



P-ISSN: 2349-8528  
 E-ISSN: 2321-4902  
 IJCS 2018; 6(6): 1370-1373  
 © 2018 IJCS  
 Received: 05-09-2018  
 Accepted: 10-10-2018

**Abhimanyu Yadav**  
 Department of Soil Science &  
 Agril. Chemistry, P. G. College,  
 Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh, India

**Adesh Kumar**  
 Department of Soil Science &  
 Agril. Chemistry, NDUAT,  
 Faizabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

**Lalit Krishna Yadav**  
 Department of Soil Science &  
 Agril. Chemistry, CSAUAT,  
 Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

**Pramod Kumar**  
 Department of Agronomy,  
 CSAUAT, Kanpur, Uttar  
 Pradesh, India

**Ashok Kumar**  
 Department of Soil Science &  
 Agril. Chemistry, CSAUAT,  
 Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

**Awadhesh Kumar Singh**  
 Department of Soil Science &  
 Agril. Chemistry, P. G. College,  
 Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh, India

**NK Arora**  
 Division of Soil and Crop  
 Management, CSSRI, Karnal,  
 Haryana, India

**Correspondence**  
**Abhimanyu Yadav**  
 Department of Soil Science &  
 Agril. Chemistry, P. G. College,  
 Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh, India

## International Journal of Chemical Studies

# Evaluation of integrated nutrient management in respect to yield, microbial population, nutrient content and uptake by wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under eastern Uttar Pradesh

**Abhimanyu Yadav, Adesh Kumar, Lalit Krishna Yadav, Pramod Kumar, Ashok Kumar, Awadhesh Kumar Singh and NK Arora**

### Abstract

Field experiment was carried out in randomized block design with three replications and the treatment consisted of T<sub>1</sub>- control, T<sub>2</sub>- 100% RDF (120:60:40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N: P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>: K<sub>2</sub>O); T<sub>3</sub>- 75% RDF, T<sub>4</sub>- 75 % RDF + 25 % N-FYM, T<sub>5</sub>- 75% RDF+ Bio-fertilizer (*Azotobacter*); T<sub>6</sub>- 50% RDF + 50 % N-FYM, T<sub>7</sub>- (50 % RDF + 50 % N-FYM + PSB) and T<sub>8</sub>- 50 % RDF + *Azotobacter* + PSB. The treatment effect was compared based on yield, soil microbial population, nutrient content, protein content and nutrient uptake by wheat crop. The grain and straw yield (q ha<sup>-1</sup>), soil microbial population, nutrient content, protein content and uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium were maximum under T<sub>7</sub>, which was at par with T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>4</sub> and T<sub>6</sub> and significantly superior over T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>8</sub>.

The maximum grain and straw yield of wheat (41.90 & 60.65 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with T<sub>7</sub> which was significantly higher over than T<sub>1</sub> (17.90 & 26.5 q ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>3</sub> (32.84 & 49.09 q ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>5</sub> (35.64 & 53.0 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>8</sub> (34.80 & 51.75 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) while at par with T<sub>2</sub> (41.60 & 60.33 q ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>4</sub> (39.55 & 57.0 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>6</sub> (39.88 & 57.15 q ha<sup>-1</sup>). Maximum number of bacteria (39.38 X 10<sup>7</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> soil) was recorded in T<sub>7</sub> (50 % RDF + 50 % N-FYM + PSB) which was statistically at par with value recorded in T<sub>6</sub> (50 % RDF + 50 % N-FYM). Treatment T<sub>7</sub> and T<sub>6</sub> were found significantly higher over rest of treatments while the minimum number (6.21 X 10<sup>7</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> soil) of bacteria was recorded under treatment T<sub>1</sub> (control). Similar trend also reported in the population of fungi and Actinomycetes in the soil after harvest of wheat crop. The maximum NPK content in grain (1.82, 0.50 and 0.54 %) and in straw (0.55, 0.13 and 1.52 %) was observed under treatment T<sub>7</sub> (50 % RDF + 50 % N-FYM + PSB) and minimum NPK content in straw (1.53, 0.43 and 0.50 %) and in straw (0.49, 0.10 and 1.43 %) was observed under treatment T<sub>1</sub> (control). The maximum protein content (11.38 %) was observed in treatment T<sub>7</sub> (50% RDF + 50% N-FYM + PSB) which was statistically at par with treatments T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>6</sub> and significantly superior over treatments T<sub>1</sub> (control), T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>8</sub> while the minimum protein content (9.56 %) was recorded under treatment T<sub>1</sub> (control). The maximum uptake of N (110.12 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), P (28.83 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and K (114.81 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were observed under treatment T<sub>7</sub> (50 % RDF + 50 % N-FYM + PSB), While minimum uptake of N (40.37 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), P (10.35 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and K (46.85 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were observed under T<sub>1</sub> (Control).

**Keywords:** wheat, yield, FYM, PSB, azotobacter and RDF etc

### Introduction

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is the first important strategic cereal crop for the majority of world's populations. It is the most important staple food of the world. It exceeds in acreage and production every other grain crop (including rice and maize) and is therefore, the most important cereal grain of the world, which is cultivated over a wide range of climatic conditions. India has achieved self-sufficiency in food production at present, but the realistic demand for food has been estimated at 301.08 million tons for 2020, which will reach 330.18 million tons by the year 2030 (Goyal and Singh 2002). About 91% of the total wheat production is contributed by northern states. India is one of the principal wheat producing and consuming country in the world.

Adoption of intensive cropping system will meet the food demands of increasing population, requires high input energy, which are not only responsible for environment degradation but also increased the cost of cultivation. The manufacture of chemical fertilizer is highly cost effective and depends on non-renewable fossil fuel that is in acute shortage. To compensate the supply and recent price hike in inorganic fertilizers,

use of indigenous sources like farm yard manure, *Azotobacter* and PSB play a vital role in maintaining or improving soil fertility. Therefore, Integrated Nutrient Management favorably affect the physical, chemical and biological environment of soil. Integrated nutrient supply involving conjunctive use of fertilizers and organic source of nutrients (Roy, 1992)<sup>[9]</sup> assume greater significance.

Use of farm yard manure (FYM) should be encouraged availability plant nutrient, improve the physical, chemical and biological properties of the soil and thereby increase the fertility and productivity of the soil. Integrated use of FYM and inorganic N, productivity and monetary returns of wheat can be increased by maintaining or improving soil fertility (Sharma *et al.*, 2007)<sup>[10]</sup>.

It has been recognized that the soil contain free living bacteria which are capable of fixing nitrogen non-symbiotically. The beneficial effect of *Azotobacter* on plant is associated not only with the process of nitrogen fixation and improved nutrition of plants but also with synthesis of complex biologically active compounds such as nicotinic acid, pantothenic acid, pyridoxine, biotin, gibberellins and other compounds which stimulate the germination of seeds and accelerate the plant growth under favourable environmental conditions (Mishustin, 1970)<sup>[5]</sup>. Soil also contain some specific group of soil micro-organisms which increase the availability of phosphate to plants, not only by mineralizing organic phosphorus compounds but also by rendering inorganic phosphorus compounds more available to plant (Arora and Gaur, 1979)<sup>[11]</sup>.

Nitrogen is one of the most important elements as well as expensive input in agricultural production. It is a major component of proteins, hormones, chlorophyll, vitamins and enzymes essential for plant life. Phosphorus is also equally necessary element for seed germination, photosynthesis, protein formation and almost all aspects of growth and metabolism in plants. Potassium plays an important role in sugar translocation, disease and drought resistant in plants. It also helps in quality grain production.

## Materials and Methods

A field experiment was conducted during winter season of 2014-15 in silt loam soil at instructional farm of Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and technology Kumarganj, Faizabad (26.470 N and 82.120 E). Initial soil characteristics (0-15cm) of the experimental soil were pH 8.25 (1:2.5 soil and water suspension), electrical conductivity 0.35 dSm<sup>-1</sup> organic carbon 4.40 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, available N kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, available P 12 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, available K 240 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The treatment consisted of T<sub>1</sub>- control, T<sub>2</sub>- 100% RDF (120:60:40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N: P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>: K<sub>2</sub>O); T<sub>3</sub>- 75% RDF, T<sub>4</sub>- 75 % RDF + 25 % N-FYM, T<sub>5</sub>- 75% RDF+ Bio-fertilizer (*Azotobacter*); T<sub>6</sub>- 50% RDF + 50 % N-FYM, T<sub>7</sub>- (50 % RDF + 50 %N-FYM + PSB) and T<sub>8</sub>- 50 %

RDF + *Azotobacter* + PSB. The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design with 3 replications. FYM was applied as per treatment one week prior to pre-sowing irrigation. Wheat crop (CV NW 2036) was sown at proper moisture on first Dec. 2014 at a row spacing of 20 cm. Half of N and full doses of P and K were added at the time of sowing as per treatments. The remaining dose of N was top dressed in two equal splits after 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> irrigation. The sources of N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O were urea, single super phosphate and mutate of potash, respectively. Yield and yield attributes were recorded at harvest. Soil samples collected before sowing and after harvest of wheat were analyzed for pH and EC in 1:2.5 soil water suspension; organic carbon, available N, available P and available K.

## Results and Discussion

**Yield and Harvest index:** Yield is the result of cumulative response of yield contributing characters which are determine from the growth and development traits. The data presented in table-1 revealed that the maximum grain yield of wheat (41.90 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained under T<sub>7</sub>- (50 % RDF + 50 % N-FYM + PSB) treatment which which was statistically at par with T<sub>2</sub>- 100% RDF (46.60 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) T<sub>6</sub>- 50 % RDF + 50 % N-FYM (39.88 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>4</sub>- 75 % RDF + 25 % N-FYM (39.55 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and significantly superior over rest of the treatments. The minimum grain yield (17.90 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) of wheat was found in treatment T<sub>1</sub>-control. The maximum straw yield (60.65 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) of wheat was obtained under the treatment T<sub>7</sub>- (50 % RDF + 50 % N-FYM + PSB) which was significantly superior over all the treatments except T<sub>2</sub>- 100% RDF (60.33 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) T<sub>6</sub>- 50 % RDF + 50 % N-FYM (57.15 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>4</sub>- 75 % RDF + 25 % N-FYM (57.00 q ha<sup>-1</sup>). The minimum straw yield (26.50 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) of wheat was found in treatment T<sub>1</sub>-control.

The higher grain yield may be owing to the application of enough nutrients in combination which resulted to greater availability of essential nutrients to plants, improvement of soil environment which facilitate in better root proliferation leading to higher absorption of water and nutrients and ultimately resulting in higher yield. It is also due to more supply of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, helps in maintaining better source-sink inter relationship by increasing sink capacity by its role in energy transformation. The results corroborated with observations taken by Devi *et al.*, (2011)<sup>[2]</sup> and Patel *et al.*, (2014)<sup>[7]</sup>.

The highest harvest index (41.10 %) was calculated with T<sub>6</sub> while minimum harvest index (38.29 %) with control. The respective values of harvest index were calculated with 40.18 %, 40.08 %, 40.96 %, 40.21 %, 41.10 %, 40.85 % and 40.28 % the treatments T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>7</sub> and T<sub>8</sub>. In general, the values of harvest index were slightly differs under most of the treatments. Harvest index is a function of economic yield to biological yield (Black and Watson, 1960).

**Table 1:** Effect of INM on grain yield, straw yield and harvest index of wheat.

Treatments	Grain yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Straw yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Harvest index (%)
T <sub>1</sub> Control	17.90	26.5	38.288
T <sub>2</sub> 100 % RDF	41.60	60.33	40.182
T <sub>3</sub> 75 % RDF	32.84	49.09	40.083
T <sub>4</sub> 75 % RDF + 25 % N-FYM	39.55	57.00	40.963
T <sub>5</sub> 75 % RDF + <i>Azotobacter</i>	35.64	53.00	40.219
T <sub>6</sub> 50 % RDF + 50 % N-FYM	39.88	57.15	41.101
T <sub>7</sub> 50 % RDF + 50 % N-FYM + PSB	41.90	60.65	40.858
T <sub>8</sub> 50 % RDF + <i>Azotobacter</i> + PSB	34.80	51.75	40.208
SEM±	1.11	2.42	0.61
C.D. (P=0.05)	3.35	7.36	NS

**Number of microbial population in soil ( $\text{g}^{-1}$  soil):** The number of microbial population in soil of experimental field after harvesting of wheat crop presented in Table-2 and showed that number of bacteria increased with increasing organic manure in different treatment combination as compared to control. After harvesting of crop, maximum number of bacteria ( $39.38 \times 10^7 \text{ g}^{-1}$  soil) was recorded in T<sub>7</sub> (50 % RDF + 50 % N-FYM + PSB) which was statistically at par with value recorded in T<sub>6</sub> (50 % RDF + 50 % N-FYM).

Treatment T<sub>7</sub> and T<sub>6</sub> were found significantly higher over rest of treatments while the minimum number ( $6.21 \times 10^7 \text{ g}^{-1}$  soil) of bacteria was recorded under treatment T<sub>1</sub> (control). Similar trend also reported in the population of fungi and Actinomycetes in the soil after harvest of wheat crop. It might be due to increase in organic matter in soil resultant microbial population increased. The results corroborated with the finding of Kumar *et al.*, (2014)<sup>[4]</sup>.

**Table 2:** Effect of INM on number of bacteria, fungi, Actinomycetes ( $\text{g}^{-1}$  soil)

Treatments	No. of bacteria (cfu x $10^7$ )	No. of fungi (cfu x $10^4$ )	No. of Actinomycetes (cfu x $10^5$ )
T <sub>1</sub> Control	6.21	3.18	4.16
T <sub>2</sub> 100 % RDF	8.25	4.85	5.32
T <sub>3</sub> 75 % RDF	9.14	5.38	6.30
T <sub>4</sub> 75 % RDF + 25 % N-FYM	24.65	14.28	16.45
T <sub>5</sub> 75 % RDF + <i>Azotobacter</i>	11.89	7.06	8.19
T <sub>6</sub> 50 % RDF + 50 % N-FYM	38.66	17.60	26.15
T <sub>7</sub> 50 % RDF + 50 % N-FYM + PSB	39.38	18.68	27.22
T <sub>8</sub> 50 % RDF + <i>Azotobacter</i> + PSB	10.12	6.89	8.27
SEm $\pm$	0.45	0.24	0.27
C.D. (P=0.05)	1.38	0.7	0.83

**Table 3:** Effect of INM on N, P, K & Protein (only in grain) content in Wheat grain and straw

Treatments	N content (%)		P content (%)		K content (%)		Protein (%)
	grain	straw	grain	straw	grain	straw	grain
T <sub>1</sub> Control	1.53	0.49	0.43	0.10	0.50	1.43	9.56
T <sub>2</sub> 100 % RDF	1.81	0.55	0.49	0.13	0.53	1.52	11.31
T <sub>3</sub> 75 % RDF	1.61	0.52	0.47	0.12	0.52	1.43	10.06
T <sub>4</sub> 75 % RDF + 25 % N-FYM	1.80	0.54	0.49	0.13	0.53	1.51	11.25
T <sub>5</sub> 75 % RDF + <i>Azotobacter</i>	1.67	0.53	0.47	0.12	0.52	1.45	10.44
T <sub>6</sub> 50 % RDF + 50 % N-FYM	1.80	0.54	0.49	0.12	0.53	1.50	11.25
T <sub>7</sub> 50 % RDF + 50 % N-FYM + PSB	1.82	0.55	0.50	0.13	0.54	1.52	11.38
T <sub>8</sub> 50 % RDF + <i>Azotobacter</i> + PSB	1.62	0.52	0.49	0.12	0.51	1.43	10.13
SEm $\pm$	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.001	0.01	0.03	0.32
C.D. (P=0.05)	0.07	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.09	0.96

**Table 4:** Effect of INM on NPK uptake ( $\text{Kg ha}^{-1}$ ) by wheat crop.

Treatments	N uptake ( $\text{Kg ha}^{-1}$ )	P uptake ( $\text{Kg ha}^{-1}$ )	K uptake ( $\text{Kg ha}^{-1}$ )
T <sub>1</sub> Control	40.37	10.35	46.85
T <sub>2</sub> 100 % RDF	105.48	28.22	113.79
T <sub>3</sub> 75 % RDF	78.40	21.33	87.27
T <sub>4</sub> 75 % RDF + 25 % N-FYM	101.97	26.79	107.03
T <sub>5</sub> 75 % RDF + <i>Azotobacter</i>	87.61	23.11	95.38
T <sub>6</sub> 50 % RDF + 50 % N-FYM	102.65	26.40	106.86
T <sub>7</sub> 50 % RDF + 50 % N-FYM + PSB	110.12	28.83	114.81
T <sub>8</sub> 50 % RDF + <i>Azotobacter</i> + PSB	83.29	23.26	91.75
SEm $\pm$	2.52	0.66	2.32
C.D. (P=0.05)	7.66	2.00	7.05

#### **N, P, K and Protein content in grain and straw of wheat:**

The maximum NPK content in grain (1.82, 0.50 and 0.54 %) and in straw (0.55, 0.13 and 1.52 %) was observed under treatment T<sub>7</sub> (50 % RDF + 50 % N-FYM + PSB) and minimum NPK content in straw (1.53, 0.43 and 0.50 %) and in straw (0.49, 0.10 and 1.43 %) was observed under treatment T<sub>1</sub> (control).

The maximum protein content (11.38 %) was observed in treatment T<sub>7</sub> (50 % RDF + 50 % N-FYM + PSB) which was statistically at par with T<sub>2</sub> (100 % RDF), T<sub>4</sub> (75 % RDF + 25 % N-FYM), T<sub>5</sub> (75 % RDF + *Azotobacter*) and T<sub>6</sub> (50 % RDF + 50 % N-FYM) and significantly superior over treatments T<sub>1</sub> (control), T<sub>3</sub> (75 % RDF) and T<sub>8</sub> (50 % RDF + *Azotobacter* + PSB), while the minimum protein content (9.56 %) was recorded under treatment T<sub>1</sub> (control). These results are in concordance with Ram *et al.*, (2014)<sup>[8]</sup>.

**Uptake of N, P and K:** The nitrogen is mainly responsible for vegetative growth of plants. In all the three macro nutrients, the uptake of potassium was maximum by crop because it involves in translocation process and in a plant its maximum amount was found in wheat straw than seed. Phosphorus is essential for root growth and seed filling process, so its maximum amount was found in wheat seed than straw. The maximum uptake of N ( $110.12 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ), P ( $28.83 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ) and K ( $114.81 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ) were observed under treatment T<sub>7</sub> (50 % RDF + 50 % N-FYM + PSB), While minimum uptake of N ( $40.37 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ), P ( $10.35 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ) and K ( $46.85 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ) were observed under T<sub>1</sub> (Control). The uptake of potassium is more in wheat straw than seed. The phosphorus uptake by crop is less in comparison to other macronutrients due to its low availability in soil. The higher nutrient uptake was mainly due to higher biological (straw +

grain) yield. Pandey *et al.* (2007)<sup>[6]</sup> and Ram *et al.*, (2014)<sup>[8]</sup> also reported similar findings.

### Conclusion

Therefore, based on results obtained in this experiment it can be safely concluded that by use of treatment T<sub>7</sub> (50 % RDF + 50 % N-FYM + PSB) we can get maximum grain and straw yield and check the indiscriminate and imbalanced use of chemical fertilizers. The maximum microbial population, nutrient content, protein content and uptake by crop were achieved under treatment T<sub>7</sub> (50 % RDF + 50 % N-FYM + PSB) followed by treatment T<sub>6</sub> (50 % RDF + 50 % N-FYM). The application of organic manures or biofertilizers along with inorganic fertilizers sustaining soil fertility, productivity and improved soil health.

### References

1. Arora D, Gaur AC. Microbial solubilization of different inorganic phosphate. *Indian Journal Expert Biology*. 1979; 17:1258-61.
2. Devi KN, Singh MS, Singh G, Athokpam HS. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Journal of Crop and Weed*. 2011; 7(2):23-27.
3. Goyal SK, Singh JP. Demand versus supply of food grains in India; Implications to food security. Paper presented at the 13<sup>th</sup> International farm management congress, Wageningen, The Netherlands, 2002.
4. Kumar A, Kumar P, Gera R, Kumar M, Ishmadhu. Effect of integrated nutrient management on crop yield, available nutrient status and microbial status of soil in pearl millet wheat cropping system. *Crop Research*. 2014; 48(1-3):22-26.
5. Mishustin EN. The importance of non-symbiotic nitrogen fixing micro-organisms in agriculture. *Plant and soil*. 1970; 32:545-554.
6. Pandey N, Verma AK, Anurag, Tripathi RS. Integrated nutrient management in transplanted hybrid rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Indian J Agronomy*. 2007; 52(1):40-42.
7. Patel HK, Sadhu AC, Lakum YC, Suthar JV. Response of integrated nutrient management on wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) and its residual effect on succeeding crop. *International J of Agriculture Science & Vet. Med*. 2014; 2(4):46-53.
8. Ram M, Davari MR, Sharma SN. Direct, residual and cumulative effects of organic manures and biofertilizers on yields, NPK uptake, grain quality and economics of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under organic farming of rice-wheat cropping system. *Journal of Organic Systems*. 2014; 9(1):16-30.
9. Roy RN. Integrated Nutrient System, An overview, In fertilizer, organic manure, recyclable waste and biofertilizers, Tandon, H.L.S. (Ed.) *Fertilizer Development and Consultation*, New Delhi, 1992.
10. Sharma AS, Harbir, Nanwal RK. Effect of INM on productivity of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under limited and adequate moisture supply. *Indian J of Agron*. 2007; 52:583-586.