



P-ISSN: 2349-8528
E-ISSN: 2321-4902
IJCS 2017; 5(2): 519-522
© 2017 JEZS
Received: 15-01-2017
Accepted: 16-02-2017

PP Singh

Department of Soil Science,
A.S (P.G.) College, Lakhaoti,
Bulandshahar, Uttar Pradesh,
India

NL Sharma

Department of Soil Science,
A.S (P.G.) College, Lakhaoti,
Bulandshahar, Uttar Pradesh,
India

R Meena

Assistant Professor, Department
of Soil Science and Agricultural
Chemistry, I. Ag. Sc., BHU,
Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India

Integrated plant nutrient supply (IPNS) induced changes in yield and nutrient content of maize (*Zea mays*) crop

PP Singh, NL Sharma and R Meena

Abstract

Maize is one of the most important cereal crops in India. A field experiment was conducted on Integrated Plant Nutrient Supply (IPNS) induced changes in Yield and Nutrient Content of maize (*Zea mays*) crop at Agricultural Research Farm of Amar Singh (P.G.) College, Lakhaoti, Bulandshahar. The grain yield (average of two year) ranged from 33.13 to 52.56 q ha⁻¹, stover yield 40.32 to 60.76 q ha⁻¹ and total biological yield 73.44 to 113.32 q ha⁻¹ where maximum yield of maize was produced by application of nutrients through 10 tonnes ha⁻¹ FYM + Rest through chemical fertilizers (T₃). Nutrient contents under integrated plant nutrient supply (IPNS) of maize crop was observed maximum 1.51, 0.45 and 0.59 percent NPK respectively, in grain and 0.98, 0.705 and 1.80 percent NPK respectively, in stover through application of nutrients by 10 tonnes ha⁻¹ FYM + Rest through chemical fertilizers (T₃). In these parameters, significant increase was recorded in the treatments received organic, inorganic and bio-fertilizer sources of nutrients in combined form.

Keywords: IPNS, chemical fertilizers, bio-fertilizers, maize, content

1. Introduction

Maize (*Zea mays* L.), as a source of carbohydrate is well known crop grown in kharif season in India. Maize is one of the most important cereal crops in India. With the introduction of improved varieties and improved techniques to grow maize particularly the hybrids/composites, a wider spacing is recommended for it. Thus, there is ample scope to utilize the vacant inter-row space of maize by introducing some compatible crops (Singh and Singh, 1993) [12]. Intercropping with legumes may maintain the system in a positive nitrogen balance and if there is good growth of legumes, the nitrogen contribution can be significant. Shivay *et al.* (2001) [10] observed significantly higher 1000 grain weight of maize under urd-bean and soybean intercropping than sole maize. Thus, for higher productivity and improvement in soil fertility for longer period, integrated plant nutrient management system (IPNS) has become important. The principal aim of IPNS is efficient and judicious use of all the major sources of plant nutrients in an integrated manner, so as to get maximum economic yield without any deleterious effects on physico-chemical and biological properties of the soil.

2. Materials & Methods

An experiment was conducted during the kharif season at the Agricultural Research Farm of Amar Singh (P.G.) College, Lakhaoti (Bulandshahar). The Research Farm is situated about 15 km away from Bulandshahr on Bulandshahr-Garh road. Bulandshahr, located in western Uttar Pradesh, is the most fertile and suitable belt of Doab of Ganga and Yamuna for cereals and vegetables. It lies between 28° N latitude and 77° E longitude at an elevation of about 201.48 m above mean sea level. The average annual rainfall of this region is 703.75 mm. About 88% of rainfall is received from June to September and the remaining (20%) during October to March. May and June are the hottest months of the year and maximum temperature ranges between 43-45 °C while January is the coldest month with minimum temperature ranging between 3-6 °C. Treatments description are T₁: Recommended dose of NPK (120: 60:40), T₂: Fertilizer dose based on soil test value, T₃: 10 tonnes ha⁻¹ FYM + Rest through chemical fertilizers, T₄: Azotobacter + 75% N and full dose of P & K through chemical fertilizers, T₅: Rhizobium + 50% P and full dose of N & K through chemical fertilizers, T₆: PSB (Phosphorus solubilizing bacteria) + 75% P and full dose of N & K through chemical fertilizers, T₇: *Azotobacter* + *Rhizobium* + PSB + 75% N, 25% P and 100% K through chemical fertilizers,

Correspondence**R Meena**

Assistant Professor, Department
of Soil Science and Agricultural
Chemistry, I. Ag. Sc., BHU,
Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India

T₈: *Azotobacter* + *Rhizobium* + PSB + 10 t ha⁻¹ FYM and 33% N, no P and K through chemical fertilizers, T₉: 2 t ha⁻¹ Vermicompost + 75% Recommended dose of NPK. Fertilizers namely Urea (46% N), DAP (48% P) and MOP (60% KCl) were used as a source of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium respectively, in the form of chemical fertilizers. FYM was also used as a source of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and micro-elements in the form of organic manure. The microbial culture of *Azotobacter* (*A. chroococcum*), PSB (*Bacillus* and *Pseudomonas*) & *Rhizobium* were used as bio-fertilizers.

All the cobs of each plot were weighed and multiplied with the shelling percentage and fixed the yield on 15 percent moisture by factor. The grain yield was calculated by the formula given below:

$$\text{Grain yield (kg/net plot)} = \frac{\text{Fresh Weight of cob (100 - moisture\%)} \times \text{shelling\%} \times 1.176}{100}$$

This grain yield was converted by multiplying the factor and reported as grain yield of q ha⁻¹. After removal of cobs from the stalks manually, remaining produce weight was recorded and reported as q ha⁻¹. The biological yield was presented by produce (grain + straw) of each net plot was allowed to air dry in the field after harvesting and weighed and reported as biomass of net plot in q ha⁻¹. Nitrogen was determined by micro Kjeldhal method (Jackson, 1958) [3]. The Kjeltec auto

analyzer was used for analysis. It was expressed in percentage on dry weight basis. Phosphorus content in digested plant samples was determined by vanado molybdate phosphoric acid and readings were read at spectrophotometer at 470 wavelength as described by Chapman and Parker (1961). Potassium content of plant samples was determined by flame photometer (mediflame) at 786 μm (Jackson, 1958). The data was tabulated and processed to suit for computerization and analysis of variance, by method as given by Snedecor and Cochran (1968) [14].

3. Result and Discussion

3.1 Yield

Highest grain yield of maize (51.74 q/ha during 1st year and 53.38 q/ha during 2nd year) was recorded from the treatment T₃ (FYM + Chemical fertilizer). In this treatment grain yield was recorded significant higher over rest of the treatment during both the years. Maize yield was recorded lowest (31.14 q/ha during 1st year and 35.11 q/ha during 2nd year) in the treatment T₉ (Vermicompost + Chemical fertilizer). T₃ registered 58.6 per cent higher yield over T₉ in average data of both the years. In general, mean grain yield of maize increased with increasing substitution of NPK nutrients by FYM, bio-fertilizers such as *Azotobacter*, *Rhizobium* and PSB over chemical fertilizer alone.

Table 1: Effect of integrated plant nutrient supply on grain yield, stover yield and total biological yield of maize crop.

Treatments	Grain yield (q/ha)			Stover yield (q/ha)			Total biological yield (q/ha)		
	2004	2005	Average	2004	2005	Average	2004	2005	Average
T ₁	34.84	38.54	36.69	41.97	46.48	44.23	76.81	85.02	80.92
T ₂	38.76	40.30	39.53	46.46	48.31	47.39	85.22	88.61	86.92
T ₃	51.74	53.38	52.56	60.10	61.42	60.76	111.84	114.80	113.32
T ₄	44.84	43.24	44.04	53.46	52.03	52.75	98.30	95.27	96.79
T ₅	32.23	38.39	35.31	38.79	46.16	42.48	71.02	84.55	77.79
T ₆	35.19	39.55	37.37	42.43	47.15	44.79	77.62	86.70	82.16
T ₇	39.06	41.21	40.14	46.33	48.42	47.38	85.39	89.63	87.51
T ₈	45.15	45.22	45.19	49.60	52.63	51.12	94.75	97.85	96.30
T ₉	31.14	35.11	33.13	37.75	42.88	40.32	68.89	77.99	73.44
S.Em.±	1.97	1.98	1.18	1.56	1.94	1.28	3.83	3.81	2.42
CD (0.05)	5.91	5.94	3.86	4.68	5.82	4.20	11.49	11.43	7.90

T₁: Recommended dose of NPK (120: 60:40), T₂: Fertilizer dose based on soil test value, T₃: 10 tonnes ha⁻¹ FYM + Rest through chemical fertilizers, T₄: *Azotobacter* + 75% N and full dose of P & K through chemical fertilizers, T₅: *Rhizobium* + 50% P and full dose of N & K through chemical fertilizers, T₆: PSB (Phosphorus solubilizing bacteria) + 75% P and full dose of N & K through chemical fertilizers, T₇: *Azotobacter* + *Rhizobium* + PSB + 75% N, 25% P and 100% K through chemical fertilizers, T₈: *Azotobacter* + *Rhizobium* + PSB + 10 t ha⁻¹ FYM and 33% N, no P and K through chemical fertilizers, T₉: 2 t ha⁻¹ Vermicompost + 75% Recommended dose of NPK

In support of this Mustafa *et al.* (2008) [6] found that the 100% recommended dose of N and P + *Rhizobium* + PSB has resulted in higher growth, yield attributes and yield of chickpea. Substantial increase in grain yield of maize due to application of FYM was also advocated by Cremenescu *et al.* (1989) [2]. Combined use of *Azotobacter* + *Rhizobium* + PSB + FYM + Chem. (T₈) improved the crop yield markedly. This was primarily attributed to production of cytokinin in the *Rhizobium* by *Azotobacter*. Plant cytokinins are produced primarily in the roots and translocated via xylem to the shoots, which used to stimulate cell division and thus increase the yields (Alagawadi and Gaur, 1988) [1]. Rudesh *et al.* (2005) [9] suggested that combined inoculation of *Rhizobium*, PSB and *Trichoderma* spp.

Data given in Table-1 revealed that stover yield was highest in T₃ (FYM + chemical fertilizer) which was recorded significantly superior over rest of the treatments during both the years. Whereas, T₉ produced the least stover yield (37.75 q ha⁻¹ in 1st year and 42.80 q ha⁻¹ in 2nd year). Singh *et al.* (2006) [13] supported and reported that on application of

Rhizobium and VAM resulted in the highest number of pods/plant (25.2), seed yield per plant (6.9 g), test weight (151.7 g), seed yield (15.7), straw yield (5.56) and protein content (22.0%) in Chickpea.

Biological yield differed significantly due to various treatments during both the years of study as well as in average values. It was recorded that biological yield was highest in T₃ (111.84 q ha⁻¹ in 1st year and 114.80 q ha⁻¹ in 2nd year) resulted in significantly higher than rest of the treatments during both the years and in average values also. While the minimum yield was obtained through application of 2 t ha⁻¹ Vermicompost + 75% Recommended dose of NPK. (T₉) in both the years of investigation (68.89 ha⁻¹ in 1st year and 77.99 ha⁻¹ in 2nd year). In general, mean grain yield, stover yield, biological yield of maize increased with increasing substitution of NPK nutrient by FYM and bio-fertilizers such as *Azotobacter*, *Rhizobium* and PSB. showed increased NPKS uptake, plant height, number of branches, yield and total biomass in chickpea over un-inoculated control.

3.2 Content

Differences in nitrogen content in maize grain and stover as well as its uptake due to IPNS treatments were found significant during both the years and in average values. Integrated use of Azoto + Rhizobium + PSB + FYM + Chemical fertilizer (T₈) and Azoto + Chemical fertilizer (T₄) recorded statistically similar N content in grain and stover of maize during both the years and in their average values also. These treatments showed significant superiority to other remaining treatments. While the minimum values of N content was found with T₉ (Vermicompost + Chemical fertilizer 1.29% in grain and 0.68% in stover in 2004 and 1.30% grain and 0.68% in stover in 2005).

Phosphorus content in both grain and stover obtained from T₃ treated plot values 0.45 per cent and T₃ and T₈ treated plot value 0.705 per cent, respectively in average were found significantly higher over remaining treatments (table-2).

Variations in potassium content and uptake in maize grain and stover due to various IPNS treatments were found significant higher values of 0.59% and 1.80% potassium in grain and stover, respectively on the basis of average data were

associated with T₃ (FYM + Chemical fertilizer). However, markedly higher K uptake in stover was associated with T₃ (table-3). The minimum value was obtained under T₉ (60.88 kg ha⁻¹ in average values).

N, P and K content was maximum under integrated use of FYM + Chem. fertilizer (T₃) which was *at par* with treatment T₈ (Azoto + Rhizobium + PSB + FYM + Chem.) and T₄ (Azoto + Chem.). These treatments showed significant superiority over the other remaining treatments. This might be due to increased supply of nutrients directly through organic (FYM, PSB, *Azotobacter* & *Rhizobium*) and inorganic sources to the crop as well as indirectly through checking the loss of nutrients (N, P and K) from soil solution which in turn resulted in better growth (Table 1), higher biological yield as well as more nutrient concentration in treatment T₃ (Table 2 & 3). Increased uptake of N, P and K by maize is due to *Azotobacter* inoculation (Sikilar, 1974)^[11], application of FYM (Meshram and Shinde, 1982)^[5]; PSB (Alagawadia and Gaur, 1988; Jisha and Alagawadia, 1996^[4]; Patil, 1997)^[7] and *Rhizobium* (Sreenivasa, 1992, and Prathiba, 1994)^[15, 8] have also been reported earlier.

Table 2: Nitrogen and phosphorus content in grain and stover of maize as influenced by integrated plant nutrient supply

Treatments	Nitrogen Content (%)						Phosphorus Content (%)					
	Grain			Stover			Grain			Stover		
	2004	2005	Average	2004	2005	Average	2004	2005	Average	2004	2005	Average
T ₁	1.31	1.32	1.32	0.75	0.74	0.75	0.36	0.37	0.35	0.400	0.410	0.405
T ₂	1.38	1.40	1.39	0.76	0.77	0.77	0.40	0.39	0.39	0.410	0.400	0.405
T ₃	1.50	1.52	1.51	0.97	0.98	0.98	0.44	0.46	0.45	0.710	0.700	0.705
T ₄	1.40	1.41	1.41	0.84	0.86	0.85	0.37	0.36	0.36	0.560	0.580	0.570
T ₅	1.33	1.33	1.33	0.70	0.72	0.71	0.30	0.31	0.30	0.500	0.500	0.500
T ₆	1.34	1.34	1.34	0.72	0.73	0.73	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.510	0.500	0.505
T ₇	1.36	1.39	1.38	0.83	0.84	0.84	0.38	0.39	0.38	0.600	0.610	0.605
T ₈	1.42	1.45	1.44	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.41	0.42	0.41	0.700	0.710	0.705
T ₉	1.29	1.30	1.30	0.68	0.68	0.68	0.31	0.32	0.31	0.500	0.520	0.510
S.Em.±	0.0098	0.0056	0.0056	0.0069	0.0075	0.0048	0.0072	0.0055	0.006	0.0052	0.0052	0.00081
CD (0.05)	0.029	0.018	0.018	0.020	0.022	0.015	0.021	0.016	0.019	0.015	0.015	0.0026

T₁: Recommended dose of NPK (120: 60:40), T₂: Fertilizer dose based on soil test value, T₃: 10 tonnes ha⁻¹ FYM + Rest through chemical fertilizers, T₄: Azotobacter + 75% N and full dose of P & K through chemical fertilizers, T₅: Rhizobium + 50% P and full dose of N & K through chemical fertilizers, T₆: PSB (Phosphorus solubilizing bacteria) + 75% P and full dose of N & K through chemical fertilizers, T₇: *Azotobacter* + *Rhizobium* + PSB + 75% N, 25% P and 100% K through chemical fertilizers, T₈: *Azotobacter* + *Rhizobium* + PSB + 10 t ha⁻¹ FYM and 33% N, no P and K through chemical fertilizers, T₉: 2 t ha⁻¹ Vermicompost + 75% Recommended dose of NPK

Table 3: Potassium content in grain and stover of maize influenced by integrated plant nutrient supply

Treatments	K Content (%)					
	Grain			Stover		
	2004	2005	Average	2004	2005	Average
T ₁	0.54	0.54	0.54	1.55	1.55	1.55
T ₂	0.56	0.57	0.57	1.57	1.57	1.57
T ₃	0.59	0.58	0.59	1.80	1.80	1.80
T ₄	0.56	0.50	0.53	1.74	1.74	1.74
T ₅	0.50	0.52	0.51	1.54	1.54	1.54
T ₆	0.52	0.55	0.54	1.58	1.58	1.58
T ₇	0.55	0.55	0.55	1.60	1.60	1.60
T ₈	0.58	0.57	0.58	1.77	1.77	1.77
T ₉	0.50	0.50	0.50	1.51	1.51	1.51
S.Em.±	0.0092	0.0083	0.012	0.027	0.0055	0.00039
CD (0.05)	0.027	0.024	0.042	0.082	0.016	0.0012

T₁: Recommended dose of NPK (120: 60:40), T₂: Fertilizer dose based on soil test value, T₃: 10 tonnes ha⁻¹ FYM + Rest through chemical fertilizers, T₄: Azotobacter + 75% N and full dose of P & K through chemical fertilizers, T₅: Rhizobium + 50% P and full dose of N & K through chemical fertilizers, T₆: PSB (Phosphorus solubilizing bacteria) + 75% P and full dose of N & K through chemical fertilizers, T₇: *Azotobacter* + *Rhizobium* + PSB + 75% N, 25% P and 100% K through chemical fertilizers, T₈: *Azotobacter* + *Rhizobium* + PSB + 10 t ha⁻¹ FYM and 33% N, no P and K through chemical fertilizers, T₉: 2 t ha⁻¹ Vermicompost + 75% Recommended dose of NPK

4. Acknowledgement

Authors are also thankful to Head, Department of Soil Science, A.S (P.G.) College, Lakhaoti, Bulandshahar (U.P.) for providing all necessary facility to conduct this experiment.

5. References

1. Alagawadi AR, Gaur AC. Associative effect of rhizobium and phosphate solubilizing bacteria on the yield and nutrient uptake of chickpea. *Plant and Soil Res.* 1988; 105:241-246
2. Cremenescu G, Ceausu C, Povarna F, Iancu D, Mihailescu D, Marinescu M *et al.* Analele Institutului-decercetari Pentru Cereale-si-Plante-Technice, Fundulea. 1989; 53:153-171.
3. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. Prentice Hall, Inc. Engle Wood Cliffs, N.J.U.S.A, 1958.
4. Jisha MS, Alagawadi AR. Nutrient uptake and yield of sorghum inoculated with phosphate solubilizing bacteria and cellulolytic fungus in a cotton amended vertisol. *Microbiol Res.* 1996; 151:1-5.
5. Meshram SU, Shende ST. Total nitrogen uptake by maize with *Azotobacter* inoculation. *Plant and Soil Res.* 1982; 62(2):275-279.

6. Mustafa MN, Sagar GK, Chandrika V, Reddy PM. Growth and yield of chickpea as influenced by irrigation and nutrient management. *Legume res.* 2008; 31(3):221-223.
7. Patil CB. Effect of varying fertility levels on productivity of cropping systems under irrigation in semi and regions of India. *Indian J. of Agronomy.* 1997; 42(2):210-213.
8. Prathiba CK, Alagawadi AR, Sreenivasa MN, Khadi BM, Janagoudar BS, Patil TC. *Rhizosphere* microflora influenced cotton by combined inoculation of *Azospirillum* and *Pseudomonas striata* or *Glomus fasciculatum*. *J Soil Bio. Ecol.* 1994; 14:11-16.
9. Rudesh OL, Shivprakash NK, Prasad RO. Effect of combined application of Rhizobium, PSB and *Trichoderma species* on growth, nutrient uptake and yield of chickpea. *Applied Soil Eco.* 2005; 28(2):139-146.
10. Shivay YS, Singh RP, Pal M, Pal M. Productivity and economics of maize as influenced by intercropping with legumes and nitrogen level. *Ann. Agric. Res.*, 2001; 22(4):576-582.
11. Sikilar AGH. Effect of Single Vs. multiple Stain inoculum of *Azotobacter* on yield, nitrogen phosphorus uptake in maize (*Zea Mays. Linn.*) thesis M.Sc. (Ag.). Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahur, 1974.
12. Singh G, Singh OP. Intercropping compatibility of different crops with winter maize. *Indian J. Agron.* 1993; 38(4):519-522.
13. Singh RN, Shukla DN, Nirmal DC. Effect of biofertilizer fertility level in weed management on weed growth and yield of late sown chickpea. *Indian J. of Agri. Sci.* 2006; 76(9):561-563.
14. Snedecor GM, Cochran WG. *Statistical methods.* Sixth. Edn. Oxford and IBH Publishing Co. Kolkata, 1968.
15. Sreenivasa MN. Selection of an efficient Vascular arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus for chilli (*Capsicum annum* L). *Scientia Hort.* 1992; 50:53-58.