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**Abstract**

The field experiments were conducted during Kharif season of 2015, 2016, and 2017 at Gaja Research and Extension Centre, College of Forestry, V.C.S.G. Uttarakhand University of Horticulture and Forestry, Ranichauri, Uttarakhand, India to find out effective establishment and weed management method for the maximizing the productivity of barnyard millet crop. Four establishment methods and four weed management practices were tested in split plot design with three replications. Major weeds found in the plots were Galinsoga parviflora, Persicaria capitatum, Paspalum dilatatum, Digitaria ciliaris, Oxalis latifolia, Setaria glauca Cyperus deformis and Cyperus iria. Among the establishment methods higher seed rate of 15 kg per hectare recorded significantly lower density and biomass of weeds in turn resulted in higher grain and straw yield of barnyard millet. Among the weed management treatments one inter-culture at 20 DAS and one hand weeding at 40 DAS registered significantly higher grain yield than pre-emergence spray of isoproturon 0.5 kg a.i./ha+ one inter-culture at 40 DAS, the straw yield was statistically at par with each other. Highest benefit: cost ratio was recorded in pre-emergence spray of isoproturon 0.5 kg a.i./ha+ one inter-culture at 40 DAS (2.61) followed by one inter-culture at 20 DAS and one hand weeding at 40 DAS (2.59). Therefore on the basis of pooled data of three year experiments, it can be concluded that higher seed rate of 15 kg per hectare of barnyard millet and integrated approach of isoproturon 0.5 kg a.i./ha and one inter culture at 40 DAS are effective in managing weed problems of barnyard millet.
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**Introduction**

Barnyard millet is one of the important Kharif crop of Uttarakhand hills. It is grown for grain and fodder which is used in winter season when fodder scarces. Uttarakhand occupies 55.4 thousand hectare area and produce 78.5 thousand tons of barnyard grain with 1416 kg/ha productivity (Anon. 2016-17) [2]. Uttarakhand stands first in area and production among barnyard producing states in India. Being a Kharif crop, it is severely infested with diverse weed flora. Uttarakhand farmers are hardly using herbicides to control weeds as of result, they lose the yield severely. Slow initial growth of barnyard millet and favourable conditions for weed multiplication cause a serious threat to optimum yield of barnyard millet. Weeds caused an appreciable reduction in density, dry weight and depletion of nutrients of crop plants, if not controlled in time and appropriate stage of crop growth. The early crop weed competition can be escaped by selecting proper establishment method viz. early or delay sowing, higher seed rate and change in spacing and weed management practices.

The proper establishment method helps in ensuring good crop stand and early vigour while good weed management practice provides minimum crop weed competition at early stage of crop growth. Hence this study entitled “Barnyard millet (Echinochloa frumentacea) productivity enhancement through establishment methods and weed management practices under hilly rainfed conditions” was carried out to evaluate establishment and weed control methods for the improvement of barnyard millet yield under rainfed condition of Uttarakhand.

**Materials and Methods**

Field studies were conducted during Kharif season of 2015, 2016 and 2017 at Gaja Research
and Extension Centre, College of Forestry, V.C.S.G. University of Horticulture and Forestry, Ranichauri, Uttarakhand, India. The soil was silty clay loam of medium depth with acidic pH (5.7) having 0.71 % organic carbon, 219 kg/ha available N, 16 kg/ha available P and 390 kg/ha available K. The experiment was laid out in split plot design with three replications. The main plot treatments comprised of four establishment method viz., M1: using high seed rate 15 kg/ha, M2: providing wider row spacing 45 cm and plant spacing 7.5 cm for barnyard millet and introduced sunhamp in between of barnyard millet row and harvested 40 DAS and used as mulch, M3: delay sowing 8-10 days after normal time of sowing and M4: recommended package of practices (sowing at right time i.e. first week of June, seed rate 10 kg/ha and row to row spacing 25 cm). Sub plots comprised of four weed management treatments viz., W1: Control (weedy), W2: One inter cultivation at 20 DAS+ One hand weeding at 40 DAS, W3: Pre-emergence spray of isoproturon 0.5 kg a.i./ha and W4: One pre-emergence spray of isoproturon 0.5 kg a.i./ha followed by one inter-culture at 40 DAS. The barnyard millet variety ‘PRJ-1’ was sown. Seed rate and row to row spacing were as per treatment. Pre-emergence application of isoproturon was sprayed by knapsack sprayer fitted with flat fan nozzle using a spray volume of 500 L/ha. Climate of College of Forestry is humid and temperate type with chilled winter. The total precipitation during the crop season was recorded 747.9 mm in 2015, 844.9 mm in 2016 and 1186.9 mm in 2017, whereas the maximum temperature varied between 18.1 to 25.8°C in 2015, 21.5 to 24.6°C in 2016 and 22.2 to 24.6°C in 2017 during cropping season. Similarly minimum temperature varied between 6.8 to 16.3°C in 2015, 11.5 and 17.8°C in 2016 and 11.2 and 16.8°C in 2017. Weedy plots remained infested with native population of weeds till harvest. The data on density and biomass of weed was subjected to square root transformation \( \sqrt{x+1} \) to normalize their distribution. The data of three year experiments was pooled and analyzed through STPR-2 software of G.B.P.U.A. & T. Pantnagar.

Results and Discussion

Weed Studies

During the three years study, population of broad leaf weeds dominated over grasseys weeds. Among grasses Paspalum dilatatum, Digitaria ciliaris, Setaria glauca were the most dominated weed, among broad leaf weeds Galinsoga parviflora, Persicaria capitatum, Oxalis latifolia, and among sedges Cyperus defformis and Cyperus iria were dominant. Broad leaf weeds contributed maximum per cent to total weed density during three years.

The establishment method treatments exerted significant influence on density and biomass of weeds (Table 1). Among the establishment methods, higher seed rate of barnyard millet 15 kg/ha recorded significantly lower density and biomass of weeds. It might be due to higher population of crop plant which provides competition to the weed flora. Similar finding was reported by Ahmed et al., 2014 \(^{[1]} \) in direct seeded rice from Bangladesh. The highest weed density and dry biomass production to total weeds were recorded under M3 (delay sowing 8-10 days after normal time of sowing) at 60 DAS (Table 1). Among the weed management treatments, one inter-culture at 20 DAS and one hand weeding at 40DAS registered significantly lower population and dry biomass of weeds in comparison to other treatments. Integrated approach of weed management, pre emergence spray of isoproturon 0.5 kg a.i./ha and one inter-culture 40 DAS reduced the weed density and dry biomass significantly. This may be due to less germination of weeds at early stage of the crop growth by pre-emergence spray and later on one inter-culture operation at 40 DAS take care of the left over weeds. Similar finding have been reported by Pradhan et al., 2012 \(^{[8]} \) in finger millet. Weed control efficiency (WCE) was highest in higher seed rate 15 kg/ha treatment followed by recommended practices viz., June first week sowing, seed rate 10 kg/ha and row to row spacing 25 cm of barnyard millet. Because, at high seeding rates of aerobic rice, the crop had a competitive advantage over weeds and closed canopy earlier, thus, reducing weed growth (Anwar et al. 2011)\(^{[9]} \). However, one inter-culture at 20 DAS and one hand weeding at 40DAS recorded highest weed control efficiency followed by pre-emergence spray of isoproturon 0.5 kg a.i./ha+ one inter-culture at 40 DAS among different weed management treatments. Ashok et al. (2003)\(^{[4]} \) also found that isoproturon + one hand weeding was more effective against grassy and broad leaved weeds.

Weed index is used to evaluate the superiority or inferiority of weed control treatments. It is a measure of yield loss caused due to varying degree of weed competition compared to the relatively weed free condition throughout the crop period leading to higher productivity (Vinothini and Arthanari, 2017)\(^{[11]} \). Weed index was registered lowest in recommended package of practices (sowing at right time i.e. first week of June, seed rate 10 kg/ha and row to row spacing 25 cm) followed by using high seed rate 15 kg/ha on the basis of three year pooled data. Among the weed management treatments, lowest weed index was recorded in one inter-culture at 20 DAS and one hand weeding at 40DAS followed by pre-emergence spray of isoproturon 0.5 kg a.i./ha and pre-emergence spray of isoproturon 0.5 kg a.i./ha followed by one inter-culture at 40 DAS. Vinothini and Arthanari, 2017\(^{[11]} \) also recorded lowest yield reduction (7.06 per cent) under hand weeding at 20 and 40 DAS.

Crop Studies

Plant height of barnyard millet was recorded significantly highest in establishment method with higher seed rate 15 kg/ha due to more completion for light among barnyard plant. Rahman and Hossain, 2011 \(^{[10]} \) also reported similar finding in soybean crop. The increase in plant height at higher density is probably caused through stem elongation (Pendersen and Lauer, 2003)\(^{[7]} \) and increase of number of nodes/plant due to mutual shading. Among the weed management treatments, one inter cultivation at 20 DAS+ one hand weeding at 40 DAS recorded significantly higher plant height of barnyard millet which was statistically at par with one pre-emergence spray of isoproturon 0.5 kg a.i./ha followed by one inter-culture at 40 DAS. Vinothini and Arthanari, 2017\(^{[11]} \) also recorded lowest yield reduction (7.06 per cent) under hand weeding at 20 and 40 DAS.
respectively. However, among the weed management treatments, one inter-culture at 20 DAS and one hand weeding at 40DAS registered significantly higher grain yield than pre-emergence spray of isoproturon 0.5 kg a.i./ha+ one inter-culture at 40 DAS. While straw yield, was statistically at par with each other. Prajapati et al., 2007 [9] also reported similar result in kodo millet. Pre-emergence spray of isoproturon 0.5 kg a.i./ha was recorded significantly lower grain and straw yield in comparison to one inter-culture at 40 DAS and one hand weeding at 40DAS and pre-emergence spray of isoproturon 0.5 kg a.i./ha+ one inter-culture at 40 DAS. Highest harvest index was estimated under higher seed rate 15 kg/ha and one inter-culture at 20 DAS and one hand weeding at 40DAS and lowest in delay sowing 8-10 days after normal time of sowing and weedy condition of barnyard millet.

**Economic Studies**

Higher seed rate 15 kg gave higher net return (Rs 43082/ha) and benefit: cost ratio (2.95). This was because the less increase in cost of cultivation and higher increase of grain and straw yield in comparison to recommended practices. While in case of weed management treatments, one inter-culture at 20 DAS and one hand weeding at 40DAS recorded highest net return (Rs 39999/ha) followed by One pre-emergence spray of isoproturon 0.5 kg a.i./ha followed by one inter-culture at 40 DAS (Rs 3911/ha). Highest benefit: cost ratio was recorded in one pre-emergence spray of isoproturon 0.5 kg a.i./ha followed by one inter-culture at 40 DAS (2.61) followed by one inter cultivation at 20 DAS+ one hand weeding at 40 DAS (2.59). It is due to higher cost of cultivation (Rs15457/ha) in one inter cultivation at 20 DAS+ One hand weeding at 40 DAS than one pre-emergence spray of isoproturon 0.5 kg a.i./ha followed by one inter-culture at 40 DAS (Rs 14957) and less difference in net return (Table 1). Un-weeded control recorded a loss of Rs.14492/ha due to 43.5 and 27.0 per cent decrease in grain and straw yield in comparison to one inter cultivation at 20 DAS+ one hand weeding at 40 DAS.

### Table 1: Effect of establishment and weed management methods on weeds, yield and economics of barnyard millet.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Plant Height (cm)</th>
<th>Weed Density (m²)</th>
<th>Weed Dry Biomass (g)</th>
<th>Weed Control efficiency (%)</th>
<th>Weed Index (%)</th>
<th>Grain Yield (kg/ha)</th>
<th>Straw Yield (kg/ha)</th>
<th>Harvest Index (%)</th>
<th>Cost of Cultivation (Rs/ha)</th>
<th>Gross Return (Rs/ha)</th>
<th>Net Return (Rs/ha)</th>
<th>B:C Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>161.4</td>
<td>19.7(394)</td>
<td>11.4(156)</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>2312</td>
<td>5731</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>14620</td>
<td>57702</td>
<td>43082</td>
<td>2.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>130.6</td>
<td>20.7(435)</td>
<td>15.2(248)</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>1844</td>
<td>4845</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>15166</td>
<td>46570</td>
<td>31404</td>
<td>2.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3</td>
<td>111.5</td>
<td>22.1(498)</td>
<td>15.9(265)</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>1595</td>
<td>4378</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>14720</td>
<td>40656</td>
<td>25936</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4</td>
<td>151.3</td>
<td>20.7(424)</td>
<td>13.5(198)</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>5348</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>14480</td>
<td>50956</td>
<td>36472</td>
<td>2.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.Em+</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>266</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD (5 %)</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>0.635</td>
<td>0.790</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>766</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W1</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>23.6(557)</td>
<td>19.1(365)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>1546</td>
<td>4352</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>14117</td>
<td>39624</td>
<td>25507</td>
<td>1.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W2</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>17.9(321)</td>
<td>8.3(777)</td>
<td>69.0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2220</td>
<td>5528</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>15457</td>
<td>55456</td>
<td>39999</td>
<td>2.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W3</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>22.8(524)</td>
<td>15.2(238)</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>1841</td>
<td>4968</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>14457</td>
<td>46756</td>
<td>32299</td>
<td>2.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W4</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>18.6(349)</td>
<td>13.5(188)</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>2158</td>
<td>5454</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>14957</td>
<td>54068</td>
<td>39111</td>
<td>2.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.Em-</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>0.278</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>101</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD (5 %)</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>0.445</td>
<td>0.780</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>282</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: Original values are in parenthesis
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