



P-ISSN: 2349-8528
 E-ISSN: 2321-4902
 IJCS 2017; 5(4): 567-570
 © 2017 JEZS
 Received: 21-05-2017
 Accepted: 23-06-2017

Pooja Pandey
 Department of Vegetable
 Science, College of Agriculture,
 G.B. Pant University of
 Agriculture and Technology,
 Pantnagar, U. S. Nagar,
 Uttarakhand, India

Manoj Raghav
 Department of Vegetable
 Science, College of Agriculture,
 G.B. Pant University of
 Agriculture and Technology,
 Pantnagar, U. S. Nagar,
 Uttarakhand, India

DK Singh
 Department of Vegetable
 Science, College of Agriculture,
 G.B. Pant University of
 Agriculture and Technology,
 Pantnagar, U. S. Nagar,
 Uttarakhand, India

VK Singh
 Department of agronomy,
 College of Agriculture, G.B. Pant
 University of Agriculture and
 Technology, Pantnagar, U. S.
 Nagar, Uttarakhand, India

KP Raverkar
 Department of Soil Science,
 College of Agriculture, G.B. Pant
 University of Agriculture and
 Technology, Pantnagar, U. S.
 Nagar, Uttarakhand, India.

AS Jeena
 Department of Genetics and
 Plant Breeding, College of
 Agriculture, G.B. Pant
 University of Agriculture and
 Technology, Pantnagar, U. S.
 Nagar, Uttarakhand, India

Correspondence

Pooja Pandey
 Department of Vegetable
 Science, College of Agriculture,
 G.B. Pant University of
 Agriculture and Technology,
 Pantnagar, U. S. Nagar,
 Uttarakhand, India

Grading of potato tubers as influenced by nitrogen scheduling

Pooja Pandey, Manoj Raghav, DK Singh, VK Singh, KP Raverkar and AS Jeena

Abstract

In order to evaluate the effect of nitrogen scheduling on grading of potato crop (variety-Kufri Sadabahar) a two year experiment was undertaken during winter season of 2014-15 and 2015-16 at Vegetable Research Center of GBPUAT, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand. The experiment was consisted of ten nitrogen scheduling treatments replicated thrice in Randomized Complete Block Design. All the cultural practices were done under scientific management. During harvesting tubers were graded according to weight in four grades viz. small (<25 g), medium (25-50 g), large (51-75 g) and extra large (>75 g). The seed tuber per cent by number and yield was calculated by taking sum of medium and large grade tubers and convert to the percentages. Similarly, marketable tuber per cent by number and yield was calculated by excluding number and yield of small size tubers. All the treatments showed significant variation with respect to grades, seed tubers and marketable tubers (% by number and yield). Among ten treatments, maximum large grade tubers and marketable tubers were produced with treatment T₉ (25% N of RDF as basal + 50% top dressing at 25 DAP + one foliar spray of urea @ 3% at 40 DAP). However, maximum seed tubers by number and yield was produced with treatment T₅ (50% N of RDF as basal + 50% top dressing at 25 DAP + one foliar spray of urea @ 3% at 40 DAP).

Keyword: Potato, Nitrogen, Per cent Seed tuber yield, Per cent Marketable tuber yield

Introduction

Potato is a non cereal major food crop in the world after rice, wheat and maize. From the production point of view India is the world's second largest producer of potato next only to the China with the production of 48.0 million tons from 2.07 million hectare area. The productivity of potato is 23.1 t ha⁻¹ and it occupies 21.7 % of total vegetable area and 28.32 % of the total vegetable production in country (Anonymous, 2015) [1]. Nitrogen (N) is one of the foremost management priorities in potato cropping systems (Stark *et al.*, 2004) [2]. It determines the quantity and structure of potato yield, its chemical composition and tuber quality (Kolodziejczyk, 2014) [3]. It primarily influences tuber size, dry matter and sugar contents. Tuber size and uniformity is critical for every market, whether it is fresh potatoes, seed or processing crops. Anything that the grower can do to prolong a healthy leaf canopy will increase the average tuber size. Nitrogen fed at an early stage of crop growth will help build the overall size of the leaf canopy, whereas at later stages of growth, nitrogen use helps maintain the greenness of the canopy and maximize yield. Widely fluctuating N levels result in irregular tuber growth and often end in the formation of internal (brown center and hollow heart) and external (misshapen) tuber deformities (Taysom *et al.*, 2007) [4]. Adequate nitrogen at tuberization produces large size tubers which increase marketable yield whereas, deficiency at this stage causes more small tuber formation, hence reduce marketable tubers. Application method also influences size of the tubers. More loss occurs in broadcast method of N application. Foliar application not only reduces N losses to the environment through leaching and fixing in the soil but also save valuable nitrogen. It can immediately fulfil the nutrient requirement of the crop hence increased size of tuber when given as supplementary application. Optimal nitrogen application is essential for achieving commercial tuber yield and size requirements which results in maximum economic return. Thus, the right rate, right method and right timing of nitrogen application is important in managing potato tuber size. Keeping in view these standpoints, an experiment was carried out to standardize the nitrogen scheduling for getting maximum large tuber and seed tuber yield.

Materials and Methods

The present field experiment was undertaken at Vegetable Research Centre (VRC) of G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, Udham Singh Nagar (Uttarakhand) during winter (*rabi*) season of 2014-2015 and 2015-2016 with potato variety Kufri Sadabahar. The experiment was consisted of ten treatments of nitrogen scheduling (given below) were replicated thrice in Randomized Block Design. The recommended dose of fertilizer was 160:100:120 kg/ha of N:P₂O₅:K₂O. Nitrogen was applied in the form of urea according to the treatments, whereas, full dose of P₂O₅ and K₂O was applied through Single Super Phosphate and Muriate of Potash at the time of planting. Well sprouted, disease free, medium sized (2.5-5.0 cm diameter) tubers having 40-75 g weight were selected and planted at 60 cm × 20 cm spacing on 30 cm raised ridges. All

cultural practices including irrigation, weeding and plant protection measures were followed under scientific management. During harvesting tubers were graded according to the weight in four grades *viz.* small (<25 g), medium (25-50 g), large (51-75 g) and extra large (>75 g) and convert to the percentages by number and yield. The seed tuber per cent by number and yield was calculated by taking sum of medium and large grade tubers and convert to the percentages. Similarly marketable tuber per cent by number and yield was calculated by excluding number and yield of small size tubers and converted to the percentages. The statistical analysis was done through computer by using STPR3 programme, designed and developed by department of Mathematics and Statistics, College of Basic Sciences and Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand. The treatments were as follows:

| | |
|-------------------|--|
| T ₁ : | 50% N of RDF as basal + 50% top dressing at 25 DAP |
| T ₂ : | 50% N of RDF as basal + 25% topdressing at 25 DAP + one foliar spray of urea @ 2% at 40 DAP |
| T ₃ : | 25% N of RDF as basal + three foliar spray of urea @ 2% at 25, 40 & 55 DAP |
| T ₄ : | 50% N of RDF as basal + two foliar spray of urea @ 2% at 25 & 40 DAP |
| T ₅ : | 50% N of RDF as basal + 50% top dressing at 25 DAP + one foliar spray of urea @ 3% at 40 DAP |
| T ₆ : | 50% N of RDF as basal + three foliar spray of urea @ 3% at 25, 40 & 55 DAP |
| T ₇ : | 50% N of RDF as basal + two foliar spray of urea @ 3% at 25 & 40 DAP |
| T ₈ : | 25% N of RDF as basal + 75% top dressing at 25 DAP |
| T ₉ : | 25% N of RDF as basal + 50% top dressing at 25 DAP + one foliar spray of urea @ 3% at 40 DAP |
| T ₁₀ : | (No application of nitrogen) |

Results and Discussion

Grading of tubers (% by number)

All the treatments showed significant variation with respect to grade wise tubers (% by number). It is revealed from the data (Table-1) that highest proportion of small grade tubers (37.3 %) was recorded with treatment T₁₀ whereas, lowest was recorded with treatment T₉ having 19 % small tubers. In case of medium grade tubers (% by number), the highest proportion (30.2 %) was recorded by treatment T₅ and the lowest (21.9 %) was recorded with treatment T₃. Treatment T₈ produced highest number of large grade tubers (29.9 %) however minimum was recorded with treatment T₁₀. In case of extra large grade tubers (% by number), highest proportion (30.2 %) was recorded with treatment T₉ whereas, the lowest (15.9 %) was recorded with treatment T₁₀. The study revealed that the availability of adequate nitrogen at tuber initiation produced more number of large size tubers. Besides a supplement foliar spray of urea at later stage (40 DAP) increased tuber size which resulted in production of more extra large grade tubers. It might be due to the synchrony between demand and supply of nitrogen which leads to better growth of the plant resulted bigger size tubers. Moreover, its deficiency produced more small tubers. Besides application of excess N also prevent tuber growth. The probable reason of this was the re-absorption in the tubers, which often leads reduced tuber size as in treatment T₅. Similar observations were reported by Ewing *et al.* (2004) ^[5] and Fontes *et al.* (2010) ^[6]. In a study Kumar (2015) ^[7] recorded maximum large grade tubers with application of 50% basal N + 25% top dressing at 25 DAP + one foliar spray of urea @ 2% at 40 DAP.

Data presented in Table-1 shows, that N scheduling had significant effect on seed potato (% by number). The highest percentage of seed potato by number was obtained with treatment T₅ (55.1 %) and the lowest was recorded with treatment T₁₀ (46.8 %). It indicates role of nitrogen in tuber growth as without application of N, more small tubers were produced. However, excess of N also reduced tuber growth. It

might be due to more vegetative growth cause re-absorption in tuber which produced more medium size tubers hence more seed tubers was obtained with T₅. Chandra (2015) ^[8] reported more seed size tubers with 150 kg nitrogen applied 50 % at planting and 50 % as topdressing at 25 days after planting. Marketable tubers were also significantly varied by different nitrogen scheduling. Maximum marketable tuber (% by number) was recorded with treatment T₉ having 81 % marketable tubers. It is due to the less small size tubers (% by number) were recorded with this treatment. Split application of N increased large size tubers might be due to the synchrony between demand and supply ultimately more marketable tubers. The lowest (62.7 %) was recorded with treatment T₁₀ which produce more number of small grade tubers consequently low marketable yield. In an experiment Sun *et al.* (2012) ^[9] recorded high marketable tuber ratio (74%) with 100 kg N application at planting and 50 kg as topdressing at 20 DAE.

Grading of tubers (% by yield)

It is evident from the data (Table-2) that nitrogen scheduling significantly influenced grading of tuber (% by weight). Maximum small grade tubers was recorded with treatment T₁₀ (14.7 %) and minimum (5.9 %) with treatment T₁. However, maximum proportion of medium grade tubers by yield (29.6 %) was recorded with treatment T₁ and T₅ and minimum with treatment T₃. Maximum contribution of large grade tubers (37.9 %) was recorded with treatment T₃ and minimum (24.6 %) was with treatment T₄. The proportion of extra large grade tuber by yield was recorded maximum (47.3 %) with treatment T₉ whereas minimum (31.1 %) with treatment T₁₀. Data revealed that nitrogen play important role in size increment as maximum proportion of small size tuber by yield was recorded without nitrogen. However, treatments having more amount of nitrogen applied as basal leads to reduced tuber growth consequently more medium size tubers. It might be due to interference of N in food assimilation in tuber or due to the toxic effect of excess N applied as basal. Large and

extra large tuber yield was recorded maximum with split application of N and minimum with treatments receiving more N at basal. It might be due to that optimum amount of nitrogen at basal and sufficient at tuber bulking stage increased carbon uptake resulted in more yield of large tubers rather than more amount of N applied as basal. Kelling *et al.* (2015) [10] also reported that nitrogen application in 3 splits produced more large size tubers than in 2 splits. However, 4 splits yield more undersize tubers.

Data presented in Table 2 clearly revealed that significant variation was recorded in nitrogen scheduling with respect to seed tuber (% by yield). Maximum seed tuber production was recorded with treatment T₅ however, minimum was recorded with treatment T₉ having 45.8 % seed tuber yield. It might be due to the interference of more nitrogen to the photosynthates assimilation in tuber resulted more seed size tubers. On the other hand unavailability of sufficient nitrogen at tuber bulking stage also produced more seed tubers. Chandra (2015) [8] reported that more small size tuber yield was

obtained without nitrogen application whereas, more large tubers with high N doses, both leads to less seed tuber yield.

Marketable tuber (% by yield) was recorded maximum (94.1 %) with treatment T₁ and minimum with treatment T₁₀ having 85.3 % marketable tuber by yield. It might be due to the more small size and less large size tubers (% by weight) as recorded with treatment T₁₀ hence produced less marketable yield. Similarly, Kumar (2015) [7] reported highest marketable tuber yield with 50 % basal + 25 % as topdressing at 25 DAP with one foliar spray of 2 % urea at 40 DAP.

On the basis of present study it can be concluded that treatment T₉ (25% N of RDF as basal + 50% top dressing at 25 DAP + one foliar spray of urea @ 3% at 40 DAP) increased both per cent by number and yield of extra large grade tubers. Maximum seed size tuber (per cent by number and yield) was obtained with treatment T₅ (50% N of RDF as basal + 50% top dressing at 25 DAP + one foliar spray of urea @ 3% at 40 DAP). However, the proportion of marketable tubers by yield was recorded maximum with T₁ (50% N of RDF as basal + 50% top dressing at 25 DAP).

Table 1: Effect of nitrogen scheduling on grading (% by number) of potato tuber

| Treatments | Grading of tubers (% by number) | | | | Seed tuber (% by number) | Marketable tuber (% by number) |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|--------|--------|------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | <25g | 25-50g | 51-75g | >75g | | |
| T ₁ | 24.5 | 29.2 | 24.5 | 21.8 | 53.7 | 75.5 |
| T ₂ | 30.1 | 23.5 | 24.7 | 21.7 | 48.2 | 69.9 |
| T ₃ | 31.9 | 21.9 | 27.5 | 18.6 | 49.4 | 68.1 |
| T ₄ | 28.8 | 27.7 | 22.6 | 20.8 | 50.4 | 71.2 |
| T ₅ | 20.9 | 30.2 | 24.9 | 24.0 | 55.1 | 79.1 |
| T ₆ | 28.7 | 23.5 | 25.5 | 22.3 | 49.0 | 71.3 |
| T ₇ | 23.5 | 25.8 | 27.3 | 23.3 | 53.2 | 76.5 |
| T ₈ | 21.0 | 24.4 | 29.9 | 24.7 | 54.3 | 79.0 |
| T ₉ | 19.0 | 23.0 | 27.7 | 30.2 | 50.7 | 81.0 |
| T ₁₀ | 37.3 | 27.9 | 18.9 | 15.9 | 46.8 | 62.7 |
| SEm.± | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.0 |
| CD at 5% | 3.1 | 4.0 | 5.1 | 3.7 | 4.7 | 3.1 |

Table 2: Effect of nitrogen scheduling on grading (% by yield) of potato tuber

| Treatments | Grading of tubers (% by yield) | | | | Seed tuber (% by yield) | Marketable tuber (% by yield) |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|--------|--------|------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | <25g | 25-50g | 51-75g | >75g | | |
| T ₁ | 5.9 | 29.6 | 25.0 | 39.5 | 54.6 | 94.1 |
| T ₂ | 9.6 | 22.4 | 28.6 | 39.4 | 51.0 | 90.4 |
| T ₃ | 14.1 | 15.8 | 37.9 | 32.2 | 53.7 | 85.9 |
| T ₄ | 11.9 | 26.2 | 24.6 | 37.2 | 50.9 | 88.1 |
| T ₅ | 7.7 | 29.6 | 27.5 | 35.2 | 57.1 | 92.3 |
| T ₆ | 6.7 | 23.4 | 27.6 | 42.4 | 50.9 | 93.3 |
| T ₇ | 8.7 | 23.7 | 29.5 | 38.1 | 53.2 | 91.3 |
| T ₈ | 8.5 | 18.8 | 33.4 | 39.2 | 52.3 | 91.5 |
| T ₉ | 6.8 | 16.8 | 29.1 | 47.3 | 45.8 | 93.2 |
| T ₁₀ | 14.7 | 29.2 | 24.9 | 31.1 | 54.1 | 85.3 |
| SEm.± | 0.8 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 0.8 |
| CD at 5% | 2.5 | 6.1 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 2.5 |

Acknowledgement

The author acknowledges the chairman and advisory committee members for providing their valuable guidance in conducting the research experiments and manuscript preparation.

References

1. Anonymous. Statistical Data Base of the National Horticulture Board and Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India, 2015.
2. Stark JC, Westermann DT. Developing potato fertilizer recommendations from small plot and on-farm research.

Western Nutrient Management Conference, Proceedings, Salt Lake City, UT. 2001; 4:16-19.

3. Kolodziejczyk M. Effect of nitrogen fertilization and microbial populations on potato yielding. *Pl. Soil and Env.* 2014; 60:379-386.
4. Taysom TW, Hopkins BG, Shiffler AK, Stephens SC. Polymer coated urea in potato production *In: Proceedings of the Western nutrient management conference.* Salt Lake City, UT. 2007; 7:169-175.
5. Ewing EEI, Simko EAO, Davies PJ. Polygene mapping as a tool to study the physiology of potato tuberization and dormancy. *Americ. potato J.* 2004; 81:281-289.

6. Fontes PCR, Braun H, Busato C, Cecon PR. Economic optimum nitrogen fertilization rates and nitrogen fertilization rate effects on tuber characteristics of potato cultivars. *Potato Research*. 2010; 53:167-179.
7. Kumar U. Nitrogen management in potato. Ph.D. Thesis, G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India. 2015, 106.
8. Chandra G. Performance of potato varieties under varying nitrogen levels for yield, quality and storability traits of seed tuber. Ph.D. Thesis, G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India. 2015, 145.
9. Sun L, Gu L, Peng X, Liu Y, Li X, Yan X. Effects of nitrogen fertilizer application time on dry matter accumulation and yield of Chinese Potato Variety KX 13. *Potato Res.* 2012; 55:303-313.
10. Kelling KA, Arriaga FJ, Lowery B, Jordan MO, Speth PE. Use of hill shape with various nitrogen timing splits to improve fertilizer use efficiency. *Am. J. Potato Res.* 2015; 92:71-78.