International Journal of Chemical Studies

P-ISSN: 2349–8528
E-ISSN: 2321–4902
IJCS 2019; 7(1): 51-55

Received: 03-11-2018
Accepted: 05-12-2018

**Rajveer Singh Yadav**
Department of Agronomy; SardarVallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, Meerut Uttar Pradesh, India

**Vivek**
Department of Agronomy; SardarVallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India

**Vinod Kumar Tiwari**
Department of Agronomy, Collage of Agriculture, I. G. K. V. Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

**Ashish Dwivedi**
Department of Agronomy; SardarVallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India

**Correspondence**
**Ashish Dwivedi**
Department of Agronomy; SardarVallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh India

# Yield, factor productivity and profitability as influenced by different herbicides in transplanted basmati rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)

**Rajveer Singh Yadav, Vivek, Vinod Kumar Tiwari and Ashish Dwivedi**

**Abstract**
Weeds are the cause of serious concern on yield reduction in rice production worldwide. Losses caused by weeds vary from one country to another country, depending on the predominant weed flora and on the control methods practiced by farmers. Keeping view in mind a field trial was conducted during *kharif* season 2011-12 on sandy loam soil to study the yield, factor productivity and profitability as influenced by different herbicides in transplanted basmati rice at Crop Research Centre, Chirauri of Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture & Technology, Meerut (U.P.). The experiment was conducted in R.B.D with three replications comprising ten treatments of weed management. The results indicated that chemical methods of weed control significantly reduced the total weed population ($m^{-2}$) and weed dry weight ($gm^{-2}$), while increased weed control efficiency effectively over weedy check. The highest number of tillers ($m^{-2}$) at harvest, filled grains $panicle^{-1}$, unfilled grains $panicle^{-1}$, grain yield (q/ha), biological yield (q/ha) and harvest index (%) were recorded with the application of Pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i./ha, which showed its superiority over rest of the herbicides in puddled and unpuddled conditions. The per cent increase in grains and biological yield was 46.1 & 39% and 40.15 & 47.78% as against weedy check in both conditions. The nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium content and uptake in grain and straw by rice crop was also highest under Pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i./ha in puddled and unpuddled conditions. Besides it had highest net return (Rs 65532.24 & 56472.14/ha) and B: C ratio (2. 03 & 2.09) was obtained under the treatment receiving Pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i./ha in puddled and unpuddled conditions, respectively. Thus, application of Pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i./ha proved better to obtained higher yield and also fetches more profit, besides suppressing weed in transplanted basmati rice.

**Keywords:** Factor productivity, Herbicides, Profitability, Weed dynamics and Yield

## Introduction
Rice occupying 163.20 million hectare of area, producing 719.73 million tonnes with an average productivity of 4.41 tonnes $ha^{-1}$. In India, it is cultivated on an area of 42.75 million hectare having annual production about 105.24 million tonnes and productivity of 2.46 tonnes $ha^{-1}$ and contributes to 15% of annual GDP (Anonymous, 2013-14). India's rice demand is estimated to rise to 122 million tons in 2020, which is equivalent to an overall increase of 22% in the next 10 years. Moreover, an idea of the dimensions of the problem about 10 million tonnes (Mt) of rice are lost annually due to weed competition (ZePu Zhang, 2001) [16]; such a quantity of rice is sufficient to feed at least 56 million people for 1 year. Weeds are the major biotic stress in rice production and account for 30 to 40 percent of yield losses (Abeysekera, 2001) [1].

Scented rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) cultivation is emerging as a new economic pursuit for the paddy growers in some localities of Uttar Pradesh. Being a relatively recent introduction into Western Uttar Pradesh, adequate information on the population and weed management aspects of this crop are not locally available. Furthermore, weed competition is severe under scented rice because of early slow growth rates (Chander and Pandey, 2001) [4].

Unlike other cereals crops, rice suffers more from weed competition. The degree of competition and extend of yield loss very with rice culture. It is maximum in direct seeded rice while minimum in transplanted rice. On an average 15 to 20 percent yield is reduced due to weeds in transplanted rice while 30 to 35 percent in direct seeded rice under puddled condition.

In order to formulate an effective schedule for controlling the weeds in rice crop an understanding of nature and magnitude of competition and their effect on various factors of crop growth becomes an essential pre-requisite. Characterization of critical period of crop

weed competition (the period during which the crop is subjected to greatest stress for factors of its growth) is therefore necessary. As already discussed for control of weeds the age-old practices are time consuming and costly. So the only alternative left with us to control weeds is the chemical weed control. The effectiveness of herbicides depends upon the water management of rice fields. Standing water in transplanted rice fields helps to suppress germination and growth of weeds. Therefore, the present study was carried out to investigate yield, factor productivity and profitability as influenced by different herbicides in transplanted basmati rice vis-à-vis sustainability of Basmati rice (Malik *et al*., 2011) [11].

## Materials and Methods

A field experiment was conducted during *kharif* 2012 at crop research centre of Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, Meerut (UP) located at a latitude of 29º 40' North and longitude of 77º 42' East with an elevation of 237 meters above mean sea level. The mean maximum and minimum temperatures of $40^0$ to $45^0$ and $4.2^0$c were recorded in the month of June and January, respectively. The mean annual rainfall during crop period was 815 mm (75-80% of which is received during July to September) and average relative humility varied in between 69 to 83% throughout the year. The experimental field was well drained, sandy loam in texture (46.2% sand, 18.4% silt and 17.4% clay, Bouyoucos hydrometer method) and slightly alkaline in reaction (pH 7.8, Glass electrode pH meter). It was medium in organic carbon (0.50%), available nitrogen (202.6 kg/ha) and available phosphorus (16.6 kg/ha) but high in available potassium (239.0 kg/ha) with an electrical conductivity (1:2, soil: water suspension) and a bulk density of 1.6 dS/m and 1.42 Mg/$m^3$, respectively. All the physic-chemical properties were analyzed as per the slandered procedures given by Jackson, 1973.

The experiment was laid out in randomized block design under thrice replication. The ten treatments of weed management in the study included (weedy in puddled condition, weed free in puddled condition, Pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i. /ha in puddled condition, Oxyfluorfen @ 200 g a.i./ha in puddled condition, Pyrazosulfuron @ 20 g a.i./ha in puddled condition, weedy in unpuddled condition, weed free in unpuddled condition, Pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i. /ha in unpuddled condition, Oxyfluorfen @ 200 g a.i./ha in unpuddled condition and Pyrazosulfuron @ 20 g a.i./ha in unpuddled condition. A uniform dose of 50 kg N, 60 kg $P_2O_5$ and 40 kg $K_2O$/ha as basal through urea, single super phosphate and muriate of potash, respectively and rest of the 50 kg N/ha was top dressed at maximum tillering and panicle initiation in two equal splits through urea. Zinc sulphate was applied at 30 days stage through foliar spray @ 0.5 percent solution with two percent urea. Transplanting was done manually as per treatments using two seedlings $plant^{-1}$. One week after transplanting, gap filling was done from the seedlings of same nursery for maintaining the optimum plant population on 5th July 2012. Uniform irrigation was applied to ensure proper crop establishment. The crop was grown as per recommended package of practices and harvested on 07th November 2012. Observations on the total weed population ($m^{-2}$) and weed dry weight ($gm^{-1}$) at 30 DAT, 60 DAT and at harvest, number of tillers ($m^{-2}$) at harvest, filled grains $panicle^{-1}$, unfilled grains $panicle^{-1}$, grain yield (q/ha), biological yield (q/ha) and harvest index (%). The yield was estimated by the produce obtained from net plot area, treatment wise and finally expressed at 14% moisture. Analysis of plant sample at harvest was carried out for their nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium content and uptake in grain and straw by adopting the standard procedures as described by Jackson (1973) [9]. The data obtained were subjected to statistical analysis as outlined by Gomez and Gomez (1984) [8]. The treatment differences were tested by using "F" test and critical differences (at 5 per cent probability).

## Results and Discussion

### Effect on total weed density, weed dry weight and weed control efficiency

Perusal of data presented in Table 1 revealed tha**t** the different chemicals (Pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i./ha, Oxyflorfen @ 200 g a.i./ha and Pyrazosulfuron @ 20 g a.i./ha) control the total weed population and weed dry weight effectively as compared to unweeded check in both condition puddled and unpuddled but puddled condition has better control performance than unpuddled condition. Significantly the lowest total weed population and weed dry weight recorded under weed free treatment because weed free treatment was kept free of weeds by hand weeding. Highest total weed population and weed dry weight were recorded in unweeded check plots due to un checked growth of weeds which compete for all the resources upto maturity with crop. Pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i./ha proved to be the best treatment among the herbicides. Similar finding was also reported by Malik *et al* 2011 [11]. Among the herbicides the highest weed control efficiency (80.24%) was found with the application of Pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i./ha followed by Oxyflorfen @ 200 g a.i./ha in puddled condition. Simillar trend was also recorded in unpuddled conditions, while in weed free plots it was 100% in both conditions. Similar observations were also recorded by Chopra and Chopra (2003) [5] and Singh *et al*. (2004) [12].

**Table 1:** Total weeds ($m^{-2}$), weed dry weight ($gm^{-2}$) at different stages of crop growth and weed control efficiency (at harvest) as influenced by various treatments

| Treatments | Total weeds ($m^{-2}$) | | | Weed dry weight ($gm^{-2}$) | | | WCE (%) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 30 DAT | 60 DAT | At harvest | 30 DAT | 60 DAT | At harvest | |
| Weedy in puddled condition | 14.88 (220.98) | 15.20 (230.66) | 14.89 (221.33) | 7.24 (51.96) | 11.76 (137.83) | 13.06 (170.10) | 0.00 |
| Weed free puddled conditions | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.00 (0.00) | 100.00 |
| Pretilachlore @ 750 g ai /ha in puddled | 6.07 (36.33) | 6.79 (45.66) | 6.23 (38.33) | 3.46 (11.36) | 5.01 (24.50) | 5.84 (33.60) | 80.24 |
| Oxyfluorfen @ 200 g ai/ha in puddled | 6.76 (45.33) | 7.60 (57.33) | 7.15 (50.66) | 4.11 (16.33) | 6.40 (40.36) | 7.01 (48.66) | 71.39 |
| Pyrazosulfuron @ 20 gai/ha in puddled | 7.47 (55.33) | 8.17 (66.33) | 7.73 (59.33) | 4.48 (19.66) | 7.11 (49.50) | 7.96 (62.80) | 63.08 |
| Weedy in unpuddled condition | 16.27 (264.00) | 16.93 (286.33) | 16.48 (271.33) | 7.49 (55.66) | 12.00 (143.67) | 13.26 (175.33) | 0.00 |
| Weedy free in unpuddled condition | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.00 (0.00) | 100.00 |
| Pretilachlor @ 750 g ai/hain unpuddled | 6.38 (40.33) | 7.10 (49.99) | 6.76 (45.33) | 3.75 (13.50) | 5.46 (29.30) | 6.38 (40.20) | 77.07 |
| Oxyfluorfen @ 200 g ai/ha in unpuddled | 7.32 (52.33) | 8.13 (65.66) | 7.62 (57.66) | 4.33 (18.12) | 6.80 (45.66) | 7.71 (58.68) | 66.53 |
| Pyrazosulfuron @ 20 g ai/ha in unpuddled | 8.11 (65.33) | 9.10 (82.32) | 8.61 (74.66) | 4.96 (24.16) | 7.80 (60.40) | 8.39 (69.80) | 60.18 |
| SEm± | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.14 | 1.40 |
| CD(P=0.05) | 0.34 | 0.39 | 0.34 | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.41 | 4.22 |

Values are square root $(\sqrt{X+0.5})$ transformed ansformed and the actual are given in parenthesis

**Effect on number of tillers ($m^{-2}$), yield attributes and yield**

Perusal of data presented in Table 2 revealed tha**t** maximum number of tillers $m^{-2}$ were recorded under weed free plots at all the stages of crop growth followed by application of pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i./ha due to the less crop weed completion in herbicides treated plots in both conditions puddled and unpuddled. Similar results were also reported by Malik *et al.* (2011) [11]. Moreover, filled grains/panicle and unfilled grains/panicle, differed significantly due to various weed management practices. The filled grains/panicle and unfilled grains/panicle were also boost up significantly when the crop was treated with Pretilachlor @ 750 g/ha as compared to oxyflorfen @ 200 g./ha and pyrazosulfuron @ 20 g a.i./ha in both condition puddled and unpuddled. Similar results were also reported by Kathirvelam and Vaiyapuri (2004) [10]. Due to reduced crop-weed competition and better sink capacity increase in the sink capacity of crop was expressed in terms of, filled and unfilled grains. The yield attributes are decided by genetic makeup of the crop and variety, but the agronomic manipulation also affects them to a great extent. The reproductive growth depends on vegetative growth of plant. More vegetative growth increases the photosynthetic area and supply of photosynthates toward sink which decided the yield attributes and ultimately the yield. The higher values of yield attributes may probably due to increased synthesis and translocation of metabolites for the panicle development and grains formation. Besides, thousand grains weight was also maintained because of high mobilization of photosynthates from source to sink, essential for protein synthesis and carbon assimilation. Similar findings were also reported by Subramanian *et al*. (2006) [13] and Yadav *et al.* (2008) [15].

A cursory glance at the data presented in Table 2 reveals that the maximum grain yield (49.99 q/ha) and biological yield (122.9 q/ha) was observed in weed free plots and it was 50.34% and 51.8% higher than weedy plots in puddled condition whereas in unpuddled condition the maximum grain yield (44.65 q/ha) and biological yield (112.86 q/ha) was observed in weed free plots and it was 47.79% and 49.0% higher than weedy plots. Grain yield recorded in weed free plots was found *at par* with the grain yield recorded in Pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i./ha treated plots. This significant increase in grain yield of rice over weedy check was due to reduced crop weed competition and better sinks capacity brought about by controlling the weeds. Such effects of weed management practices on attributes have also been reported by Dubey *et al.* (2005) [7] and Walia *et al*. (2008) [14]. Furthermore, Harvest index is the ratio of grain and biological yield. From the Table 2 it is clear that harvest index of rice crop was significantly influenced by various herbicidal treatments. The highest harvest index (41.03%) was recorded with the application of Pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i./ha followed by weed free (40.66%) and oxyflorfen @ 200 g a.i./ha (40.56%) over weedy check in puddled condition. This significant increase in harvest index of rice over weedy check was due to reduced crop-weed competition, better sink development and more ability of the plant to convert the dry matter into grain yield brought about by controlling the weeds.

**Table 2:** Number of tillers ($m^{-2}$) at harvest, filled grains $panicle^{-1}$, unfilled grains $panicle^{-1}$, grain yield (q/ha), biological yield (q/ha) and harvest index (%) of crop growth as influenced by various treatments

| Treatments | Number of tillers ($m^{-2}$) | Filled grains $panicle^{-1}$ | Unfilled grains $panicle^{-1}$ | Grain yield (q/ha) | Biological yield (q/ha) | Harvest index (%) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Weedy in puddled condition | 270 | 108.3 | 34 | 33.25 | 85.28 | 38.95 |
| Weed free puddled conditions | 321..0 | 132.6 | 18.2 | 49.99 | 122.9 | 40.66 |
| Pretilachlore @ 750 g ai /ha in puddled | 317 | 128.3 | 19.9 | 48.57 | 118.37 | 41.03 |
| Oxyfluorfen @ 200 g ai/ha in puddled | 313.6 | 124 | 20.8 | 45.96 | 113.44 | 40.56 |
| Pyrazosulfuron @ 20 gai/ha in puddled | 306 | 123 | 21.1 | 43.29 | 109.05 | 39.68 |
| Weedy in unpuddled condition | 269 | 106.3 | 35 | 30.21 | 75.66 | 39.92 |
| Weedy free in unpuddled condition | 320.1 | 131.6 | 19.5 | 44.65 | 112.86 | 40.48 |
| Pretilachlor @ 750 g ai/hain unpuddled | 315 | 126.4 | 20.2 | 42.76 | 109.54 | 40.31 |
| Oxyfluorfen @ 200 g ai/ha in unpuddled | 310.3 | 123.5 | 21.5 | 40.91 | 104.86 | 39.02 |
| Pyrazosulfuron @ 20 g ai/ha in unpuddled | 305 | 121.6 | 22.1 | 38.66 | 100.54 | 39.18 |
| SEm± | 2.4 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 1.25 | 2.97 | 0.39 |
| CD(P=0.05) | 7.3 | 5.7 | 2.4 | 3.74 | 8.9 | 1.19 |

**Effect on nutrients content and uptake**

Statistically analyzed data presented in Table 3 revealed that the uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium in grains and straw is a product of their nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium contents with respective dry matter yield. Significantly higher content and uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium were recorded with the application of Pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i./ha. The higher content and uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium with Pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i./ha and weed free treatments might be due to (i) increased supply of most essential nutrients directly to the crop (ii) indirectly through checking the loss of nutrients, and (iii) increasing the nutrient use efficiency. This results in improved growth parameters, more yield and higher nutrient concentration than weedy check. These findings confirm the results of Deepa and Jaykumar (2008) [6] and Barbar and Velayutham (2012) [3].

**Table 3:** Nutrient content (%) and uptake (kg ha$^{-1}$) in rice grains and rice straw as influenced by various herbicidal treatments

| Treatments | Nutrient content (%) | | | | | | Nutrient uptake (kg ha$^{-1}$) | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Nitrogen | | Phosphorus | | Potassium | | Nitrogen | | Phosphorus | | Potassium | |
| | Grains | Straw | Grains | Straw | Grains | Straw | Grains | Straw | Grains | Straw | Grains | Straw |
| Weedy in puddled condition | 1.246 | 0.462 | 0.333 | 0.143 | 0.304 | 1.291 | 41.45 | 24.06 | 11.1 | 7.47 | 10.14 | 67.21 |
| Weed free puddled conditions | 1.294 | 0.627 | 0.393 | 0.181 | 0.349 | 1.564 | 64.66 | 45.76 | 19.68 | 13.23 | 17.47 | 114.08 |
| Pretilachlore @ 750 g ai /ha in puddled | 1.276 | 0.612 | 0.381 | 0.166 | 0.337 | 1.551 | 61.98 | 42.7 | 18.49 | 11.57 | 16.34 | 108.22 |
| Oxyfluorfen @ 200 g ai/ha in puddled | 1.267 | 0.602 | 0.375 | 0.158 | 0.324 | 1.534 | 58.24 | 40.66 | 17.25 | 10.7 | 14.91 | 103.58 |
| Pyrazosulfuron @ 20 gai/ha in puddled | 1.264 | 0.59 | 0.359 | 0.153 | 0.316 | 1.434 | 54.68 | 38.78 | 15.57 | 10.08 | 13.7 | 94.33 |
| Weedy in unpuddled condition | 1.241 | 0.442 | 0.315 | 0.134 | 0.275 | 1.242 | 37.51 | 20.07 | 9.54 | 6.11 | 8.29 | 56.48 |
| Weedy free in unpuddled condition | 1.287 | 0.619 | 0.386 | 0.173 | 0.342 | 1.557 | 58.71 | 41.62 | 17.59 | 11.66 | 15.64 | 104.72 |
| Pretilachlor @ 750 g ai/hain unpuddled | 1.272 | 0.605 | 0.378 | 0.162 | 0.329 | 1.543 | 54.36 | 40.45 | 16.19 | 10.09 | 14.79 | 103.19 |
| Oxyfluorfen @ 200 g ai/ha in unpuddled | 1.265 | 0.594 | 0.369 | 0.155 | 0.321 | 1.448 | 51.77 | 37.98 | 15.11 | 9.92 | 13.15 | 92.61 |
| Pyrazosulfuron @ 20 g ai/ha in unpuddled | 1.26 | 0.588 | 0.351 | 0.145 | 0.309 | 1.385 | 49.65 | 35.96 | 13.85 | 8.88 | 12.19 | 84.65 |
| SEm± | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.007 | 1.45 | 1.24 | 0.44 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 2.9 |
| CD(P=0.05) | 0.015 | 0.013 | 0.011 | 0.009 | 0.011 | 0.02 | 4.36 | 3.73 | 1.33 | 1.13 | 1.18 | 8.71 |

## Economics

Figure 1 and 2 revealed that under weed management practices in puddled as well as unpuddled conditions the highest cost of cultivation (Rs 32729.26 & 31229.26/ha) was recorded under weed free plot due to higher labour charge, on the other hand the lowest cost (Rs 27729.26 & 26229.26/ha) of cultivation was observed in weedy check treatment in puddled and unpuddled conditions. However, the highest gross return (Rs 96962.10 & 86874.80/ha) was recorded in weed free treatment and higher net return (Rs 65532.24 & 56472.14/ha) was obtained under the treatment receiving Pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i. /ha. Similar results were also reported by Deepa and Jaykumar (2008) [6]. Among the weed management practices highest value of B: C ratio (2. 03 & 2.09) was recorded under Pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i./ha due to higher grain and straw yield production under this treatment in puddled and unpuddled conditions.




**Fig 1:** Cost of cultivation, gross returns and net returns as influenced by various treatments




**Fig 2:** Benefit Cost ratio as influenced by various treatments

## Conclusion

Based on the finding of the present study suggest that the application of Pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i./ha proved better to obtained higher yield and also fetches more profit, besides suppressing weed in transplanted basmati rice in puddled and unpuddled conditions over all other herbicidal treatments due to its broad spectrum nature of controlling weeds in the sandy loam soil of Western Uttar Pradesh.

## References


1. Abeysekera. Management of Echinochloa spp. in rice in Sri Lanka. Paper presented at the FAO workshop on Echinochloa spp. control, Beijing, China, 2001, 13.
2. Anonymous. 2013-14. Agricultural statistics at a glance, Ministry of Agriculture. www.cimmet.nic.in. Accessed on March 1, 2014, 46-53.
3. Babar SR, Velayutham A. Influence of establishment methods and weed management practices on nutrient removal by weeds and uptake by rice in puddled lowland. Madras Agricultural Journal. 2012; 99(7/9):496-499.
4. Chander, S, Pandey J. Effect of rice culture, nitrogen and weed control on nitrogen competition between scented rice and weeds. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2001; 46:68-74.
5. Chopra NK, Chopra N. Effect of doses and stages of application of Pyrazosulfuron-ethyl on weeds in transplanted rice. Indian J of Weed Sci. 2003; 35(1 & 2):27-29.
6. Deepa S, Jayakumar R. Studies on uptake of N, P and K as influenced by different rates (doses) of Pretilachlor in transplanted rice. Madras Agricultural Journal. 2008; 95(7/12):333-3386.
7. Dubey RP, Moorthy BTS, Gogoi AK. Bio-efficacy of Acetachlor +bensulfuron-methyl against weeds in transplanted rice. Indian J of Weed Sci. 2005; 37(3& 4):265-266.
8. Gomez KA, Gomes AA. Statistical procedures for Agricultural Research.Pb. John Wiley and Sons, 2nd edn., New York, 1984.
9. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis.Prentice Hall of India Pvt.Ltd. New Delhi, 1973.
10. Kathirvelan P, Vaiyapuri V. Effect of Pretilachlor alone and in combination with 2, 4–D on weeds and grain yield of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Indian J of Weed Sci. 2004; 36(3 &4):267-268.
11. Malik S, Singh VP, Singh SP, Dhyani VC, Singh MK, Neeta Tripathi *et al*. Efficacy of penoxsulam against weed complex, crop growth and yield in transplanted rice. Pantnagar Journal of Research. 2011; 9(1):141-144.
12. Singh G, Singh VP, Singh M. Effect of Almix and Butachlor alone and in combination on transplanted rice and associated weeds. Indian J of Weed Science 2004; 36(1&2):64-67.

13. Subramanian E, Martin G James, Balasubramanian R. Effect of integrated weed management practices on growth and yield of wet-seeded rice (*Oryza sativa*) and their residual effect on succeeding pulse crop. Indian J of Agron. 2006; 51(2):93-96.
14. Walia US, Singh O, Nayyar S, Sindhu V. Performance of post-emergence application of Bispyribac in dry-seeded rice. Indian J of Weed Science. 2008; 40(3&4):157-160.
15. Yadav DB, Singh S, Yadav A. Evaluation of Azimsulfuron and Metsulfuron-methyl alone and in combination for weed control in transplanted rice. Indian J of Weed Sci. 2008; 40(1&2):16-20.
16. Ze Pu Zhang. Weed management in rice in China. Summary presented at FAO Workshop on Echinochloa spp. Control, Beijing, China, 27 May, 2001.