International Journal of Chemical Studies

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 IJCS 2019; 7(2): 964-966 © 2019 IJCS Received: 09-01-2019 Accepted: 13-02-2019

Mani Mesha Nand

M.Sc Scholar, Department of Soil Science, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa, Samastipur, Bihar, India

Shiveshwar Pratap Singh

Assistant Professor, Department of Soil Science, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa, Samastipur, Bihar, India

Anand Kumar

M.Sc Scholar, Department of Soil Science, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa, Samastipur, Bihar, India

Md. Mahtab Alam

M.Sc Scholar, Department of Soil Science, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa, Samastipur, Bihar, India

Correspondence

Mani Mesha Nand M.Sc Scholar, Department of Soil Science, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa, Samastipur, Bihar, India

Potassium fractions affected by split application of potassium in rice (*Oryza* spp.) in calcareous soil of North Bihar

Mani Mesha Nand, Shiveshwar Pratap Singh, Anand Kumar and Md. Mahtab Alam

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted in calcareous soil (medium in available K) in split-plot design with two rice varieties (Inbred and Hybrid) in main plot and six combinations of potassium management [control, NP(-K); NP+K(100 % basal); NP+K(50% basal + 50% tillering); NP+K(50% basal + 50% panicle) and NP+K(50% basal + 25% tillering + 25% panicle)] in sub-plot at Experimental Farm, RPCAU, Pusa, Samastipur, during *kharif* – 2017. Post-harvest surface soil samples were analyzed for different forms of potassium (available K, water soluble K, exchangeable K, non-exchangeable K, lattice Kand total K). Water soluble potassium was significantly higher in treatments receiving potassium in split application than treatment receiving total potassium as basal. All the fraction of potassium was highest in treatment receiving potassium in split.

Keywords: Potassium fractions, split application, North Bihar

Introduction

Rice (*Oryza* spp.), a major crop cultivated in at least 95 countries across the globe in an area of 163.5 million hectares (m ha) with an annual production of 758.9 million tons (mt) and average productivity of 4641.5 kg ha⁻¹ (FAO Stat, 2017) ^[2]. In India, rice is cultivated in 42.5 m ha with production of 165.3 mt and productivity of 3632.9 kg ha⁻¹ (FAO Stat, 2017) ^[2]. Global demand of milled rice is estimated to increase from 496 million tons in 2020 to 555 million tons in 2035 as the global population increases (Tuong and Bouman, 2002) ^[12].

Potassium is required by plants in large quantities, equal to or more than N, and plays a key role in many metabolic processes in the plant. Sufficient K supply is important for crop yield as well as product quality (Pettigrew, 2008 and Zorb *et al.*, 2014) ^[8, 14]. Potassium is less mobile in soils because of the strong affinity with exchange sites of clays. Exchangeable K and non-exchangeable K can thereby significantly depleted and contribute to a substantial proportion of plant uptake. Agronomically, the demand for K largely varies with plant species and cultivars and productivity. K in soils can be divided into immediately available, readily available, slowly available, and relatively unavailable K, which are also termed as watersoluble, exchangeable, non-exchangeable, and mineral K, respectively (Martin and Sparks, 1985)^[6]. The distribution of K forms in the soil. According to Horra *et al.*, 1998 ^[4] application K fertilizers increases labile forms of K and changes the quantity-intensity parameters of K in soils. Therefore, knowledge about distribution of potassium fractions in soil and time of application is important for effective use of K fertilizer.

Material & Method

The experimental farm of Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa, Samastipur, Bihar (India) is located at 25° 94'N latitude, 85° 67'E longitude and an altitude of 52.3 meter above mean sea level. The climate is sub-tropical having three distinct seasons *i.e.* rainy (mid June to September), winter (October-February) and summer (March to mid June). The total rainfall received during the cropping season (*Kharif-*2017) was 941.2 mm. The mean monthly maximum and minimum temperature during the cropping season varied between 28.7 $^{\circ}$ C to 35.1 $^{\circ}$ C and 15.3 $^{\circ}$ C to 26.7 $^{\circ}$ C, respectively. The surface soil samples (0-15 cm) were collected from the experimental field with the help of auger prior to experimentation as per standard procedures. The soil of experimental site was alkaline in reaction, normal in salinity,

medium in organic carbon and available K content. The experiment was conducted in split plot design to evaluate the effect of split application of potassic fertilizer in inbred and hybrid rice. The experimental design was split plot with two varieties (inbred-Sugandha 5 and hybrid-Arize 6444) as main plot and six different rate and time of application of potassium [Control (unfertilized, NP (-K), NP + K (100% as basal), NP + K (50% as basal + 50% at tillering), NP + K (50% basal + 50% panicle), NP + K (50 % basal + 25% tillering + 25 % panicle)] as sub plot. The dose of NPK, based on Nutrient Expert tool for inbred and hybrid rice was 108:23:46 and 123:39:65 kg ha⁻¹, respectively. Sources of N, P and K were urea, DAP and MOP, respectively. The content of water soluble K in soils was determined by extracting the soils with distilled water in the 1: 5:: soil: water ratio and shaken for half an hour. Then the K in the filtrate was estimated with the help of flame photometer (Systronics flame photometer 128) as described by USSLS (1954). The available-K of soils was estimated with the help of flame photometer in the aliquot obtained by equilibrating the soils with the extractant in the 1: 5:: soil : 1N neutral Ammonium Acetate for five minutes as per method by Hanway and Heidel (1952)^[3]. HNO₃ or Non-Exchangeable K was measured by method given by Wood and De Turk, 1941. Lattice K was determined by subtracting the amount of non-exchangeable K from total K.The total-K was determined in soil by digesting the soil with H₂SO₄, HClO₄ and HF mixture in platinum crucible at 220-225 °C using flame photometer by following the standard method of Jackson (1973)^[5]. The data were statistically analyzed online (http://14.139.232.166/opstat/ default.asp) using analysis of variance technique (ANOVA) in split-plot design (Sheoran et al., 1998) ^[10]. The significance of the treatment means was tested at 5 % ($P \le 0.05$) level of probability.

affected by variety and potassium fertilization (Table 1). The mean available K in post-harvest soil ranged from 75.76 and 83.18 mg kg⁻¹ in NP(-K) and K treated plots with three split doses (50% basal+ 25% tillering +25% panicle), respectively. The highest mean available K content (83.18 mg kg⁻¹) was observed in the treatment receiving K in three splits as 50% basal + 25% tillering + 25% panicle.

Water soluble K in post-harvest soil increased significantly in potassium treated plots over NP(-K) and control plots and varied from 15.64 to 19.88 mg kg⁻¹in NP(-K) and K treated plots with three split doses (50% basal+ 25% tillering +25% panicle), respectively (Table 1). The highest available K content (19.88 mg kg⁻¹) was observed in the treatment receiving K in three splits (50% basal + 25% tillering + 25% panicle) followed by treatments receiving K as 50% basal + 50% panicle, 50% basal + 50% tillering, 100% basal, control and NP(-K). Water soluble K (18.49 mg kg⁻¹) in post-harvest soil in inbred rice grown plot was 6.3% higher than hybrid rice (17.39 mg kg⁻¹) grown plot. The interaction effect between variety and K fertilization was not significant.

Split application of K leads greater availability of K and lowers the transformation of potassium into non-exchangeable pool which regulated the continuous growth of cells and tissues (Mehdi *et al.*, 2007, Wani *et al.*, 2014) ^[7, 13]. Thippeswamy *et al.* (1995) ^[11] reported that among different fractions of K, available K fraction increased with increase in K doses and split application of K also increased the available K fraction in red soils of Bangalore. Increasing levels of either fertilizers or lantana incorporation increased the available K content significantly and consistently (Sharma *et al.*, 2013) ^[9] The water soluble K is subjected to removal by crops as well as prone to leaching under the influence of high rainfall intensity, thus, its content in present soil were the lowest among all the K fractions (Sharma *et al.*, 2013) ^[9].

Result and Discussion Available and Water soluble K

Available K in post-harvest soil was non-significantly

Treatment	Available K			Water soluble K		
Ireatment	Inbred	Hybrid	Mean	Inbred	Hybrid	Mean
Control	76.52	76.10	76.31	15.85	15.90	15.88
NP(-K)	75.44	76.08	75.76	15.77	15.52	15.64
NP + K 100% Basal	79.49	78.76	79.12	18.20	17.88	18.04
NP + K (50% Basal + 50% Tillering)	81.05	80.41	80.73	20.03	18.00	19.02
NP + K (50% Basal + 50% Panicle)	81.62	82.13	81.87	20.20	18.15	19.18
NP + K (50% Basal + 25% Tillering + 25% Panicle)	83.38	82.98	83.18	20.88	18.87	19.88
Mean	79.58	79.41		18.49	17.39	
	$SE(m) \pm$	CD(P=0.05)	CV	$SE(m) \pm$	CD(P=0.05)	CV
V	0.81	NS		0.15	0.88	
Κ	2.85	NS	8.78	0.50	1.48	6.89
V X K	4.03	NS		0.71	NS	

Exchangeable and Non-Exchangeable K

The changes in exchangeable and non-exchangeable K in post-harvest soil was non-significantly affected by variety and potassium application (Table 2). Also, the interaction effect of variety and potassium fertilization on exchangeable K was not significant. The mean exchangeable K content in post-harvest soil ranged from 60.12 to 63.31 (mg kg⁻¹) in control and the treatment receiving potassium in three splits (50% basal + 25% tillering + 25% panicle), respectively.

The increase in mean non-exchangeable K content due to application of potassic fertilizers was not significant and ranged from 984.96 to 1007.64 mg kg⁻¹ in NP(-K) and the

treatment receiving potassium in two splits (50% basal + 50% tillering), respectively.

Verma *et al.*, 1994 reported that the dominance of nonexchangeable K in the soils can be ascribed to abundance of K-fixing minerals such as illite and chlorite. Since water soluble K is subjected to removal by crops as well as prone to leaching under the influence of high rainfall intensity, its contents in present soil were the lowest among all the three fractions

Lattice and Total K

The changes in lattice and total K in post-harvest soil was

non-significantly affected by variety and application of potassium and interaction between variety and split application of potassium (Table 3). The average lattice K content varied from 13414.6 to 13719.2 mg kg⁻¹ in treatment receiving NP (-K) and three split applications of K (50% basal + 25% tillering + 25% panicle), respectively.

The average total K content in post-harvest soil due to application of potassium varied from 14399.6 to 14726.7 mg kg⁻¹ in the treatment receiving NP(-K) and K in three splits (50% basal + 25% tillering + 25% panicle), respectively. Bharat (2002)^[1] reported that lattice and total K in calcareous soil at Pusa, Bihar 5799 to 9243 and 12199 to 13599 mg kg⁻¹ respectively under different cropping system.

Table 2: Effect of K fertilization on exchangeable and non-exchangeable K (mg kg⁻¹) in Post-harvest soil

Treatment	Exchangeable K			Non-Exchangeable K		
	Inbred	Hybrid	Mean	Inbred	Hybrid	Mean
Control	60.67	60.20	60.43	988.08	983.76	985.92
NP(-K)	59.67	60.56	60.12	988.56	981.36	984.96
NP + K 100% Basal	61.29	60.88	61.08	997.92	990.96	994.44
NP + K (50% Basal + 50% Tillering)	61.01	62.41	61.71	1006.56	1005.60	1006.08
NP + K (50% Basal + 50% Panicle)	61.42	63.98	62.70	1008.72	1006.56	1007.64
NP + K (50% Basal + 25% Tillering + 25% Panicle)	62.50	64.12	63.31	1010.16	1004.88	1007.52
Mean	61.09	62.02		1000.00	995.52	
	$SE(m) \pm$	CD (P=0.05)	CV	$SE(m) \pm$	CD (P=0.05)	CV
V	0.83	NS		14.99	NS	
К	2.79	NS	11.11	36.33	NS	8.92
V X K	3.94	NS		51.38	NS	

Table 3: Effect of K fertilization on lattice and total K (mg kg⁻¹) in post-harvest soil

Treatment		Lattice K		Total K			
	Inbred	Hybrid	Mean	Inbred	Hybrid	Mean	
Control	13427.75	13427.91	13427.83	14415.83	14411.67	14413.75	
NP(-K)	13418.11	13411.14	13414.62	14406.67	14392.50	14399.58	
NP + K 100% Basal	13699.58	13654.87	13677.23	14697.50	14645.83	14671.67	
NP + K (50% Basal + 50% Tillering)	13773.44	13651.07	13712.25	14780.00	14656.67	14718.33	
NP + K (50% Basal + 50% Panicle)	13739.61	13685.11	13712.36	14748.33	14691.67	14720.00	
NP + K (50% Basal + 25% Tillering + 25% Panicle)	13734.84	13703.45	13719.15	14745.00	14708.33	14726.67	
Mean	13632.22	13588.92		14632.22	14584.44		
	$SE(m) \pm$	CD (P=0.05)	CV	$SE(m) \pm$	CD (P=0.05)	CV	
V	90.92	NS		99.31	NS		
K	386.38	NS	6.95	382.06	NS	6.41	
VXK	546.42	NS		540.32	NS		

V= Variety, K= Potassium

Conclusion

Availability of water soluble potassium increases with split application of potassic fertilizer.

References

- 1. Bharat V. Vertical distribution of forms of potassium in calciorthent under some cropping systems. M.sc. (Thesis). Rajendra Agric. Univ. Pusa, Bihar, 2002.
- 2. FAO. FAO Statistical data, 2017. http://stat.www.faostat.org.in
- Hanway J, Heidal H. Soil analysis method used in Lowa State College Soil Testing Laboratory Lawa Agri. 1952; 57:1-31.
- 4. Horra AM, Erron D, Jimenez MP, Conti M. Effect of potassium fertilizers on quantity-intensity parameters in some Argentine soils. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 1998; 29:671-680.
- 5. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. Printice Hall, New Delhi, 1973.
- Martin HW, Sparks DL. On the behavior of nonexchangeable potassium in soils. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 1985; 16:133-162.
- Mehdi SM, Sarfraz M, Shah SAA. Response of Wheat to Applied Supplemental Potassium in Saline Sodic Soil. J of Bio. Sci. 2007; 7:823-826.
- 8. Pettigrew WT. Potassium influences on yield and quality production for maize, wheat, soybean and cotton. Physiol. Plant. 2008; 133:670-681.

- 9. Sharma S, Chander G, Verma TS, Verma S. Soil potassium fractions in rice wheat cropping system after twelve years of Lantana residue incorporation in a North-East Himalayan Alfisol. J of plant nutrient. 2013; 36:1809-1820.
- Sheoran OP, Tonk DS, Kaushik LS, Hasija RC, Pannu RS. Statistical Software Package for Agricultural Research Workers. Recent Advances in information theory, Statistics & Computer Applications by D.S. Hooda & R.C. Hasija Department of Mathematics Statistics, CCSHAU, Hisar, 1998, 139-143. (http://14.139.232.166/opstat/default.asp)
- 11. Thippeswamy HM. Dynamics of potassium and crop response studies in selected soil series of Alfisols of Karnataka. *Ph.D.* (*Agri.*) *Thesis*, Univ. Agric. Sci. Bangalore, 1995.
- Tuong TP, Bouman BAM. Rice production in waterscarce environments. Proceedings of the water productivity workshop, 12–14 November 2001. International Water Management Institute (IWMI), Sri Lanka, 2002.
- Wani JA, Malik MA, Dar MA, Farida A, Raina SK. Impact of method of application and concentration of potassium on yield of wheat. Journal of Environmental Biology. 2014; 35:623-626.
- 14. Zorb C, Senbayram M, Peiter E. Potassium in agriculture status and perspectives. J Plant Physiol. 2014; 171:656-669.