

#### P-ISSN: 2349-8528 E-ISSN: 2321-4902 IJCS 2017; 5(3): 667-670 © 2017 JEZS Received: 19-03-2017

Received: 19-03-2017 Accepted: 18-04-2017

#### PD Patil

Regional Fruit Research, Station, Vengurle, Dist-Sindhudurg, State: Maharashtra, India.

#### **RA Raut**

Regional Fruit Research, Station, Vengurle, Dist-Sindhudurg, State: Maharashtra, India.

#### **RA Karande**

Regional Fruit Research, Station, Vengurle, Dist-Sindhudurg, State: Maharashtra, India.

#### PC Haldavanekar

Regional Fruit Research, Station, Vengurle, Dist-Sindhudurg, State: Maharashtra, India.

#### Correspondence PD Patil Regional Fruit Research, Station, Vengurle, Dist-Sindhudurg, State: Maharashtra, India

# Bio-efficacy and phytotoxicity study of fungicide fluopyram 200 + tebuconazole 200 - 400sc against powdery mildew and postharvest diseases of mango

# PD Patil, RA Raut, RA Karande and PC Haldavanekar

#### Abstract

Yield of mango can be drastically reduced due to infection of powdery mildew and postharvest fruit rots diseases. These two diseases are widespread causing heavy loss in mango. Considering the endemic nature of disease under Konkan conditions the present investigation was carried out at Regional Fruit Research Station, Vengurle by using new molecule Fluopyram 200 +Tebuconazole 200 – 400 SC fungicide. In the present study two fungicides with different concentrations were tested against powdery mildew and Postharvest rot in field conditions. Among the different concentrations of fungicide tested Fluopyram 200 +Tebuconazole 200 – 400 SC at 750 ml/ha concentration were found to be significantly superior over control with incidence of powdery mildew (25.10%) and postharvest disease incidence (15.00%) as compared to rest of the treatments.

**Keywords:** Bio-efficacy, phytotoxicity, postharvest rot fluopyram 200 + tebuconazole

## 1. Introduction

Mango is an important fruit crop in tropical and subtropical countries of the world. It is grown in almost all parts of our country except temperate region and its production in India is a landmark because of maximum area. Konkan is the major and famous Alphonso mango producing belt on the west coast of Maharashtra, where it occupies an area of 0.185 million ha in four districts viz, Thane, Raigad, Ratnagiri and Sindhudurg. The productivity of mango in Konkan is about 1.7 tonnes per ha. Konkan region accounts for about 12 per cent of the total area under mango in the whole country out of which almost 95 per cent area is covered by most popular cultivar 'Alphonso' which is locally known as 'Hapus' with a major export share to the tune of over 35 per cent. But, this crop is found affected by large number of pests and diseases. Among the different diseases; powdery mildew caused by Oidium mangiferae and post-harvest rots viz, anthracnose, stem end rot and black rot caused by Collectotrichum gloeosporioides, Rhizoctonia solani and Aspergillus niger respectively are of great economic importance, which causes loss in, ripening, transit as well as transport and ultimately reduce the mango production. The probable losses can be minimized with optimum inputs. In this view, the research work was undertaken at Regional Fruit Research Station, Vengurle with new molecule Fluopyram 200 +Tebuconazole 200 - 400 SC against powdery mildew and post-harvest diseases in mango cultivar Alphonso during the period of 2014-15.

# **Materials and Methods**

The experiment was conducted at Regional Fruit Research Station, Vengurle by employing Randomized Block Design with seven treatments and three replications for bio-efficacy against the powdery mildew and post-harvest diseases in mango. For phytotoxicity another trial was conducted with higher concentrations of fungicides.

# A) Bio-efficacy of powdery mildew

During the season 2014-15, the Alphonso trees having flowering flush were selected, tagged and sprayed twice at 10 days interval with the respective concentrations of Fluopyram 200 + Tebuconazole 200 - 400 SC fungicide as per the given protocol. The incidence of powdery mildew was recorded on panicles by using 0-5 scale

0 = No incidence1 = 1-20 % incidence 2 = 21-40 % incidence 3 = 41-60 % incidence 4 = 61-80 % incidence 5 = 81-100 % incidence

(As per AICRP Mango Proceeding)

The Per cent Disease Incidence (PDI) was calculated by using the following formula.

> Sum of all numerical rating x 100 No. of panicles observed X Maximum rating

Treatment wise fruit yield in Kg. per plant was recorded at the time of harvesting.

PDI=

# The Alphonso plants having fruits were selected and sprayed with respective fungicides at 30 and 20 Days before Harvest (DBH). The matured fruits were harvested 20 days after the second spray and were brought in the ripening house and were kept for ripening in boxes. The observations on post-harvest diseases viz. anthracnose, stem end rot and soft rot were recorded on 5th, 10th and 15th days after harvest. The per cent fruit rot was calculated by following formula

A = Y (100)/X

Where,

A= Per cent rot

X= Total number of fruits observed

Y= Total number of rotted fruits

# B) Bio-efficacy of post-harvest rots

Table 1: Treatment Details for Bio-efficacy

Tr.		D	osage	
No.	Treatments	g a.i./ha	(ml or g) forml./ha	Application time and method
$T_1$	Untreated (Control)	-	-	
$T_2$	Fluopyram 200 + Tebuconazole 200 - 400 SC	100+100	500	
T <sub>3</sub>	Fluopyram 200 + Tebuconazole 200 - 400SC	125+125	625	First spray immediately after the initial symptoms of disease was observed and subsequent spray at 10 days interval, Two more applications at 10 days interval. 1st spary was given at 30 days before harvesting and 2nd spray after 10 days by using foot sprayer. Water Volume on the
T <sub>4</sub>	Fluopyram 200 + Tebuconazole 200 - 400 SC	150+150	750	basis of tree size.
T <sub>5</sub>	Fluopyram 500 SC	150	300	
$T_6$	Tebuconazole 25 EC	150	600	
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	Carbendazim 50 WP	250	500	

# C) Phytotoxicity

To evaluate the phytotoxicity of Fluopyram 200 + Tebuconazole 200 - 400 SC, another trial was carried out during 2014-15 seasons. The Alphonso trees having flowering and vegetative flush were selected, tagged and sprayed once with Fluopyram 200 + Tebuconazole 200- 400 SC with three different concentrations viz. 0.075%, 0.15% and 0.3%. The phytotoxicity effect of Fluopyram 200 + Tebuconazole 200-400 SC if any on mango flowering flush/vegetative flush due to spraying of fungicide were regularly examined for injury of leaf tip, leaf surface, necrosis, chlorosis, wilting, epinasty and hyponasty. The observations were recorded at 1, 3, 5, 7 and 10 days after spraying in each treatment and the parameters on phytotoxicity were observed on the following scale.

Score	Phytotoxicity (%)
0	No phytotoxicity
1	1 - 10%
2	11-20%
3	21-30%
4	31-40%
5	41-50%
6	51-60%
7	61-70%
8	71-80%
9	81-90%
10	91-100%

Table 2: Treatment details (Phytotoxicity)

Tr. No.	Treatments	a.i. (g)	Formulation (ml/ha)	Application time and method
$T_1$	Fluopyram 200 + Tebuconazole 200 – 400 SC	150+150	750	
$T_2$	Fluopyram 200 + Tebuconazole 200 – 400 SC	300+300	1500	One spray only coinciding with the onset of disease with foot sprayer. <b>Water volume:</b> on the basis of tree size.
T <sub>3</sub>	Fluopyram 200 + Tebuconazole 200 – 400 SC	600+600	3000	volume; on the basis of tree size.
$T_4$	Control	-	-	

## **Results and Discussion**

## A) Bio-efficacy against powdery mildew

Data regarding bio-efficacy of Fluopyram 200 + Tebuconazole 200 – 400 SC against Powdery mildew of mango presented in Table 2 revealed that all the fungicidal treatments recorded significantly less incidence over control. Further, the treatment T<sub>3</sub> i.e. Fluopyram 200 +Tebuconazole 200 – 400 SC

@ 750 ml/ha with 18.00 per cent disease incidence was found statistically significant over rest of the treatments. It was followed by the treatment T<sub>2</sub> i.e Fluopyram 200 +Tebuconazole 200 - 400 SC@ 625 ml/ha to the tune of 19.33 per cent disease incidence and was found at par with  $(T_1)$ . Further the treatments Tebuconazole 25 EC $(T_5)$ , Fluopyram 500 SC(T<sub>4</sub>) and Carbendazim 50 WP(T<sub>6</sub>), were at par with each other and significantly effective for controlling the powdery mildew These results found closely in agreements with, Karande *et al.* (2016) <sup>[2]</sup>. And Chavan *et al.* (2009) <sup>[1]</sup>. Who reported that Hexaconazole 5EC @ 0.1% and 0.05% found effective in reduction of disease severity in mango powdery mildew. Sharma *et al.* (2012) <sup>[4]</sup>. Stated that the triazole group fungicides were found the most effective in reducing the disease incidence of powdery mildew in mango. Thind and his co-workers (2005) <sup>[7]</sup>. Found that fungicides *viz*, Penconazole, Triadimefon and Hexaconazole were found significantly better in controlling the powdery mildew of mango

## B) Bio-efficacy against Post-harvest diseases of mango

Data regarding percent disease incidence of post-harvest rot in mango after 15 days of harvest are presented in Table.3 revealed that the treatment of Fluopyram 200 +Tebuconazole 200 – 400 SC @ 750 ml/ha (10.00%)was found significantly superior over rest of the treatments for controlling the post-harvest diseases incidence in mango. These were followed by Fluopyram 200 + Tebuconazole 200 – 400 SC (T<sub>2</sub>), Tebuconazole 25 EC (T<sub>6</sub>) and Carbendazim 50 WP (T<sub>7</sub>) were equally effective in controlling post harvest diseases of mango. Similar results were also found by Swart *et al.* (2009) <sup>[6]</sup>. who stated that Fludioxonil can control post-harvest rot of mango. Sharma and his co-workers (1994) <sup>[5]</sup>. also stated that

Tribendazole (0.1%) was the most effective fungicide for the control of *G. cingulata* causing Post-harvest rot of Mango. Rehana *et al.* (2014) <sup>[3]</sup>. who reported that Azoxystrobin and Tebuconazole+ Trifloxystrobin was found effective against post-harvest rot and stem end rot of mango.

## C) Phytotoxicity

The data presented in Table 4 revealed that the fungicide Fluopyrum 200 + Tebuconazole 200-400 SC had no any phytotoxic effect on mango at the tested concentrations. The flowering and vegetative flushes were healthy at the different growth stages after the spraying. Therefore, the treatments were found safe to mango at the above referred concentration i.e. 0.75 to 3g. Hence, it is concluded that Fluopyram 200+Tebuconazole 200-400SC is a safe to use on mango up to the dose of 0.3 per cent concentration

### **Summary and Conlusion**

Among the different concentrations of fungicide tested against powdery mildew and post-harvest rot under field conditions at RFRS, Vengurle; Fluopyram 200 +Tebuconazole 200 – 400 SC @ 750 ml/ha was found to be the most promising and efficient fungicide and has potential activity in controlling the disease incidence of powdery mildew and post-harvest rot of mango

Table 3: Bio-efficacy of Fluopyram 200+ Tebuconazole 200-400 SC against powdery mildew disease of mango

Tr. No.	Treatments	Dosage (for ml/ha)	Percent Disease Index
T <sub>1</sub>	Fluopyram 200 + Tebuconazole 200-400SC	500	24.33
1.1	Pruopyram 200 + Teoucomazore 200-4005C	300	(29.54)
$T_2$	Fluopyram 200 + Tebuconazole 200-400SC	625	19.33
12	Tuopyram 200 + Tebucomazoic 200-4005C	023	(26.07)
T3	Fluupyram 200 + Tebuconazole 200-400SC	750	18.00
13	Truupyram 200 + Tebuconazoic 200-4005C	730	(25.10)
T4	Fluopyram 500SC	300	22.66
14	Tuopyiani 3003C	300	(28.42)
T5	Tebuconazole 25EC	600	20.66
13	Teodeoliazoie 25EC	000	(27.04)
T <sub>6</sub>	Carbendazim 50WP	500	22.00
10	Carbendazini 30 W1	300	(27.97)
T <sub>7</sub>	Control	_	52.00
17	Control	-	(46.15)
	SE ±		0.714
	<b>CD</b> at 5%		2.202

<sup>\*</sup>Figures in parenthesis indicate Arc sin transformation

Table 4: Bio-efficacy of post-harvest fruit rot of mango

Tr. No.	Treatments	Dogogo (for ml/ba)	Percent Rot 5 <sup>th</sup> DAH 10 <sup>th</sup> DAH 15 <sup>th</sup> DAH							
11. NO.	Treatments	Dosage (for illi/lia)	5th DAH	10 <sup>th</sup> DAH	15th DAH					
$T_1$	Fluopyram 200 + Tebuconazole 200-400SC		0.00	0.00	0.00					
11	Pruopyranii 200 + Tebuconazole 200-4005C	300	(0.00*)	(0.00)	(0.00)					
$T_2$	Fluopyram 200 + Tebuconazole 200-400SC	625	0.00	3.33	6.66					
1 2	Propyram 200 + Tebucomazoic 200-4005C	023	(0.00)	(6.15)	(8.85)					
$T_3$	Fluopyram 200 + Tebuconazole 200-400SC 750 0.00 (0.00)	10.00	10.00							
13		750	(0.00)	(15.00)	(15.00)					
$T_4$	Fluopyram 500SC	300	0.00	10.00	16.66					
14		300	(0.00)	(15.00)	(23.36)					
T <sub>5</sub>	Tebuconazole 25EC	600	0.00	10.00	13.33					
15	Teouconazoie 23EC	000	(0.00)	(18.44)	(21.15)					
T <sub>6</sub>	Carbendazim 50WP   500   500	13.33	13.33							
16		300	(0.00)	(21.15)	(21.15)					
T <sub>7</sub>	Control		3.33	30.00	43.33					
17	Control	ı	(6.14)	(33.00)	(45.00)					
	SE ±		2.313	5.179	5.368					
	<b>CD</b> at 5%		7.128	15.961	16.343					

<sup>\*</sup>Figures in parenthesis indicate Arc sin transformation

Table 5: Phytotoxic effect of fluopyram 200+ Tebuconazole 200-400 SC in mango cultivar Alphonso

T		Dosage (for ml/ha)		Phytotoxicity (0%)																								
Tr. No.	Treatments			Epinasty				Hyponasty						Necrosis					Wilting					Chlorosi			S	
110.				3	5	5 '	7	10	1	3	5	7	10	1	3	5	7	10	1	3	5	7	10	1	3	5	7	10
T <sub>1</sub>	Fluopyram 200 + Tebuconazole 200- 400SC	750	Nil	Nil	ΙN	ilN	Vil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
$T_2$	Fluopyram 200 + Tebuconazole 200	1500	Nil	Nil	lN	ilN	Vil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
$T_3$	Fluopyram 200 + Tebuconazole 200	3000	Nil	Nil	lN	ilN	Vil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
T <sub>4</sub>	Untreated Control	-	Nil	Nil	lΝ	ilN	Vil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil





Black rot

Stem-end-rot





**Anthracnose** 





**Powdery Mildew in Mango** 

#### References

- 1. Chavan RA, Deshmukh VD, Tawade SV, Deshmukh JD. Efficacy of fungicides for management for managing powdery mildew of mango. International Journal of Plant Protection. 2009; 2(1):71-72.
- Karande RA, Joshi MS, Sawant UK, Rite SC. *In vitro*. and field evaluation of fungicides against powdery mildew of mango caused by Oidium mangiferae Berthet International journal of Environment, Ecology and conservation. 2016; 22:379-381.
- 3. Rehana, Syed N, Nobel Mansha, Muhammad A, Khaskheli, *et al.* Lodhi Chemical control of stem end rot of mango caused by Lasidiplodia theobrome Pakistan J. of Phytopathology. 2014; 26(2):201-206.
- Sharma H, Kalaria GB, Ghoghari PD, Khandewal V. Bio efficacy of different chemical fungicides for management of mango powdery mildew in South Gujrat. Journal of Mycology and Plant Pathology. 2012; 42(4):494-496.
- Sharma JM, Harender Raj, Kaul JL. Studies on Postharvest diseases of mango and chemical control of stem end rot and anthracnose. Indian Phytopathology, 1994; 47(2):197-200.

- 6. Swart SH, Serfontein SS, Labuschange C. Chemical control of post-harvest diseases of mango: The effect of Fludioxanil and Procloraz on soft brown rot, stem end rot and anthracnose, ISHS Acta Hort, 820 VIII International Symposium, 2009.
- 7. Thind SK, Jpsan JS, Vij VK. Fungicidal management of powdery mildew of mango in Punjab International Conference on Mango and Date palm: Culture and Export, or you reference, your transaction no. is 014956181793895, 2005.