



P-ISSN: 2349-8528

E-ISSN: 2321-4902

IJCS 2018; 6(4): 232-234

© 2018 IJCS

Received: 07-05-2018

Accepted: 10-06-2018

**Maneesh Pandey**Department of Vegetable Science  
NDUA & T, Kumarganj  
Faizabad, Uttar Pradesh, India**GC Yadav**Department of Vegetable Science  
NDUA & T, Kumarganj  
Faizabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

## Heterosis for earliness and yield contributing traits in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.)

**Maneesh Pandey and GC Yadav**

### Abstract

The present investigation was conducted to find out the magnitude of the heterosis in brinjal. Eight parents were crossed in diallel excluding reciprocal to developed 28 crosses. The hybrids along with parents were evaluated in 2 consecutive years. The data were recorded on plant height, number of primary branches per plant, days to 50% flowering, days to first fruit harvest, harvest duration, average fruit weight, marketable fruit yield, which are contributing earliness and yield. For days to 50% flowering, and days to first fruit harvest maximum negative standard heterosis, and heterobeltiosis, were shown by cross combination P<sub>5</sub> x P<sub>7</sub> and P<sub>3</sub> x P<sub>8</sub>, respectively. For days to first fruit harvest, high magnitude of standard heterosis and heterobeltiosis were shown by P<sub>5</sub> x P<sub>7</sub>. For marketable fruit yield, high magnitude of standard heterosis and heterobeltiosis were shown by P<sub>1</sub> x P<sub>8</sub>. The cross combination of P<sub>5</sub> x P<sub>7</sub> and P<sub>2</sub> x P<sub>7</sub> were found promising for earliness and yield. The cross combination utilizing inbred P<sub>1</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>, P<sub>6</sub>, and P<sub>8</sub> were promising, therefore these parental lines could be used for development hybrids for early and high yield.

**Keywords:** Heterosis, heterobeltiosis, brinjal, earliness, yield

### Introduction

Eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L. 2n=24) or brinjal is an important solanaceous vegetable, which is known by various names in India viz., Baigan (Hindi), Badanekai (Kannada), Vangi (Marathi), Kathirikai (Tamil), Vankai (Telugu) and worldwide known as aubergine (France) or guinea squash. Brinjal is one of the most popular and major vegetable crops in India and some other parts of the world. It is a self-pollinated and annual herbaceous crop, probably originated in India and shows secondary diversity in South East Asia. It is being grown extensively in India, Bangladesh, Pakistan, China, Japan, Philippines, France, Italy and U.S.A. In India, brinjal occupies an area of 6.62 lakh ha with annual production of 125.88 lakh metric tonnes & productivity stands at 18.9 metric tons per hectare. In Uttar Pradesh, Agra, Meerut, Lucknow, Kanpur, Aligarh, Chitrakoot and Gorakhpur districts having maximum area and production (Anonymous, 2016) [1].

The heterosis breeding programme mainly depends on the choice of superior parents for hybridization and the knowledge of combining ability and magnitude of gene action involved in the expression of component traits. Among the various mating designs diallel cross techniques have been most frequently used to determine general and specific combining ability variances and their effects in brinjal (Bavage *et al.* 2005; Panda *et al.* 2005; Suneetha *et al.* 2006 & Hazra *et al.* 2010) [4, 11, 13, 7]. Hence this study was conducted to develop brinjal hybrid with early and higher yield.

### Materials and Methods

Field experiment was conducted at (MES) Department of Vegetable Science, N.D. University of Agriculture & Technology, Kumarganj, Faizabad (U.P.) India. The materials for the present investigation comprised of 8 diverse genotypes of brinjal viz.; Siliguri (P<sub>1</sub>), Mukta Kesi (P<sub>2</sub>), Pant Rituraj (P<sub>3</sub>), NDW-White-1 (P<sub>4</sub>), Punjab Sadabahar (P<sub>5</sub>), NDB-S-1-1 (P<sub>6</sub>), KS-224 (P<sub>7</sub>) and NDB-3 (P<sub>8</sub>). The genotypes were crossed in all possible combinations, excluding reciprocals to develop 28 hybrid combinations. The 28 hybrids along with parents were evaluated for two successive years in 2016-17 and 2017-18 *khariif* season in Randomized Block Design with three replications. The crop was planted in rows spaced at 1.5 x 3.0 meters apart with a plant to plant spacing of 75 x 50 (cm). The analysis of heterosis was done over better parent and standard variety for days to 50% flowering, plant height (cm), number of

**Correspondence****Maneesh Pandey**Department of Vegetable Science  
NDUA & T, Kumarganj  
Faizabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

primary branches per plant, 50% flowering, days to first fruit harvest, average fruit weight (g) and marketable fruit yield per plant (kg).

## Results and Discussion

The analysis of variance showed significant differences due to treatments for all the characters except days to 50% flowering, number of primary branches, days to first fruit harvest for parent vs their  $F_1$  hybrids (Table 1) indicating that materials used in this study have good amount of variation. The range of heterosis over better parent varied between -35.48% to 34.81% for plant height, -45.90% to 59.34% for number of primary branches per plant, -11.16 to 39.49% for days to 50% flowering, -19.55% to 55.20% for days to first fruit harvest, -39.24% to 62.36% for average fruit weight and -47.23% to 109.20 marketable fruit per yield. The heterosis over standard variety ranged between -35.48% to 34.81% for plant height, -25.17% to 36.46% for number of primary branches per plant, -54.11% to 50.15% for days to 50% flowering, -19.56% to 51.75% for days to first fruit harvest, -22.63% to 45.56% for average fruit weight and -46.23% to 85.74 marketable fruit per yield (Table 2). For plant height, the highest magnitude of heterosis over better parent was recorded in cross combination  $P_2 \times P_7$  (34.81%) followed by  $P_3 \times P_3$  (31.74%), and  $P_3 \times P_8$  (30.83%). Whereas, cross combination  $P_4 \times P_5$  (60%) was had maximum heterosis followed by  $P_4 \times P_6$  (47.26%) and  $P_3 \times P_8$  (47.03 %) over standard variety in Y1. In Y2, for plant height, the highest magnitude of heterosis over better parent was recorded in cross combination  $P_2 \times P_7$  (37.32%) followed by  $P_1 \times P_2$  (34.68%), and  $P_1 \times P_5$  (33.66%). In Y1, for number of primary branches per plant, out of 28 crosses, best three significant positive and desirable heterosis possessed by crosses  $P_4 \times P_7$  (59.34%) followed by  $P_6 \times P_7$  (43.94%) and  $P_5 \times P_7$  (30.20) over better parent and  $P_4 \times P_7$  (36.46%) followed by  $P_5 \times P_7$  (30.20%) and  $P_3 \times P_4$  (29.25%) over standard variety. In Y2, for number of primary branches per plant, among the cross combinations best three crosses were  $P_5 \times P_8$  (50.15%) followed by  $P_2 \times P_5$  (45.07%) and  $P_2 \times P_8$  (24.27%) over better parent and  $P_3 \times P_4$  (85.58%) followed  $P_2 \times P_5$  (82.48%) and  $P_2 \times P_7$  (70.80%) over standard variety (Das *et al.* 2009) [5]. In Y1 for days of 50% flowering, best three significant positive and desirable heterosis possessed by crosses  $P_2 \times P_5$  (-11.16%) followed by  $P_5 \times P_8$  (-2.93%) and  $P_1 \times P_3$  (-1.95%)

over better parent and  $P_2 \times P_5$  followed by  $P_1 \times P_3$  and  $P_1 \times P_2$  over standard variety. While in Y2, best three crosses were  $P_2 \times P_5$  (-21.22%) followed by  $P_3 \times P_6$  (-8.84%) and  $P_4 \times P_7$  (-5.44%) over better parent and  $P_1 \times P_3$  (-18.23%),  $P_4 \times P_7$  (-13.09%) and  $P_2 \times P_8$  (-12.90%) over standard variety. The maximum heterosis in Y1 recorded for days to first fruit harvest in the cross combination  $P_5 \times P_7$  (-19.55%) followed by  $P_5 \times P_8$  (-12.26%) and  $P_3 \times P_8$  (-8.28%) over better parent and  $P_5 \times P_7$  (-19.56%) followed by  $P_5 \times P_8$  (-8.70%) and  $P_5 \times P_6$  (-6.53%) for over standard variety, whereas in Y2, maximum heterosis was recorded in the cross combination  $P_6 \times P_7$  (-17.30%) followed by  $P_5 \times P_8$  (-9.77%) and  $P_5 \times P_7$  (-9.99 %) over better parent and  $P_6 \times P_8$  (-6.51%) followed by  $P_5 \times P_7$  (-5.88%) and  $P_6 \times P_7$  (-1.90%) for over standard variety (Parmila *et al.* 2017). For average fruit weight, in Y1, the highest heterosis over better parent was recorded in  $P_4 \times P_8$  (62.36%) followed by  $P_6 \times P_8$  (55.27%) and  $P_1 \times P_6$  (48.46%) (Ansari *et al.* (2017) [2], whereas, over standard variety cross combination  $P_3 \times P_5$  (45.56%),  $P_5 \times P_7$  (42.19%) and  $P_1 \times P_6$  (32.96%) exhibited the maximum heterosis. Highest magnitude of heterosis in Y2 for average fruit weight over better parent was recorded in  $P_1 \times P_6$  (74.67%) followed by  $P_6 \times P_8$  (59.48%) and  $P_4 \times P_8$  (49.63%), whereas, over standard variety cross combination  $P_1 \times P_6$  (42.42%),  $P_2 \times P_7$  (37.48%) and  $P_3 \times P_5$  (34.58%) exhibited the maximum heterosis

In Y1, for average marketable fruit yield, the highest heterosis over better parent was recorded in  $P_2 \times P_7$  (109.20%) followed by  $P_2 \times P_6$  (71.67%) and  $P_2 \times P_4$  (55.56%). Whereas, over standard variety cross combination  $P_1 \times P_6$  (85.74%),  $P_1 \times P_8$  (82.55%) and  $P_3 \times P_4$  (73.40%) exhibited the maximum heterosis. In Y2, the highest heterosis over better parent was recorded in  $P_2 \times P_7$  (135.04%) followed by  $P_3 \times P_4$  (100.0%) and  $P_2 \times P_3$  (75.56%). Whereas, over standard variety cross combination  $P_1 \times P_8$  (142.89%),  $P_1 \times P_6$  (132.74%) and  $P_2 \times P_7$  (132.74%) exhibited the maximum heterosis. The results are in conformity with those obtained by (Reddy and Patel 2014 and Baraskar *et al.* 2016) [3]. The present study revealed that the inbred  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ ,  $P_6$ , and  $P_8$  could be used as parental lines for development of hybrid for yield and earliness traits. The  $F_1$  hybrids from the crosses  $P_5 \times P_7$  and  $P_2 \times P_7$  were found promising for earliness and yield. This hybrid may be tested further for earliness and high yielding traits under different agro-climatic conditions before commercialization.

**Table 1:** Analysis of variance of 8 x 8 diallel progenies for six characters in during Bothe the year

Source of variation	Year	DF	Plant height (cm)	Number of Primary branches per plant (cm)	Days to 50% flowering	Days to first fruit harvest	Average fruit weight	Marketable fruit yield per plant(kg)
Replications	Y <sub>1</sub>	2	1.93**	0.17	1.91	6.28	14.57	1.65
	Y <sub>2</sub>	2	3.73	0.20	2.60	12.95	22.76	0.88
Treatments	Y <sub>1</sub>	35	352.60**	5.45**	32.93**	257.01**	2429.73**	7.70**
	Y <sub>2</sub>	35	332.68**	9.75**	37.66**	142.21**	2901.65**	9.26**
Parents	Y <sub>1</sub>	7	399.84**	8.29**	8.58**	10.30*	3304.84**	4.00**
	Y <sub>2</sub>	7	439.48**	10.25**	23.58**	36.08**	5193.14**	4.63**
$F_1$ 's	Y <sub>1</sub>	27	294.94**	4.81**	35.64**	299.10**	1845.42**	6.94**
	Y <sub>2</sub>	27	244.10**	9.97**	40.05**	162.32**	2181.77**	7.69**
P v $F_1$	Y <sub>1</sub>	1	1578.67**	2.98**	130.39**	847.48**	12080.04**	54.01**
	Y <sub>2</sub>	1	1976.59**	0.64	71.70	342.19	6298.05**	83.886**
Error	Y <sub>1</sub>	70	42.62	0.21	1.63	3.65	188.38	1.00
	Y <sub>2</sub>	70	8.175	0.31	13.34	4.19	18.17	3.27

Y1= Kharif 2016-17, Y2= Kharif 2017-18;

**Table 2:** Best crosses selected on the basis of heterosis for six characters

Characters	Seasons	Best three crosses combination over		Range over	
		BP	SV	BP	SV
Plant height (cm)	Y <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>7</sub> , P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>7</sub> , P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>8</sub>	P <sub>4</sub> x P <sub>5</sub> , P <sub>4</sub> x P <sub>6</sub> , P <sub>3</sub> x P <sub>8</sub>	34.81	48.25
	Y <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>7</sub> , P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>2</sub> , P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>5</sub>	P <sub>4</sub> x P <sub>5</sub> , P <sub>3</sub> x P <sub>5</sub> , P <sub>2</sub> x P <sub>7</sub>	47.16	53.02
Number of primary branches per plant	Y <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>4</sub> xP <sub>7</sub> , P <sub>6</sub> xP <sub>7</sub> , P <sub>5</sub> xP <sub>7</sub>	P <sub>4</sub> x P <sub>7</sub> , P <sub>5</sub> x P <sub>7</sub> , P <sub>3</sub> x P <sub>4</sub>	59.34	36.46
	Y <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>5</sub> xP <sub>8</sub> , P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>5</sub> , P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>8</sub>	P <sub>3</sub> x P <sub>4</sub> , P <sub>2</sub> x P <sub>5</sub> , P <sub>2</sub> x P <sub>7</sub>	50.15	85.58
Days to 50% flowering	Y <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>5</sub> , P <sub>5</sub> xP <sub>8</sub> , P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> x P <sub>5</sub> , P <sub>1</sub> x P <sub>3</sub> , P <sub>1</sub> x P <sub>2</sub>	-11.16	-21.22
	Y <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>5</sub> , P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>6</sub> , P <sub>4</sub> xP <sub>7</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> x P <sub>3</sub> , P <sub>4</sub> x P <sub>7</sub> , P <sub>2</sub> x P <sub>8</sub>	-13.55	-18.23
Days to first fruit harvest	Y <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>5</sub> xP <sub>7</sub> , P <sub>5</sub> xP <sub>8</sub> , P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>8</sub>	P <sub>5</sub> x P <sub>7</sub> , P <sub>5</sub> x P <sub>8</sub> , P <sub>5</sub> x P <sub>6</sub>	-19.55	-19.56
	Y <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>6</sub> xP <sub>7</sub> , P <sub>5</sub> xP <sub>8</sub> , P <sub>5</sub> xP <sub>7</sub>	P <sub>6</sub> x P <sub>8</sub> , P <sub>5</sub> x P <sub>7</sub> , P <sub>6</sub> x P <sub>7</sub>	-17.30	-6.51
Average fruit weight	Y <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>4</sub> xP <sub>8</sub> , P <sub>6</sub> xP <sub>8</sub> , P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	P <sub>3</sub> x P <sub>5</sub> , P <sub>5</sub> x P <sub>7</sub> , P <sub>1</sub> x P <sub>6</sub>	62.36	45.56
	Y <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>6</sub> xP <sub>8</sub> , P <sub>4</sub> xP <sub>8</sub> , P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> x P <sub>6</sub> , P <sub>2</sub> x P <sub>7</sub> , P <sub>3</sub> x P <sub>5</sub>	59.48	42.42
Marketable fruit yield per plant(kg)	Y <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>7</sub> , P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>6</sub> , P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>4</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> x P <sub>6</sub> , P <sub>1</sub> x P <sub>8</sub> , P <sub>3</sub> x P <sub>4</sub>	109.20	85.74
	Y <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>7</sub> , P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>4</sub> , P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> x P <sub>8</sub> , P <sub>1</sub> x P <sub>6</sub> , P <sub>2</sub> x P <sub>7</sub>	135.0	142.89

Y<sub>1</sub>= Kharif 2016-17, Y<sub>2</sub>= Kharif 2017-18; BP=Better parent, SV= standard variety

Parent used in this study P<sub>1</sub> =Siliguri, P<sub>2</sub>=Mukta Kesi, P<sub>3</sub>= Pant Rituraj, P<sub>4</sub>= NDW-White-1 (P<sub>5</sub>) = Punjab Sadabahar, (P<sub>6</sub>) = NDB-S-1-1, (P<sub>7</sub>) = KS-224 and (P<sub>8</sub>) =NDB-3

## References

- Anonymous. Indian Horticulture Database. National Horticulture Board, Ministry of agriculture, Government of India, Gurgaon, 2016.
- Ansari AM, Singh YV. Heterosis studies for fruit characters in Brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). Elec. J Plant Breed. 2017; 7(2):197-208.
- Baraskar VV, Dapke JS, Vaidya GB, Vanave PB, Narwade AV, Jadhav BD. Estimation of heterosis for yield and yield attributing traits in kharif brinjal [*Solanum melongena* L.]. Inter. J of Bio. Res. 2016; 1(3):25-29.
- Bavage MS, Madalageri MB, Mulge R. Hybrid performance in round fruited brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). Karna. J Hort. 2005; 1(4):95-97.
- Das S, Manual AB, Hazra P. Study of heterosis in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) for yield attributing traits. J Crop and Weed. 2009; 5(2):25-30.
- Galani SN, Senjaliya HJ, Mungra KS, Gorfad PS. Heterosis for fruit yield and its component traits in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). Tren. In Biosci. 2015; 8(11):2952-2956.
- Hazra P, Sahu PK, Roy U, Dutta R, Roy T, Chattopadhyay A. Heterosis in relation to multivariate genetic divergence in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). Indian J Agric. Sci. 2010; 80(2):119-124.
- Hussain K, Khan SH, Kouser P, Mukhdoomi MI, Afroza Dar B, ZAA Nazir G *et al.* Heterosis studies in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). J Pharmac. And Phytochem. 2018; 7(1):2472-2475.
- Joshi N, Singh YV, Bhushan KB. Heterosis for different quantitative traits in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). Pantna. J Res. 2008; 6(2):266-269.
- Makani AY, Patel AL, Bhatt MM, Patel PC. Heterosis for yield and its contributing attributes in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). The bio scan. 2013; 8(4):1369-1371.
- Panda B, Singh YV, Ram HH. Manifestation of heterosis for certain economic characters in round-fruited brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) under Tarai conditions of Uttaranchal, India. J Applied Hort. (Lucknow). 2005; 7(2):121-123.
- Ramireddy SRKM, Lingaiah HB, Reddy PVK, Naresh P, Kuchi VS. Combining ability studies for yield and yield attributing characters in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). Pl. Archives. 2011; 11(2):849-852.
- Suneetha Y, Kathiria KB. Heterosis for yield, quality and physiological characters in late summer brinjal. J Res. Angrau. 2006; 34(4): 18-24.