4)-Garlic                               | 42.20       | -          | 7.97      | -          | 35.41     | -          |
| 11. Soybean-Onion (Control)                                    | 76.41       | -          | 29.41     | -          | 94.25     | -          |
| 12. Pearl millet (F) + Soybean (RB)- Onion (F) + Chickpea (RB) | 18.27       | 125.00     | 10.87     | 17.64      | 22.27     | 76.83      |

The data presented in Table 6 and 7 regarding soil fertility status after *kharif* and *rabi* season revealed that, the pH, electrical conductivity and available nutrient status of N, P content was significant in both the season except organic carbon & K. The highest value of pH and electrical

conductivity was recorded significantly under treatment T<sub>10</sub> in both the season while the highest value of available N in treatment T<sub>7</sub> and T<sub>4</sub>, while in case of P was recorded significantly under the treatment T<sub>7</sub>.

Table 6: Soil fertility status after harvest of *Kharif* (2017-18)

| Cropping systems  | pH (1:2.5) | EC (dSm <sup>-1</sup> ) | O.C. (%) | Avail. N               | Avail. P | Avail. K |
|---|------------|-------------------------|----------|------------------------|----------|----------|
|   |            |                         |          | (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |          |          |
| 1. Maize-Potato   | 8.12       | 0.17                    | 0.62     | 207                    | 13       | 500      |
| 2. Maize + Soybean (2:4)-Wheat                                | 8.22       | 0.20                    | 0.63     | 210                    | 13       | 534      |
| 3. Maize-Garlic   | 8.15       | 0.22                    | 0.64     | 201                    | 13       | 504      |
| 4. Maize + Soybean (1:3)-Onion+ Cabbage                       | 8.20       | 0.22                    | 0.63     | 220                    | 12       | 537      |
| 5. Maize-Onion  | 8.15       | 0.21                    | 0.62     | 216                    | 13       | 518      |
| 6. Maize + Soybean (2:4)-Potato                               | 8.18       | 0.23                    | 0.64     | 210                    | 14       | 523      |
| 7. Soybean-Potato   | 8.21       | 0.21                    | 0.65     | 220                    | 14       | 532      |
| 8. Maize + Soybean (2:4)-Chickpea + Mustard (6:1)             | 8.22       | 0.20                    | 0.63     | 204                    | 13       | 538      |
| 9. Soybean-Garlic   | 8.16       | 0.22                    | 0.67     | 216                    | 14       | 545      |
| 10. Maize + Soybean (2:4)-Garlic                              | 8.26       | 0.24                    | 0.66     | 201                    | 14       | 575      |
| 11. Soybean-Onion (Control)                                   | 8.17       | 0.20                    | 0.61     | 216                    | 13       | 567      |
| 12. Pearl millet (F) + Soybean (RB) Onion (F) + Chickpea (RB) | 8.13       | 0.17                    | 0.63     | 210                    | 11       | 564      |
| S.Em±   | 0.01       | 0.01                    | 0.05     | 3.33                   | 0.17     | 23.92    |
| C.D at 5%   | 0.03       | 0.04                    | NS       | 9.78                   | 0.49     | NS       |
| Initial Status  | 8.24       | 0.26                    | 0.60     | 190                    | 15       | 584      |

Table 7: Soil fertility status after harvest of *Rabi* (2017-18)

| Cropping systems  | pH (1:2.5) | EC (dSm <sup>-1</sup> ) | O.C. (%) | Avail. N               | Avail. P | Avail. K |
|---|------------|-------------------------|----------|------------------------|----------|----------|
|   |            |                         |          | (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |          |          |
| 1. Maize-Potato   | 8.10       | 0.16                    | 0.64     | 198                    | 12       | 493      |
| 2. Maize + Soybean (2:4)-Wheat                                | 8.19       | 0.18                    | 0.65     | 202                    | 12       | 526      |
| 3. Maize-Garlic   | 8.13       | 0.21                    | 0.62     | 192                    | 13       | 497      |
| 4. Maize + Soybean (1:3)-Onion + Cabbage                      | 8.22       | 0.20                    | 0.64     | 211                    | 12       | 511      |
| 5. Maize-Onion  | 8.13       | 0.19                    | 0.63     | 208                    | 13       | 519      |
| 6. Maize + Soybean (2:4)-Potato                               | 8.17       | 0.25                    | 0.66     | 202                    | 13       | 530      |
| 7. Soybean-Potato   | 8.16       | 0.20                    | 0.67     | 211                    | 14       | 538      |
| 8. Maize + Soybean (2:4)-Chickpea + Mustard (6:1)             | 8.15       | 0.19                    | 0.66     | 195                    | 11       | 530      |
| 9. Soybean-Garlic   | 8.19       | 0.21                    | 0.68     | 208                    | 13       | 538      |
| 10. Maize + Soybean (2:4)-Garlic                              | 8.20       | 0.24                    | 0.65     | 192                    | 13       | 567      |
| 11. Soybean-Onion (Control)                                   | 8.16       | 0.19                    | 0.64     | 208                    | 13       | 560      |
| 12. Pearl millet (F) + Soybean (RB)-Onion (F) + Chickpea (RB) | 8.12       | 0.18                    | 0.60     | 202                    | 11       | 556      |
| S.Em±   | 0.01       | 0.01                    | 0.05     | 0.20                   | 0.25     | 23.92    |
| C.D at 5%   | 0.02       | 0.03                    | NS       | 0.60                   | 0.72     | NS       |
| Initial Status  | 8.24       | 0.26                    | 0.60     | 190                    | 15       | 584      |

### Conclusions

It is concluded from above study that, the Maize + soybean (2:4)-Garlic cropping system was suitable and showed highest system productivity and profitability however it was closely followed by Maize- Onion cropping system. The nutrient uptake of nitrogen was higher in soybean based cropping system. The highest value of pH and electrical conductivity was recorded significantly under treatment T<sub>10</sub> in both the season while the highest value of available N in treatment T<sub>7</sub> and T<sub>4</sub>. It is suggested that diversification/ intensification the Maize + soybean (2:4)-Garlic cropping system best suitable and farmers should adopt for increasing profitability and sustainability.

### References

1. Dwivedi A, Kumar V, Singh A, Tomar SS. Potential Role of Maize- Legume Intercropping Systems to Improve Soil Fertility Status under Smallholder Farming Systems for Sustainable Agriculture in India. *Int. J Life Sci. Biotech. Pharm. Res.*, 2015, 4(3).
2. Hazra CR. Expert consultation on crop diversification in the Asia-Pacific region. *FAO Country Report, India.* FAO Corporate Documents Repository, 2000. [E-book] Available: <http://www.fao.org>
3. Joshi PK, Ashok G, BIRTHAL PS, Laxmi T. Nature and Speed of Agricultural Diversification in South Asian Countries. Paper Presented at the ICRIER ICAR IFPRI Conference on Economic Reforms and Food Security. *The Role of Trade and Technology*, New Delhi, India, 2002.
4. Okigbo BN. Evaluation of plant interactions and productivity in complex mixtures as a basis for improved cropping system design. *Proceeding of international work shop on intercropping systems design ICRISAT and Hyderabad.* 1981, 155-179.
5. Krupinsky JM, Bailey KL, McMullen MP, Gossen BD, Turkington TK. Managing plant disease risk in diversified cropping systems. *Agron. J.* 2002; 94:198-209.