International Journal of Chemical Studies

P-ISSN2349–8528
E-ISSN 2321–4902
IJCS 2016; 4(2): 46-50

Received: 22-01-2016
Accepted: 25-02-2016

Reza Hashemi
Maharashtra Institute of Technology, Pune, India, (Department of Petroleum Eng.)

LK Kshirsagar
Maharashtra Institute of Technology, Pune, India, (Department of Petroleum Eng.)

PB Jadhav
Maharashtra Institute of Technology, Pune, India, (Department of Petroleum Eng.)

Somnath Nandi
Maharashtra Institute of Technology, Pune, India, (Department of Petroleum Eng.)

Elias Ghaleh Golab
Azad University of Omidieh, Iran, (Department of Petroleum Eng.)

Correspondence
Reza Hashemi
Maharashtra Institute of Technology, Pune, India, (Department of Petroleum Eng.)

An overview on asphaltene precipitation phenomena from crude oil

Reza Hashemi, LK Kshirsagar, PB Jadhav, Somnath Nandi, Elias Ghaleh Golab

Abstract
Asphaltene precipitation from crude oils is one of the stream affirmation issues for the oil business. Precipitation can be essentially influenced by the adjustments in organization and weight of the crude oil. For instance, asphaltenes hasten after blending of contradictory oils or solvents to crude oils, and weight consumption in traditional oils containing arrangement gas. Moreover, crude oils with no or almost no water are constantly considered for asphaltene precipitation estimations and demonstrating. The paper shows a layout survey of Asphaltene precipitation and its models.

Keywords: Asphaltene precipitation, Crude oil

Introduction

Asphaltene precipitation and affidavit can happen amid generation of store liquid, transportation of created liquid and preparing the liquid in downstream operations. Conditions where asphaltene precipitation can happen amid customary crude oil generation incorporate typical weight exhaustion, corrosive incitement, gas-lift operations and miscible flooding for improved oil recuperation. In some field cases, asphaltenes and waxes co-encourage. Amid overwhelming oil operations, the weakening of substantial oil with paraffinic dissolvable or lighter oils to lessen its thickness can bring about asphaltene precipitation in pipelines, tubulars and surfaces. Asphaltenes likewise encourage amid paraffinic foam treatment in oil sands handling and vapor extraction process for substantial oil recuperation.

Precipitation of scattered asphaltene particles is a precondition for affidavit in procedure hardware. Affidavit of asphaltenes in oil wells, pumps, flowlines, pipelines and generation offices can decrease well efficiency, harm pumps, confine or plug flowline and pipelines and foul creation taking care of offices (Saniere *et al*., 2004) [26]. Hastened asphaltenes might likewise develop in the close wellbore, supply shake and stop up the permeable lattice of the repository amid penetrating and concoction treatment (Luo *et al*., 2008) [22]. Aside from bringing about the store development harm, asphaltene stores could likewise bring about inversion of the stone wettability to oil-wet, which prompts a lower recuperation element (Yan and Plancher, 1997) [33].

Field issues from stopping of wellbore, tubing and surface offices because of asphaltenes have been accounted for an expansive number of generation fields with both light and overwhelming oil creation. The present remediation techniques for asphaltene affidavit issues incorporate infusing sweet-smelling solvents or dispersants to break down the store by dousing, mechanically cutting or pigging the funnel area of the store, or powerfully cracking to beat the harmed arrangement close to the wellbore. In a couple cases, coiled tubing has been utilized with a plane connection to evacuate stores in the wellbore (Frenier *et al*., 2010) [12].

Notwithstanding the issues identified with stream confirmation and supply hindrance, asphaltenes are likewise known not to the development of stable emulsions in procedure offices (Lindemuth *et al*., 2001) [21] and go about as coke forerunners and impetus harms (Gray, 1994). In spite of the fact that administrators attempt to keep away from the conditions where asphaltene precipitation happens, now and again, precipitation and the potential amassing of asphaltene stores is unavoidable. In this way, administrators should depend on concoction and mechanical remediation techniques (Al-Sahhaf *et al*., 2002) [1] to moderate testimony. These strategies are costly and are frequently just mostly compelling. Thusly, understanding the components of asphaltene precipitation and statement is crucial to the utilization of these medicinal moderation techniques.

Asphaltene precipitation is apparently the first and most vital stride in the procedures that prompt stopping of arrangement pores and affidavit. Along these lines, asphaltene precipitation stage conduct demonstrating is the center of this proposition. A few displaying approaches have been adjusted in the writing to demonstrate asphaltene precipitation from crude oils. The principle methodologies depend on colloidal and thermodynamic models. Thermodynamic models are by a long shot the most broadly utilized. Thermodynamic models connected to asphaltene precipitation incorporate normal arrangement hypothesis, cubic mathematical statements of state, and affiliation comparisons of state. Comparisons of state based models are appropriate for vapor-fluid balance computations and are by and large utilized for oils at high weight and temperature and with arrangement or infused gas. They have not yet been effectively connected to asphaltene precipitation because of mixing of oils most likely on the grounds that the standard blending guidelines are insufficient for these deviated blends (Castellanos Díaz *et al*., 2011) [8].
The general arrangement methodology is the least difficult and is effectively adjusted to model precipitation as an aftereffect of weakening with contrary solvents. In this postulation, the customary arrangement methodology is adjusted to demonstrate asphaltene precipitation from crude oil mixes and oils experiencing depressurization.
The vast majority of the accessible general arrangement construct models center in light of foreseeing precipitation from individual crude oils weakened with immaculate solvents. As a general rule, crude oils are frequently mixed with another crude oil or a multi-part dissolvable (Hong and Watkinson, 2004) [18]. Case in point, blends of delivered overwhelming oils or bitumen are frequently weakened with a refining cut (naphtha) or a condensate to diminish the thickness for transportation through pipelines. Redesigned or created crude oils from various sources are normally blended before refining. In the event that the liquids are not perfect with one another, mixing can bring about asphaltene precipitation. Precipitation onset tests on constituent oils are normally directed to survey the security of such crude oil mixes (Schermer *et al*., 2004) [28]. Here, security alludes to measure of asphaltenes encourage in the liquid. These onset tests are not generally adequate in light of the fact that the dissolvability of asphaltenes in the mix could be influenced by the sum and/or piece of the non-asphaltenic part of constituent oils (Wiehe *et al*., 2001) [32]. Now and again, crude oil mixes are less steady than the constituent oils. Connection between the asphaltenes from source oils might likewise influence stage conduct. These issues must be considered in developing the current asphaltene precipitation models to crude oil mixes.

General Description of Asphaltene Precipitation

Asphaltenes precipitate upon changes in crude oil creation, weight and temperature. Arrangement impelled precipitation happens when the oil turns out to be less fragrant because of the expansion of gasses or paraffinic solvents to the oil. For instance, for traditional oil operations, regular infusion gasses for upgraded oil recuperation forms, gas lift operations, and/or acidizing occupations comprise of carbon dioxide, nitrogen, and light paraffinic hydrocarbon gasses, for example, methane, propane and butane. These gasses can affect precipitation when the broke down gas focus surpasses a specific farthest point at a given weight and temperature (Badamchi-Zadeh *et al*., 2009) [3]. To take another case, substantial oils or bitumen are exceedingly gooey and are thusly weakened with condensates, refining cuts (naphtha), or light oil to lessen the consistency for preparing and transport. These diluents are generally rich in paraffinic parts and might bring about precipitation.
Weight affected precipitation can happen for some routine crude oils. These oils are generally very under-soaked and contain high convergence of light hydrocarbon gasses, for example, methane, ethane, and propane, furthermore a higher centralization of light (paraffinic) fluid exacerbates that go about as a poor dissolvable for asphaltenes. As the oil is depressurized amid generation, the relative molar volumes of the arrangement gas and light finishes tends to increment essentially in respect to the heavier segments in the oil. Thusly, asphaltenes begin to precipitate at a specific weight called the upper asphaltene precipitation onset weight.
At the air pocket point, the oil has the most noteworthy substance of broke up gas by volume and along these lines the greatest measure of asphaltene precipitation will happen (Hammami *et al*., 2000) [15]. Underneath the air pocket point, arrangement gas and other unpredictable segments will advance from the oil as a gas stage bringing about the fluid stage improving as a dissolvable for the asphaltenes. Henceforth, the precipitated asphaltenes will begin to redissolve into the oil. The weight at which the remainder of the precipitated asphaltenes redissolve is known as the lower asphaltene precipitation onset weight. Temperature change minorly affects the onset and measure of precipitation when contrasted with weight change.
The expression "dependability" is frequently used to depict the penchant of a crude oil to precipitate asphaltenes or, at the end of the day, how well the asphaltenes are broken up in the crude oil. An oil is viewed as precarious if asphaltenes precipitate at the predefined conditions. For example, an oil-dissolvable blend is unsteady at dissolvable substance over the onset of precipitation and stable beneath the onset. At the point when oils experience depressurization, oil is shaky between the upper and lower asphaltene precipitation onset weights. Precipitation conditions don't seem to associate to the asphaltene substance of oil.

Precipitation Onsets

At atmospheric conditions, the most widely recognized strategy to decide the precipitation onset is the titration of oil against the encouraging solvents (Andersen, 1999) [2]. Tiny examination of an oil-dissolvable blend is additionally adjusted by a few researchers (Buckley, 1996) [5]. The most well-known strategies for oils under high weight and temperature are light disseminating procedure with a close infrared light source and high pressure microscope frameworks (Hammami and Ratulowski, 2007) [14]. High pressure microscope permits direct visual perception of numerous stages present at hoisted weight and temperature. In general, optical techniques are typically restricted to light oils with low asphaltene content as a result of the confinement on the obscurity of oils. Different strategies with physical property estimations are utilized when the low light transmittance is experienced.
Measure of precipitation is normally measured utilizing filtration (Leontaritis *et al*., 1994) and centrifugation (Tharanivasan *et al*., 2009) [29] procedures for oils at air conditions. In these systems, the oil is blended with a suitable dissolvable and separated or centrifuged. Notwithstanding, different filtration procedures are adjusted for oils at raised weights and temperatures to gauge the precipitation sums (Negahban *et al*., 2005) [24]. Once precipitated, asphaltene particles have a tendency to flocculate. The span of the flocs is

likely an essential variable in affidavit since particles over a specific size would store (Eskin *et al*., 2011) [11]. Floc sizes of 300 nm to a few hundred microns have been watched (Mullins, 2010) [23]. The mean molecule size of asphaltenes from a blend of asphaltenes and blended solvents, and light oils (Burya *et al*., 2001) [7] seem, by all accounts, to be no less than one request of extent littler than asphaltene flocs from overwhelming oils/bitumens (Nielsen *et al*., 1994) [25].

Asphaltene Precipitation Models

Asphaltene precipitation modeling has been the subject of much research in the course of recent years is still a testing theme in light of the fact that asphaltenes are a blend of poorly characterized segments, they self-relate even at low fixations, and the type of asphaltenes in the crude oil (colloids or macromolecules) is still obscure. Exploratory estimations for live (oils containing arrangement gas at high weight and temperature conditions) are typically led at store conditions or over a tight scope of temperatures near supply conditions. For dead oils (arrangement without gas oils at air conditions), the estimations are generally done over a characterized scope of focus for a specific dissolvable. In light of contrasting oils, test methodologies, and creator perspectives, various distinctive models have been proposed in the writing for foreseeing the onset condition and the measure of asphaltene precipitation. The current modeling methodologies can be comprehensively ordered into colloidal and thermodynamic models.

The colloidal models hold that the asphaltenes are scattered in oil as strong colloidal particles. Every molecule is a heap of asphaltenes, which is settled by gums adsorbed on its surface or focused around the asphaltenes (Dickie and Yen, 1967) [10]. The saps are accepted to go about as peptizing specialists and keep up the asphaltenes in a colloidal scattering (rather than an answer) inside of the crude oil. The pitches are expected to segment between the asphaltene particles and the without asphaltenes part of the crude oil or dissolvable. Precipitation is accepted to happen when the tars are stripped from the colloid permitting total and physical partition. To model the precipitation, the stage security of the framework is identified with concoction capability of the pitches in both asphaltenes and oil (dissolvable) stages (Leontaritis and Mansoori, 1987). At the onset purpose of precipitation, where the grouping of saps in the fluid stage is sufficiently only to peptize asphaltenes, the compound potential is known as the basic substance capability of the gums. The basic compound capability of pitches is ascertained from the Flory-Huggins hypothesis for polymer arrangements and the deliberate onset information. The molar volume and dissolvability parameter are likewise required for the count. Given the basic centralization of pitches in crude oil, asphaltene precipitation is anticipated at different conditions.

Thermodynamic models assume that the asphaltenes are a piece of a non-perfect blend and their conduct is represented by routine thermodynamics. The asphaltenes are accepted to act as macromolecules that can self-partner and precipitation is thought to be fluid or fluid strong stage move. Thermodynamic models anticipate that precipitation is reversible. There are two primary sorts of thermodynamic model connected to asphaltene precipitation: general arrangement and mathematical statements of state models. Standard arrangement hypothesis based models are normally semi-observational and anticipate the impact of dissolvable expansion on asphaltene precipitation with great exactness. Mathematical statements of state based models are promptly material for reenactment with weight and temperature impacts.

Solution Based Models

1. **Solution theory:** General solution hypothesis is one of the ways to deal with model polymer-such as frameworks. The fundamental supposition of this methodology is no volume change in blending. General arrangement hypothesis has been adjusted to incorporate a Flory-Huggins entropic commitment from the distinction in sub-atomic sizes and additionally an enthalpy commitment from Scatchard-Hildebrand dissolvability hypothesis (Scatchard, 1949; Hildebrand, 1949) [27-16]. This methodology has been effectively connected to anticipate the dissolvability of asphaltenes in asphaltene-dissolvable frameworks (Yarranton and Masliyah, 1996) [34]. The model parameters are mole portion, molar volume and solvency parameter of every segment in the framework.
2. **Asphaltene component and a non-asphaltene component:** Hirschberg *et al*. (1984) [17] initially utilized this way to deal with model asphaltene precipitation by expecting an asphaltene segment and a non-asphaltene segment in the live crude oil. For a given crude oil containing arrangement gas, a vapor-liquid equilibrium estimation is initially performed to decide the sum and properties of both vapor and fluid stages. At that liquid-liquid equilibrium figuring is done on the fluid stage utilizing standard arrangement hypothesis expecting no impact of the precipitated asphaltenes stage on the already computed vapor-liquid equilibrium.
3. **Poly-disperse polymer solution and a molar mass distribution for asphaltenes:** Kawanaka *et al*. (1991) [19] utilized a model for poly-scatter polymer arrangement and a molar mass appropriation for asphaltenes. A communication parameter has been brought into the standard arrangement model to fit the asphaltene precipitation information (Andersen and Speight, 1999; Yang *et al*., 1999) [2]. Later, this methodology was refined to foresee the precipitation onsets and sums from weakened crude oils (Creek *et al*., 2009) [9].
4. T**wo-component solubility mode:** Wang and Buckley (2001) [30] adjusted the consistent arrangement way to deal with add to a two-segment dissolvability model. The crude oil is described into asphaltene and non-asphaltene segments. The required data parameters are molar volume and dissolvability parameter of the two parts. The properties of non-asphaltene segment are controlled by relating those to refractive record through measured information or relationships (Buckley and Wang, 2002) [4]. The properties of the asphaltene part are utilized as fitting parameters as a part of the model. The model then computes the free energies of blending and thermodynamically predicts the presence of a different asphaltene stage in blends. This dissolvability model is particularly created for modeling the precipitation from immaculate n-alkane weakened crude oils at surrounding conditions.
5. **Regular solution theory:** Wang *et al*. (2004) [31] built up an observational philosophy taking into account general arrangement hypothesis to foresee the onset of asphaltene flimsiness from live oils. The premise of the strategy is the relationship between's dissolvability parameter and the refractive list so that a refractive list estimation can be utilized to decide the solvency parameter (Buckley, 1999) [6]. In the first place the dead oil solvency parameter is resolved from its refractive record. At that point the live oil solvency parameter is resolved from the dead oil refractive record and a relationship between the onset

dissolvability parameter and the molar volume of n-alkanes or asphaltene unsteadiness pattern (Creek *et al*., 2009) [9]. Subsequently, the dissolvability parameter of the live oil is contrasted and the solvency parameter at the onset of precipitation to decide the soundness of the oil.

Conclusion

Asphaltenes precipitation has been the subject of exploration for quite a long time. Various models have been produced for asphaltene precipitation. The best models are balance models in view of either customary arrangement hypothesis or comparisons of state. Comparisons of state have been connected to distinguishing the onset of asphaltene endless supply of the crude oil. They have not yet been fruitful in foreseeing asphaltene yields from the depressurization of light crude oils or the weakening of substantial oils. Moreover, mathematical statement of state models must be fitted to match precipitation information for every diluent. Normal arrangement models, then again, have been connected to asphaltene precipitation from weakened overwhelming oils and can effectively anticipate the impact of various diluents. Be that as it may, these models have not been connected to mixed oils or live oils experiencing depressurization. Neither the comparison of state nor the general arrangement based models have been connected to crude oils containing emulsified water. One of the issues connected with consistent arrangement models is the portrayal of crude oils. The liquid is ordinarily portrayed into SARA divisions or one asphaltene segment and one non-asphaltene segment. For live oils, light gasses and a few extra pseudo-parts are considered. The properties are either evaluated from relationships or tuned to the trial information. The portrayal strategies adjusted for dead oils and live oils are not the same. Thus, there is no predictable way to deal with model the precipitation from weakened dead oils and live oils because of depressurization.

References

1. Al-Sahhaf TA, Fahim MA, Elkilani AS. Retardation of asphaltene precipitation by addition of toluene, resins, deasphalted oil and surfactants, Fluid Phase Equilibria, 194-197, 1047-1057, 2002.
2. Andersen SI. Flocculation onset titration of petroleum asphaltenes, Energy Fuels 1999; 13(2):315-322.
3. Badamchi-Zadeh A, Yarranton HW, Maini BB, Satyro MA. Phase behaviour and physical property measurements for VAPEX solvents: Part II. Propane, carbon dioxide and Athabasca bitumen, J Can Pet Technol. 2009; 48(3):57-65.
4. Buckley JS, Wang JX. Crude oil and asphaltene characterization for prediction of wetting alteration, J Pet Sci Eng. 2002; 33(1-3):195-202.
5. Buckley JS. Microscopic investigation of the onset of asphaltene precipitation, Fuel Sci. Technol 1996; 14(1-2):55-74.
6. Buckley JS. Predicting the onset of asphaltene precipitation from refractive index measurements, Energy Fuels 1999; 13(2):328-332.
7. Burya YG, Yudin IK, Dechabo VA, Kosov VI, Anisimov MA. Light-scattering study of petroleum asphaltene aggregation, Applied Optics 2001; 40(24):4028-4035.
8. Castellanos Díaz O, Modaresghazani J, Satyro MA, Yarranton HW. Modeling the phase behavior of heavy oil and solvent mixtures, Fluid Phase Equilibria 2011; 304(1-2):74-85.
9. Creek JL, Wang JX, Buckley JS. Verification of asphaltene-instability-trend (ASIST) predictions for low-molecular-weight alkanes, SPE Production & Operations 2009; 24(2):360-367.
10. Dickie JP, Yen TF. Macrostructures of the asphaltic fractions by various instrumental methods, Analytical Chemistry 1967; 39(14):1847-1852.
11. Eskin D, Ratulowski J, Akbarzadeh K, Pan S. Modelling asphaltene deposition in turbulent pipeline flows, Can J Chem Eng. 2011; 89(3):421-441.
12. Frenier WW, Ziauddin M, Venkatesan R, Creek JL. Organic Deposits in Oil and Gas Production, Society of Petroleum Engineers, Richardson, Texas, 2010.
13. Gray MR. Consistency of asphaltene chemical structures with pyrolysis and coking behavior, Energy Fuels 2003; 17(6):1566-1569.
14. Hammami A, Ratulowski J. Precipitation and Deposition of Asphaltenes in Production Systems: A Flow Assurance Overview, Chapter 23, *Asphaltenes*, *Heavy Oils*, and *Petroleomics*, Editors: Mullins, O. C., Sheu, E. Y., Hammami, A., Marshall, A. G., Springer Science + Business Media, LLC, New York, 2007.
15. Hammami A, Phelps CH, Monger-McClure T, Little TM. Asphaltene precipitation from live oils: an experimental investigation of onset conditions and reversibility, Energy Fuels 2000; 14(1):14-18.
16. Hildebrand JH. A critique of the theory of solubility of non-electrolytes, Chem. Rev 1949; 44(1):37-45.
17. Hirschberg A, deJong LNJ, Schipper BA, Meijer JG. Influence of temperature and pressure on asphaltene flocculation, Soc Pet Eng J. 1984; 24:283-291.
18. Hong E, Watkinson P. A study of asphaltene solubility and precipitation, Fuel 2004; 83(14-15):1881-1887.
19. Kawanaka S, Park SJ, Mansoori GA. Organic deposition from reservoir fluids: a thermodynamic predictive technique, SPE Reservoir Eng., 185-192, May, 1991.
20. Leontaritis KJ, Mansoori GA. Asphaltene deposition: a survey of field experiences and research approaches, J Pet Sci Eng. 1988; 1(3):229-239.
21. Lindemuth PM, Lessard RB, Lozynski M, Kremer M. Improve desalter operations, *Hydrocarbon Processing*, 67-70, September, 2001.
22. Luo P, Wang X, Gu Y, Zhang H, Moghadam S. Asphaltene precipitation and its effects on the vapour extraction (VAPEX) heavy oil recovery process, Paper SPE/PS/CHOA 117527, SPE International Thermal Operations and Heavy Oil Symposium, Calgary, Alberta, 20-23, October, 2008.
23. Mullins OC. The modified Yen model, Energy Fuels 2010; 24(4):2179-2207.
24. Negahban S, Bahamaish JNM, Joshi N, Nighswander J, Jamaluddin AKM. An experimental study at an Abu Dhabi reservoir of asphaltene precipitation caused by gas injection, SPE Production & Facilities 2005; 20:115-125.
25. Nielsen BB, Svrcek WY, Mehrotra AK. Effect of temperature and pressure on asphaltene particle size distribution in crude oils diluted with *n*-pentane, Ind Eng Chem Res. 1994; 33(5):1324-1330.
26. Saniere A, Hénaut I, Argiller JF. Pipeline transportation of heavy oils, a strategic, economic and technological challenge, Oil & Gas Sci. Technol. – Rev. IFP 2004; 59(5):455-466.
27. Scatchard G. Equilibrium in non-electrolyte mixtures. Chem. Rev 1949; 44(1):7-35.

28. Schermer WEM, Melein PMJ, van den Berg FGA. Simple Techniques for Evaluation of Crude Oil Compatibility, Pet. Sci. Technol 2004; 22(7-8):1045-1054.
29. Tharanivasan A, Svrcek WY, Yarranton HW, Taylor SD. Merino-Garcia D, Rahimi P. Measurement and modeling of asphaltene precipitation from crude oil blends, Energy Fuels 2009; 23(8):3971-3980.
30. Wang JX, Buckley JS. A two-component solubility model of the onset of asphaltene flocculation in crude oils, Energy Fuels 2001; 15(5):1004-1012.
31. Wang JX, Buckley JS, Burke NE, Creek JL. A practical method for anticipating asphaltene problems, SPE Production & Facilities 2004; 19:152-160.
32. Wiehe IA, Kennedy RJ, Dickakian G. Fouling of nearly incompatible oils 2001; 15(5):1057-1058.
33. Yan J, Plancher H. Wettability changes induced by adsorption of asphaltenes, SPE Production & Facilities 1997; 12(4):239-266.
34. Yarranton HW, Masliyah JH. Molar mass distribution and solubility modeling of asphaltenes AIChE J. 1996; 42(12):3533-3543.