



P-ISSN: 2349-8528
E-ISSN: 2321-4902
IJCS 2018; 6(1): 83-86
© 2018 IJCS
Received: 09-11-2017
Accepted: 10-12-2017

Birendra Kumar
Department of Agronomy,
Birsa Agricultural University,
Kanke, Ranchi, Jharkhand,
India

Sanjay Kumar
KVK, Dhanbad Birsa
Agricultural University, Kanke,
Ranchi, Jharkhand, India

Uday Kumar Singh
KVK, Petarwar, Bokaro
Birsa Agricultural University,
Kanke, Ranchi, Jharkhand,
India

Yield and Economics of Berseem (*Trifolium alexandrinum* L) influenced by herbicide under slight acidic Alfisol soil of Jharkhand

Birendra Kumar, Sanjay Kumar and Uday Kumar Singh

Abstract

Experiment was conducted under All India Coordinated Research Project on Forage Crops to study the Herbicidal response on economical effectiveness of Berseem (*Trifolium alexandrinum* L) under slight acidic medium land condition of Jharkhand. The field experiment was conducted during three consecutive years from Rabi 2011 -12. The soil of experimental plot slightly acidic with pH 6.4 crop faced narrow leaf, Broad leaf weeds and sedges problem. The different treatment combinations were made with pre-emergence herbicides viz. pendimethalin and oxyflourfen and post-emergence herbicide, viz. imazethapyr in different proportion along with weedy check (control). Results revealed that the pre-emergence application of Pendimethalin @ 0.4 kg a.i/ ha resulted into less weed population (54.66), weed dry weight/m² (8.89), Green fodder yield (478.97 q/ha), Dry fodder yield (74.96 q/ha), Seed yield (2.48 q/ha), Gross return (1,92,903 Rs/ha), Net return (1,69,191 Rs/ha) with B:C ratio (7.12) over other herbicidal combinations. Further, in terms of economics Pendimethalin @ 0.4 kg a.i/ ha produced about 47.36% economically more over lowest under Oxyflourfen @ 0.100 kg a.i/ ha + Imazethapyr @ 0.100 kg a.i/ ha (after first cut). The pre emergence application of Pendimethalin @ 0.4 kg a.i/ ha and Pendimethalin @ 0.300 kg a.i/ ha + Imazethapyr @ 0.100 kg a.i/ ha (after first cut) were comparable in terms of efficacy of the herbicides.

Keywords: Green Fodder yield, Seed yield, Weed management, Herbicide and Economics

Introduction

Being “King of fodder” as well as known with slogan “BERSEEM HARA CHARA DUDH KI DHARA” ; Berseem (*Trifolium alexandrinum* L.) having versatile adoptability and it is a best Rabi season legume fodder of Jharkhand. Long winter period of Jharkhand comparison to other Northern state it become potential fodder crop. It is well known proteinaceous green fodder crop to improve milk ability in dairy animals. It is excellent and quick re-growing and long durational nutritious green fodder available from November to April under assured irrigated condition in Jharkhand. At earlier stages of growth Berseem faces serious problem with fast growing different weeds Jain (1998 a)^[3]. Among the different weeds, *Cichorium intybus* is one of the major obnoxious Berseem crop associated weed which exert more nutrient and moisture stress (Kewat *et al.*, 2005)^[4]. Consequently, it causes substantial reduction (25-30%) in green fodder production, besides deteriorating the quality of seeds (Tiwana, *et. al.* 2002)^[10] and finally negative impact on economics. Manual removal and frequent inter row weeding are the usual control measures. However, these methods are labour intensive, which causes drain of money and time. Under such situation, chemical weed control play a better alternative to manual weeding. Since, meager information is available on the comparative studies of different weed controlling new generation herbicides on Berseem, the present investigation was undertaken to study the response of herbicides on yield and economics of Berseem (*Trifolium alexandrinum* L.) under medium land slight acidic condition of Jharkhand.

Materials and Methods

A Field experiment was conducted during Rabi season 2011-12, 2012-13 and 2013-14 under All India Coordinated Project on Forage Crops at BAU, Ranchi Jharkhand. It falls under humid sub-tropical climatic conditions, which is characterized by the features of hot dry summers and slightly longer cool dry winters.

Correspondence
Birendra Kumar
Department of Agronomy,
Birsa Agricultural University,
Kanke, Ranchi, Jharkhand,
India

The soil of the experimental field was sandy loam in texture, slightly acidic in reaction (pH 6.4), and low in organic carbon (0.41%) and available nitrogen (238 kg/ha), medium available phosphorus (17.18 kg/ha) and medium available potassium (319 kg/ha) with normal electrical conductivity (0.34). The ten treatments namely, T1 – Weedy check (Control), T2 – Pendimethalin @ 0.3 kg a.i/ ha, T3 – Pendimethalin @ 0.4 kg a.i/ ha, T4 – Pendimethalin @ 0.5 kg a.i/ ha, T5 – Oxyflourfen @ 0.100 kg a.i./ha, T6 – Imazethapyr @ 0.100 kg a.i./ ha (Immediate after Ist and IInd cut), T7– Oxyflourfen @ 0.100 kg a.i./ha + Imazethapyr @ 0.100 kg a.i/ ha (Immediate after Ist cut). T8 – Pendimethalin @ 0.300 kg a.i/ ha + Imazethapyr @ 0.100 kg a.i/ ha (Immediate Ist cut), T9 – Pendimethalin @ 0.400 kg a.i/ ha + Imazethapyr @ 0.100 kg a.i/ ha (Immediate after harvest of Ist cut), T10 – Pendimethalin @ 0.500 kg a.i/ ha + Imazethapyr @ 0.100 kg a.i/ ha (Immediate after Ist cut) were tested in a randomized block design with three replications. Pendimethalin and oxyflourfen were applied as pre-emergence (one day after sowing) and imazethapyr was applied as post emergence (immediately after cuts as per plan). The spraying of herbicides was done by mixing with calculated amount of water. The measured quantity of herbicides and water for each plot was mixed thoroughly before spraying. Knapsack sprayer of 15 liters capacity with fine mist spray nozzle was used. The Berseem variety “Vardan” was shown on the flat beds in line sowing at row to row distance of 30 cm on 15th November, 2011 and 10th November during second & third years with seed rate of 25 kg/ha. The seed was treated with *Rhizobium meliloti* and 20 kg N, 60 kg P₂O₅ and 20 kg K₂O/ha were applied as basal dose through urea, single super phosphate and muriate of potash. Various observations were recorded on weed and crop parameters. The quadrat of 0.25 m² (0.5 m × 0.5 m) was randomly placed at four places in each plot and then the species wise and total weed count was recorded. The weed control efficiency and weed index were worked out as per formula given by Gill and Kumar (1969) [1]. Total three cuttings were taken after nipping (carried at about 5-7 cm height) for better re-growth at 25 DAS. The first cutting was done 55 DAS and subsequent two cuttings were done at 25-30 days intervals when the crop attained the height of around 45 cm from the ground. The yield from three cuttings and nipping were summed up to get the total green forage yield under each treatment in both the years. The crop were facilitated with assured moisture after each cutting and left for seed production after the third cutting and given light irrigations frequently at 5 to 7 days intervals until flowering and seed setting. Crop was harvested in the mid of May and the seed and Stover yields were recorded. The selling rate of green fodder, dry byproduct and seeds were Rs. 300/q, 250/q and 20,000/ quintal, respectively. Weed density and weed dry matter values were subjected to square root transformation of $(x \pm 0.5)$ before statistical analysis to normalize data distribution. Experimental data were analyzed using standard statistical procedures for Randomized Block Design (RBD). Weed control efficiency (WCE) and Weed index (WI) has been calculate by the under mentioned formula,

Weed control efficiency (WCE): It indicates the percentage reduction in weed population or dry weight of weeds under treated plot compare with untreated (weedy check). It also known as weed control index and it is used to compare the different herbicide. Higher the value of WCE that means better herbicidal response, Hazra and Sinha (1996) [2].

Weed control efficiency (WCE) = $\frac{x-y}{x} \times 100$,

where x = weed dry weight in weedy check and y = weed dry weight

Weed Index (WI): It refers to the reduction in crop yield due to the presence of weed in comparison to weed free plot (Maximum yielding plot). This is used to assess the efficacy of herbicide. Lesser the weed index betters the efficacy Hazra and Sinha (1996) [2].

Weed Index (WI) = $\frac{x-y}{x} \times 100$,

where x = Yield from un- treated plot (hand weeding) and y = Yield from treated plot.

In this experiment as there is no any weed free treatment i.e hand weeding so in order to calculate weed index the maximum yield produced can be consider as x in Weed index formula. Thus, calculated relative weed index will be with reference to the T₃ on GFY basis.

Result and Discussion

Effect on weed

Berseem showed significant response on application of different herbicides and observed that highest weed density (174.55/m²) and its dry weight (40.22 g/m²) were recorded in weedy check (Table.1). The crop weed competition was markedly reduced by weed control treatments i.e due to application of different herbicides which were evident from the significant decrease in weed population, dry matter accumulation due to weed killing efficiency i.e weed control efficiency. Transformed value reflects that, the application of (T₃) Pendimethalin @ 0.4 kg a.i/ ha resulted significantly lower weed density (54.66 / m²) which were at par with (T₇) Oxyflourfen @ 0.100 kg a.i/ ha + Imazethapyr @ 0.100 kg a.i./ha **. Weed dry matter produced/m² under (T₃) Pendimethalin @ 0.4 kg a.i/ ha (8.89 g) were at par with (T₈) Pendimethalin @ 0.300 kg a.i/ ha + Imazethapyr @ 0.100 kg a.i./ha-1** (7.66 g) which was significantly lesser than other chemical used and its doses. This is due to more effectiveness of pendemethaline at 0.4 kg a.i /ha over its higher dose as well as other chemical used. Prajapati *et al.* (2015) [8] recorded that weed dry weight was significantly less (195 g/m²) due to application of pendimethalin 1.0 kg/ha + imazethapyr 0.15 kg/ha applied immediate after 1st cut resulting in higher weed control efficiency (43.53%).

Weed control efficiency (WCE) and Weed Index (WI)

The effectiveness herbicide in terms of Weed control efficiency, the treatment (T₃) Pendimethalin @ 0.4 kg a.i/ ha (77.89%) Pendimethalin @ 0.300 kg a.i/ ha + Imazethapyr @ 0.100 kg a.i/ ha ** (80.32%) were at par to each other and which were highest over others (Table 1).

The significantly lower relative Weed Index (WI) w. r. t. (T₃) Pendimethalin @ 0.4 kg a.i/ ha was recorded 7.45% under (T₈) Pendimethalin @ 0.300 kg a.i/ ha + Imazethapyr @ 0.100 kg a.i/ ha **. These observations of WCE and WI reflects that, the efficacy of (T₃) Pendimethalin @ 0.4 kg a.i/ ha and and (T₈) Pendimethalin @ 0.300 kg a.i/ ha + Imazethapyr @ 0.100 kg a.i/ ha ** were more over other herbicidal combinations. Prajapati *et al.* (2015) also reported the similar result, and this was due to effectiveness of herbicides.

Effect on yield

The highest green fodder yield (GFY : 478.97 q/ha), dry fodder yield (DFY: 74.96 q/ ha) as well as green fodder yield

equivalent (563.97 q/ha) were recorded under pre- emergence application of (T₃) Pendimethalin @ 0.4 kg a.i/ ha (Table 2). The said DFY was at par with the application of (T8) Pendimethalin @ 0.300 kg a.i/ ha + Imazethapyr @ 0.100 kg a.i/ ha ** (73.34 q/ha). However seed yield was higher under slightly lower dose of pendimethaline *i.e* Pre- emergence application of Pendimethalin @ 0.300 kg a.i/ ha. The results were due to less weed population which leads to less competition for nutrient and water. Mani *et. al.*(1968) [5] accepted the similar types of thing for loss in fodder production due to application of herbicides after cuttings. Further, (T9) Pendimethalin @ 0.400 kg a.i/ ha + Imazethapyr @ 0.100 kg a.i/ ha ** which is just T4 + Imazethapyr @ 0.100 kg a.i/ ha resulted that on application of extra amount of Imazethapyr @ 0.100 kg a.i/ ha at just after second and third cuts retarded the growth of Berseem resulted into significant reduction in GFY (15.89%) as well as in DFY(31.17%). The nearly 15.25% more reduction in DFY which also reflects in deterioration in quality of herbage produced. Tamrakar *et. al.* (2002) [9]. Pathan and Kamble (2012) [6] also supported the similar result.

Effect on economics

Application of Pendimethalin @ 0.4 kg a.i/ ha significantly improves the economics of fodder produced in terms of Gross return (1,92,903 Rs/ha), Net return (1,69,191 Rs/ha) with B:C ratio (7.12) over other herbicidal combinations. Results also indicate that pre- emergence application of Pendimethalin @ 0.4 kg a.i/ ha produced about 47.36% economically more over lowest under (T7) Oxyflourfen @ 0.100 kg a.i/ ha + Imazethapyr @ 0.100 kg a.i/ ha **. This is due to better growth and less effect of weed flora due to more efficacy of pre-emergence application of Pendimethalin @ 0.4 kg a.i/ ha, which finly converted to more yield. Similar reults were also recorded by Pathan *et.al* (2013) [7].

Summery and conclusion

The effective weed controlled in berseem can be done through the pre-emergence application of Pendimethalin @ 0.4 kg a.i/ ha as well as Pendimethalin @ 0.300 kg a.i/ ha + Imazethapyr @ 0.100 kg a.i/ ha (Imazethapyr was applied after the first and second cutting). Higher dose of Pendimethalin @ 0.500 kg a.i/ ha along with Imazethapyr @ 0.100 kg a.i/ ha after first cutting reduces the growth of Berseem in medium land condition of Jharkhand wher water is limiting factor.

Table 1: Weed density and efficacy of herbicides in Berseem under medium land condition (Pooled).

Treatments	Original value		Transformed value		Weed control efficiency (%)	Relative Weed Index (%)
	Total weeds	dry weight (g/m ²)	Total weeds	dry weight (g/m ²)		
T1 – Weedy check (Control)	174.55	40.22	13.25	6.40	0	35.19
T2 – Pendimethalin @ 0.3 kg a.i/ ha	72.77	19.00	8.58	4.47	52.05	17.92
T3 – Pendimethalin @ 0.4 kg a.i/ ha	54.66	8.89	7.46	3.13	77.89	0
T4 – Pendimethalin @ 0.5 kg a.i/ ha	71.77	15.34	8.53	4.04	61.50	16.72
T5 – Oxyflourfen @ 0.100 kg a.i/ ha	65.55	20.33	8.15	4.61	46.39	15.82
T6 – Imazethapyr @ 0.100 kg a.i. ha-1 *	77.55	14.00	8.86	3.87	64.02	25.50
T7 – Oxyflourfen @ 0.100 kg a.i/ ha + Imazethapyr @ 0.100 kg a.i/ ha **	62.11	15.00	7.94	4.00	61.78	30.28
T8 – Pendimethalin @ 0.300 kg a.i/ ha + Imazethapyr @ 0.100 kg a.i/ ha **	80.55	7.66	8.98	2.94	80.22	7.45
T9 – Pendimethalin @ 0.400 kg a.i/ ha + Imazethapyr @ 0.100 kg a.i/ ha **	89.00	14.33	9.48	3.88	63.13	15.97
T10 – Pendimethalin @ 0.500 kg a.i/ ha + Imazethapyr @ 0.100 kg a.i/ ha **	82.22	16.00	9.12	4.11	60.08	19.33
S. Em ±	4.43	1.83	0.23	0.19	3.58	1.43
CD at 5%	13.26	5.47	0.68	0.59	10.73	4.27
CV%	9.23	18.54	4.36	8.23	10.95	13.43

*Immediate after harvest of Ist and IInd cut. ** Immediate after harvest of Ist cut only.

Table 2: Yield and Economics of herbicidal treated fodder Berseem under medium land condition (Pooled).

Treatments	Fodder study (q/ha)				Total green fodder yield equivalent (q/ha)	Gross return (Rs/ha)	Net Return (Rs/ha)	B:C ratio
	Green fodder yield	Dry fodder yield	Seed yield	Straw yield				
T1 – Weedy check (Control)	310.29	67.48	2.54	4.96	402.12	1,44,350	120,635	5.133
T2 – Pendimethalin @ 0.3 kg a.i/ ha	393.05	66.58	2.80	3.58	499.03	1,73,424	149,709	6.359
T3 – Pendimethalin @ 0.4 kg a.i/ ha	478.97	74.96	2.48	4.17	563.97	1,92,906	169,191	7.172
T4 – Pendimethalin @ 0.5 kg a.i/ ha	398.72	64.72	2.34	4.03	473.14	1,65,658	141,943	6.028
T5 – Oxyflourfen @ 0.100 kg a.i/ ha	403.17	58.96	2.16	3.67	463.68	1,62,820	139,105	5.913
T6 – Imazethapyr @ 0.100 kg a.i. ha-1 *	356.63	59.18	2.20	3.74	420.44	1,49,847	126,132	5.363
T7 – Oxyflourfen @ 0.100 kg a.i/ ha + Imazethapyr @ 0.100 kg a.i/ ha **	333.86	55.95	2.00	3.47	382.71	1,38,527	114,812	4.884
T8 – Pendimethalin @ 0.300 kg a.i/ ha + Imazethapyr @ 0.100 kg a.i/ ha **	443.25	73.34	1.90	4.10	486.34	1,69,616	145,901	6.195
T9 – Pendimethalin @ 0.400 kg a.i/ ha + Imazethapyr @ 0.100 kg a.i/ ha **	402.39	51.16	1.85	3.50	440.55	1,55,881	132,166	5.616
T10 – Pendimethalin @ 0.500 kg a.i/ ha + Imazethapyr @ 0.100 kg a.i/ ha **	386.18	53.14	1.75	3.82	418.41	1,49,238	125,523	5.335
S. Em ±	6.99	1.66	0.09	0.15	9.86	2,957	2,957	0.125
CD at 5%	20.93	4.97	0.28	0.44	29.52	8,855	8,854	0.373
CV%	3.10	4.61	7.41	6.5	3.75	3.19	3.752	3.721

* Immediate after harvest of Ist IInd cut. ** Immediate after harvest of Ist cut only.

Acknowledgment

I acknowledge the contribution of Dr Sheela Barla, Department of Agronomy and KVK Scientist U.K Singh for their suggestion and help in the work. I also acknowledge the staffs of Agrostology unit of Ranchi Veterinary college as well as Department of Silviculture and Agroforestry for their moral support facilitation and help in data works.

References

1. Gill GS, Kumar Vijaya. Weed Index - A new method for reporting weed control trials. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 1969; 14:96-98.
2. Hazra CR, Sinha NC. Forage seed production- Published by South Asian Publisher Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi, 1996.
3. Jain KK. Floristic composition of Berseem weed ecosystem on weeds dynamics. World Weeds. 1998 a; 5:37-39.
4. Kewat ML, Suryawanshi T, Sahu SL. Efficacy of propaquizafop and imazethapyr mixture against weeds in black gram. Biennial Conference of Indian Society of Weed Science on "Emerging Challenges in Weed Management" February 15 -17, 2014. Directorate of Weed Science Research, Jabalpur, M.P. 2014, 176.
5. Mani VS, Gautam KC, Chakraborty T. Losses in crop yields in India due to weed growth. PANS. 1968; 14:142-158.
6. Pathan SH, Kamble AB. Chemical weed management in berseem (*Trifolium alexandrium* L.). Forage Research. 2012; 38(3):138-143.
7. Pathan SH, Kamble AB, Gavit MG. Integrated weed management in Berseem. Indian Journal of Weed Science 2013; 45(2):148-150.
8. Prajapati Brajkishor, Thangjam C, Pravamanjari Singh, Kewalan, Giri. Efficacy of herbicides for weed management in berseem. The Bioscan, an International Quarterly Journal of Life Sciences. 2015; 10(1):347-350.
9. Tamrakar MK, Kewat ML, Agrawal SB, Shukla VK. Efficacy of herbicides against *Cichorium intybus* in berseem (*Trifolium alexandrium* L.). Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2002; 34(3, 4):333-334.
10. Tiwana US, Puri KP, Tiwana MS, Walia US. Effect of butachlor, trifluralin and fluchloralin on chicory (*Cichorium intybus*) and berseem fodder. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2002; 34(3, 4):251-253.