



P-ISSN: 2349-8528

E-ISSN: 2321-4902

IJCS 2018; 6(2): 3161-3166

© 2018 IJCS

Received: 26-01-2018

Accepted: 28-02-2018

**T Sivakumar**

Department of plant pathology,  
Faculty of Agriculture,  
Annamalai University,  
Annamalai Nagar, Tamil Nadu,  
India

**P Balabaskar**

Department of plant pathology,  
Faculty of Agriculture,  
Annamalai University,  
Annamalai Nagar, Tamil Nadu,  
India

**K Sanjeev Kumar**

Department of plant pathology,  
Faculty of Agriculture,  
Annamalai University,  
Annamalai Nagar, Tamil Nadu,  
India

## Nematicidal effect of certian bio-agents against root-knot nematode, (*Meloidoygne incognita*) [(kofoid and white) chitwood] on tomato

**T Sivakumar, P Balabaskar and K Sanjeev Kumar**

### Abstract

The results of the gram reaction and biochemical tests for the identification of the native isolates of *P. fluorescens* and *B. cereus* revealed that all the isolates produced similar results with regard to gram staining (negative), motility (positive), starch hydrolysis (negative), gelatin liquefaction (positive), fluorescent pigmentation (positive) and produced IAA, sidrophore and hydrogen cyanide with little variation. Among the isolates pf5 and Bc6 produced maximum quantity of IAA. All isolates of *Paecilomyces lilacinus*, colony characters were observed in similar. The morphological characters of *M. incognita* were observed similar. The culture filtrates of *P. fluorescens*, *B. cereus* and *P. lilacinus* at all conc. caused root-knot nematode mortality and reduced egg hatch count. Maximum inhibition of egg hatch was observed with *P. lilacinus* at 40 per cent conc. followed by *P. fluorescens* and *B. cereus*. The culture filtrate of *P. lilacinus* isolates was found to posses nematicidal action on second stage juveniles (J2) of *M. incognita*.

**Keywords:** Tomato, *P. fluorescens*, *B.cereus*, *P. lilacinus*, *Meloidoygne incognita*

### Introduction

In India, tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.) is severly affected by the root-knot nematode, *Meloidoygne* spp., having a yield loss up to 46% (Bhatti & Jain, 1977; Jain & Bhatti 1978) [4, 14], 39.70% (Reedy 1985a, b) and 61% (Nirmala Devi & Tikoo, 1992) [23]. The root-knot nematode, *Meloidoygne incognita* and *M. javanica* are the most perdominant and widely prevalent species inflicting serious losses in tomato. The chemical means of nematode control such as soil fumigation, application of various nematicides and pesticides ect pose enoromus threat to both soil fauna as well as human being. One of the promising alternatives is the use of some of bio control agents to observe the possibility of ther nematicida\ nematostatic properties for the nematode problem. The present investigation was, therefore, undertaken to highlight the nematicidal properties of some bio-control agents for managing infection caused by root-knot nematode, *Meloidoygne incognita* on tomato.

### Material and Methods

#### Isolation of bacterial antagonists

Rhizosphere colonizing *Pseudomonas* sp. and *Bacillus* sp. were isolated from soil adhering on fresh roots of tomato plants collected from 10 different locations. The strains were isolated from collected soil samples using serial dilution technique. One ml of suspension from 10<sup>-5</sup> and 10<sup>-6</sup> dilution was pipetted out and poured into Petri plate. Later, selective media (King's B for *Pseudomonas* and nutrient agar for *Bacillus*) were poured, rotated and incubated at room temp. 28 ± 2°C for 24 h for the isolation of bacterial antagonists (*Pseudomonas* sp. and *Bacillus* sp.) respectively. Bacterial isolates were identified by morphological characters and gram staining. The isolates of *Pseudomonas* sp. were designated as Pf<sub>1</sub> to Pf<sub>10</sub> and *B. cereus* were designated as Bc<sub>1</sub> to Bc<sub>10</sub>.

#### Biochemical tests for *P. fluorescens* and *B. cereus*

For the identification of *P. fluorescens*, certain biochemical tests were conducted according to Bergey's Manual for Determinative Bacteriology (Breed *et al.*, 1989) [5].

### Correspondence

**T Sivakumar**

Department of plant pathology,  
Faculty of Agriculture,  
Annamalai University,  
Annamalai Nagar, Tamil Nadu,  
India

### Gram Staining

A loopful of bacterial culture was transferred on a clean slide, a smear was made which was air-dried and heat fixed. The smear was flooded for one min. with ammonium oxylate crystal violet. Excess strain was poured off and the slide was washed in a gentle steam of water. Lugol's iodine solution was applied and allowed to remain for one min. decolorized with 95 per cent ethyl alcohol. The smear was washed in gentle stream of water and counter stained with safranin for 30 seconds. The Gram negative cells appeared red in colour and Gram positive cells appeared violet in colour (Cyrrabree and Hindshill, 1975)<sup>[7]</sup>.

### Motility

Semisolid agar medium was prepared and the bacterial isolates were incubated at 30°C for 72 h. and observed for motility. The distance of growth from the point of stab showed motility.

### Starch Hydrolysis

Filter paper was dipped in a day old culture suspension, was placed on Petri dishes containing starch agar medium, and were incubated for two days. The plates were then flooded with one per cent iodine solution. A colourless halo around the growth and blue colour in the rest of the plates showed utilization of starch by the microorganism (Stolpe and Godkeri, 1981)<sup>[32]</sup>.

### Gelatin Liquefaction

Filter paper discs were dipped in a day old culture suspension and were placed on Petri dishes containing gelatin nutrient agar medium. The Petri dishes were incubated at 30°C for two days and then flooded with 12.5 per cent HgCl<sub>2</sub> solution. The development of yellow halo around the growth indicated utilization of gelatin (Stople and Godkeri, 1981)<sup>[32]</sup>.

### Fluorescent Pigment

The test tubes containing sterilized King's B medium were inoculated with the isolate of *Pseudomonas* sp., incubated for five days and observed. Yellowish green fluorescent pigment observed under UV light (366 nm) indicated positive results.

### Estimation of IAA

Indole acetic acid (IAA) in the methanol fraction was determined by employing Salper reagent (Gordon and Paleg, 1957)<sup>[11]</sup>. To 1.5 ml dist. water in a test tube 0.5 ml of methanol residue was mixed, four ml fresh Salper reagent was rapidly added, kept in complete darkness for one h. and read in spectrophotometer at 535 nm. From a standard curve prepared with known conc. of IAA, the quality of IAA in the filtrate was calculated (1 division = 0.307 µg of IAA).

### Production of Siderophore

Effective endophytic *P. fluorescens* isolates were grown in King's B broth for three days at room temperature (28± 3°) centrifuged at 3000 g for ten min. and the supernatants were collected. The pH of the supernatant was adjusted to 2.0 with dilute HCL and equal quantity of ethyl acetate was added in a separating funnel, mixed well and ethyl acetate fraction was collected. Five ml of ethyl acetate fraction was mixed with five ml of Hathway's reagent (1.0 ml of 0.1 M Fe Cls in 0.1 N Hcl to 100 ml dist. water plus 1.0 ml of potassium ferricyanide). The absorbance for dihydroxy phenol was read 700 nm in a spectrophotometer (Reeves *et al.*, 1989). A standard curve was prepared using dihydroxybenzoic acid.

The quantity of siderophore synthesized was expressed as N mol dihydroxybenzoic acid / ml of culture filtrate. Three replications were maintained for each treatment.

### Production of hydrogen cyanide (HCN)

Effective endophytic *P. fluorescens* isolates were grown at 28± 3° on a rotary shaker in Tryptic soya Broth (TSB). Filter paper (what man No.1) was cut into uniform strips of 10 cm long and 0.5 cm wide saturated with alkaline picrate solution and placed inside the conical flasks in a hanging position. After incubation at 28± 3° for 48 hr. the sodium picrate present in the filter paper was reduced to reddish compound in proportion to the amount of hydrocyanic acid evolved. The colour was eluted by placing the filter paper in a clean test tube containing 10 ml of dist. water and absorbance was measured at 625 nm (Sadasivam and Manickam, 1992). Three replications were maintained for each treatment.

### Endospore staining of *Bacillus cereus*

Isolates were smeared on a glass slide, air-dried and heat fixed. Then the smear was flooded with malachite green (5% aqueous). The slide was heated under the steam. It was counterstained with safranin (0.5% aqueous) after washing in tap water. Finally the smear was washed with disti. water and blot dried. The slide was examined microscopically under oil immersion objective (Aneja, 1993)<sup>[1]</sup>.

### *Paecilomyces lilacinus* native isolates

*P. lilacinus* was isolated from soil collected from different location in Tamil Nadu by dilution plate technique (Waksman, 1952)<sup>[34]</sup> using PDA medium. Single colony was selected from the Petri dishes and re-streaked on PDA slants and stored at 4°C for further studies. The isolates were designated as Pl<sub>1</sub> to Pl<sub>10</sub>.

### Root-knot nematode

*Meloidogyne incognita* was isolated from soil and roots collected from Dharmapuri districts of Tamil Nadu. Morphological characters of female, male and juveniles were studied and confirmed with the help of descriptions outlined by Whitehead (1968)<sup>[35]</sup> and Williams (1973)<sup>[36]</sup>.

### *In vitro* efficacy of biocontrol agents against *Meloidogyne incognita*

*P. fluorescens* native isolates were grown on King's B broth, *B. cereus* on NA broth and *P. lilacinus* on PDB in 250 ml conical flask. The flasks were incubated for ten days at 28 ± 2°C for *P. lilacinus* and seven days at 28 ± 2°C for *P. fluorescens*, *B. cereus*. The contents were passed through Whatman No.42 filter paper and filtrates were used within 24 h. Four conc. *viz.*, 10, 20 and 40 of culture filtrates of the antagonists were prepared. Each treatment was replicated four times with a suitable control. About 200 J2 of *M. incognita* were collected from infested tomato plants grown in culture pots. These juveniles (J2) were exposed to filtrate dilutions for 24, 48 and 72 h. in nine cm dia. Petri plates and observations on immobilized/killed nematodes and total larval hatch count were recorded periodically.

### Result and Discussion

#### Biochemical tests for *Pseudomonas fluorescens*

The results of the gram reaction and biochemical tests performed for the identification of the native isolates of *P. fluorescens* designated as Pf<sub>1</sub> to Pf<sub>10</sub> revealed that all the isolates produced similar results with regard to gram staining

(negative), motility (positive), starch hydrolysis (negative), gelatin liquefaction (positive), fluorescent pigmentation (positive) and produced IAA, sidrophore and hydrogen cyanide with little variation. Among the isolates Pf<sub>5</sub> produced maximum quantity (3.5) of IAA followed by Pf<sub>6</sub>, Pf<sub>1</sub> and Pf<sub>2</sub> (3.4, 3.3, and 3.2 respectively) in the decreasing order of merit. The isolate Pf<sub>5</sub> recorded maximum production of sidrophore and HCN). (Table.1)

#### Biochemical test for *Bacillus cereus*

The results of the gram reaction and biochemical performs for the identification of the isolates of *Bacillus cereus* designated as Bc<sub>1</sub> to Bc<sub>10</sub> revealed that all the isolates produced similar results with regard to gram staining (Positive) motility (Positive), starch hydrolysis (Negative), gelatin liquefaction (Positive) Florescent pigmentation (Positive), Endospore (Positive), produced IAA, sidrophore and hydrogen cyanide with slight variation. Among the isolates Bc<sub>6</sub> produced

maximum quantity (2.9) of IAA followed by Bc<sub>4</sub> and Bc<sub>9</sub> in the decreasing order of merit (Table 2).

#### *Paecilomyces lilacinus*

The colony characters of native isolate of *P. lilacinus* revealed (Table 3) fast growth with dull white and powdery texture mycelium. The conidia were hyaline, ovoid to fusoid and formed in long chains. The colony characters of *P. lilacinus* observed in the present study are similar to those reported by the earlier workers (Barnett and Hunter, 1972; Sutton *et al.*, 1998; Lorene, 1995)<sup>[3, 33]</sup>.

#### *Meloidogyne incognita*

The female of *M. incognita* (Table 4.) are endo-parasitic, having spherical body with projecting neck. Males and juveniles are clearly annulated with cone shaped lateral view. *M. incognita* measured an average female length of 618 µm, male length of 1583

**Table 1:** Biochemical test for *Pseudomonas fluorescens*

| S.No | Parameters                           | Isolates of <i>P. fluorescens</i> |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                  |
|------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
|      |                                      | Pf <sub>1</sub>                   | Pf <sub>2</sub> | Pf <sub>3</sub> | Pf <sub>4</sub> | Pf <sub>5</sub> | Pf <sub>6</sub> | Pf <sub>7</sub> | Pf <sub>8</sub> | Pf <sub>9</sub> | Pf <sub>10</sub> |
| 1    | Gram staining                        | negative                          | negative        | negative        | negative        | negative        | negative        | negative        | negative        | negative        | negative         |
| 2    | Motility                             | positive                          | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive         |
| 3    | Starch hydrolysis                    | negative                          | negative        | negative        | negative        | negative        | negative        | negative        | negative        | negative        | negative         |
| 4    | Gelatin liquefaction                 | positive                          | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive         |
| 5    | Fluorescent pigment                  | positive                          | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive         |
| 6    | Estimation of IAA (µg/ml)            | 3.3                               | 3.2             | 3.0             | 3.0             | 3.5             | 3.4             | 3.0             | 3.0             | 3.0             | 3.0              |
| 7    | Sidoerophose production (Hydroxmate) | 0.87                              | 0.82            | 0.82            | 0.84            | 0.84            | 0.86            | 0.84            | 0.85            | 0.82            | 0.82             |
| 8    | Hydrogen cyanide production          | 8.11                              | 7.98            | 7.98            | 8.07            | 8.19            | 8.16            | 8.07            | 8.05            | 7.98            | 7.98             |

**Table 2:** Biochemical test for *Bacillus cereus*

| S.No | Parameters                           | Isolates of <i>B. cereus</i> |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                  |
|------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
|      |                                      | Bc <sub>1</sub>              | Bc <sub>2</sub> | Bc <sub>3</sub> | Bc <sub>4</sub> | Bc <sub>5</sub> | Bc <sub>6</sub> | Bc <sub>7</sub> | Bc <sub>8</sub> | Bc <sub>9</sub> | Bc <sub>10</sub> |
| 1    | Gram staining                        | positive                     | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive         |
| 2    | Motility                             | positive                     | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive         |
| 3    | Starch hydrolysis                    | negative                     | negative        | negative        | negative        | negative        | negative        | negative        | negative        | negative        | negative         |
| 4    | Gelatin liquefaction                 | positive                     | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive         |
| 5    | Fluorescent pigment                  | positive                     | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive         |
| 6    | Estimation of IAA (µg/ml)            | 2.3                          | 2.0             | 2.1             | 2.7             | 2.0             | 2.9             | 2.0             | 2.1             | 2.5             | 2.0              |
| 7    | Sidoerophose production (Hydroxmate) | 0.69                         | 0.67            | 0.62            | 0.71            | 0.60            | 0.76            | 0.60            | 0.62            | 0.67            | 0.63             |
| 8    | Endospore staining                   | positive                     | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive        | positive         |

**Table 3:** Studies on the general character of *Paecilomyces lilacinus* native isolates

| Isolates         | General characters                     |                          | Conidia (µm) |           |
|------------------|--|--------------------------|--------------|-----------|
|                  | Mycelium colour                        | Conidia colour           | Length       | Breath    |
| Pl <sub>1</sub>  | Initially white and became pale yellow | Hyaline                  | 5.6 - 6.2    | 1.9-2.3   |
| Pl <sub>2</sub>  | Hyaline to yellow                      | Hyaline to bright colour | 4.9-6.1      | 1.8-2.2   |
| Pl <sub>3</sub>  | Hyaline                                | Red or Violet colour     | 5.1-6.0      | 1.7-2.1   |
| Pl <sub>4</sub>  | White to Pale yellow                   | Hyaline to dark coloured | 5.1-5.9      | 1.6-2.0   |
| Pl <sub>5</sub>  | Hyaline                                | Hyaline or rarely dark   | 5.5-6.1      | 1.8-2.2   |
| Pl <sub>6</sub>  | Initially white and became pale yellow | Hyaline                  | 5.6-6.2      | 1.9-2.3   |
| Pl <sub>7</sub>  | Hyaline                                | Red or Violet colour     | 5.1-6.0      | 1.7-2.1   |
| Pl <sub>8</sub>  | Hyaline to yellow                      | Hyaline to bright colour | 4.9-6.1      | 1.8-2.2   |
| Pl <sub>9</sub>  | Hyaline                                | Rarely dark colour       | 5.5 - 6.1    | 1.8 - 2.3 |
| Pl <sub>10</sub> | Hyaline to yellow                      | Hyaline or bright colour | 4.9-6.1      | 1.8-2.2   |

**Table 4:** Studies on the general character of *Meloidogyne incognita* native isolates

| Stage    | General characters  | Length (µm)        |
|----------|---|--------------------|
| Female   | Females are endoparasitic, body spherical with projecting neck, posterior cuticular pattern displays with striage closely spaced, very wavy to zig zag especially dorsally and laterally. | 512-725 (618.5)    |
| Male     | Males are clearly annulated cone shaped.  | 1109-1954 (1531.5) |
| Juvenile | Juveniles head not off set truncate cone shape in lateral view and sub-hemispherical in dorso-ventral view  | 338-406 (372)      |

### Mortality and hatching of *M. incognita* *Pseudomonas fluorescens* native isolates

The data presented in table 5 indicated that the culture filtrate of *P. fluorescens* at all the conc. caused root-knot nematode mortality and reduced egg hatch count. Maximum inhibition of egg hatch was observed with isolate Pf<sub>5</sub> of *P. fluorescens* (90.53) at 40 per cent conc. followed by 20 per cent conc. (170.62). It was also observed that with an increase in conc. of culture filtrate, a corresponding decrease in hatch count occurred. The 40 per cent conc. of culture filtrate also exhibited nematicidal action by increasing nematode mortality (61.40 per cent of 72 h. of observation).

### *Bacillus cereus* native isolates

The results of the present study (Table 6) clearly showed that

the culture filtrate of *B. cereus* was found to be toxic to *M. incognita* and mortality has occurred after 24 h exposure. The highest mortality (56.33) was observed after 72 h exposure in 40 per cent conc. of culture filtrate which also recorded a larval hatch count of 95.50. As the exposure time increased, there was an increase in mortality of root-knot nematode.

### *Paecilomyces lilacinus* native isolates

Data depicted in (table 7) indicated that the culture filtrate of *P. lilacinus* isolates at all the conc. exhibited larvicidal effect. The culture filtrate was found to possess nematicidal action on second stage juveniles (J2) of *M. incognita*. The percentage of juvenile mortality increased with increasing exposure time at all conc. of culture filtrates

**Table 5:** Effect of culture filtrates of *P. fluorescens* on mortality and hatching of *Meloidogyne incognita*

| T.No           | Culture filtrate (conc.) | *Per cent nematode mortality after (h) |       |       |       | *Total egg hatch count | Per cent hatch inhibition |
|----------------|--------------------------|--|-------|-------|-------|------------------------|---------------------------|
|                |                          | 24                                     | 48    | 72    | Mean  |                        |                           |
| T <sub>1</sub> | 10                       | 14.16                                  | 19.16 | 29.30 | 20.87 | 398.39                 | 73.38                     |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 20                       | 26.36                                  | 34.23 | 45.33 | 35.31 | 170.62                 | 31.43                     |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 40                       | 37.50                                  | 48.50 | 61.40 | 49.13 | 90.53                  | 16.67                     |
| T <sub>4</sub> | Control                  | 2.26                                   | 2.40  | 2.66  | 2.44  | 542.93                 | -                         |
|                | Mean                     | 20.07                                  | 26.07 | 34.67 | 26.94 | 300.62                 | -                         |
|                | SE (d)                   |  |       |       |       | 3.3648                 | -                         |
|                | CD (1%)                  |  |       |       |       | 11.2905                | -                         |

|         | T     | H     | TH    |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|
| SE (d)  | 1.300 | 1.126 | 2.253 |
| CD (1%) | 3.658 | 3.168 | 6.336 |

\* Mean of four replications

**Table 6:** Effect of culture filtrates of *B. cereus* on mortality and hatching of *Meloidogyne incognita*

| T. No          | Culture filtrate (conc.) | *Per cent nematode mortality after (h) |       |       |       | *Total egg hatch count | Per cent hatch inhibition |
|----------------|--------------------------|--|-------|-------|-------|------------------------|---------------------------|
|                |                          | 24                                     | 48    | 72    | Mean  |                        |                           |
| T <sub>1</sub> | 10                       | 12.46                                  | 16.42 | 25.87 | 18.26 | 400.69                 | 74.01                     |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 20                       | 23.40                                  | 31.48 | 43.47 | 32.79 | 175.51                 | 32.42                     |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 40                       | 33.51                                  | 45.33 | 56.33 | 45.06 | 95.50                  | 17.64                     |
| T <sub>4</sub> | Control                  | 2.29                                   | 2.43  | 2.69  | 2.48  | 541.43                 |                           |
|                | Mean                     | 17.92                                  | 23.92 | 32.09 | 24.64 | 303.28                 |                           |
|                | SE (d)                   |  |       |       |       | 3.5932                 |                           |
|                | CD (1%)                  |  |       |       |       | 12.0569                |                           |

|         | T     | H     | TH    |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|
| SE (d)  | 1.297 | 1.123 | 2.247 |
| CD (1%) | 3.649 | 3.160 | 6.321 |

\* Mean of four replications

**Table 7:** Effect of culture filtrates of *P. lilacinus* on mortality and hatching of

| T. No          | Culture filtrate (conc.) | *Per cent nematode mortality after (h) |       |       |       | *Total egg hatch count | Per cent hatch inhibition |
|----------------|--------------------------|--|-------|-------|-------|------------------------|---------------------------|
|                |                          | 24                                     | 48    | 72    | Mean  |                        |                           |
| T <sub>1</sub> | 10                       | 18.48                                  | 27.70 | 31.00 | 25.73 | 248.47                 | 45.85                     |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 20                       | 32.52                                  | 43.52 | 56.26 | 44.10 | 114.42                 | 21.11                     |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 40                       | 43.42                                  | 55.33 | 78.50 | 59.08 | 48.26                  | 8.90                      |
| T <sub>4</sub> | Control                  | 2.29                                   | 2.41  | 2.68  | 2.46  | 541.97                 |                           |
|                | Mean                     | 24.18                                  | 32.24 | 42.11 | 32.84 | 238.28                 |                           |
|                | SE (d)                   |  |       |       |       | 4.3661                 |                           |
|                | CD (1%)                  |  |       |       |       | 14.6504                |                           |

|         | T     | H     | TH    |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|
| SE (d)  | 1.722 | 1.491 | 2.982 |
| CD (1%) | 4.843 | 4.194 | 8.388 |

\* Mean of four replications

While the hatch count was increased with decreasing conc. of culture filtrate. The fungal filtrates at 40 per cent conc. also exhibited strong nematocidal action.

Nematicidal property of culture filtrates of *P. lilacinus* (Jatala *et al.*, 1981; Zaki, 1994; Oduor and Woudo, 1995; Cayrol *et al.*, 1989; Khan and Goswami, 2000; Goswami *et al.*, 2001; Goswami and Archana Mittal, 2004)<sup>[6, 12]</sup> and *P. fluorescens* (Gokte and Swarup, 1988, Oostendorp and Sikora, 1989; Santhi and Sivakumar, 1995; Seenivasan and Lakshmanan, 2001; Jayakumar *et al.*, 2001; Dietter Haas and Genevieve Defago, 2005; Krishnaveni, 2005; Jonathan *et al.*, 2006)<sup>[10]</sup> and *Bacillus* spp. (Rajendran *et al.*, 2001; Khan *et al.*, 2001) have been reported. These earlier findings are in line with the mortality and hatching of *M. incognita* observed in the present investigation.

However, among the bio control agents tested the native isolate of *P. lilacinus* showed the highest per cent of parasitism against *M. incognita*. The nematocidal action of culture filtrates might be attributed to fungal metabolites or antibiotics produced by *P. lilacinus*. This fungus has been reported to produce peptidal antibiotics viz., lilacin, leucinostatin and paecila toxin (Arai *et al.*, 1973; Mikami *et al.*, 1989). Acetic acid was also identified from culture filtrate of *P. lilacinus*, which affect the movement of nematode (Djiam *et al.*, 1991)<sup>[9]</sup>.

Therefore, nematocidal action of culture filtrates of biocontrol agents may possibly be due to action of antibiotics together with various other metabolic products. It was also observed that isolates of one genus may have differential effect upon larval hatch as seen above. This variation may perhaps be attributed to different levels of toxin production in cultural filtrates of different isolates of antagonistic organisms.

## References

1. Aneja KR. Experiments in microbiology, plant pathology and tissue culture, Wishwa Prakashan Publisher, New Delhi, 1993, 471.
2. Arai M, Mikami V, Fukushima K, Utsumi T, Yazawa K. A new antibiotic leucinostatin derived from *Paecilomyces lilacinus*. *J Antibiot.*, 1973; 26:157-161.
3. Barentt HL, Hunter BB. Illustrated genera of imperfect of fungi. Burgers Publishing Company, Minneapolis, USA, 1972, 90.
4. Bhatti DS, Jain RK. Estimation of loss in okra, tomato and brinjal yield due to *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Indian J Nematol.*, 1977; 7:37-41
5. Breed RS, Murray EG, Smith NR. Bergeys Manual of Determinative Bacteriology, 9<sup>th</sup> ed. William and Wilkins Co., Baltimore, Maryland, 1989, 1094.
6. Cayrol JC, Djian C, Pijorowski I. Studies of the nematocidal properties of the culture filtrates of the nematophagous fungus, *Paecilomyces lilacinus*. *Revuej Nematol.*, 1989; 12:331-336.
7. Cyrobree, Hindshill. Fundamental experiments in microbiology. W.B. Saunders Company, London, 1975, 61-66.
8. Dieter Haas, Genevieve Defago. Biological control of soil-borne pathogens by fluorescent pseudomonads. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 2005.
9. Djiam C, Dijarowski L, Ponchet M, Arpin N, Favrebonin J. Acetic acid: a selective nematocidal metabolite from culture filtrates of *Paecilomyces lilacinus* (Thom) Samson and *Trichoderma longibrachiatum* Rifai. *Nematologica*. 1991; 37:101-112.
10. Gokte N, Swarup G. On the potential of some bacterial biocides against root-knot and cyst nematodes. *Indian J Nematol.* 1988; 18:152-153.
11. Goldon SA, Paleg LG. Quantitative measurement of Indole acetic acid. *Physiol. Plant.* 1957; 10:37-48.
12. Goswami BK, Archana Mittal. Management of root-knot nematode infecting tomato by *Trichoderma viride* and *Paecilomyces lilacinus*. *Indian Phytopath.* 2004; 57:235-236.
13. Goswami BK, Rao V, Singh S. Effect of *Paecilomyces lilacinus* on the mortality and larval hatchability of *Meloidogyne incognita* infecting tomato. *Ann. Agric. Res.*, 2001; 22:110-114.
14. Jain RK, Bhatti DS. Effect of *Meloidogyne javanica* on the yield of brinjal and tomato. *Nematol. Medit.*, 1978; 6:243-246.
15. Jatala D, Salas R, Kaltenbach R, Bocanael M. Multiple application at long-term effect of *Paecilomyces lilacinus* in controlling *M. incognita* under field condition. *J Nematol.*, 1991; 13:445 (Abstr.).
16. Jayakumar J, Rajendran G, ramakrishnan S. Effect of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* on reniform nematode. *Rotylenchulus reniformis* mobility and penetration inside cotton roots (Abstract). Paper presented at National Congress on Centenary of Nematology in India: Appraisal and future Plants held at division of Nematology, Indian Agricultural Research Institute New Delhi. India. 2001, 142-143.
17. Jonathan EI, Sandeep AI, Cannayane and R. Umamaheswari. Bioefficacy of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* on *Meloidogyne incognita* in banana. *Nematologia Mediterranea*. 2006; 34:19-25.
18. Khan ML, Rathi N. Effect of organic amendments and carbofuran on *Meloidogyne incognita* population and yield in tomato. *Indian J Nematol.*, 2001; 31: 83-84.
19. Khan MR, Goswami RK. Effect of different doses of *Paecilomyces lilacinus* isolate 6 on *Meloidogyne incognita* infecting tomato. *Indian J Nematol.*, 2000; 20:5-
20. Krishnaveni Management of spiral nematode *Helicotylenchus multicinctus* (Cobb, 1893) Golden 1956, in banana (*Musa* spp.) cv. nendran using plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. Ph.D Thesis TNAU, 2005.
21. Larone DH. Medically important fungi – A guide to identify, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. ASM Press, Washington, D.C, 1995.
22. Mikami Y, K Yazawa, K Fukushima, T Arai, S Udagawa, RA Samson. Paecilotoxin production in clinical or terrestrial isolates of *Paecilomyces lilacinus* strains. *Mycopathologica*, 1989; 108:195-199.
23. Nirmala Devi, S, Tikoo SK. Studies on the reaction of certain tomato genotypes and their F1 to combined infection by *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Pseudomonas solanacearum*. *Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding.*, 1992; 52:118-125
24. Odour MN, PK Woudo. Evaluation of culture filtrates of *Paecilomyces lilacinus* against root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Indian J Mycol. Pl. Pathol.*, 1995; 25:293-297.
25. Oostendorp M, Sikova RA. Utilization of antagonistic rhizobacteria as a seed treatment for the biological control of *Heterodera schachtii* in sugar beet. *Rev. Nematol.*, 1989; 12:77-83.
26. Rajendran G, Ramakrishnan S, Subramanian S. Biomangement of nematodes in horticultural crops. *South Indian Horticulture*. 2001; 49:227-230.

27. Reedy DDR. Estimation of crop losses in peas due to *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Indian J Nematol*, 1985b; 15:226
28. Reedy DDR. Analysis of crop losses in tomato due to *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Indian J Nematol.*, 1985a; 15:55-59
29. Sadasivan and Manickam. *Biochemical methods – 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed.* 1996, 126.
30. Santhi A, Sivakumar CV. Biocontrol potential of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* against *Meloidogyne incognita* (Kofoid and White, 1919). *Chitwood, 1949 on tomato. J Biol. Control.* 1995; 9:113-115.
31. Seenivasan N, PL Lakshmanan. Effect of culture filtrates of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* on rice root nematode *Hirschmanniella gracilis*. *Pestology*, 2001; 25:11-12.
32. Stolpe, Godkeri. Non-pathogenic members of genus *Pseudomonas*. In: *The prokaryotes*, Ed. Marthiner *et al.* Springer-Verlag, New York, 1981, 719-741.
33. Sutton DA, Forthergill AW, Rinaldi MG. *Guide clinically significant fungi.* 1<sup>st</sup> ed. Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, 1998.
34. Waksman SA. *Soil microbiology.* John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York, 1952.
35. Whitehead AG. A comparison of small quantitative methods of extracting small root-knot nematodes from soil. *Ann. Appl. Biol.*, 1968; 55:25-38.
36. Williams PF. Geographical distribution, symptoms and significance of root-knot nematodes (*Meloidogyne incognita*). *Utal Sciences.* 1973; 36:33-36.
37. Zaki FA. Effect of culture filtrates of *Paecilomyces lilacinus* on *Meloidogyne javanica*. *Nematologia Mediterranea.* 1994b; 22:41-43.