



P-ISSN: 2349-8528

E-ISSN: 2321-4902

IJCS 2017; 5(5): 1008-1010

© 2017 IJCS

Received: 20-07-2017

Accepted: 22-08-2017

**Yasmin Gulnaz**

Department of Agronomy,  
University of Agricultural  
Sciences, GKVK, Bangalore,  
Karnataka, India

**Fathima P S**

Department of Agronomy,  
University of Agricultural  
Sciences, GKVK, Bangalore,  
Karnataka, India

**Denesh G R**

Department of Agronomy,  
University of Agricultural  
Sciences, GKVK, Bangalore,  
Karnataka, India

**Akhilesh Kumar Kulmitra**

Department of Plant Pathology,  
Indira Gandhi Krishi  
Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur,  
Chhattisgarh, India

**Shivraj kumar H S**

Department of Agronomy,  
University of Agricultural  
Sciences, GKVK, Bangalore,  
Karnataka, India

**Sathisha C**

Department of Agronomy,  
University of Agricultural  
Sciences, GKVK, Bangalore,  
Karnataka, India

**Prakash Ajagol**

Department of Agronomy,  
University of Agricultural  
Sciences, GKVK, Bangalore,  
Karnataka, India

**Nagesh C R**

Department of Agronomy,  
University of Agricultural  
Sciences, GKVK, Bangalore,  
Karnataka, India

**Correspondence**

**Yasmin Gulnaz**

Department of Agronomy,  
University of Agricultural  
Sciences, GKVK, Bangalore,  
Karnataka, India

## Effect of plant growth promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) and PSB on growth and yield of irrigated maize under varying levels of phosphorus

**Yasmin Gulnaz, Fathima PS, Denesh GR, Akhilesh Kumar Kulmitra, Shivraj Kumar HS, Sathisha C, Prakash Ajagol and Nagesh CR**

### Abstract

A field experiment was conducted during *kharif*, 2015 at College of Agriculture, V.C. Farm, UAS, Bangalore. The experiment comprised of thirteen treatments consisting of three levels of phosphorus (75, 100 and 125 *per cent* of recommended dose) and various phosphorus biofertilizers and Plant Growth Promoting Rhizosphere (PGPR) and their combinations. The experiment was laid out in RCBD with three replications. The soil type was sandy loam. The maize hybrid used was NAH-1137. The results revealed that the application of 75, 100 and 125 *per cent* of recommended dose of phosphorus fertilizer along with PGPR II (*Pseudomonas fluorescens* + *Bacillus megaterium* + *Azospirillum brasilense*) improved the growth parameters *viz.*, plant height, LAI, CGR and Total dry weight (TDW) of the crop. It also increased the yield parameters like number of rows  $\text{cob}^{-1}$ , kernels  $\text{row}^{-1}$ , kernels  $\text{cob}^{-1}$ , kernel weight  $\text{cob}^{-1}$ , cob weight, test weight and harvest index over the use of RDF. The kernel yield was significantly higher over control in all the treatments involving biofertilizers except with the application of 75, 100 and 125 *per cent* of recommended dose of phosphorus fertilizer along with *Bacillus megaterium*.

**Keywords:** biofertilizer, PGPR, PSB, LAI, CGR, TDW.

### 1. Introduction

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is one of the most versatile emerging crops having wider adaptability under varied agro-climatic conditions. Globally, maize is known as queen of cereals because it has the highest genetic yield potential among the cereals. It is cultivated on nearly 179 million ha in the world (Anon., 2014) [2]. with an estimated grain production of 1011.76 million tonnes with an estimated productivity of 5.70 tonnes  $\text{ha}^{-1}$  during 2016-17. The area and production of maize in India is 11.52 million ha and 21 million tonnes respectively during 2015-16 (Anon., 2016) [3]. In addition to staple food for human being and quality feed for animals, maize serves as a basic raw material as an ingredient to thousands of industrial products that includes starch, oil, protein, alcoholic beverages, food sweeteners, pharmaceutical, cosmetic, film, textile, gum, package and paper industries etc. The maize plant produces high dry matter yield and therefore has a high requirement for nutrients especially the primary nutrients *viz.*, nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P) and potassium (K). Low soil fertility is a major constraint to maize production in the small farms in many parts of India. Phosphorus is an essential nutrient in plants. Phosphorus in adequate amount is necessary for early maturity, rapid growth and improves the quality of vegetative growth. Deficiency of phosphorus is responsible for small ears in maize due to crooked and missing rows as kernel twist (Masood *et al.*, 2011) [7]. The biofertilizers, an alternate low cost plant nutrient resource, has gained prime importance in recent decades and play a vital role in maintaining long-term soil fertility sustenance. Biological nitrogen fixing microorganisms significantly contributed for nitrogen addition to soil while phosphate solubilizers help in solubilising bound form of phosphorus. These beneficial microorganisms are known to secrete plant growth promoting substances for improved plant growth and crop yield (Venkataswarlu, 2008) [9].

### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 1. Location and Soil Characteristics

The field experiment was conducted at Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, V.C. Farm, UAS, Bengaluru. It falls under the region III and agro climatic zone VI (Southern Dry

Zone) of Karnataka. Geographically, the experimental site was located at 12° 34.31' North latitude and 76° 49.8' East longitude of 697 meters above mean sea level. The experimental soil was sandy loam. The soil was neutral in reaction (pH 7.13), organic carbon content was medium (0.59 per cent) with electrical conductivity of 0.19 dSm<sup>-1</sup>. The soil was low in available nitrogen (219.70 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), medium in available phosphorus (41.61 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and medium in available potassium (227.20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

### 1.1 Treatment Details

The field experiment with three replications was laid out in RCBD with the following treatments: T<sub>1</sub> (75% Rec. P + *Bacillus megaterium*), T<sub>2</sub> (75% Rec. P + *Pseudomonas fluorescens*), T<sub>3</sub> [75% Rec. P + PGPR-I (*P. fluorescens* + *B. megaterium*)], T<sub>4</sub> [75% Rec. P + PGPR-II (*P. fluorescens* + *B. megaterium* + *A. brasilense*)], T<sub>5</sub> (100% Rec. P + *B. megaterium*), T<sub>6</sub> (100% Rec. P + *P. fluorescens*), T<sub>7</sub> (100% Rec. P + PGPR-I), T<sub>8</sub> (100% Rec. P + PGPR-II), T<sub>9</sub> (125% Rec. P + *B. megaterium*), T<sub>10</sub> (125% Rec. P + *P. fluorescens*), T<sub>11</sub> (125% Rec. P + PGPR-I), T<sub>12</sub> [125% Rec. P + PGPR-II] and T<sub>13</sub> (100% Recommended Phosphorus(Rec. P) (Control)).

### 1.2 Imposition of Treatments

The recommended dose of fertilizer is 150 kg N, 75 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and 40 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>. For treatments T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub>, 75% of the required P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> was applied and for treatments T<sub>9</sub>, T<sub>10</sub>, T<sub>11</sub> and T<sub>12</sub>, 125% of the required quantity of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> was applied. The fertilizers were applied as per package of practices i.e. 50 per cent of nitrogen along with required dose of phosphorus and potassium as basal dose and remaining 50 per cent of N was applied in two splits as top dressing at 25 and 45 DAS. Zinc was applied at the rate of 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> through ZnSO<sub>4</sub> and boron was applied in the form of borax at the rate of 2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Biofertilizers were applied @ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at the time of sowing after incubation with FYM in a ratio of 1:10 of biofertilizers

to maize. Also, the biofertilizers were combined in a ratio of 1:1 of *P. fluorescens* to *B. megaterium* for T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>7</sub> and T<sub>11</sub> and in 1:1:1 ratio of *P. fluorescens* to *B. megaterium* to *A. brasilense* for T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>8</sub> and T<sub>12</sub>.

## 3. Results and Discussion

The results of the experiment revealed that there was significant difference in growth parameters viz., plant height, Leaf Area Index (LAI), Crop Growth Rate (CGR) and total dry weight of plants recorded at 90 days after sowing among the treatments. The highest plant height was recorded with T<sub>8</sub> (204.27 cm) which was on par T<sub>12</sub> (202.70 cm) and significantly higher than all the other treatments. The lowest plant height was observed by control (178.03 cm). The highest LAI was recorded with T<sub>8</sub> (3.65) which was on par with all the other treatments and superior over the control (3.35) and T<sub>2</sub>. The highest CGR was recorded by T<sub>8</sub> (26.30 g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) which was on par T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>7</sub>, T<sub>9</sub> and T<sub>12</sub> and was significantly higher over other treatments. The lower CGR was obtained by control (23.86 g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>). This increment in growth parameters was due to the enhanced supply of nutrients in those treatments because of beneficial effect of PSB and *Azospirillum*. The microbes were able to supply the native soil nutrient as well as applied nutrients in available forms to the plant. The Phosphorus solubilising bacteria such as *Bacillus megaterium* and *Pseudomonas sp.* also enhance the ability of plants to fix atmospheric nitrogen. The higher accumulation of dry matter was due to increase in photosynthesis due to increased supply of nitrogen from *Azospirillum* which is a constituent of chlorophyll. These observations were in line with the findings of Priya and Geetham (2015) [8]. Similar results were shown by Afzal and Bano (2008) [1] for shoot weight, plant height and root weight of wheat and Kaur and Reddy (2015) [5] for shoot length and shoot dry weight of maize.

**Table 1:** Effect of PGPR and PSB on Growth Parameters of Irrigated Maize Under Varying Levels of Phosphorus

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	LAI	CGR (g m <sup>-2</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )	TDW (g)
T <sub>1</sub>	187	3.59	25.31	258.06
T <sub>2</sub>	190	3.47	24.86	256.09
T <sub>3</sub>	198	3.62	25.08	260.09
T <sub>4</sub>	200	3.64	26.11	268.89
T <sub>5</sub>	186	3.59	25.07	257.69
T <sub>6</sub>	189	3.60	24.82	257.36
T <sub>7</sub>	199	3.64	25.37	261.59
T <sub>8</sub>	204	3.65	26.30	270.79
T <sub>9</sub>	187	3.59	25.49	259.42
T <sub>10</sub>	188	3.62	25.01	256.96
T <sub>11</sub>	198	3.59	25.19	261.29
T <sub>12</sub>	203	3.65	26.12	269.66
T <sub>13</sub>	178	3.35	23.86	231.09
S.Em ±	0.78	0.05	0.36	1.90
CD @ 5%	2.26	0.15	1.04	5.55

The experimental data pertaining to kernel yield, stover yield, harvest index, shelling percentage and yield attributes viz., number of rows per cob, number of kernels per row, total number of kernels per cob, kernel weight per cob, cob weight and hundred kernel weight as influenced by PGPR and phosphorus biofertilizers under varying levels of phosphorus are presented in Table 2. The yield parameters like number of rows cob<sup>-1</sup>, kernels row<sup>-1</sup>, kernels cob<sup>-1</sup>, kernel weight cob<sup>-1</sup>, cob weight, test weight and harvest index in T<sub>4</sub> was on par with T<sub>8</sub> and T<sub>12</sub> and superior the control. The kernel yield was significantly higher over control in all the treatments

involving biofertilizers except T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>9</sub>. Similar trend was seen in shelling percentage. The stover yield was significantly higher over control in all the treatments involving biofertilizers. The significant improvement in the yield could be due to application of biofertilizers to the root zone which increased the nutrient supply favouring higher uptake of nutrients and thereby production of more growth parameters which resulted in higher yield parameters, kernel and stover yield of maize. This may also be due to higher biomass, LAI and CGR as evidenced in the present study. The improved shelling percentage may be attributed to the

increased grain filling due to enhanced nutrient uptake and increased photosynthesis. These results were also in conformity with Kushare *et al.* (2009) <sup>[6]</sup> for grain and straw

yield in maize and Chinnusamy *et al.* (2006) <sup>[4]</sup> for number of productive tillers per plant, number of filled grains per panicle, test weight, grain and straw yield in rice.

**Table 2:** Effect of PGPR and PSB on Yield Parameters and Yield of Irrigated Maize Under Varying Levels of Phosphorus

Treatments	Rows per cob	Kernels per row	Kernels per cob	Kernel wt per cob	Cob weight (g)	Test weight (g)	Harvest Index	Shelling %	kernel yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	stover yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )
T <sub>1</sub>	14.13	27.80	392.84	134.54	228.03	33.93	0.48	59.03	7193	7886
T <sub>2</sub>	14.03	30.43	427.43	146.08	229.82	33.87	0.50	63.56	7825	7888
T <sub>3</sub>	14.60	32.30	474.78	162.81	243.14	34.20	0.52	67.25	8230	7904
T <sub>4</sub>	14.60	31.80	464.48	161.90	261.02	34.53	0.51	63.66	8379	8062
T <sub>5</sub>	14.20	28.03	398.07	136.51	228.04	33.97	0.47	59.90	6938	7879
T <sub>6</sub>	14.23	28.90	411.34	141.06	229.83	33.97	0.47	61.42	7022	7928
T <sub>7</sub>	14.37	30.23	434.60	149.88	229.82	34.17	0.51	65.60	8222	7988
T <sub>8</sub>	14.73	31.70	467.11	162.87	249.32	35.10	0.52	65.33	8718	8100
T <sub>9</sub>	14.20	27.60	391.19	134.09	227.96	33.93	0.47	58.84	7168	7901
T <sub>10</sub>	15.30	27.67	425.34	145.71	229.75	33.93	0.50	63.44	7813	7886
T <sub>11</sub>	13.40	30.60	409.57	141.76	229.74	34.27	0.48	62.32	7561	8045
T <sub>12</sub>	14.50	31.27	452.90	159.24	249.24	34.83	0.51	63.91	8420	8027
T <sub>13</sub>	13.13	25.73	337.25	109.43	205.14	32.10	0.48	53.59	6554	7063
S.Em ±	0.37	0.47	11.66	4.02	8.03	0.21	0.01	2.42	230	111
CD @ 5%	1.08	1.38	34.02	11.74	23.44	0.60	0.02	7.06	673	324

#### 4. Conclusion

The application of 75, 100 and 125 per cent of recommended dose of phosphorus fertilizer along with PGPR II showed on par growth and yield parameters as well as improved nutrient status after harvest of the crop over the control. A consortia of *Bacillus megaterium*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Azospirillum brasilense* (PGPR II) can be effectively used for maize under irrigated conditions with a reduction of use in chemical phosphorus fertilizer to the tune of 25 per cent.

#### 5. Acknowledgement

The author wishes to thank Professor Fathima, P. S., University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore, Karnataka, India for his sustained interest in this work and the preparation of this paper. The award of ICAR –JRF (Junior research fellowship) to the author is also gratefully acknowledged.

#### 6. References

1. Afzal A, Bano A. Rhizobium and Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria improve the yield and phosphorus uptake in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). International Journal of Agriculture and Biology. 2008; 10(1):85-88.
2. Anonymous. www.indiastat.com. 2014.
3. Anonymous. www.geofin.co.in, 2016.
4. Chinnusamy M, Kaushik BD, Prasanna R, Growth nutritional, and yield parameters of wetland rice as influenced by microbial consortia under controlled conditions. Journal of Plant Nutrition. 2006; 29:857-871
5. Kaur G, Reddy MS. Effects of Phosphate-solubilizing bacteria, rock phosphate and chemical fertilizers on maize-wheat cropping cycle and economics. Pedosphere. 2015; 25(3):428-437.
6. Kushare BM, Kushare YM, Sandhan VS. Effect of N and P levels and biofertilizers on growth and yield of wheat under late sown irrigated conditions. International Journal of Agricultural Science. 2009; 5(2):424-427.
7. Masood T, Gul R, Munsif F, Jalal F, Hussain Z, Noreen N. Effect of different phosphorus levels on the yield and yield components of maize. Sarhad Journal Agriculture. 2011; 27(2):167-170.

8. Priya KP, Geetham PK. A co-inoculation study on the potential integrate of Azospirillum and Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria for improving plant growth and yield. International Journal of Science and Technology. 2015; 3(4):44-49.
9. Venkateshwarlu B. Role of bio-fertilizers in organic farming: Organic farming in rainfed agriculture, Central Research Institute for Dryland Agriculture, Hyderabad, 2008, 85-95.