



P-ISSN: 2349-8528  
 E-ISSN: 2321-4902  
 IJCS 2017; 5(5): 2020-2029  
 © 2017 IJCS  
 Received: 17-07-2017  
 Accepted: 18-08-2017

**Gayatri Sahu**  
 Department of Agricultural  
 Chemistry and Soil Science,  
 Bidhan Chandra Krishi  
 Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur,  
 Nadia, West Bengal, India

**Nitin Chatterjee**  
 Department of Agricultural  
 Chemistry and Soil Science,  
 Bidhan Chandra Krishi  
 Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur,  
 Nadia, West Bengal, India

**Animesh Ghosh Bag**  
 Department of Agricultural  
 Chemistry and Soil Science,  
 Bidhan Chandra Krishi  
 Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur,  
 Nadia, West Bengal, India

**Aritra Kumar Mukherjee**  
 Department of Agricultural  
 Chemistry and Soil Science,  
 Bidhan Chandra Krishi  
 Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur,  
 Nadia, West Bengal, India

**Correspondence**  
**Gayatri Sahu**  
 Department of Agricultural  
 Chemistry and Soil Science,  
 Bidhan Chandra Krishi  
 Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur,  
 Nadia, West Bengal, India

## Effect of integrated nutrient management on lentil-sesame-rice cropping system in red and Lateritic Soils of West Bengal

**Gayatri Sahu, Nitin Chatterjee, Animesh Ghosh Bag and Aritra Kumar Mukherjee**

### Abstract

Integrated Nutrient Management is one of the important issues for sustainable crop production. A field experiment was conducted during 2014-15 at the Agricultural Farm of Palli Siksha Bhavana (Institute of Agriculture), Visva- Bharati, Sriniketan to study the effect of combined application of organic and inorganic fertilizers on yield, nutrient uptake, and quality content along with microbial population in Lentil-Sesame-Rice cropping system. Highest yield, nutrient uptake and quality content were obtained in integrated application of organic and inorganic fertilizer treated plots. Micronutrients have a great impact on soil health in sustainable agriculture by improving growth, yield and fertility status of soils. Sulphur has a major role in case of yield, uptake and oil content of sesame.

**Keywords:** Integrated Nutrient Management, macro and micronutrients, sulphur, Farm Yard Manure, biofertilizer, yield, uptake, oil and protein content

### Introduction

The post green revolution scenario of Indian agriculture encompasses many problems such as stagnation or even decline in production and productivity growth rates of major crops, deterioration of soil fertility, decline in factor productivity, low diversity of production systems and increasing cost of production. Indiscriminate use of high analysis chemical fertilizers resulted in the deficiency of nutrients other than the applied and disturbs the natural equilibrium of nutrient elements in soils [43]. The problems of micronutrients also generally crops up with the use of high analysis chemical fertilizers having one or two nutrient elements [46]. The decline in productivity of intensive cropping systems over the years was associated with deficiencies of secondary and micronutrients [45]. Under these constraints, bioinoculants are the route to alternative strategy and many workers reported the beneficial effects of integrating biofertilizers on crop growth, yield and maintenance of soil fertility [32]. *Azospirillum*, an associative diazotroph, and *Azotobacter*, a heterotrophic free living diazotroph have been identified as potential microbial inoculants for increasing the productivity of various non legume crops. These organisms besides N<sub>2</sub> fixation synthesize and secrete many amino acids which influence seed germination, plant growth and yield [39]. FYM is a key fertilizer in organic and sustainable soil management. It contains many of the elements that are needed for plant growth and development. Lentil, sesame and rice, crops are grown in sequence in some areas of West Bengal. The Lentil is grown mainly as *rabi* crop, sesame as *pre-kharif* and rice is grown mostly as *kharif* crop. This cropping system could be practiced in Red and Lateritic belt of West Bengal with limited assured irrigation facility. Since the yield level of lentil, sesame and rice crops are sub-optimal and keeping all other points so far in mind an integrated nutrient management approach was followed under lentil-sesame-rice cropping system in the red and lateritic belt of West Bengal.

Pulses form an integral part of the vegetarian diet and the cheapest source of protein for the resource of poor farmers of the Indian sub-continent. Lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medikus) is an important grain legume in Asia. It occupies an important position in this region. India is the world's major lentil producing country, followed by Canada and Turkey, which collectively account for 68% of global production [15]. It is an important source of protein and several essential micronutrients. It synthesizes N in symbiosis with *Rhizobium* and enriches the soil. It improves the fertility status of soil through atmospheric N fixation.

Out of total pulses, lentil ranks fourth in acreage and fifth in production and third in productivity in West Bengal. However, there is a great possibility to increase lentil production by cultivating HYV with balanced fertilization including micronutrient. Micronutrients play an important role in increasing yield of pulses through their effect on the plant itself and on the Nitrogen fixing by symbiotic process. Deficiencies of these nutrients have been very pronounced under multiple cropping systems due to excess removal by HYV of crops and hence their exogenous supplies are urgently required.

Oilseed crops play the second important role in the Indian agricultural economy next to food grains in terms of area and production. The Indian climate is suitable for the cultivation of oilseed crops; therefore, large varieties of oilseeds are cultivated here. Among the oilseed crops, sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) is well known and is one of the oldest crops in the world [49]. It is one of the important oilseed crops in West Bengal and mainly grown in marginal land with minimum care. The area, production and productivity of sesame are higher in summer season than those of post-kharif and kharif seasons [2]. But the productivity of sesame in general is much lower than its potential yield. Lower productivity of is due to use of sub-optimal rate of fertilizer, poor management and cultivation of sesame in marginal and sub-marginal lands where deficiency of macronutrients such as nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and micronutrient is predominant. It is reported that sulphur plays an important role in the primary and secondary plant metabolism as a component of proteins, glucosinolates and other compounds that related to several parameters determining the nutritive quality of crops [8, 22]. Sulphur application significantly increased the uptake of Nitrogen in straw and grain [4, 13], thereby increased grain yield. In oilseeds, sulphur plays a significant role in the quality and development of seeds. The *Azospirillum* application can be used to decrease the use of N fertilizer to 50% [36]. The effect of partial replacement of chemical fertilizer with N-fixing bacteria did not have a significant influence on oil percentage in sesame [17]. Boron plays a vital role in oil synthesis. Further, combined application of organics and inorganics during kharif season in sesame exerts significant yield. Understanding the factors involved in the uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium and their utilization by sesame in soils of low nutrient status has important implications for its improved nutrition and productivity.

Rice is one of the most important food crop and a primary food source for more than one third of world's population [33]. In India, West Bengal is one of the leading states for rice cultivation. Rice is a nutritional staple food which provides instant energy as its most important component is carbohydrate (starch). The use of nitrogen fertilizers increases the percentage content of some amino acids. The main rice growing season in the country is the 'Kharif'. Nitrogen and Sulphur are both involved in plant protein synthesis, a process that may determines yield of crops. As a result, the requirement of N by plants increases when N is fertilized with S, as their metabolism is coupled in the synthesis of S-containing amino acids, membrane lipids, enzymes and coenzymes [1]. Indian farmers apply N, P and K fertilizers widely, it is found that application of micronutrients such as B, Zn, and Mo is not a usual practices. A marked higher incidence of micronutrient deficiency is found in crop due to intensive cropping, loss of fertile top soil and losses of

nutrient through leaching [35, 44, 42]. Zinc is one of the most important micronutrient essential for plant growth especially for rice grown under submerged condition. Application of Zn along with NPK fertilizer increases the grain yield dramatically in most cases [42, 12].

## Materials and Methods

A field experiment was conducted during 2014-15 to study the Integrated Nutrient Management of Lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medikus)-Sesame (*Sesamum indicum*)-Rice (*Oryza sativa*) cropping system in red and lateritic soil of West Bengal at the Agricultural Farm of Palli Siksha Bhavana (Institute of Agriculture), Visva- Bharati, Sriniketan. The experimental farm was situated at 23°39' N latitude and 87°42' E longitude with an average altitude of 58.9 m above the mean sea level under sub humid semi-arid region of West Bengal. The soil was acidic (pH 4.35), low in organic carbon (0.32%), available nitrogen (160 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), available phosphorus (15.92kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), available potassium (72 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), available sulphur (11.23 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with 15 treatments. As per the treatments specification, fertilizers were applied in the form of urea, diammonium phosphate (DAP), murate of potash (MOP) for the source of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium respectively. Magnesium sulphate (26.63% S) was used for the source of sulphur. In the cases of micronutrients Boric acid (17% B), Zinc Sulphate Heptahydrate (21% Z), Ammonium molybdate (54% Mo) are used for the source of boron, zinc and molybdenum. All the plots are treated with FYM (5tonnes per ha) except T<sub>1</sub> whereas T<sub>1</sub> was treated as control plot where RDF was followed accordingly in cropping system. The seed was inoculated properly with the culture of *Rhizobium*, *Azotobactor* and *Azospirillum* in this cropping system. The available nutrient status of soil, Total uptake of nutrients, yield, oil and protein content along with microbial population was calculated. The soil samples were analyzed following standard procedures. Available sulphur in the soil was extracted using 0.15% CaCl<sub>2</sub> solution. The total sulphur in the soil was extracted by perchloric acid (HClO<sub>4</sub>) digestion. Sulfur content in the digest of plant and soil extract was determined using turbidimetric method of Chesnin and Yien. The amount of seed nitrogen content was estimated as per Jackson and expressed the concentration in percentage. Crude protein was determined by multiplying percentage of nitrogen content in seeds of sesame with a factor of 6.25. The data collected from the experiment at different growth stages was subjected to statistical analysis [16].

## Results and Discussion

### Effect of INM on yield

Analysis of data about effect of treatments on the yield of lentil is presented in Table 1. The table consists the seed, stalk and harvest index of lentil. Seed yield was affected significantly by integrated application of fertilizer in different treatments over control. Combined application of inorganic nutrients along with organic nutrients increased seed yield as compared to only inorganically treated nutrients. The highest seed yield (6.98 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) was observed in T<sub>15</sub> and lowest value (4.09 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) was observed in control. Seed inoculation of *Rhizobium* + PSB @ 60 g kg<sup>-1</sup> seed recorded significantly higher seed yield as compared to uninoculated control except micronutrient treated plots. Dual application of biofertilizer gave more yield than single application of biofertilizers [30, 6].

**Table 1:** Effect of INM treatments on Lentil yield

Treatments	Seed Yield	Stalk Yield	Harvest Index (%)
	(qha <sup>-1</sup> )		
T <sub>1</sub> - Control(RDF:: 30:40:20)	4.09	16.10	20.26
T <sub>2</sub> -N <sub>15</sub> P <sub>20</sub> K <sub>0</sub> + FYM	4.48	16.56	21.29
T <sub>3</sub> -N <sub>15</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>0</sub> + FYM	5.17	17.17	23.13
T <sub>4</sub> -N <sub>15</sub> P <sub>20</sub> K <sub>20</sub> + FYM	5.31	17.23	23.55
T <sub>5</sub> -N <sub>15</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>20</sub> + FYM	5.42	17.75	23.38
T <sub>6</sub> -N <sub>15</sub> P <sub>20</sub> K <sub>40</sub> + FYM	5.94	18.03	24.78
T <sub>7</sub> -N <sub>15</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>40</sub> + FYM	5.96	17.89	24.92
T <sub>8</sub> -N <sub>15</sub> P <sub>20</sub> K <sub>60</sub> + FYM	6.23	18.04	25.65
T <sub>9</sub> -N <sub>15</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>60</sub> + FYM	6.34	18.32	25.73
T <sub>10</sub> -N <sub>15</sub> P <sub>20</sub> K <sub>20</sub> + <i>Rhizobium</i> + FYM	6.43	18.27	26.03
T <sub>11</sub> -N <sub>15</sub> P <sub>20</sub> K <sub>20</sub> + <i>Rhizobium</i> +PSB+ FYM	6.50	18.67	25.80
T <sub>12</sub> -N <sub>15</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>0</sub> Zn <sub>10.5</sub> S <sub>8.5</sub> Mo <sub>1.0</sub> + FYM	6.57	19.22	25.47
T <sub>13</sub> -N <sub>15</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>0</sub> Zn <sub>21</sub> S <sub>17</sub> Mo <sub>2.0</sub> + FYM	6.68	19.28	25.73
T <sub>14</sub> -N <sub>15</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>0</sub> Zn <sub>10.5</sub> S <sub>8.5</sub> Mo <sub>1.0</sub> B <sub>0.5</sub> + FYM	6.86	19.20	26.33
T <sub>15</sub> -N <sub>15</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>0</sub> Zn <sub>10.5</sub> S <sub>8.5</sub> Mo <sub>1.0</sub> B <sub>1.0</sub> + FYM	6.98	19.53	26.35
SEm(±)	0.081	0.107	0.311
CD(P=0.05)	0.251	0.331	0.959

Improvement of yield due to combined application of inorganic fertilizer and biofertilizer might be attributed to controlled release of nutrients in soil through mineralization of biofertilizer which might have facilitated better crop growth [37]. In case of T<sub>15</sub> (N<sub>15</sub>P<sub>40</sub>K<sub>0</sub>Zn<sub>10.5</sub>S<sub>8.5</sub>Mo<sub>1.0</sub>B<sub>1.0</sub>+ FYM) i.e. integrated use of fertilizer was done by combined application of micro and macronutrients with FYM. So it was observed that micronutrients play a greater role to increase the yield of crop rather than macronutrients. Like seed yield, stalk yield was significantly affected by different treatments under consideration. In case of stalk yield, highest yield (19.53 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in T<sub>15</sub> followed by T<sub>13</sub> 19.28 q ha<sup>-1</sup>. The stalk yield of T<sub>12</sub> and T<sub>14</sub> treatments were almost same. Lowest stalk yield (16.10 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) was found in control which was treated with recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF). In this case also combined application of micronutrients along with

macronutrients and sulphur gave higher yield as compared to all other treatments. The ranges of harvest index observed in case of lentil ranges from 20.26-26.35. The highest harvest index (26.35) was found in T<sub>15</sub> followed by T<sub>14</sub> (26.33) and lowest value was observed in control.

Sesame seed yield was affected significantly by integrated application of fertilizer in different treatments over control (Table 2). Combined application of inorganic nutrients along with micronutrients, sulphur and FYM has a great role to increase the seed yield of sesame as compared to only inorganically treated nutrients. The seed yield varied between 4.85 to 7.92q ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest grain yield (7.92q ha<sup>-1</sup>) was observed in T<sub>13</sub> followed by T<sub>15</sub> which were treated by both micronutrients (Zn, B and Mo) and sulphur along with macronutrients (NPK) and lowest seed yield (4.85 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in control.

**Table 2:** Effect of INM treatments on sesame yield

Treatment Details	Seed Yield	Stover Yield	Harvest Index (%)
	(qha <sup>-1</sup> )		
T <sub>1</sub> - Control(RDF::60:40:40)	4.85	15.93	23.34
T <sub>2</sub> - N <sub>40</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>40</sub> + FYM	5.26	16.60	24.06
T <sub>3</sub> - N <sub>40</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>60</sub> + FYM	5.24	17.23	23.32
T <sub>4</sub> - N <sub>40</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>80</sub> + FYM	5.86	17.10	25.52
T <sub>5</sub> - N <sub>40</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>40</sub> Mo <sub>1kg</sub> + FYM	5.97	17.20	25.77
T <sub>6</sub> - N <sub>40</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>40</sub> B <sub>00.5</sub> + FYM	6.25	17.47	26.35
T <sub>7</sub> - N <sub>40</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>40</sub> Zn <sub>5</sub> + FYM	6.34	18.00	26.05
T <sub>8</sub> - N <sub>40</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>40</sub> Zn <sub>10.5</sub> + FYM	6.37	18.80	25.31
T <sub>9</sub> - N <sub>40</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>40</sub> Zn <sub>21</sub> + FYM	6.53	19.50	25.09
T <sub>10</sub> -N <sub>40</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>40</sub> + <i>Azospirillum</i> + FYM	6.84	21.57	24.08
T <sub>11</sub> - N <sub>40</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>40</sub> S <sub>15</sub> + FYM	6.93	22.37	26.17
T <sub>12</sub> -N <sub>40</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>40</sub> S <sub>30</sub> +FYM (Residual effect of Zn, Mo)	7.17	22.83	26.24
T <sub>13</sub> -N <sub>40</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>40</sub> S <sub>45</sub> + FYM (Residual effect of Zn, Mo)	7.92	24.50	28.82
T <sub>14</sub> -N <sub>40</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>40</sub> S <sub>30</sub> + FYM (Residual effect of Zn, Mo and B)	7.55	23.07	26.27
T <sub>15</sub> -N <sub>40</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>40</sub> S <sub>30</sub> + <i>Azospirillum</i> +FYM (Residual effect of Zn, Mo and B)	7.77	23.27	26.31
SEm(±)	0.064	0.227	0.271
CD(P=0.05)	0.199	0.702	0.836

It is interesting to note that *Azospirillum* treated plots recorded higher yield than only NPK and NPK with micronutrients. Integrated nutrient management are reported to be the best option to increase the yield of the crops and maintaining soil health [31, 10, 48]. The results clearly indicate that integrated use of FYM, N P K, along with S, B, Mo, Zn and *Azospirillum* in various combinations or alone performed

better with regards to seed yield, stover yield, and biological yield of sesame. It is interesting to note that application of either B, or Mo or Zn along with NPK boosted the yield of sesame significantly. This may be due to supply of nutrients from diversified sources and prolonged availability of nutrients to the growing plants. The beneficial role of free living nitrogen fixing microorganisms for enhancing plant

growth through their ability in nitrogen fixation as well as the effect of their metabolites secretion on the crop may also be attributed for the same [48, 21, 18]. Like seed yield, stover yield was significantly increased by different treatments under study. In case of stover yield the ranges varied between 15.93 to 24.50q ha<sup>-1</sup>. Like grain yield the highest yield was also found in T<sub>13</sub> i.e. 24.50 qha<sup>-1</sup> followed by T<sub>15</sub> i.e. 23.27 q ha<sup>-1</sup>. Lowest stover yield was found in control i.e.15.93 q ha<sup>-1</sup>. It was also found that *Azospirillum* treated plots recorded higher yield than only NPK and NPK with micronutrients

treated plots. Here also combined application of macro and micronutrients along with sulphur has a great role to increase the stover yield of sesame. The ranges of harvest index were observed in case of sesame from 23.34-28.82%. The highest harvest index was found in T<sub>13</sub> and lowest value was observed in T<sub>3</sub>. Improvement of yield due to combined application of macro and micronutrients along with sulphur. In case of T<sub>13</sub> integrated use of fertilizer was done by combined application of micro and macronutrients with higher dose of sulphur helps to get higher yield in case of sesame.

**Table 3:** Effect of INM treatments on rice yield

Treatments	Grain Yield   Straw Yield (qha <sup>-1</sup> )		Harvest Index (%)
	T <sub>1</sub> - Control(RDF:: 80:40:60)	35.20	
T <sub>2</sub> - N <sub>80</sub> P <sub>20</sub> K <sub>0</sub>	44.53	49.73	47.12
T <sub>3</sub> - N <sub>80</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>0</sub>	46.47	50.27	48.16
T <sub>4</sub> - N <sub>80</sub> P <sub>20</sub> K <sub>20</sub>	47.20	58.60	44.68
T <sub>5</sub> - N <sub>80</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>20</sub> (Residual effect of Mo)	48.53	59.47	44.87
T <sub>6</sub> - N <sub>80</sub> P <sub>20</sub> K <sub>40</sub> (Residual effect of B)	49.27	45.87	52.42
T <sub>7</sub> - N <sub>80</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>40</sub> (Residual effect of Zn)	50.40	45.80	52.51
T <sub>8</sub> - N <sub>80</sub> P <sub>20</sub> K <sub>60</sub> (Residual effect of Zn)	49.33	53.47	48.56
T <sub>9</sub> - N <sub>80</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>60</sub> (Residual effect of Zn)	50.20	63.40	44.57
T <sub>10</sub> - N <sub>80</sub> P <sub>20</sub> K <sub>20</sub> + <i>Azotobacter</i>	51.27	73.07	41.10
T <sub>11</sub> - N <sub>80</sub> P <sub>20</sub> K <sub>20</sub> + <i>Azotobacter</i> + <i>Azospirillum</i>	53.60	74.87	47.71
T <sub>12</sub> - N <sub>80</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>0</sub> S <sub>10</sub> (Residual effect of Zn, Mo)	53.67	72.60	47.24
T <sub>13</sub> - N <sub>80</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>0</sub> S <sub>20</sub> (Residual effect of Zn, Mo)	55.33	78.80	41.31
T <sub>14</sub> - N <sub>80</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>0</sub> S <sub>10</sub> (Residual effect of Zn, Mo, B)	56.87	90.53	38.60
T <sub>15</sub> - N <sub>80</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>0</sub> S <sub>10</sub> (Residual effect of Zn, Mo, B)	57.93	93.47	38.28
SEm(±)	3.178	4.983	3.895
CD(P=0.05)	9.794	15.353	12.001

Analysis of data about effect of treatments on the yield of rice is presented in Table 3. The table consists the grain, straw yield of rice along with harvest index. Grain yield was affected significantly by integrated application of fertilizer in different treatments over control. Combined application of inorganic and organic nutrients increased grain yield as compared to only inorganically treated nutrients. So combined application of macro and micronutrients along with sulphur has a great role to increase the grain yield of rice. The grain yield varied between 35.20 to 57.93 qha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest grain yield was observed in T<sub>15</sub> i.e 57.93 q ha<sup>-1</sup> followed by T<sub>14</sub> i.e 56.87 qha<sup>-1</sup> which were treated by both micronutrients and sulphur along with macronutrients (NPK) and lowest value was observed in control i.e. 35.20 q ha<sup>-1</sup>. It is interesting to note that micronutrients like B, Mo and Zn has exerted their profound effect in conjunction with NPK on yield and yield attributing parameters of rice. It was also found that *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* treated plots recorded higher yield than only NPK treated plots. Improvement in yield due to combined application of INM treatments are mainly due to balanced supply of nutrients which might have facilitated better crop growth [37]. Like grain yield, straw yield was significantly increased affected by different treatments under study. Straw yield of rice varied between 27.00 to 93.47 q ha<sup>-1</sup>. Like grain yield the highest straw yield was also found in T<sub>15</sub> i.e. 93.47 q ha<sup>-1</sup> followed by T<sub>14</sub> i.e. 90.53 q ha<sup>-1</sup>. Lowest straw yield was found in control i.e. 27.00 q ha<sup>-1</sup>. It was also found that *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* treated plots recorded higher yield than only NPK treated plots. Here also combined application of macro and micronutrients along with sulphur has a great role to increase the straw yield of rice. The harvest index observed in case of rice ranged from 38.28-56.55%. The highest harvest index was found in control and lowest value was observed in T<sub>10</sub>. Improvement of yield takes

place due to combined application of macro and micronutrients along with sulphur. In case of T<sub>15</sub> integrated use of fertilizer was done by combined application of micro and macronutrients along with sulphur helps to get higher yield in case of rice. Several authors reported the beneficial effects of *Azospirillum* inoculation in increasing rice yield [23, 20, 14]. Investigations showed that *Azospirillum* inoculation increased rice yield significantly by 1.6–10.5 g per plant (32–81% increase) in greenhouse conditions [28, 26]. However, under field conditions the estimated yield increase was approximately 1.8 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (22% increases) [5]. Rice yields in field trials increased by 0.4–0.9 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (7–20% increase) due to *Azotobacter* application [50].

### Effect of INM on nutrient uptake

#### Nutrient uptake in lentil

Results indicate that N uptake in lentil increased with integration of micronutrients with macronutrients along with sulphur. Nitrogen uptake is higher in stalk than seed because yield of stalk was more than that of seed. Uptake of N by seed ranges between 6.94 to 19.33 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest N uptake was observed in T<sub>15</sub> due to integrated application of micronutrients with macronutrients along with sulphur and FYM followed by T<sub>13</sub> and lowest value was observed in control plot. The uptake of N by stalk ranges between 18.03 to 25.56 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest uptakes was observed in T<sub>12</sub> and lowest value was observed in control plot. Depending upon the stalk uptake and seed uptake total uptake of lentil was summed up. The total N uptake ranged between 24.97 to 44.09 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest value of total N uptake was observed in T<sub>15</sub> (i.e. 44.09 kgha<sup>-1</sup>) followed by T<sub>12</sub> and T<sub>13</sub>, lowest value was observed in control plot. The higher N uptake with biofertilizers and organic manure might be attributed to solubilisation of native nutrients, chelating of complex form

of intermediate organic molecules produced during decomposition of added organic manures, their mobilization and accumulation of different nutrients in plant parts [29]. Seed inoculation of *Rhizobium* + PSB @ 60 g kg<sup>-1</sup> seed recorded significantly higher nitrogen uptake in stalk as compared to uninoculated control except micronutrients treated plots [24].

Phosphorus uptake in lentil was lower as compared to nitrogen and potassium uptake. Like nitrogen the uptake by stalk is higher than seed. It was also influenced by combined application of inorganic fertilizers along with biofertilizers. Almost all the INM treatments gave significantly higher P uptake by lentil over control. Phosphorus uptake by seed ranges between 2.56 to 5.28 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest uptake was found in T<sub>11</sub> (i.e. 5.28 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>) due to dual application of biofertilizer (*Rhizobium* and PSB) along with inorganic fertilizer followed by T<sub>15</sub>. Similarly in case of stalk uptake of P ranges between 5.19 to 8.92 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest uptake was found in T<sub>11</sub> followed by T<sub>10</sub> (i.e. 8.58 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>). It was also observed that the total uptake of P ranges between 7.76-14.20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest uptake of P was found in case of T<sub>11</sub> followed by T<sub>10</sub> (i.e. 13.57 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>). In all three cases lowest value was observed in control plot. Seed inoculation increased phosphorus uptake in grain significantly. Seed inoculation of *Rhizobium* + PSB @ 60 g kg<sup>-1</sup> seed recorded significantly higher phosphorus uptake in grain as compared to other treatments. This might be due to higher dry matter production. The above findings, in general reveal that phosphorus uptake by stalk, grain and total uptake increased by application of biofertilizers inoculation.

Potassium uptake was found significantly higher in almost all INM treatments as compared to control. In case of potassium the uptake by stalk was more than seed. The uptake of K in seed ranged between 4.70 to 8.75 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest uptake

was found in T<sub>10</sub> due to application of biofertilizer along with inorganic fertilizer but in this case *Rhizobium* inoculated treatment (T<sub>10</sub>) gave higher yield than *Rhizobium* and PSB inoculated treatment (T<sub>11</sub>). Potassium uptake by stalk ranged between 19.48 to 26.89 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest K uptake was found in T<sub>11</sub> followed by T<sub>15</sub> (i.e. 25.84 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Similarly the range of total uptake varied between 24.18 to 34.88 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. In this case also highest yield was found in T<sub>11</sub> followed by T<sub>15</sub>. In all three cases lowest value was observed in control plots. The increased uptake by K in lentil may be ascribed to the release of K from the K bearing minerals by complexing agents and organic acids produced during decomposition of organic sources.

Sulphur uptake by lentil was also similar with all cases of nutrient uptake. It was observed that the sulphur uptake was also higher in case of stalk than seed and also the uptake of sulphur is higher in case of micronutrients treated plots along with sulphur and macronutrients as compared to all other treatments. The sulphur uptake in case of seed varied between 0.56 to 3.05 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest S uptake was found in case of T<sub>13</sub> which was treated by sulphur sources @17kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> along with micro and macronutrients followed by biofertilizers inoculated treatment i.e. T<sub>11</sub> and lowest value was observed in control plot. In case of stalk, the S uptake ranged between 8.77-20.72 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest S uptake was found in T<sub>15</sub>. Lowest S uptake was observed in T<sub>2</sub> instead of control, it may be due to variability in research plot. In case of total uptake S ranges varied between 9.40-23.14 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. In this case highest yield was also observed in T<sub>15</sub> followed by T<sub>13</sub> and lowest value was observed in control plot. Uptake of sulphur was more in case of integrated use of biofertilizers along with inorganic fertilizer as compared to control [47].

**Table 4:** Uptake of nutrients (NPKS) by lentil

Treatment Details	Nutrient uptake(kg/ha)											
	N			P			K			S		
	Seed	Stalk	Total	Seed	Stalk	Total	Seed	Stalk	Total	Seed	Stalk	Total
T <sub>1</sub> - Control (RDF:: 30:40:20)	6.94	18.03	24.97	2.56	5.19	7.76	4.70	19.48	24.18	0.56	8.84	9.40
T <sub>2</sub> -N <sub>15</sub> P <sub>20</sub> K <sub>0</sub> + FYM	10.08	20.09	30.17	3.00	5.78	8.78	5.39	21.48	26.86	0.97	8.77	9.74
T <sub>3</sub> -N <sub>15</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>0</sub> + FYM	11.39	22.12	33.50	3.77	6.73	10.50	6.31	21.37	27.69	1.26	9.96	11.22
T <sub>4</sub> -N <sub>15</sub> P <sub>20</sub> K <sub>20</sub> + FYM	12.19	18.64	30.83	3.53	6.70	10.23	6.10	21.56	27.66	1.10	12.25	13.35
T <sub>5</sub> -N <sub>15</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>20</sub> + FYM	12.34	22.21	34.54	4.02	7.67	11.69	6.95	22.66	29.60	1.23	12.25	13.48
T <sub>6</sub> -N <sub>15</sub> P <sub>20</sub> K <sub>40</sub> + FYM	14.01	22.54	36.56	4.34	6.73	11.06	7.75	23.45	31.20	1.47	12.62	14.09
T <sub>7</sub> -N <sub>15</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>40</sub> + FYM	13.84	22.36	36.21	4.22	6.48	10.70	7.70	23.83	31.54	1.68	13.32	15.00
T <sub>8</sub> -N <sub>15</sub> P <sub>20</sub> K <sub>60</sub> + FYM	14.41	22.23	36.63	4.61	6.68	11.29	7.61	23.04	30.65	1.25	12.07	13.32
T <sub>9</sub> -N <sub>15</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>60</sub> + FYM	14.33	18.81	33.14	4.49	6.92	11.42	8.51	23.62	32.14	1.48	13.85	15.33
T <sub>10</sub> -N <sub>15</sub> P <sub>20</sub> K <sub>20</sub> + <i>Rhizobium</i> + FYM	15.43	23.53	38.96	4.99	8.58	13.57	8.75	24.11	32.86	2.66	10.28	12.94
T <sub>11</sub> -N <sub>15</sub> P <sub>20</sub> K <sub>20</sub> + <i>Rhizobium</i> +PSB+ FYM	15.79	22.59	38.37	5.28	8.92	14.20	7.99	26.89	34.88	2.79	11.92	14.71
T <sub>12</sub> -N <sub>15</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>0</sub> Zn <sub>10.5</sub> S <sub>8.5</sub> Mo <sub>1.0</sub> + FYM	17.76	25.56	43.32	4.86	6.84	11.69	6.91	24.44	31.35	2.45	13.48	15.93
T <sub>13</sub> -N <sub>15</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>0</sub> Zn <sub>21.0</sub> S <sub>17.0</sub> Mo <sub>2.0</sub> + FYM	19.19	24.10	43.29	4.94	7.55	12.50	8.63	24.81	33.44	3.05	13.44	16.49
T <sub>14</sub> -N <sub>15</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>0</sub> Zn <sub>10.5</sub> S <sub>8.5</sub> Mo <sub>1.0</sub> B <sub>0.5</sub> + FYM	18.84	21.84	40.69	4.99	6.96	11.95	8.32	24.02	32.33	2.25	12.50	14.75
T <sub>15</sub> -N <sub>15</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>0</sub> Zn <sub>10.5</sub> S <sub>8.5</sub> Mo <sub>1.0</sub> B <sub>1.0</sub> + FYM	19.33	24.76	44.09	5.23	7.23	12.46	8.45	25.84	34.29	2.42	20.72	23.14
SEm (±)	0.315	1.037	1.144	0.128	0.440	0.452	0.118	0.334	0.308	0.113	0.506	0.550
CD (P=0.05)	0.972	3.197	3.526	0.395	1.356	1.394	0.364	1.029	0.950	0.348	1.561	1.695

### Nutrient uptake in sesame

Analysis of the data about uptake of nutrients (NPKS) by sesame is shown in Table 5. N uptake in sesame increased with integration with *Azospirillum* with inorganic fertilizers and combined application of micronutrients with macronutrients. Different level of sulfur caused significant variation for NPKS uptake by plant of sesame. Nitrogen uptake is higher in case of stover than seed uptake. Uptake of N in seed ranges between 7.25 to 18.18 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest N content was observed in T<sub>13</sub> due to application of sulphur

along with micronutrients followed by T<sub>15</sub> i.e. 17.41 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and lowest value was observed in control plot. Similarly uptake of N by stover ranges between 14.24 to 30.20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest concentration of N in stover was also observed in T<sub>13</sub> followed by T<sub>15</sub> i.e. 29.98 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and lowest value was observed in control plot. Based on uptake by stover and seed, total uptake of N by sesame was calculated. Total uptake of N ranged between 21.49 to 48.37 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest uptake was observed in T<sub>13</sub> followed by T<sub>15</sub> i.e. 45.43 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and lowest value was observed in control plot. It was observed that

highest value of N uptake was found in combined application of macro and micronutrients along with sulphur treated plots. Increased sulphur application resulted in increased of nitrogen uptake.

Phosphorus uptake in sesame was lower as compared to nitrogen. Like nitrogen, the uptake of seed is lower than stover. It was also influenced by combined application of inorganic fertilizers and biofertilizers. Almost all the INM treatments gave significantly higher P uptake by sesame over control. Phosphorus uptake in seed ranged between 2.70 to 7.28 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest P uptake was found in T<sub>15</sub> due to combined application of biofertilizer (*Azospirillum*) along with inorganic fertilizer followed by T<sub>13</sub> i.e. 6.71 kg Pha<sup>-1</sup>. Similarly in case of stover uptake of P ranges between 3.22 to 14.03 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest P uptake was found in T<sub>15</sub> followed by T<sub>14</sub>. The total uptake of P was ranged between 5.93 to 21.30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest uptake was found in T<sub>15</sub> followed by T<sub>14</sub> i.e. 19.07 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. From the above data we found that micronutrient impacts a great role to increase the phosphorus uptake in sesame.

Potassium uptake by sesame was found significantly higher in almost all INM treatments as compared to control. The potassium uptake in stover was higher than seed. The uptake of K by seed ranged between 2.40 to 4.36 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest uptake was found in T<sub>7</sub> followed by T<sub>15</sub> 3.70 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Potassium uptake by stover ranged between 5.68 to 18.25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest uptake was found in T<sub>12</sub> followed by T<sub>15</sub> i.e. 17.82 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup>. Similarly the range of total uptake of K

varied between 8.08 to 21.70 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. In this case also highest yield was also found in T<sub>12</sub> followed by T<sub>15</sub> i.e. 21.51 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. In this case micronutrient also plays an important role to increase the K uptake.

Sulphur uptake by sesame was influenced by the application of sulphur and also micronutrients. Sulphur uptake was higher in case of stover than seed. In case of seed uptake the uptake of sulphur is higher in case of micronutrients treated plots along with sulphur and macronutrients as compared to all other treatments. Sulphur has a great role to increase the uptake in sesame as sesame is a oil seed crop [34]. The sulphur uptake in case of seed varied between 0.84 to 12.27 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest uptake was found in case of T<sub>13</sub> which was treated by sulphur source i.e. @45kg ha<sup>-1</sup> along with micro and macronutrients followed by T<sub>15</sub> i.e. 11.92 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and lowest value was observed in control plot. In case of stover, the S uptake ranged between 3.63 to 19.69 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest uptake of S was also found in T<sub>13</sub> followed by T<sub>15</sub> i.e. 16.79 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Lowest value was observed in control. In case of total uptake S ranges varied between 4.47 to 31.96 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. In this case also highest yield was also observed in T<sub>13</sub> followed by T<sub>15</sub> i.e. 28.71 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and lowest value was observed in control plot. It was observed that T<sub>13</sub> gave higher yield as compared to all other treatments due to application of high doses of sulphur i.e. @45kg ha<sup>-1</sup> along with micro and macronutrients. Next to this T<sub>15</sub> gave higher yield due to application of *Azospirillum* along with all inorganic fertilizer including sulphur, micro and macro nutrients.

**Table 5:** Uptake of nutrients (NPKS) by sesame

Treatment details	Nutrient uptake(kg/ha)											
	N			P			K			S		
	Seed	Stalk	Total	Seed	Stalk	Total	Seed	Stalk	Total	Seed	Stalk	Total
T <sub>1</sub> - Control(RDF:::60:40:40)	7.25	14.24	21.49	2.70	3.22	5.93	2.40	5.68	8.08	0.84	3.63	4.47
T <sub>2</sub> - N <sub>40</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>40</sub> + FYM	9.34	17.97	27.31	3.07	4.96	8.03	2.49	13.67	16.16	1.00	5.15	6.15
T <sub>3</sub> - N <sub>40</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>60</sub> + FYM	8.70	20.92	29.62	4.16	6.21	10.37	2.63	14.35	16.98	1.07	5.70	6.77
T <sub>4</sub> - N <sub>40</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>80</sub> + FYM	10.94	18.80	29.75	3.64	8.65	12.29	2.71	14.33	17.03	1.24	9.40	10.63
T <sub>5</sub> - N <sub>40</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>40</sub> Mo <sub>1kg</sub> + FYM	11.59	19.24	30.83	3.50	6.39	9.89	2.74	11.12	13.86	1.66	10.03	11.69
T <sub>6</sub> - N <sub>40</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>40</sub> B <sub>00.5</sub> + FYM	10.86	18.92	29.77	3.19	6.14	9.34	2.83	14.13	16.96	1.36	10.89	12.25
T <sub>7</sub> - N <sub>40</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>40</sub> Zn <sub>5</sub> + FYM	11.48	18.42	29.90	2.86	11.23	14.10	4.36	12.84	17.20	1.63	10.56	12.18
T <sub>8</sub> - N <sub>40</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>40</sub> Zn <sub>10.5</sub> + FYM	12.00	21.76	33.76	3.92	5.67	9.59	3.25	10.80	14.06	2.03	10.59	12.62
T <sub>9</sub> - N <sub>40</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>40</sub> Zn <sub>21</sub> + FYM	14.03	22.55	36.58	3.68	6.76	10.44	2.93	10.90	13.83	1.57	10.33	11.90
T <sub>10</sub> -N <sub>40</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>40</sub> + <i>Azospirillum</i> + FYM	14.54	26.38	40.92	4.09	8.45	12.54	3.25	13.33	16.58	3.39	12.65	16.03
T <sub>11</sub> - N <sub>40</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>40</sub> S <sub>15</sub> + FYM	15.02	22.75	37.76	4.68	10.21	14.88	3.16	9.16	12.32	5.76	14.40	20.15
T <sub>12</sub> -N <sub>40</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>40</sub> S <sub>30</sub> +FYM (Residual effect of Zn, Mo)	16.33	27.71	44.04	5.26	11.94	17.19	3.45	18.25	21.70	10.90	15.19	26.09
T <sub>13</sub> -N <sub>40</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>40</sub> S <sub>45</sub> + FYM (Residual effect of Zn, Mo)	18.18	30.20	48.37	6.71	10.65	17.36	3.49	9.41	12.90	12.27	19.69	31.96
T <sub>14</sub> -N <sub>40</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>40</sub> S <sub>30</sub> + FYM (Residual effect of Zn, Mo and B)	16.62	28.81	45.43	5.29	13.77	19.07	3.52	16.53	20.05	11.57	15.60	27.17
T <sub>15</sub> -N <sub>40</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>40</sub> S <sub>30</sub> + <i>Azospirillum</i> +FYM (Residual effect of Zn, Mo and B)	17.41	29.98	47.39	7.28	14.03	21.30	3.70	17.82	21.51	11.92	16.79	28.71
SEm (±)	0.308	1.128	1.120	0.21	0.399	0.394	0.083	0.328	0.335	0.099	0.509	0.536
CD(P=0.05)	0.951	3.477	3.452	0.67	1.230	1.216	0.256	1.012	1.035	0.308	1.570	1.652

### Uptake of nutrients (N, P, K and S) by rice

Analysis of the data about total uptake (NPKS) of rice is shown in Table 6. From Table 6, it was observed that total N content in grain was more than that of straw because the straw yield of rice was more than the yield of grain. It was observed that N uptake in rice grain increased by integrated application of micronutrients with macronutrients along with sulphur. Uptake of N by grain ranges between 75.70 to 149.61 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest N uptake was observed in T<sub>14</sub> which was treated by sulphur along with macro and micronutrients followed by T<sub>11</sub> i.e. 147.21 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and lowest value was

observed in control plot. Similarly uptake of N by straw ranges between 27.05 to 122.65 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest value was observed in T<sub>10</sub> followed by T<sub>14</sub> i.e. 119.71 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and lowest value was observed in control plot. Depending upon the N uptake by straw and grain, the total uptake of N by rice was summed up. The highest total uptake was observed in T<sub>14</sub> i.e. 269.31kg ha<sup>-1</sup> followed by T<sub>10</sub> i.e. 255.22 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, lowest value was observed in control plot.

Phosphorus uptake by rice was lower as compared to both nitrogen and potassium. Like nitrogen, the uptake by grain is higher than straw. It was also influenced by combined

application of macronutrients with micronutrients along with sulphur. Almost all the INM treatments gave significantly higher P uptake in rice over control. Phosphorus uptake by grain ranges between 7.12 to 34.03 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest P uptake in grain was found in T<sub>15</sub> followed by T<sub>14</sub> 32.81 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and lowest value was observed in control plot. Similarly in case of straw uptake of P ranges between 5.16 to 33.14kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest P uptake was found in T<sub>15</sub> followed by T<sub>14</sub> i.e. 30.04kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and lowest value was observed in control plot. Based on the P uptake by straw and grain, the total uptake by rice was summed up. The highest total P uptake was observed in T<sub>15</sub> i.e. 67.17 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> followed by T<sub>14</sub> i.e. 62.85 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, lowest value was observed in control plot. Findings reveal that phosphorus uptake by straw, grain and total uptake increased by application of micronutrients with inorganic nutrients. So micronutrients have a major role to increase the P uptake in rice.

Potassium uptake by rice straw and grain was found significantly higher in almost all INM treatments as compared to control. In case of potassium uptake in straw was higher than grain. The uptake of K in grain ranged between 6.06 to 14.48 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest uptake of K was recorded in T<sub>9</sub> followed by T<sub>8</sub> i.e. 13.41 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> due to application of higher dose of potashic fertilizer @60kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in case of T<sub>8</sub> and T<sub>9</sub>. Potassium uptake by straw ranged between 21.91 to 116.84 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest uptake was registered in T<sub>14</sub> followed by T<sub>15</sub> i.e. 115.34 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> due to application of micronutrients.

Similarly the range of total uptake varied between 27.97 to 129.38 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest total uptake was recorded in T<sub>14</sub> followed by T<sub>15</sub> i.e. 127.32 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>

From Table 6, it was observed that sulphur uptake by rice was higher in case of straw than grain. The uptake of sulphur was higher in case of micronutrients treated plots along with sulphur and macronutrients as compared to all other treatments. The sulphur uptake in case of grain varied between 2.93 to 15.95 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest uptake was found in case of T<sub>13</sub> which was treated by sulphur source i.e. @20kg ha<sup>-1</sup> along with micro and macronutrients followed by T<sub>15</sub> i.e. 13.59 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and lowest value was observed in control plot. In case of straw, the S uptake ranged between 5.39 to 23.53 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest uptake was found in T<sub>15</sub> followed by T<sub>14</sub> i.e. 22.67 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, which was treated with micronutrients along with sulphur and macronutrients. Lowest value was observed in control. In case of total uptake S ranges varied between 8.33 to 38.13 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. In this case highest yield was also observed in T<sub>13</sub> followed by T<sub>15</sub> i.e. 37.12 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and lowest value was observed in control plot. It is interesting to note that T<sub>13</sub> gave higher sulphur uptake as compared to all other treatments due to application of high doses of sulphur i.e. @20kg ha<sup>-1</sup> along with micro and macronutrients. Next to the micronutrients treated plots biofertilizer inoculated plots gave higher yield as compared to other treatments. Application of nitrogenous fertilizer gave a positive impact in case of uptake of sulphur.

**Table 6:** Uptake of nutrients (NPKS) by sesame

Treatment details	Nutrient uptake(kg/ha)											
	N			P			K			S		
	Grain	Straw	Total	Grain	Straw	Total	Grain	Straw	Total	Seed	Stalk	Total
T <sub>1</sub> - Control(RDF:: 80:40:60)	75.70	27.05	102.75	7.12	5.16	12.28	6.06	21.91	27.97	2.93	5.39	8.33
T <sub>2</sub> - N <sub>80</sub> P <sub>20</sub> K <sub>0</sub>	99.16	52.65	151.81	11.37	10.05	21.41	8.12	67.27	75.39	4.48	10.61	15.09
T <sub>3</sub> - N <sub>80</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>0</sub>	108.41	93.30	201.70	12.24	11.53	23.77	9.41	68.45	77.85	7.32	10.55	17.86
T <sub>4</sub> - N <sub>80</sub> P <sub>20</sub> K <sub>20</sub>	114.48	81.07	195.54	12.14	12.36	24.51	10.26	82.38	92.64	9.39	12.81	22.20
T <sub>5</sub> - N <sub>80</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>20</sub> (Residual effect of Mo)	118.01	80.57	198.58	13.40	14.96	28.36	11.30	84.52	95.81	10.60	14.12	24.72
T <sub>6</sub> - N <sub>80</sub> P <sub>20</sub> K <sub>40</sub> (Residual effect of B)	119.18	48.38	167.56	15.07	10.32	25.38	12.45	65.28	77.73	10.26	9.28	19.54
T <sub>7</sub> - N <sub>80</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>40</sub> (Residual effect of Zn)	115.30	53.08	168.38	17.68	12.09	29.77	13.22	66.24	79.47	9.56	10.09	19.65
T <sub>8</sub> - N <sub>80</sub> P <sub>20</sub> K <sub>60</sub> (Residual effect of Zn)	118.00	57.90	175.90	19.05	12.01	31.06	13.41	83.29	96.69	9.98	12.43	22.41
T <sub>9</sub> - N <sub>80</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>60</sub> (Residual effect of Zn)	116.95	81.22	198.17	19.91	14.05	33.96	14.48	102.53	117.01	11.26	15.22	26.48
T <sub>10</sub> - N <sub>80</sub> P <sub>20</sub> K <sub>20</sub> +Azotobacter	132.57	122.65	255.22	21.89	19.43	41.32	10.50	103.86	114.35	11.75	17.55	29.30
T <sub>11</sub> - N <sub>80</sub> P <sub>20</sub> K <sub>20</sub> +Azotobacter +Azospirillum	147.21	92.22	239.43	27.32	20.88	48.21	11.29	91.37	102.65	12.66	19.47	32.13
T <sub>12</sub> - N <sub>80</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>0</sub> S <sub>10</sub> (Residual effect of Zn, Mo)	136.01	76.16	212.17	24.60	15.60	40.20	11.28	72.34	83.62	11.41	17.80	29.21
T <sub>13</sub> - N <sub>80</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>0</sub> S <sub>20</sub> (Residual effect of Zn, Mo)	142.68	84.14	226.82	27.89	21.24	49.13	12.60	87.54	100.14	15.95	22.17	38.13
T <sub>14</sub> - N <sub>80</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>0</sub> S <sub>10</sub> (Residual effect of Zn, Mo, B)	149.61	119.71	269.31	32.81	30.04	62.85	12.53	116.84	129.38	13.07	22.67	35.74
T <sub>15</sub> - N <sub>80</sub> P <sub>40</sub> K <sub>0</sub> S <sub>10</sub> (Residual effect of Zn, Mo, B)	146.09	99.30	245.39	34.03	33.14	67.17	11.97	115.34	127.32	13.59	23.53	37.12
SEm (±)	8.531	6.969	9.061	1.430	1.351	1.657	0.948	7.083	6.745	0.761	1.424	1.529
CD(P=0.05)	26.285	21.475	27.918	4.408	4.164	5.106	2.920	21.825	20.784	2.345	4.389	4.713

### Effect of INM on quality content

#### Crude protein content of lentil

The data related to crude protein content and protein yield of lentil presented in table 7 indicated that the trend in protein content was similar to that of nitrogen uptake by lentil. It was observed that the range of protein content ranged between 10.62 to 17.96%. The highest value of protein content in lentil 17.96% was associated with T<sub>13</sub> followed by T<sub>15</sub> i.e. 17.31% and lowest value i.e. 10.62% was associated with control plot. T<sub>13</sub> gave highest yield due to application of sulphur@17kg ha<sup>-1</sup> along with micro and macronutrients. So sulphur plays a major role to increase the protein content along with nitrogen. After micronutrient and sulphur treated plots higher protein value was observed in biofertilizers inoculated treatments. Thus biofertilizers has played a great role to increase the

protein content because it adds nitrogen in soil and other growth promoting substances.

#### Crude protein and oil content of sesame

The data related to protein and oil content of sesame presented in table 7 indicated that the trend in protein content was similar to that of nitrogen uptake by sesame. It was observed that the range of protein content ranged between 9.31 to 14.38%. The highest value of protein content in sesame 14.38% was associated with T<sub>13</sub> followed by T<sub>12</sub> i.e. 14.25% and lowest value i.e. 9.31% was associated with control plot. The highest value was due to application of high doses of sulphur i.e. @45kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. It is also observed that not only the total quantity of protein was improved by sulphur addition but at the same time the quality of protein was also improved [11]. They observed that relative proportion of all

sulphur containing amino acids, viz., methionine, cystine and cysteine increased significantly. This indicates that synthesis of these amino acids is impeded without supply of a prime element i.e., sulphur and stimulated rapid metabolism at a faster rate with successive higher levels applied.

The oil content of sesame varied from 41.13 to 56.67%. The increase in oil content due to nitrogen and sulphur application along with biofertilizer was statistically significant. It was observed that highest oil content was obtained in T<sub>15</sub> i.e. 56.67% followed by T<sub>13</sub> i.e. 56.23% and lowest value was obtained in control. It was also observed that sulphur application along with biofertilizer along with micronutrients gave significantly higher oil content than other treatments. Increased oil content is due to application of nitrogen and sulphur<sup>[9, 19]</sup>. The acetic thiolinase, a sulphur based enzyme in the presence of S convert acetyl Co-A to melonyl Co-A, rapidly resulting in higher oil content in seed crops<sup>[25]</sup>.

**Table 7:** Effect of INM on quality content

Treatments	Protein content (%)			Oil content in Sseame (%)
	Lentil	Sesame	Rice	
T <sub>1</sub>	10.62	9.31	14.00	41.13
T <sub>2</sub>	14.12	11.06	14.58	47.47
T <sub>3</sub>	13.77	10.38	13.42	48.20
T <sub>4</sub>	14.35	11.69	15.17	47.20
T <sub>5</sub>	14.23	12.13	15.17	48.30
T <sub>6</sub>	14.54	10.88	15.05	51.03
T <sub>7</sub>	14.58	11.31	14.23	53.50
T <sub>8</sub>	14.47	11.81	14.93	51.53
T <sub>9</sub>	14.12	13.44	14.58	53.77
T <sub>10</sub>	15.00	13.31	16.10	53.83
T <sub>11</sub>	15.19	13.56	17.15	54.77
T <sub>12</sub>	16.92	14.25	15.87	55.97
T <sub>13</sub>	17.96	14.38	16.10	56.23
T <sub>14</sub>	17.17	13.75	16.45	55.03
T <sub>15</sub>	17.31	14.00	16.75	56.67
SEm (±)	0.352	0.261	0.338	1.009
CD(P=0.05)	1.084	0.807	1.044	3.111

### Crude protein content of rice

It was observed that the range of protein content ranged between 14.00 to 17.15%. The highest value of protein content in rice 17.15% was associated with T<sub>11</sub> followed by T<sub>15</sub> i.e. 16.75% and lowest value i.e. 14.00% was associated with control plot. The highest value was due to dual application of *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* along with all inorganic fertilizer including NPK<sup>[38]</sup>. Next to T<sub>11</sub> higher protein content was observed in micronutrient along with sulphur and macronutrient treated plot i.e. T<sub>15</sub>. Significant increase in N uptake and protein content of the rice plant with increasing N rates<sup>[27, 41]</sup>. Furthermore, many authors reported that the application of combined N and S was found to increase substantially the shoot and grain N content of field crops<sup>[40, 3]</sup>. The significant positive interaction between N and S in this experiment could be due to *Azotobacter* to the metabolic coupling between N and S assimilations in the synthesis of S-containing amino acids and proteins, in which the N assimilation is down-regulated if the assimilation of S slows down.

### Conclusion

Integrated Nutrient Management is one of the important issues for sustainable crop production. Integrated use of inorganic and organic sources of nutrients is essential for maintaining soil health and optimizing crop productivity. Both the fertilizers and manures are the kingpins of improved

technology. The result of the study revealed that integrated application of NPK with sulphur, boron, molybdenum, zinc along with FYM and biofertilizers recorded higher grain yield, total biological yield, oil content as well as oil yield, crude protein content as well as protein yield, nutrient accumulation as well as uptake and maintained soil fertility. Combined application of sulphur, boron, molybdenum, zinc increased the use efficiency of N, P and K. The conjunctive use of inorganic fertilizers along with FYM, biofertilizers and micronutrients gave highest availability of N, P, K, S and Zn at post harvest soil of crop as compared to other treatment combinations. This also exerted greater residual effect on the growth attributes, yield components and seed yield of succeeding crop through improvement in soil fertility. Integrated nutrient applications are more beneficial when the rate of the nutrient application is below the normal rate. It also improved the crop yields, quality of the produce as well as improves the soil fertility, thus the overall profit of the farmers. Thus, it may be recommended for the farmers of red and lateritic belt of West Bengal.

### References

1. Anderson JW. Sulphur metabolism on plants, The biochemistry of plants. Academic Press, New York. 1990; 16:327-381.
2. Anonymous. Package of Organic Practices for Brinjal, Rice, Sesame and Taro. Development Research Communication and Services Centre. Available from <http://www.drsc.org>, 2006.
3. Arslan Ç, Uzun BU, Ülger SC, İlhan Çağırman MI. Determination of oil content and fatty acid composition of sesame mutants suited for intensive management conditions, J Am Oil Chem Soc. 2007; 84:917-920.
4. Badruddin M. The effect of sulphur deficiency on ion accumulation with special reference to 15N and 35S transport and metabolism in chickpea, Ph. D Thesis, University of Dhaka, Bangladesh, 1999.
5. Balandreau J. The spermosphere model to select for plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. in 'Biofertilisers in action', ed. by I.R. Kennedy and A.T.M.A. Choudhury. Rural Industries Research and Development Corporation: Canberra, 2002, 55-63.
6. Bera AK. Effect of irrigation and nutrient management on growth and yield of lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik). M. Sc. thesis. Palli Siksha Bhavana, Visva-Bharati, 2008.
7. Brown ME. Rhizosphere microorganisms-opportunities bandits or benefactors. In: Soil Microbiology (Ed.) N. Walker, Halsted Press, New York, 1975, 21-38.
8. Ceccotti SP. Plant nutrition sulphur-A review of nutrient balance, environment impact and fertilizers. Fertilizer research: an international journal on fertilizer use. 1996; 43:117-125.
9. Das KN, Das K. Effect of sulphur and nitrogen fertilizer on growth and yield of toria (*Brassica campestris* sub sp. *oleifera* var. *toria*). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 1995; 40:329-331.
10. Deshmukh MR, Jain HC, Duhoon SS, Goswami U. Integrated nutrient management in sesame for Kymore plateau zone of M.P. Journal of Oilseeds Research. 2002; 19(1):73-75.
11. Ditta A, Arshad M, Zahir ZA, Jamil A. Comparative Efficacy of Rock Phosphate Enriched Organic Fertilizer vs. Mineral Phosphatic Fertilizer for Nodulation, Growth and Yield of Lentil. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology. 2015; 17(3):589-595.

12. Fageria NK, Dos Santos AB, Cobucci T. Zinc nutrition of lowland rice. *Comm. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* 2011; 42:1719-1727.
13. Fakir MAI, Hasan SMR, Sattar MA. Growth and yield of rice as influenced by *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* inoculation in presence and absence of urea-N. *Journal of the Bangladesh Society for Agricultural Science and Technology.* 2007; 4:247-250.
14. Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO), Retrieved from, <http://www.fao.org>, 2000.
15. Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), FAOSTAT statistical database of the United Nations. Rome (Italy), FAO, 2008.
16. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. *Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1984.
17. Habbasha SF, Salam MS, Kabesh MO. Response of two sesame varieties (*Sesamum indicum* L.) to partial replacement of chemical fertilizer by bio-organic fertilizers, *Res J Agr Biol Sci.* 2007; 3:563-571.
18. Imayavaramban V, Thanunathan K, Singaravel R, Manickam G. Studies on the influence of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield parameters and seed yield of sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.), *Crop Research.* 2002; 24(2):309-313.
19. Inal A, Gunes A, Alpaaslan M, Adak MS, Taban S, Eraslan F. Diagnosis of sulphur deficiency and effects of sulphur on yield and yield components of wheat grown in central Anatolia, Turkey, *J. Plant Nutr.* 2003; 26:1483-1498.
20. Islam MZ, Sumera Y, Malik KA, Sattar MA, Hafeez FY. Potentials of PGPR to increase rice production in Bangladesh. in 'Proceedings of International Seminar on Rice Crop, ed. by M. Akhtar and M. Ahmad. Rice Research Institute: Kala Shah Kaku, Pakistan, 2005, 87-96.
21. Jaishankar S, Wahab K. Effect of integrated nutrient management on the growth, yield components and yield of sesame, *Sesame and Safflower Newsletter*, 2005; 20:732.
22. Jamal AY, Abdin MZ. Sulphur - a general overview and interaction with nitrogen. *Australian. J. Crop Science.* 2010; 4:523-529.
23. Jayaraman S, Ramiah. Effect of seedling root dipping of *Azospirillum* in rice, *Madraj Agriculture Journal.* 1986; 73:226-229.
24. Khan AH, Islam A, Islam R, Begum S, Huq SI. Effect of indigenous VAMycorrhizal fungi on nodulation, growth and nutrition of lentil (*Lens culinaris*) and black gram (*Vigna mungo*), *Journal of Pl. Physiology.* 1998; 133(1):84-88.
25. Krishnamurthy VV, Mathan KK. Effect of sulphur on yield of groundnut, *Madras. Agric. J.* 1996; 83:640-642.
26. Malik KA, Mirza MS, Hassan U, Mehnaz S, Rasul G, Haurat J *et al.* The role of plant-associated beneficial bacteria in rice-wheat cropping system. in *Biofertilisers in action*, ed. by I.R. Kennedy and A.T.M.A. Choudhury. Rural Industries Research and Development Corporation, Canberra, 2002, 73-83.
27. Mandana T, Gerayzade A, Ebrahim A, Azin NZ. Effect of nitrogen fertilizer on nitrogen uptake, NUE of rice, *Int. Conf. Biol. Environ. Chem*, 2011, 24.
28. Mirza MS, Rasul G, Mehnaz S, Ladha JK, Malik KA. Beneficial effects of inoculated nitrogen-fixing bacteria on rice. in *The quest for nitrogen fixation in rice*, ed. by J.K. Ladha and P.M. Reddy. International Rice Research Institute: Los Baños, the Philippines, 2000, 191-204.
29. Mohapatra BK, Maiti S, Satapathy MR. Integrated nutrient management in Potato (*Solanum tuberosum*)-Jute (*Corchorus olitorius*) sequence. *Indian journal of Agronomy.* 2008; 53:205-209.
30. Mukherjee PK, Rai RK. Effect of vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizae and phosphate solubilizing bacteria on growth, yield and phosphorus uptake by wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) and chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*), *Indian J. Agron.* 2011; 45(3):602-607.
31. Pattanayak SK, Mohanty RK, Sethy AK. Response of mustard to *Azotobacter*, *Azospirillum* and FYM. Second North Eastern Regional Conference on Biofertilizers, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat, Assam, 2000.
32. Pattanayak SK, Mohanty RK, Sethy AK. Response of okra to *Azotobacter*, *Azospirillum* and FYM. Second North Eastern Regional Conference on Biofertilizers, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat, Assam, 2001.
33. Prasad R, Prasad LC, Agrawal RK. Genetic diversity in Indian germplasm of aromatic rice, *Oryza.* 2010; 46:197-201.
34. Purushottam G. Integrated nutrient management in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) and its residual effect on succeeding chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). M. Sc. (Ag.) in Agronomy Thesis submitted to the University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka, 2005.
35. Rahman MT, Jahiruddin M, Humauan MR, Alam MJ, Khan AA. Effect of Sulphur and Zinc on Growth, Yield and Nutrient Uptake of Boro Rice (Cv. BrriDhan 29). *J. Soil. Nature.* 2008; 2:10-15.
36. Reddy BN, Sudhakarababu SN. Production potential and utilization and economics of fertilizer management in summer sunflower based crop, *Ind J Agri Sci.* 1996; 66:16-19.
37. Saha AR, Maitra DN, Majumdar B, Saha S, Mitra S. Effect of Integrated nutrient Management of Roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa*) productivity, its mineral nutrition and soil properties. *Indian journal of Agricultural sciences.* 2008; 78:418-421.
38. Saha S, Gopinath KA, Mina BL, Kundu S, Bhattacharyya R, Gupta HS. Expression of soil chemical and biological behaviour on nutritional quality of aromatic rice as influenced by organic and mineral fertilization. *Communication in soil science and plant analysis.* 2010; 41:1816-1831.
39. Sardana V. Agronomic Evaluation of Bioinoculants to supplement inorganic fertilizers for sustained crop production- A critical review, *Agricultural Research.* 1997; 18:69-95.
40. Schung E, Hancklaus S, Murphy ND. Impact of sulphur fertilization on fertilizer nitrogen efficiency, *Sulphur Agric.* 1993; 17:8-12.
41. Shiferaw N, Heluf G, Sharma JJ, Tareke B. Effects of nitrogen sources and application on yield, yield attributes, and grain protein of rainfed NERICA-3 rice in Gambella, Ethiopia, *Int. J. Agron. Agric. Res.* 2012; 2(9):14-32.
42. Singh AK, Meena MK, Bharati RC. Sulphur and Zinc Nutrient Management in rice-lentil cropping system. *International Conference on Life Science Research for Rural and Agricultural Development*, 2011, 27-29.
43. Singh B, Singh Y, Sekhon GS. Fertilizer N use efficiency and nitrate pollution of groundwater in developing countries, *Journal of Contaminant Hydrology*, 1999; 20:167-184.

44. Somani LL. Micronutrients for Soil and Plant Health Agrotech Publishing Academy, 2008, 14-74.
45. Swarup A, Ganeshamurthy AN. Emerging nutrient deficiencies under intensive cropping systems and remedial measures for sustainable high productivity, Fertilizer News. 1998; 43(7):37-40, 43-50.
46. Takkar PN, Chhibba IM, Mehta SK. Twenty years of coordinated research on Micronutrients in Soil and Plants (1967-87), Bulletin 1, Indian Institute of Soil Science, Bhopal, 1989.
47. Thakur R, Swarkar SD, Vaishya UK, Singh M. Long term effect of organic fertilizers and organic manure on crop yields, nutrient uptake and soil health under soybean-wheat cropping system in a Typic Haplustert. JNKVV Research Journal. 2009; 43:181-184.
48. Verma S, Saxena R, Singh HV. Integrated nutrient management in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.), Bioinfolet. 2012; 9(4):576-579.
49. Were BA, Onkware AO, Gudu S, Welander M, Carlsson AS. Seed oil content and fatty acid composition in east African sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) accessions evaluated over 3 years, Field Crop Research. 2001; 97:254-260.
50. Yanni YG, Fattah FKA. Towards integrated biofertilization management with free living and associative dinitrogen fixers for enhancing rice performance in the Nile delta, 1999; 27:319-331.