# International Journal of Chemical Studies

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 IJCS 2019; 7(1): 1265-1269 © 2019 IJCS Received: 25-11-2018 Accepted: 29-12-2018

#### Karuna C Kurhade

PhD Scholar Student, Department of plant Pathology MPKV, Rahuri, Maharashtra State, India.

#### Dr. RM Gade

Associate Dean and Professor, Vasantrao Naik Agril. Biotechnology Yawatmal, Dr. PDKV. Akola, Maharashtra State, India

#### DN Kshirsagar

Assistant Professor, Department of Plant Pathology, College of Agriculture Kharpudi, Jalna, VNMKV, Parbhani, Maharashtra State, India.

#### Correspondence DN Kshirsagar

Assistant Professor, Department of Plant Pathology, College of Agriculture Kharpudi, Jalna, VNMKV, Parbhani, Maharashtra State, India. E-mail: pathologist10dk@gmail.com

### Effect of pesticide amended soil on population of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* under green house conditions

### Karuna C Kurhade, Dr. RM Gade and DN Kshirsagar

#### Abstract

*Pseudomonas fluorescens* is an important beneficial microorganism that enhances growth of a crop plant and is used as a bioagent. It is ecofriendly and inhabitant of soil, which play an important role in integrated disease management. Isolates of *P. fluorescens* were selected on the basis of morphological and biochemical tests. All isolates were Gram negative and rod shaped. They were positive for arginine dihydrolysis, catalase and H<sub>2</sub>S gas production. Amongst them, four isolates showed their ability to hydrolyze starch, two isolates showed citrate utilization, 13 isolates showed KOH test and 12 isoates showed urease test whereas, four isolates showed oxidase test. Among fifteen isolates, Pf<sub>2</sub> gave maximum growth inhibition of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri* (60.36%) and also of *Rhizoctonia bataticola* (60.50%). Enumeration of *P. fluorescens* population was high in the soil where *P. fluorescens* was added @ 15g/kg in pesticides amended soil. Whereas, *P. fluorescens* population was increased up to 60 days after incubation and decline at 90 days. All pesticides under test were compatible with *P. fluorescens*.

Keywords: Pseudomonas fluorescens, pesticides, fungicides, insecticides, herbicides, antibiotics

#### Introduction

Modern agriculture is highly dependent on chemicals for plant disease, pest and weed management. Residues of these pesticides remain in soil which cause environmental and soil pollution. To slow down the deleterious effect of pesticides in ecology of crops, microbes which have efficiency to utilize these pesticides can be explored and utilize in IDM system. So, it is necessary to have strains of biocontrol agent that are compatible and not much sensitive to chemical pesticides and can be successfully incorporated in integrated disease management (IDM), IPM and weed management programme without any reduction in their antagonistic population as well as virulence. Search for potential biocontrol agents for the management of plant diseases has been intensified in recent years to reduce the dependence on ecologically hazardous chemicals (Pandey et al., 2006)<sup>[22]</sup>. Thus, fluorescent pseudomonas, group of one of Thee promising biocontrol agent play an important role in biocontrol of most soil borne plant pathogens. Many of them promote plant growth by suppressing pathogenic microorganisms, synthesizing growth-stimulating plant hormones and promoting increased plant disease resistance (Choudhary et al., 2009)<sup>[6]</sup>. The idea of combining biocontrol agents (BCA) with pesticides is for the development or establishment of desired microbes in the rhizosphere (Papavizas and Lewis, 1981)<sup>[23]</sup>. Further, the antagonism of BCA was also influenced by the addition of fungicides (Kay and Stewart, 1994; Naar and Kecskes, 1999)<sup>[14,</sup> <sup>20]</sup>. Since pesticides may have deleterious effects on antagonists, an understanding of their effect on antagonists, would provide information on the selection of selective pesticides and pesticides resistant antagonists for compatibility studies. Hence, the present study was therefore undertaken to determine in vitro compatibility of P. fluorescens with the commonly used fungicides, insecticides, herbicides and antibiotics.

#### Materials and Methods Morphological Studies

The confirmation of the *P. fluorescens* isolates was made by streaking pure culture of isolates on King's B medium, separately. The individual colonies were examined for shape, size, pigmentation, and structure of colonies. The Gram reactions of the selected isolates were seen according to Buchanon and Gibbeson (1974)<sup>[5]</sup>.

#### Physiological and biochemical properties

Physiological and biochemical properties of isolates were studied as per methods described in the Practical Bacteriology (Deshpande and Papdiwal, 1979)<sup>[7]</sup>.

### Oxidase test

A well isolated colony was touched and spread on an oxidase disk (Disk contains N, N-dimethyl-p-phenylenediamine oxalate and  $\alpha$ -naphthpol). The reaction was observed within two minutes at 25-30°C. Deep purple blue indicated positive reaction.

#### Arginine test

For Arginine test decarboxylase test media was prepared according to the Fay and Berry (1972)<sup>[8]</sup>. Purple colour indicated positive reaction and yellow colour or no colour change indicated negative reaction.

### Starch hydrolysis

Starch is a complex carbohydrate of the polysaccharide type of hydrolyzed by bacterium. The positive test indicates the presence of amylase enzyme utilized for hydrolysis of starch. Inoculation of the bacteria on the starch agar plates was done and incubated for 2 days. After incubation the plates were flooded with Lugol's iodine solution. Presence of starch hydrolysis was indicated by the appearance of clear reddish zone indicated that starch was partially hydrolyzed to dextrin.

### **Citrate Utilization test**

The citrate test is performed by inoculating the microorganisms into an organic synthetic medium, Simmon's citrate agar, where sodium citrate is the only source of carbon and energy. Bromothymol blue was used as an indicator. When the citrate acid was metabolized, the  $CO_2$  generated and combined with sodium and water to form sodium carbonate an alkaline product, which changed the colour of the indicator from green to blue and this constitutes a positive test.

### Urease test

Urease test was performed by growing the test organisms on urea broth or agar medium containing the pH indicator phenol red (pH 6.8). During incubation microorganisms possessing urease, produced ammonia that raise the pH of the medium/ broth. As the pH becomes higher, the phenol red changes from a yellow colour (pH 6.8) to a red or deep pink (cerise) colour. Failure of the development of a deep pink colour due to no ammonia production is evidence of a lack of urease production by the microorganism.

### **Catalase Test**

This test was used for indicating presence of catalase enzyme. Inoculated the nutrient agar tubes with bacteria and incubated for three days. A bit of growth was removed from the slants and placed on a slide, to which 3% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was added. Appearance of bubbles showed positive test for catalase.

### **Dual culture test**

Antagonistic activity of *P. fluorescens* was examined against fungal plant pathogens i.e. *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri* and *Rhizoctonia bataticola* by dual culture test and inhibition zone was observed after 7 days of incubation at 30<sup>o</sup>C.

# Enumeration of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* population (cfu/g soil) from pesticide amended soil

Carrier based culture of bioagent was prepared by using eight

days old broth cultures in 1:2 proportion of culture and sterile talcum powder. Plastic pots having capacity of 1 kg soil were disinfected with sodium hypochloride. Sterilized soils were inoculated with carried based *P. fluorescens*. Pesticides *viz*. fungicides, insecticides, herbicides and antibiotics were added individually at single concentration in the soil. Carrier based culture of *P. fluorescens* was added @ 10 g/kg and 15g/kg in respective soil. Isolation of *P. fluorescens* was done by serial dilution method on King's B medium (King *et al.*, 1954) <sup>[15]</sup>. Enumeration of population count of *P. fluorescens* was done by observing the bacterial colonies under *in vitro*.

#### **Results and discussion**

## Morphology and staining reaction of fluorescent Pseudomonas

Morphological study was undertaken to identify the bioagent as *P. fluorescens*. Bacterial cells of all fifteen isolates were rod shaped, occurring singly or in pairs and Gram negative. The colonies were irregular and yellow green on King's B medium (King *et al.* 1954)<sup>[15]</sup> (Table 1).

#### Physiological and Biochemical characters

Physiological and biochemical properties of 15 isolates with respect of oxidase test, arginine dihydrolysis, starch hydrolysis, citrate utilization, urease,  $H_2S$  production, catalase and KOH were studied (Table 1).

All isolates were positive for arginine dihydrolysis, catalase and  $H_2S$  gas production. Out of 15 isolates, only four isolates showed their ability to hydrolyze starch, which was evident from the zones formed. Only two isolates showed positive reaction against citrate utilization. 13 isolates showed positive reaction against KOH test and 12 isoates showed positive reaction against urease test. Whereas, four isolates showed positive reaction for oxidase test (Table 1).

All fifteen isolates of Fluorescent Pseudomonas were, rod, gram negative which produced round to irregular colonies with yellowish, dull yellowish and greenish yellowish, water soluble pigment production (Gate, 2009)<sup>[9]</sup>. These isolates were found positive for oxidase, catalase, urease and only three isolates were able to hydrolyse starch (Tiwari and Thrimurthy, 2007; Siddiqui and Shakeel, 2009) [27, 25]. 15 isolates were found capable of H<sub>2</sub>S production (Mahesh, 2007 and Gate, 2009) <sup>[18, 9]</sup> and two were positive to citrate utilization (Shivani Bhatia et al. 2005; Gate, 2009; Nisharani Urkade, 2010; Belkar and Gade, 2012)<sup>[24, 9, 21, 3]</sup>. All isolates were positive for arginine Dihydrolysis activity (Ipper et al. 2005; Yeole and Dube, 2000) <sup>[12]</sup>. The results were also matching with the characteristics published in Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology, 7th edition (Breed et al. 1957)<sup>[4]</sup>.

# Effect of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* on growth of *Fusarium* oxysporum f.sp. Ciceri and Rhizoctonia bataticola

Observations on average colony diameter and percent growth inhibition were recorded. All isolates under test were efficient to check the mycelial growth of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri* and *R. bataticola* (Table 2). Data presented in table 2 indicate that Pf<sub>2</sub> was found effective to arrest the growth of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *Ciceri* (60.36%) whereas isolate Pf9 gave least percent growth inhibition (42.47%) with maximum mycelium growth (51.77mm). In case of *R. bataticola*, Pf2 showed maximum growth inhibition (60.50%) followed by Pf4 (43.70%) and Pf5 (43.70%) whereas, least growth inhibition was found by isolate Pf1 (32.46%) with maximum mycelia growth (60.78mm). Present findings were also in line with Vidyasekaran and Muthamilan (1995) <sup>[28]</sup> who reported that the strain *P. fluorescens* Pf1, Pf27, Pf12 and Pf21 were inhibitory *in vitro* to *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri* with inhibition zone of 41, 41, 35 and 14 mm, respectively. In dual bottom plate's assay, Fluorescent Pseudomonads (FPs) isolates *viz.*, AMET1039, AMET1041, AMET1042, AMET1055 and AMET1064 exhibited maximum mycelial growth inhibition of *Rhizoctonia solani* MML4001 due to the production of HCN (Jayaprakashvel *et al.*, 2010) <sup>[13]</sup>.

# Enumeration of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* population at different intervals from pesticides amended soil $(10^8 \text{cfu/g soil})$

The experiment was conducted to study the enumeration of population of *P. fluorescens* from pesticides amended soil at different intervals *i.e.* 30, 60, and 90 DAI with application of *P. fluorescens* 10 g/kg soil and 15 g/kg soil.

Initially, the population of P. fluorescens was found o decrease at 30<sup>th</sup> day of observation whereas, it was found to increase at 60<sup>th</sup> day when used as 10 g/kg soil and 15 g/kg soil. Among all pesticides, Metalaxyl was better utilized by the P. fluorescens which resulted to get maximum count  $17.97 \times 10^8$  cfu/g soil followed by COC ( $27.33 \times 10^8$  cfu/g soil) and benomyl ( $15.27 \times 10^8$  cfu/g soil) at different levels of application of fungicides amended soil with P. fluorescens applied @ 10 g/kg soil and 15 g/kg soil, respectively (Table 3). This is in accordance with the results of Guang et al. (1999) <sup>[10]</sup> who reported that application of carbendazim in soil enhanced the population of *P. fluorescens* while Mathew (2003)<sup>[19]</sup> reported that *P. fluorescens* was highly compatible with carbendazim and Mancozeb. Suslow and Schroth (1981) <sup>[26]</sup> reported that seed treatment with fungicides used for general disease control had no effect on P. fluorescens survival and benomyl, Thiram, carboxin, oxycrboxin and dizoben did not affect the growth of P. fluorescens in vivo. The results are in agreement with these findings.

In second set of experiment, the tolerance level of *P*. *fluorescens* was tested against insecticides where, maximum

count was recorded in quinolphos  $(12.38 \times 10^8 \text{ cfu/g soil})$ followed by spinosad  $(8.4 \times 10^8 \text{ cfu/g soil})$  and in imidacloprid  $(15.10 \times 10^8 \text{ cfu/g soil})$  followed by emamectin benzoate  $(14.45 \times 10^8 \text{ cfu/g soil})$  after 60 days interval of incubation from insecticides amended soil where *P*. *fluorescens* was incorporated in soil @ 10 g/kg and 15 g/kg soil, respectively (Table 4). According to, Ahemad and Khan (2011) <sup>[1]</sup> *Pseudomonas putida* PS9 grew with the varying concentration of insecticides and showed varying degree of tolerance levels against the tested insecticides might be due to utilization of these insecticides as the only energy source.

Data presented in table 5 indicate that all herbicides *viz.*, imazethapyr, 2, 4-D and pendimethalin were compatible with *P. fluorescens*. Amongst them, maximum count was recorded in 2,4-D *i.e.*  $15.10 \times 10^8$  cfu/g soil and  $15.94 \times 10^8$  cfu/g soil under herbicides amended soil where *P. fluorescens* was incorporated @ 10 g/kg and 15 g/kg soil, respectively. Kumar *et al.*, (1996) <sup>[16]</sup> stated that microorganisms can grow at higher concentration of herbicides. Beethi and Rajendra (2008) <sup>[2]</sup> reported that 2,4-D was compatible with *P. fluorescens* strain IM-4 was capable of degrading imazethapyr as this strain could utilize Imazethpyr as the sole carbon and energy source.

Incorporation of antibiotics in soil showed maximum population of *P. fluorescens* was at 60 DAI. Maximum count was recorded in streptomycin sulphate  $(4.43 \times 10^8 \text{ cfu/g soil})$  and in combination with tetracycline + streptomycin sulphate  $(8.40 \times 10^8 \text{ cfu/g soil})$  @ 10 g/kg soil and 15 g/kg soil *P. fluorescens* in antibiotic amended soil (Table 6). This result also in line with Lindaw *et al.*, (1996)<sup>[17]</sup> who reported that *P. fluorescens* strain A506 appears to be completely compatible with subsequent application of streptomycin sulphate. The aim of the experiment was fulfilled by detecting tolerance level of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* against pesticides which are being commonly used in plant protection system where these microbes can be better utilize to support plant and soil health.

Sr. No.	Characters	Pf <sub>1</sub>	Pf <sub>2</sub>	Pf <sub>3</sub>	Pf <sub>4</sub>	Pf5	Pf <sub>6</sub>	Pf7	Pf <sub>8</sub>	Pf9	Pf <sub>10</sub>	<b>Pf</b> <sub>11</sub>	Pf <sub>12</sub>	Pf <sub>13</sub>	Pf <sub>14</sub>	Pf <sub>15</sub>
	Morphological properties															
1	Shape	Rod	Rod	Rod	Rod	Rod	Rod	Rod	Rod	Rod	Rod	Rod	Rod	Rod	Rod	Rod
2	Pigmentation	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow
3	Gram reaction	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve
	Physiological and Biochemical properties															
4	Starch hydrolysis	+ve	-ve	-ve	+ve	+ve	-ve	+ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve
5	Citrate utilization	+ve	+ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve
6	Catalase activity	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve
7	H <sub>2</sub> S production	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve
8	Urease test	+ve	+ve	+ve	-ve	+ve	+ve	-ve	+ve	+ve	-ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve
9	KOH test	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	-ve	-ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve
10	Arginine dihydrolysis	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve
11	Oxidase test	+ve	+ve	+ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve

Table 1: Morphological and biochemical properties of selected Pseudomonas fluorescens isolates

 Table 2: Efficacy of Pseudomonas fluorescens isolates against Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. Ciceri and Rhizoctonia bataticola

Isolates	Myceliu	Mycelium growth(mm) (7 DAI) G		h inhibition % (7 DAI)
Isolates	FOC	R. bataticola	FOC	R. bataticola
$Pf_1$	46.00	60.78	48.88	32.46
$Pf_2$	35.67	35.55	60.36	60.5
Pf <sub>3</sub>	46.89	52.00	47.9	42.22
$\mathbf{P}\mathbf{f}_4$	40.67	50.67	54.81	43.7
Pf5	45.00	50.67	50.00	43.7
Pf <sub>6</sub>	51.0	51.33	43.33	42.96
Pf7	41.00	90.00	53.71	32.46

Pf <sub>8</sub>	46.11	Sig	48.76	60.5
Pf9	51.77	0.7	42.47	42.22
Control	90.00	3.09		
SE(m)±	0.4	35.55		
CD (P=0.01)	1.57	52.00		

 Table 3: Enumeration of Pseudomonas fluorescens (10g/kg and 15g/kg soil) population at different intervals from fungicides amended soil. (10<sup>8</sup>cfu/g soil)

Tr. No	Treatment	Treatment Concentration		30 DAI		60 DAI		DAI
11. NO	Treatment	Concentration	10g/kg	15g/kg	10g/kg	15g/kg	10g/kg	15g/kg
T1	Metalaxyl	0.1%	2.33	3.03	17.97	15.27	3.47	4.00
T <sub>2</sub>	Mancozeb	0.2%	0	2.73	1.4	2.13	2.17	1.87
T3	Benomyl	0.1%	2.4	2.53	4.87	15.27	2.67	4.07
T <sub>4</sub>	COC	0.2%	1.47	27.33	2.47	13.13	2.73	3.83
T5	Carbendazim	0.1%	1.43	2.47	11.0	3.93	3.93	4.17
T <sub>6</sub>	Thiram	0.2%	1.47	2.43	4.5	6.87	2.37	3.93
<b>T</b> 7	Control		23.67	32.00	37.07	37.50	9.83	11.83
S.E.(m)±			0.06	0.07	0.04	0.11	0.10	0.09
	C.D. (p=0.0	01)	0.27	0.28	0.18	0.48	0.44	0.38

 Table 4: Enumeration of Pseudomonas fluorescens (10g/kg and 15g/kg soil) population at different intervals from insecticides amended soil. (10<sup>8</sup>cfu/g soil)

Tr. No	Treatment	Concentration	30 DAI		60 I	DAI	90 DAI	
11. NO	Treatment	Concentration	10g/kg	15g/kg	10g/kg	15g/kg	10g/kg	15g/kg
T1	Quinolphos	0.1%	3.13	4.70	12.38	11.88	4.85	3.18
T2	Imidacloprid	0.01%	1.8	4.70	8.4	15.10	5.3	2.80
T3	Spinosad	0.02%	1.2	1.95	8.4	3.58	4.23	3.25
<b>T</b> 4	Emamectin Benzoate	0.03%	4.8	9.68	5.8	14.45	5.23	2.40
T5	Control		24.63	30.75	37.25	35.98	9.9	11.50
	S.E.(m)±			0.12	0.11	0.42	0.11	0.09
	C.D. (p=0.01)			0.49	0.48	1.76	0.46	0.39

 Table 5: Enumeration of Pseudomonas fluorescens (10g/kg and 15g/kg soil) population at different intervals from herbicides amended soil. (10<sup>8</sup>Cfu/g soil)

Tr. No	Treatment	ent concentration		30 DAI		DAI	90 DAI	
11. NO	Treatment	concentration	10g/kg	15g/kg	10g/kg	15g/kg	10g/kg	15g/kg
T1	Imazethapyr	0.1%	2.06	3.26	10.9	13.70	4.00	3.02
T <sub>2</sub>	2,4-D	0.2%	15.10	14.30	13.40	15.94	3.82	3.24
T3	Pendimethalin	0.3%	1.94	3.48	3.92	15.12	4.9	2.48
T <sub>4</sub>	Control		24.10	31.36	36.80	37.55	9.94	10.18
	S.E.(m)±			0.11	0.44	0.11	0.1	0.11
	C.D. (p=0.0	0.25	0.45	1.82	0.48	0.42	0.49	

 Table 6: Enumeration of Pseudomonas fluorescens (10g/kg and 15g/kg soil) population at different intervals from antibiotics amended soil. (10<sup>8</sup>cfu/g soil)

Tr. No	Treatment	concentration	30 DAI		60 DAI		90 DAI	
1 f. NO	Treatment	concentration	10g/kg	15g/kg	10g/kg	15g/kg	10g/kg	15g/kg
T1	Tetracycline + streptomycin sulphate	0.01%	2.10	4.03	2.39	8.40	3.20	3.31
T <sub>2</sub>	Streptomycin sulphate	0.01%	2.17	4.86	4.43	2.44	4.27	4.31
T <sub>3</sub>	Control		23.37	31.07	36.86	37.07	9.77	10.79
	S.E.(m)±				0.11	0.12	0.045	0.11
	C.D. (p=0.01)				0.44	049	0.18	0.48

### Acknowledgement

Karuna C Kurhade (PhD scholar student) Department of plant Pathology MPKV, Rahuri-143722 Maharashtra State, India. Email: karunakurhade@gmail.com

Dr RM Gade, (Associate Dean and Professor), Vasantrao Naik Agril. Biotechnology Yawatmal-445001 (Dr PDKV. Akola) Maharashtra State, India. Email: gadermg@gmail.com DN Kshirsagar (Assistant Professor), Department of Plant Pathology, College of Agriculture Kharpudi, Jalna-431213 (VNMKV, Parbhani) Maharashtra State, India. Email: pathologist10dk@gmail.com.

### References

- 1. Ahemad M, Khan MS. Assessment of pesticide tolerance and functional diversity of bacterial strains isolated from rhizosphere of different crops. *Microbiol*. 2011; 1(1):8-19.
- 2. Beethi B, Rajendran MV. Compatibility studies of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria with agricultural chemicals. M.sc. (Ag) thesis, Kerala Agriculture University, Vellanikkara, Thrissur, 2008.
- Belkar YK, Gade RM. Biochemical characterization and growth promotion activities of *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. J Pl. Dis. Sci. 2012; 7(2):170-174.

- Breed RS, Murray EGO, Smith NR. Bergey's manual of determinative bacteriology, 7<sup>th</sup> Ed, edited by Bailliere, Tydell and Cox. London, 1957, 105.
- Buchanan RE, Gibbson NE. Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology, 8th ed. Williams and Wilkins Co. Baltimore, 1974.
- Choudhary DK, Prakash A, Wray V, Joshi BN. Compatibility of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* with fungicides, insecticides and plant products. Curr. Sci. 2009; 97(2):170.
- Deshpande AB, Papdiwal SV. A laboratory course in Bacteriology. University leadership project in Biology. Bot Pub. 1979, 10-32.
- 8. Fay GD, Barry AL. Rapid ornithine decarboxylase test for the identification of Enterobacteriaceae. Applied microbiol. 1972; 23:710-713.
- 9. Gate VL. Characterization of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and siderophore mediated antibiosis against soil borne fungal pathogens. M.Sc. Thesis (Unpub.) Dr. P.D.K.V., Akola (M.S.), 2009.
- Guang NS, Jiang S, Tang S, Nil W, Jiang SG, Tang WH. Positive regulation of *Pseudomonas Fluorescens* by carbendazim and its application in controlling cotton *verticillium* wilt. Acta *Phytophylacica sinica*. 1999; 26:171-176.
- 11. Hung Jijiepan, Bio Liang, Jiquan sun, Yangyang Zhao, Shunpeng Li. Isolation characterization of a strain capable of degrading imazthapyr and its use in degradation of the herbicides in soil. *Curr. Microbiol.* 2009; 59:363-367.
- 12. Ipper NS, Jung Eun Kim, Jun Halk Koo, Jang Hyun Hur, Chun Keun Lim. Inhibitory effect of Korean strain Gpf 01 identified as *Pseudomonas fluorescence* on cucumber mosaic virus. Pl Pathol. J. 2005; 2(3):262-269.
- 13. Jayaprakashvel M, Muthezhilan R, Srinivasan R, Jaffar Hussain A, Gobalakrishnan S, Bhagat Jacky *et al.* Hydrogen cyanide mediated biocontrol potential of *Pseudomonas* sp. Amet1055 isolated from the rhizosphere of coastal sand dune vegetation. Advanced Biotech. 2010; 9(10):39-42.
- Kay SJ, Stewart A. The effect of fungicides on fungal antagonists of onion white rot and selection of dicarboximide-resistant biotypes. Plant Path. 1994; 43:863-871.
- King EO, Ward MK, Raney DE. Two simple media for the demonstration of pyocenin and fluorescin. J Lab. Clin. Med. 1954; 44:301-307.
- Kumar S, Mukerji KG, Lal R. Molecular aspects of pesticides degradation by microorganism. Crit Rev Microbiol. 1996; 22:1-26.
- 17. Lindaw SE, Mc Gourty G, Elkins R. Integration of antibiotics with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and frost injury to pear. Phytopathol. 1996; 86:844-848.
- Mahesh GM. Growth promotion and disease suppression ability of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* on acid lime. M. Sc. Thesis (Unpub) Dr. P. D. K. V. Akola, 2007.
- 19. Mathew AV. *Pseudomonas fluorescens* antagonism compatibility with pesticides and alternate media for mass multiplication, 6th Intl. PGPR Workshop, Calicut, India, 2003, 159-164.
- 20. Naar Z, Keeskes M. Antagonism of *Trichoderma atroviride* and *Trichoderma viride* strains against *Sclerotinia minor* as influenced by mancozeb, benomyl and vinclozolin. Acta Phytopathologica et Entomological Hungarica. 1999; 33:123-130.

- 21. Nisharani Urkade. Efficacy of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* in management of wilt and root rot of Chickpea. M.Sc. Thesis (Unpub.) Dr. P.D.K.V. Akola (M.S.), 2010.
- 22. Pandey KK, Pandey PK, Mishra KK. Bio-efficacy of fungicides against different fungal bioagents for tolerance level and fungistatic behavior. Indian Phytopath. 2006; 59(1):68-71.
- Papavizas GC, Lewis JA. Introduction and augmentation of microbial antagonists for the control of soil borne plant pathogens. In Biological Control in Crop Productibn, G.C. Papavizas (ed.), Allanheld, Osmum, New York, 1981, 305-322.
- 24. Shivani Bhatia, Dubey RC, Maheshwari DK. Enhancement of plant growth and suppression of collar rot of sunflower caused by *Sclerotium rolfsii* through *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. Indian Phytopath. 2005; 58(1):11-24.
- 25. Siddiqui ZA, Shakeel U. Biocontrol of wilt disease complex of pigeonpea (*Cajanus Cajan* L. Millsp.) by isolates of *Pseudomonas sp.* African J of Plant Sci. 2009; 3(1):1-12.
- 26. Suslow TV, Schroth MN. Rhizobacteria of sugarbeet effects of seed application and root colonization on yield. Phytopathol. 1981; 72:199-206.
- 27. Tiwari PK, Thrimurthy VS. Isolation and characterization of the *Pseudomonas fluorescens* from rhizosphere of different crops. J Mycol. Pl. Pathol. 2007; 37(2):231-234.
- 28. Vidhyasekaran P, Muthamilan M. Development of formulation of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* for control of chickpea wilt. Pl. Dis. 1995; 79(8):782-786.