



## International Journal of Chemical Studies

# Chemical composition of camphor factory and distillery factory effluent and its effect on nutritional content of two rabi crops viz. gram & pea

Mukesh Baboo

Department of Chemistry, Hindu College, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India.

**Corresponding Author:** Mukesh Baboo, Department of chemistry, Hindu College, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India.

The physico-chemical characteristics of effluents from two different industries viz. Camphor and Allied Products Ltd. Bareilly and Superior Industry Ltd. Bareilly, were assessed and the effect of various concentrations (0%, 25%, 75% and 100%) on mineral uptake in Gram and Pea was determined. The study revealed that the % germination of Gram and Pea was severely affected by these effluent at higher concentrations, where a maximum germination was observed at 25% effluent concentration. The uptake of Fe, PO<sub>4</sub>, Zn and Cu was found to in increasing order and was highest at 100% effluent concentration. The above study reveals that the feasibility of using industrial effluent for growing vegetables and crops with proper dilution.

**Keyword:** camphor factory and distillery factory, nutritional content, two rabi crops viz. gram, pea

### Introduction

Camphor and Allied Product Ltd. and Superior Industry Ltd C.B. Ganj Bareilly both are biggest camphor and ethyl alcohol producing industrial unites. Effluents of these industries contribute toward environmental pollution, particularly to the aquatic ecosystem. There is a great demand of water for irrigation, while gallons and gallons of effluent's are left out into water resources as untreated. The disposal of water is a major problem faced by industries, due to the germination of high volume of effluent and with linked space for land-based treatment and disposal<sup>[1,2]</sup>. On the other hand waste water is also a resource that can be applied for productive use, since waste water contains nutrients that have the potential for use in agricultural aquaculture and other activities effluent of both industries contain a significant concentration of suspended solids, dissolved solids, high BOD, COD, considerable amount of chlorides, sulphate, nitrate, Ca and Mg concentration<sup>[3]</sup>. Diverse both industries effluents disposed off in soil and water cause major pollution problem, while these industries play an important role in the economic developments of India, but the effluents released produce a high degree of organic pollution in both aquatic and terrestrial

ecosystem<sup>[4]</sup>. Because camphor industry effluent is commonly used for irrigation. It is essential to determine how crops respond when exposed to industrial effluent. In this regard effort have been made to determine the effect of industrial effluents on seed germinations of various crops<sup>[5]</sup>. Distillery factory is also one of the largest and old industry present globally and considered to be one of the biggest threats to the environment produces large amount of waste that contain organic and inorganic compounds.

The present study deals with the effect of these industrial effluents on certain physico-chemical properties of soil, germination and the mineral uptake by the two rabi crops.

### Experimental

The effluents from the both industries at the discharge point were collected at fortnightly intervals and was analysed according to A.P.H.A (1980). Quantitative estimation of heavy metals in effluents were made by using atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AA S300 Perkin & Elmer).

The seeds of gram and pea were kept in petri dishes lined with three layers of whatman filter paper no.1 moistened with different concentration of effluents

viz. 25%, 50%, 75%, 100%. A control with distilled water was also set. The emergence of radical and plumule was considered as criterion of germination. Each treatment was replicated three times for all crops.

Data were analysed statistically according to Snedecor & Coch-Chran<sup>[7]</sup> (1967).

## Results and Discussion

The physico-chemical characteristics of camphor and distillery industries effluent are given in Table 1. The physico- chemical characteristics of both industries

effluents indicate its acidic nature. From the above results it is clear that large amount of TSS is responsible for high BOD & COD of the effluents, which were found to be above permissible limit. i.e. BOD 50 ml/L and COD 250 MI/L (BIS 2010)<sup>[8]</sup>. The absence of DO indicates high organic matter of the effluent. The presence of heavy metals was not detectable. On the other hand physico-chemical analysis of distillery industry reveals that the sample was also acidic in nature and contains considerable amount of Ca, Mg, TSS, high COD and other basic nutrients above the permissible limits:<sup>[9, 10]</sup>.

**Table 1:** Physico – chemical characteristics of Industrial effluents.

| Parameters           | Camphor Factory | Distillery Factory |
|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| pH                   | 4.0             | 5.1                |
| EC. ( $\mu$ mhos/cm) | 2890            | 8300               |
| BOD mg/L             | 850             | 710                |
| COD mg/L             | 3250            | 1100               |
| DO mg/L              | Nil             | 7.1                |
| Ca mg/L              | 122             | 280                |
| Mg mg/L              | 80.6            | 138                |
| Acidity (mg/L)       | 10.2            | 0.6                |
| TSS mg/L             | 390             | 928                |
| PO <sub>4</sub> mg/L | 3.2             | 1.4                |
| Cl mg/L              | 1.47            | 300                |
| Fe mg/L              | ND              | ND                 |
| Zn / mg/L            | ND              | ND                 |
| Cu mg/L              | ND              | ND                 |
| Cr mg/L              | ND              | ND                 |
| Cd mg/L              | ND              | ND                 |
| Pb mg/L              | ND              | ND                 |

Germination test was conducted with seeds of gram and pea with different effluents concentration (25%, 50%, 75%, 100%). The % germination was recorded up to 10<sup>th</sup> day. The seed germination 100% in control for Pea as well as gram. Percentage germination decreases from 97.6% to 88.6% in 50%, 75%, 100% effluent concentrations<sup>[11]</sup>. Dried plant material was taken and analysed for Ca, Mg, Na, K, P, Fe, Zn and Cu, in camphor factory effluent treated crop Ca, Mg, and K content was higher in 25% concentration than that of control. The Ca content in gram was recorded 5.741% in 25% concentration and 5.21% (control) and in the pea 5.75% in 25% concentration. The Na content was recorded a decrease with increase in

effluent concentration ranging from 0.938-1.024% in gram and 1.003-1.07% in pea. The micro nutrients such as Fe ranged from 0.487 -0.489 mg/ 100g in gram and 0.4818 - .4820 mg/ 100 g in pea. The increased values except Na may be due to the presence of soluble salts and organic matter which was found to be high in camphor factory effluent, and the decrease in Na uptake by the plant may be due to the lower pH of the effluent<sup>[12]</sup>. It has been revealed that the plant have observed more K and Mg failed to uptake the required amount of the Na and hence are deficient in Na contents deposit soil having adequate Na.

**Table 2:** Effect of camphor factory effluent on mineral content of two rabi crops at different dilutions

| Crops           | % Mineral content | Control        | 25%            | 50%            | 75%            | 100%           | F             | CD     |       |
|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|--------|-------|
| GRAM            | Ca                | 5.211 ± 0.280  | 5.741 ± 0.456  | 5.560 ± 0.24   | 5.11 ± 0.348   | 4.91 ± 0.246   | 1.25**        | 0.042  |       |
|                 | Mg                | 2.13 ± 0.321   | 2.23 ± 0.631   | 2.17 ± 0.321   | 2.17 ± 0.567   | 2.07 ± 0.241   | 1.02 ***      | 0.56   |       |
|                 | K                 | 1.162 ± .182   | 1.396 ± 0.321  | 1.248 ± 0.241  | 1.186 ± 0.321  | 1.148 ± 0.124  | 12.67**       | 0.078  |       |
|                 | Na                | 1.024 ± 0.123  | 0.991 ± 0.212  | 0.980 ± 0.341  | 0.975 ± 0.221  | 0.938 ± 0.121  | 170.24***     | 0.067  |       |
|                 | PO <sub>4</sub>   | 0.88 ± 0.125   | 0.97 ± 0.144   | 0.97 ± 0.221   | 1.02 ± 0.515   | 1.05 ± 0.312   | 210.40***     | 0.074  |       |
|                 | Fe                | 0.4871 ± 0.34  | 0.4870 ± 0.121 | 0.4876 ± 0.241 | 0.4880 ± 0.514 | 0.4894 ± 0.412 | 2.64***       | 0.086  |       |
|                 | Zn                | 0.2849 ± 0.121 | 0.2849 ± 0.124 | 0.2855 ± 0.241 | 0.2858 ± 0.561 | 0.2862 ± 0.441 | 7.64**        | 0.073  |       |
|                 | Cu                | 0.3402 ± 0.46  | 0.3406 ± 0.354 | 0.3408 ± 0.511 | 0.3411 ± 0.126 | 0.3417 ± 0.341 | 12.40***      | 0.064  |       |
|                 | PEA               | Ca             | 5.571 ± 0.126  | 5.751 ± 0.128  | 5.570 ± 0.221  | 5.450 ± 0.21   | 5.393 ± 0.821 | 2.64** | 0.103 |
|                 |                   | Mg             | 2.26 ± 0.128   | 2.35 ± 0.126   | 2.26 ± 0.220   | 2.31 ± 0.214   | 2.20 ± 0.125  | 3.76** | 0.024 |
| K               |                   | 1.88 ± 0.416   | 1.303 ± 0.311  | 1.217 ± 0.234  | 1.164 ± 0.331  | 1.124 ± 0.043  | 101.64***     | 1.67   |       |
| Na              |                   | 1.030 ± 0.513  | 1.042 ± 0.314  | 1.020 ± 0.341  | 1.003 ± 0.312  | 1.003 ± 0.124  | 201.34**      | 0.27   |       |
| PO <sub>4</sub> |                   | 0.91 ± 0.312   | 0.97 ± 0.126   | 0.99 ± 0.441   | 1.02 ± 0.314   | 1.04 ± 0.561   | 500.41***     | 1.33   |       |
| Fe              |                   | 0.4818 ± 0.413 | 0.4812 ± 0.264 | 0.4813 ± 0.128 | 0.4816 ± 0.412 | 0.4820 ± 0.511 | 17.64**       | 0.071  |       |
| Zn              |                   | 0.2908 ± 0.311 | 0.2909 ± 0.301 | 0.2912 ± 0.221 | 0.2917 ± 0.211 | 0.2917 ± 0.210 | 13.46***      | 0.310  |       |
| Cu              |                   | 0.3353 ± 0.511 | 0.3357 ± 0.216 | 0.3358 ± 0.310 | 0.3359 ± 0.231 | 0.3351 ± 0.341 | 164.51***     | 0.078  |       |

(Significant at P\*\* < 0.01, significant at p\*\*\* < 0.001)

**Table 3:** Effect of distillery factory effluent on mineral content of two, rabi crop at different concentration

| Crops           | % Mineral content | Control        | 25%            | 50%            | 75%            | 100%           | F            | CD     |       |
|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|--------|-------|
| GRAM            | Ca                | 5.73 ± 0.126   | 5.61 ± 0.511   | 5.39 ± 0.412   | 5.27 ± 0.126   | 5.27 ± 0.126   | 2.31***      | 0.046  |       |
|                 | Mg                | 2.30 ± 0.121   | 2.18 ± 0.128   | 2.14 ± 0.512   | 2.13 ± 0.122   | 2.11 ± 0.120   | 2.46 **      | 0.076  |       |
|                 | K                 | 1.137 ± 0.511  | 1.28 ± 0.186   | 1.24 ± 0.122   | 1.21 ± 0.129   | 1.21 ± 0.105   | 103.6***     | 0.31   |       |
|                 | Na                | 1.13 ± 0.211   | 1.18 ± 0.121   | 1.070 ± 0.126  | 1.06 ± 0.123   | 1.05 ± 0.127   | 270.10***    | 0.031  |       |
|                 | PO <sub>4</sub>   | 0.873 ± 0.126  | 0.874 ± 0.124  | 0.864 ± 0.186  | 0.859 ± 0.126  | 0.859 ± 0.126  | 160.3***     | 0.34   |       |
|                 | Fe                | 0.4855 ± 0.216 | 0.4862 ± 0.156 | 0.4864 ± 0.211 | 0.4865 ± 0.221 | 0.4867 ± 0.127 | 189.20***    | 0.101  |       |
|                 | Zn                | 0.2890 ± 0.082 | 0.2892 ± 0.155 | 0.2896 ± 0.610 | 0.2897 ± 0.126 | 0.2899 ± 0.186 | 89.6**       | 0.076  |       |
|                 | Cu                | 0.3560 ± 0.126 | 0.3565 ± 0.512 | 0.3569 ± 0.126 | 0.3572 ± 0.226 | 0.3573 ± 0.154 | 12.6***      | 0.086  |       |
|                 | PEA               | Ca             | 2.53 ± 0.128   | 5.63 ± 0.216   | 5.51 ± 0.216   | 5.46 ± 0.126   | 5.41 ± 0.173 | 14.6** | 0.076 |
|                 |                   | Mg             | 2.46 ± 0.124   | 2.36 ± 0.126   | 2.30 ± 0.212   | 2.26 ± 0.176   | 2.24 ± 0.131 | 13.5** | 0.77  |
| K               |                   | 1.135 ± 0.126  | 1.30 ± 0.512   | 1.29 ± 0.196   | 1.28 ± 0.126   | 1.26 ± 0.131   | 102.6***     | 0.87   |       |
| Na              |                   | 1.050 ± 0.241  | 1.050 ± 0.216  | 1.05 ± 0.210   | 1.04 ± 0.176   | 1.03 ± 0.311   | 500.6***     | 0.076  |       |
| PO <sub>4</sub> |                   | 0.814 ± 0.156  | 0.837 ± 0.186  | 0.825 ± 0.127  | 0.811 ± 0.126  | 0.807 ± 0.121  | 13.67**      | 0.34   |       |
| Fe              |                   | 0.4922 ± 0.261 | 0.4924 ± 0.211 | 0.4926 ± 0.121 | 0.4926 ± 0.161 | 0.4929 ± 0.106 | 206.7***     | 0.079  |       |
| Zn              |                   | 0.2930 ± 0.231 | 0.2932 ± 0.126 | 0.2934 ± 0.176 | 0.2938 ± 0.121 | 0.2942 ± 0.136 | 106.3***     | 0.087  |       |
| Cu              |                   | 0.3602 ± 0.512 | 0.3608 ± 0.128 | 0.3612 ± 0.187 | 0.3609 ± 0.171 | 0.3614 ± 0.126 | 214.7***     | 0.096  |       |

(Significant at P\*\* < 0.01, significant at p\*\*\* < 0.001)

In distillery factory effluent nutrient uptake by plant at 25% concentration was at par with control and then decrease with increase in effluent concentration as shown in label 3. The Ca control varied from 5.37% to 5.73% in gram and 5.41% to 5.53% in pea. The Mg content ranged from 2.11 – 2.30% in gram and 2.24 – 2.46% in pea. The Na and K content varied from 1.21- 1.37% and 1.05-1.13% in gram and 1.27 – 1.36% and 1.03- 1.08% in pea. The Cu content varied from 0.3562-0.3573 mg/100gm in gram and 0.3610– 0.3613 mg/100gram in pea. The effluent treatment

caused a considerable difference in the uptake of various nutrients by the seedlings in all the crops. The uptake of Ca, Mg and K was highest at 25% effluent concentration in all crops. In gram, the Ca uptake was also higher in 50% effluent concentration in comparison to control while when compared to others, crops at 50% effluent concentration the uptake of nutrients was equal to the control value. Mg and K concentration was more than the control value up to 75% concentration was more than the control value upto 75% concentration in most of the crops. Na

uptake was found to be less than controls value at all the concentration for all the crops. The uptake of Fe, PO<sub>4</sub>, Zn and Cu was found in increasing order and was highest at 100% effluent concentration. The macronutrients uptake such as Ca, Mg, K either increased up to 25% effluent concentration in comparison to control. Although the increased value showed marginal difference at higher concentration, these values showed marginal difference at higher concentration, these values were in decreasing order for both the crops. The uptake of Na was high at 25% effluent concentration in comparison to control in gram <sup>[13]</sup>. Where as in pea at 25% concentration the value was slightly less than the control. The uptake of PO<sub>4</sub> was found to be in the decreasing order in both the crops with the increase in effluent concentration. The micronutrients Fe, Zn and Cu uptake show marginally increased values in the increasing order of the effluent concentration <sup>[14]</sup>.

#### Acknowledgment

The authors are thankful to Dr. A.K. Pandey head Dept. of Chemistry Hindu College, Moradabad and Dr. R.K. Gupta, Principal Hindu College, Moradabad.

#### Reference

1. Singh DK, Kumar D, Singh VP. Phytoremediation of contaminated soil, J Envir, Biol. 2005;5:15-21.
2. Sanaraj P, Stella D. Impact of industrial effluent to environment and bioremediation a review. World Applid Science Journal. 2014;30(3):299-316.
3. Anuraag Mohan, Mukesh Baboo, Jain V. Chemical composition of Rubber factory effluent and its effect on seed germination and seedling growth of some rabi crops. J. Ind. Coun. Chemist. 1998;15(I):44-47
4. Mukesh Baboo, Anuraag Mohan, chemical composition of Rubber factory effluent and its effect on growth of two cultivar of peas, J. Ind. Coun. Chiemist. 1999;16(1):9-12
5. Kamini Narain, Mohd. Muzamil Bhat, Abhilarh PC, Mohd. Yu. Impact of Distillery effluent on seeding growth and pigment concentration of *Cicer arietinum* L. 2012;6(3A):601-606.
6. APHA. Standard methods for examination of water and waste water, 16 Edition N.W. Washington; c1980.
7. Snedecor GW, Cochran WG. Statistical methods 6<sup>th</sup> edition, Oxford & IBH Publishing Co, New Delhi; c1967.
8. BIS. Indian standard specification for drinking water IS: 10500, Bureau of Indian standard New Delhi; c2010.
9. Bhupindar Singh, Anoop Yadav. Effect Distillery effluent on different wheat cultivars, World J. Enviromental. Biosciences. 2012;1(1):38-41.
10. Jian-Ren Ho, Howng-Wen Ma, *et al.* Extraction of heavy metal from contaminated soil by cinnamonum camphor. Ecotoxicology. 2014; 23(10):1987-1995.
11. Piyush Malaviyan, Sharma A. Effect of distillery effluent on yield attributes of brassica napus SL, J. Environment Bio. 2011;32(3):385-389.
12. Sandeep Pandey K, Pallavi Tyagi, Anil Gupta K. Physico-chemical analysis and effect of distillery effluent on seed germination of wheat, pea and lady finger, ARPN J Agri. and Bio. Sci. 2007;2(6):35-40.
13. Vinod Kumar, Chopra AK. Impact of physico-chemical characteristic of soil after irrigation with distillery effluent. Arch. Appl. Sci. Reg. 2011;3(4):63-77
14. Mukesh Baboo and Anuraag Mohan. Effect of WMCO, ITR and Camphor factions effluent on growth parameters of some rabi crops, Acta. Cum. Indica. 2000;26(1):1-2.