



P-ISSN: 2349-8528  
 E-ISSN: 2321-4902  
 IJCS 2017; 5(5): 1059-1062  
 © 2017 IJCS  
 Received: 14-07-2017  
 Accepted: 16-08-2017

**PA Dhotre**  
 Department of Agricultural  
 Botany, College of Agriculture,  
 DBSKKV, Dapoli, Maharashtra,  
 India

**AV Mane**  
 Department of Agricultural  
 Botany, College of Agriculture,  
 DBSKKV, Dapoli, Maharashtra,  
 India

**MM Burondkar**  
 Department of Agricultural  
 Botany, College of Agriculture,  
 DBSKKV, Dapoli, Maharashtra,  
 India

**MC Kasture**  
 Department of Agricultural  
 Botany, College of Agriculture,  
 DBSKKV, Dapoli, Maharashtra,  
 India

**AD Thombare**  
 Department of Agricultural  
 Botany, College of Agriculture,  
 DBSKKV, Dapoli, Maharashtra,  
 India

**Correspondence**  
**MC Kasture**  
 Department of Agricultural  
 Botany, College of Agriculture,  
 DBSKKV, Dapoli, Maharashtra,  
 India

## International Journal of Chemical Studies

# Studies on physiology of growth and yield of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) As influence by time of transplanting and varying leaf area index under Kharif Konkan condition

PA Dhotre, AV Mane, MM Burondkar, MC Kasture and AD Thombare

### Abstract

A field experiment was conducted during kharif seasons of 2016 to determine the effect of different transplanting dates and spacing on growth and yield of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under kharif condition of Konkan. The experiment was laid out as a strip plot design with three replications provided with four main treatments (Transplanting dates) T<sub>1</sub> -21 DAS, T<sub>2</sub> -31 DAS, T<sub>3</sub> - 41 DAS, T<sub>4</sub> - 51 DAS and three sub treatments Spacing, S<sub>1</sub> (20cm x 15cm), S<sub>2</sub> (15cm x 15 cm), S<sub>3</sub> (20 cm x 10 cm). Ratnagiri -24 variety of rice used for experiment and the experiment comprised of 12 treatments, viz T<sub>1</sub>S<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>1</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>1</sub>S<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>S<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>S<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>S<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>S<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>S<sub>3</sub>. Observations on number of panicle, length of panicle, no. of productive tillers, number of fertile grain per panicle, 1000 grain weight, number of grains per plant, grain yield, straw yield and harvest index. Transplanting of 21 days old seedling T<sub>1</sub> recorded maximum value for grain yield (25.45g), straw yield (21.15g) and harvest index (56.20%). Spacing 20cmx15cm recorded grain yield/ plant (20.68g) and spacing 20cmx 10cm (19.37g) but maximum grain yield / plot obtained by closer spacing S<sub>3</sub> 20cm x 10 cm.

**Key words:** transplanting, spacing, grain yield, straw yield.

### Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is most important food grain crops of the world. Rice is the staple food of most of the people in Asia. Therefore, rice is not only a staple food of the region but also a way of life. India is the world's second largest rice producer and consumer next to China. Rice is normally sown at the end of May and transplanted during the 2<sup>nd</sup> week of June. The exact sowing date for direct seeding of rice also play a vital role in improving its growth and increasing the yield. The sowing time of the rice crop is important for three major reasons. Firstly, it ensures that vegetative growth occurs during a period of satisfactory temperatures and high levels of solar radiation. Secondly, the optimum sowing time for each cultivar ensures the cold sensitive stage occurs when the minimum night temperatures are historically the warmest. Thirdly, sowing on time guarantees that grain filling occurs when milder autumn temperatures are more likely, hence good grain quality is achieved (Farrell *et al.* 2003) [9]. Plant spacing has an important role on growth and yield of rice. Optimum plant density ensures the plant to grow properly with their aerial and underground parts by utilizing more solar radiation and soil nutrients (Miah *et al.* 1990) [13]. Alam (2006) [2] stated that optimum spacing gave a maximum number of total tillers m<sup>-2</sup>, maximum number of fertile tillers m<sup>-2</sup> which was dependent on temperature, moisture and other soil factors.

### Material and methods

The present study comprised of four main treatment (transplanting) and three sub treatment (Spacing) with twelve treatment combination. A field experiment was conducted during kharif 2016 in Strip Plot Design, in three replication and standard practices were followed. Observations were recorded by 15 days interval. Five plants selected at random were tagged from each plot and observations on yield attributing characters (number of panicle, length of panicle, number of productive tillers, number of fertile grains per panicle, number of grains per plant, 1000 grain weight, grain yield, straw yield, harvest index) were recorded.

## Results and Discussion

### Effect of age of seedling at transplanting and varying leaf area index on yield attributing characters.

Data presented in Table no 1 and 2. Number of panicles per plant, number of productive tillers, 1000 grain weight and number of fertile grains per panicles are considered as the principle yield contributing characters and source and sink in rice. In the present investigation, amongst the four transplanting studied, transplanting with 21 days old seedling; exhibited significantly more number of panicle per plant (9.24), number of productive tillers (8.88), 1000-grain weight (21.60) and number of fertile grain per panicle (89.89) over transplanting of 51 days old seedling; (late transplanting) similar result reported by Kabir *et al.* (2014) [11], Safdar *et al.* (2006), Bashir *et al.* (2010) [6]. Wider spacing 20cm x15cm registered significantly more number of panicles per plant, number of productive tillers, 1000 grain weight and number of fertile grains per panicles than rest of spacing S<sub>2</sub> and S<sub>3</sub>. Chakraborty *et al.* (2014) [8]. Interaction of transplanting and spacing showed significance influence on number of panicles per plant, number of productive tillers, 1000 grain weight and number of fertile grains per panicles. The range of number of panicles tune of (5.97 to 9.87), number of productive tillers range from (6.4 to 9.7), 1000- grain weight from (14.93 to 23.26 gm) and number of fertile grains to the tune of (67.28to 91.17).

Data presented in Table no 1 and 2. In the present investigation, among the four transplanting and three spacing studied, transplanting T<sub>1</sub>, 21 days old seedling; and spacing S<sub>1</sub> recorded significantly more number of grains per plant (1170.01) and length of panicle (24.50 cm) over transplanting T<sub>4</sub>-51 days old seedling; Amin *et al.* (2009) [3]. Interaction effect of transplanting and spacing for both these characters showed similar pattern as that of their individual effects. Number of grains per plant and length of panicle range from (914.40 to 1184.90) and (21.19 to 25.63) respectively.

Total biomass production by any crop is the efficiency of it to produce grain and straw yield. In the present investigation, significant variation in respect of these character was observed. Among the four transplanting, transplanting T<sub>1</sub>, 21 days old seedling; exhibited significantly more grain yield (25.45) per plant and straw weight (21.15) per plant over transplanting of 51 days old seedling; Hasanuzzaman *et al.* (2014) [10], Amin *et al.* (2009) [3], Safdar *et al.* (2009), Asma *et al.* (2005), Abid *et al.* (2015) [1], Ram *et al.* (2014) [15], Mukesh *et al.* (2013) [12]. Wider spacing S<sub>1</sub> recorded maximum straw weight (19.89) per plant and grain yield (20.68) per plant than rest of the spacing. Asma *et al.* (2005), Chakraborty *et al.* (2014) [8]. Similarly, interaction of transplanting and spacing had significantly influence the grain yield and straw yield. Transplanting T<sub>1</sub> – 21 days old seedling; with spacing 20cmx 15cm recorded maximum grain yield per plant and straw yield per plant than transplanting T<sub>4</sub>

- 51 days old seedling; with spacing 20cmx10cm. Straw weight increased to the tune of 16.17 to 21.63 and grain yield increased to the tune of 15.12 to 26.45.

Data presented in Table no. 4. As compare to the normal recorded time of transplanting (T<sub>1</sub>) and recorded spacing (S<sub>1</sub>), the yield (Kg/plot) of Ratnagiri-24 was significantly high by T<sub>1</sub>S<sub>3</sub> 10.91 (%), T<sub>1</sub>S<sub>2</sub> 7(%), indicating scope for improvement yield with adapting closer spacing 15 cm x 15 cm and 20 cm x 10 cm while T<sub>2</sub>S<sub>1</sub>- 2.83 (%), T<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>-0.18 (%), T<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> - 1.61 (%), T<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub> -0.38 (%), T<sub>3</sub>S<sub>3</sub> - 0.45 (%), T<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub>- 2.39 (%), T<sub>4</sub>S<sub>3</sub> - 1.75(%) were significantly at par with T<sub>1</sub>S<sub>1</sub>, indicating that if normal transplanting time is delayed due to delay in rainfall or breaks in rainfall, the normal yield could be achieved by adapting closer spacing 20 cm x 10 cm, 15 cm x 15 cm and T<sub>4</sub>S<sub>1</sub> recorded 23. 35 (%) low yield (kg/ plot) by adapting spacing 20 cm x 15 cm.

The higher yield per plot recorded with closer spacing T<sub>1</sub>S<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>1</sub>S<sub>2</sub> on normal transplanting T<sub>1</sub> with spacing S<sub>1</sub> as well as at par yield is recorded by T<sub>2</sub>S<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>S<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>S<sub>3</sub> could be attribute to the higher LAI achieved at the time of flowering initiation due to closer spacing with respect to transplanting dates and spacing. The similar benefit of closer spacing recorded by Pandey and Tripathi (2001) [14], Bhowmik *et al.* (2012) [7], Ashraf *et al.* (2014) [4].

Data presented in table no. 3. Amongst the four transplanting dates high HI was obtained from transplanting T<sub>1</sub>-21 days old seedling; (56.20%) per plant over the transplanting T<sub>4</sub>-51 days old seedling; (43.23 %) per plant. Spacing S<sub>1</sub> recorded high harvest index (50.53) per plant than other spacing S<sub>2</sub> and S<sub>3</sub>. Also observed by Chakraborty *et al.* (2014) [8]. Interaction of transplanting and spacing influences the harvest index, transplanting done with spacing 20 cm x15 cm of 21 days old seedling; recorded high harvest index (57.99%) per plant over late transplanting done with spacing 20cm x10cm (41.86%) per plant. Similar result observed by Asma *et al.* (2005), Abid *et al.* (2015) [1]. 21 days old seedling; recorded maximum harvest index due to high leaf area, high photosynthetic rate, these characters are closely associated with yield of crop. Vegetative growth was maximum in T<sub>1</sub>, as compare to other transplanting. Late transplanting reduces the vegetative growth which may have direct effect on yield of crop.

## Conclusion

Normally higher grain yield obtained by transplanting T<sub>1</sub> and spacing 20cm x 15cm. but when we consider whole plot yield then highest yield obtained by closer spacing 20cmx 10cm. The reduction in yield, due to delayed in transplanting by 10 days was not significant if closer spacing of 20 cm x 10 cm was adapted, similarly the lowest T<sub>4</sub>S<sub>1</sub> beyond the transplanting even closer spacing 20 cm x 10 cm did not cover a yield when transplanted with late transplanting by 30 days.

**Table 1:** Number of panicle, length of panicle, number of productive tillers as influenced by time of transplanting and spacing.

	Number of panicles					Length of panicle					Number of productive tillers			
	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	Mean		S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	Mean		S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	Mean
T <sub>1</sub>	9.87	9	8.87	9.24	T <sub>1</sub>	25.63	24.12	23.74	24.50	T <sub>1</sub>	9.7	8.66	8.2	8.88
T <sub>2</sub>	8.43	8.43	8.03	8.30	T <sub>2</sub>	23.44	22.42	21.49	22.48	T <sub>2</sub>	8.33	8.9	8.13	8.46
T <sub>3</sub>	7.6	7.1	6.77	7.16	T <sub>3</sub>	22.50	21.73	21.35	21.86	T <sub>3</sub>	7.36	7	6.6	7.02
T <sub>4</sub>	6.97	6.87	5.97	6.47	T <sub>4</sub>	21.09	21.19	21.19	21.13	T <sub>4</sub>	7.00	6.8	6.43	6.74
Mean	8.22	7.75	7.41		Mean	23.15	22.36	21.97		Mean	8.11	7.86	7.36	
	S.E.m.±	C.D. (5%)				S.E.m.±	C.D. (5%)				S.E.m.±	C.D. (5%)		
T	0.20	0.71			T	0.42	1.47			T	0.07	0.25		

S	0.08	0.27		S	0.27	0.85		S	0.10	0.31	
TxS	0.48	1.48		TxS	1.24	3.83		TxS	0.88	2.72	

DAT – Days after transplanting

T<sub>1</sub> –Transplanting of 21 days old seedling T<sub>3</sub> - Transplanting of 41 days old seedling S<sub>1</sub> –Spacing 20 cm x 15 cm S<sub>3</sub> - Spacing 20 cm x 10 cm

T<sub>2</sub> -Transplanting of 31 days old seedling T<sub>4</sub> - Transplanting of 51 days old seedling S<sub>2</sub> - Spacing 15 cm x 15 cm

**Table 2:** Number of grains per plant, number of fertile grains per panicle, 1000 grain weight as influenced by time of transplanting and spacing during growth stages of rice.

	Number of grains per plant				Number of fertile grains per panicle				1000 grain weight					
	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	Mean	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	Mean	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	Mean		
T <sub>1</sub>	1184.90	1176.67	1148.47	1170.01	T <sub>1</sub>	91.17	90.25	88.25	89.89	T <sub>1</sub>	23.26	21.60	19.56	21.60
T <sub>2</sub>	1013.53	1008.03	1006.17	1009.24	T <sub>2</sub>	83.57	86.50	79.92	83.33	T <sub>2</sub>	19.50	19.10	18.27	18.96
T <sub>3</sub>	974.97	972.50	970.90	972.79	T <sub>3</sub>	79.34	77.34	75.81	77.50	T <sub>3</sub>	18.20	17.37	17.27	17.61
T <sub>4</sub>	920.27	919.00	914.40	917.89	T <sub>4</sub>	72.56	67.86	67.28	69.23	T <sub>4</sub>	15.79	15.27	14.93	15.33
Mean	1023.42	1019.05	1009.98		Mean	81.66	80.49	77.61		Mean	19.19	18.34	17.62	
	S.E.m.±	(5%)				S.E.m.±	C.D.	(5%)			S.E.m.±	C.D.	(5%)	
T	2.14	7.40			T	1.61	5.56			T	0.78	2.71		
S	0.76	2.35			S	1.94	5.99			S	0.24	0.74		
T x S	20.28	62.49			T x S	4.26	12.93			T x S	1.48	4.55		

DAT – Days after transplanting

T<sub>1</sub> –Transplanting of 21 days old seedling T<sub>3</sub> - Transplanting of 41 days old seedling S<sub>1</sub> –Spacing 20 cm x 15 cm S<sub>3</sub> - Spacing 20 cm x 10 cm

T<sub>2</sub> -Transplanting of 31 days old seedling T<sub>4</sub> - Transplanting of 51 days old seedling S<sub>2</sub> - Spacing 15 cm x 15

**Table 3:** Grain yield / plant, straw weight / plant and harvest index as influenced by time of transplanting and spacing during growth stages of rice.

	Grain yield/plant (g)				Straw yield/plant (g)				Harvest index (%)					
	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	Mean	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	Mean	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	Mean		
T <sub>1</sub>	26.45	25.42	24.50	25.45	T <sub>1</sub>	21.63	21.57	20.26	21.15	T <sub>1</sub>	57.99	55.49	55.12	56.20
T <sub>2</sub>	20.57	20.50	20.13	20.40	T <sub>2</sub>	21.27	21.20	19.01	20.52	T <sub>2</sub>	51.65	51.65	51.54	51.62
T <sub>3</sub>	19.07	18.40	17.72	18.39	T <sub>3</sub>	19.4	19.10	18.13	18.88	T <sub>3</sub>	47.80	47.34	47.03	47.39
T <sub>4</sub>	16.63	16.10	15.12	15.95	T <sub>4</sub>	17.27	16.67	16.17	16.70	T <sub>4</sub>	44.67	43.16	41.86	43.23
Mean	20.68	20.10	19.37		Mean	19.89	19.63	18.42		Mean	50.53	49.41	48.89	
	S.E.m.±	C.D.	(5%)			S.E.m.±	C.D.	(5%)			S.E.m.±	C.D.	(5%)	
T	0.58	2.0			T	0.23	0.79			T	0.59	2.03		
S	0.32	0.99			S	0.21	0.66			S	0.55	1.71		
T x S	0.80	2.47			T x S	0.86	2.66			T x S	1.87	5.91		

DAT – Days after transplanting

T<sub>1</sub> –Transplanting of 21 days old seedling T<sub>3</sub> - Transplanting of 41 days old seedling S<sub>1</sub> –Spacing 20 cm x 15 cm S<sub>3</sub> - Spacing 20 cm x 10 cm

T<sub>2</sub> -Transplanting of 31 days old seedling T<sub>4</sub> - Transplanting of 51 days old seedling S<sub>2</sub> - Spacing 15 cm x 15 cm

**Table 4:** Grain and Straw Yield kg/plot

Treatment	Grain yield g/ plant	Kg/ plot	q/ ha	Straw yield g/ plant	Kg/ plot	q/ ha
T <sub>1</sub> S <sub>1</sub>	26.45	17.85	8.55	21.63	14.60	6.99
T <sub>1</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	25.42	23.05	11.04	21.57	19.56	9.37
T <sub>1</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	24.50	25.05	12.00	20.26	20.73	9.93
T <sub>2</sub> S <sub>1</sub>	20.57	14.0	6.65	21.27	14.36	6.88
T <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	20.50	18.37	8.90	21.20	19.23	9.21
T <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	20.13	20.04	9.86	19.10	19.54	9.36
T <sub>3</sub> S <sub>1</sub>	19.07	12.87	6.16	19.4	13.10	6.27
T <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	18.40	17.33	7.99	19.10	17.32	8.30
T <sub>3</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	17.72	18.46	8.68	18.13	18.55	8.88
T <sub>4</sub> S <sub>1</sub>	16.63	11.09	5.38	17.27	11.66	5.58
T <sub>4</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	16.10	14.60	6.99	16.67	15.12	7.24
T <sub>4</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	15.12	15.46	7.41	16.17	16.54	7.92

## References

- Abid M, Khan I, Mahmood F, Ashraf U, Imran M, Anjum SA. Response of hybrid rice to various transplanting dates and nitrogen application rates. The Philippine Agricultural Scientist. 2015; 98(1).
- Alam F. Effect of spacing, number of seedling hill-1 and fertilizer management on the performance of Boro rice cv. BRRI dhan29 M.Sc. Thesis, Dept. Agron, Bangladesh Agril. University, Mymen Singh. 2006, 24-27.
- Amin KM, Haque MA. Seedling age influence on rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) performance. Philippine Journal of Science. 2009; 138(2):219-226.
- Ashraf U, Anjum SA, Ehsanullah Khan I, Tanveer M. Planting geometry-induced alteration in weed infestation, growth and yield of puddled rice. Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res. 2014; 20(1):77-89.
- Asma F, Singh P, Qayoom S, Ahmad L, Lone B, Singh L, Singh KN. Influence of different dates of sowing and spacings on growth and yield of scented rice cv. Pusa sugandh-3 under temperate conditions of Kashmir Academic Journal. 2015; 6(4):20-23.
- Bashir MU, Akbar N, Iqbals A, Zaman H. Effect of different sowing dates on yield and yield components of direct seeded coarse rice (*Oryza Sativa* L.) Pak. J. Agri. Sci. 2010; 47(4):361-365.
- Bhowmik SK, Sarkar MAR, Zaman F. Effect of spacing and number of seedlings per hill on the performance of *aus* rice cv. NERICA under dry direct seeded rice (DDSR) system of cultivation. J. Bangladesh Agril. Univ. 2012; 10(2):191-195.
- Chakraborty S, Biswas PK, Roy TS, Mahmud MAA, Mehradj H, Jamal AFM Uddin. Growth and yield of boro rice (BRRI Dhan50) as affected by planting geometry

- under system of rice intensification. Journal of Bioscience and Agricultural. 2014; 02(01):36-43.
9. Farrell TC, Fox K, Williams RL, Fukai S, Lewin LG. Avoiding low temperature damage in Australia's rice industry with photoperiod sensitive cultivars. Proceedings of the 11th Australian Agronomy Conference. Deakin University, Geelong Victoria, Australia, 2003.
  10. Hasanuzzaman M, Hasan MA, Sadekuzzaman M, Bahadur MM, Islam MR. Dry matter accumulation, leaf characteristics and yield of Aman rice as influenced by seedling ages J. Bangladesh Soc. Agric. Sci. Technol. 2014; 11(1&2):35-40.
  11. Kabir MM, Mir Naher, UA Panhwar, QA Shamsuddin, J Khan, F H. Effect of transplanting dates on growth and yield of inbred and hybrid rice varieties grown during rainfed season in Bangladesh. The Philippine Agricultural Scientist. 2014; 97:4.
  12. Mukesh Singh, I Pannu RK, Prasad D, Ram A. Effects of different transplanting dates on yield and quality of basmati rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) varieties. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2013; 58(2):256-258.
  13. Miah MHN, Karim MA, Rahman MS, Islam MS. Performance of nizersail mutants under different row spacing. Bangladesh J. Train. Dev. 1990; 3(2):31-34.
  14. Pandey N, Verma AK, Tripathi RS. Effect of planting time and nitrogen on tillering pattern, dry matter accumulation and grain yield of hybrid rice. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2001; 71(5):337-338.
  15. Ram H, Singh JP, Bohra JS, Singh RK, Sutaliya JM. Effect of seedlings age and plant spacing on growth, yield, nutrient uptake and economics of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes under system of rice intensification. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2014; 59(2):256-260.
  16. Safdar ME, Ali A, Muhammand S, Sarwar G, Awan TH. Effect of transplanting dates on paddy yield of fine grain rice genotypes. Pak. J Bot. 2008; 40(6):2403-2411.