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In this study, the antioxidant activity of the oils was assessed by measurement of metal chelating activity, the reductive potential, the free radical scavenging (DPPH) assay. The antioxidant activity was compared with that of synthetic antioxidants: butylated hydroxyanisole (BHT), and the essential oils. The results showed that the Essential oil of *M. vulgare* was evaluated for its antibacterial activities against Gram-positive and Gram negative pathogenic bacteria: *Listeria monocytogene*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* and *Salmonella enterica*.
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1. **Introduction**

The chemical compositions of *M. vulgare* essential oil from various origins have been the subject of many studies. The literature reveals the occurrence of several chemotypes. From Lithuania, (Z)-b-farnesene, b-caryophyllene, (E)-2-hexenal, a-humulene and germacrene D were the main components of *M. vulgare* essential oil[1]. From Czech Republic, the main constituents of *M. vulgare* essential oil were b-caryophyllene and germacrene D[2]. From different regions of Iran, the main constituents of *M. vulgare* essential tricyclene, b-pinene, bisabolol, b-elemone and isomenthon-8-thiol[3], b-bisabolene, 8-cadinene and isocaryophyllene[4], and bisabolene, b-caryophyllene, germacrene D and E-b-farnesene[5], caryophyllene oxide, b-caryophyllene and germacrene D[6].

Aromatic and medicinal plants are the source of natural antioxidants thanks to their main secondary metabolites such as polyphenols and essential oils. Phenolic compounds are biochemically synthesized via the shikimate pathway, which produces the group of phenolics called phenylpropanoids[9]. They can act as antioxidants by donating hydrogen to highly reactive radicals, thereby preventing further radical formation[10]. Essential oils also called volatile or ethereal oils are aromatic oily liquids obtained from different plant parts and widely used as food flavours[11]. Essential oils are complex mixers comprising many single compounds.

Synthetic antioxidants are widely used to retard undesirable changes as a result of oxidation in many foods. Excessively, oxidized fats and oils are not suitable for nutritive purposes. Because the oxidation products of oils have toxic effects, many synthetic substances such as propylgallate and citric acid are commonly used in lipids to prevent oxidation. Recently, these synthetic substances have been shown to cause effects,
such as enlarging the liver size and increasing the microsomal enzyme activity. The use of butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA), butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) and α-tocopherol have been restricted in food because of its carcinogenic effect. Therefore, the search for new natural antioxidant sources has been greatly intensified. In this field, plant originated antioxidants have been widely used in oils or lipid containing foods in order to prevent oxidative deterioration.

The main purpose of this study was to investigate the chemical composition of *M. vulgare* essential oil and to determine its antioxidant activity by Scavenging of DPPH (1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl) test. The plant is reported to possess hypoglycemic, antihypertensive, analgesic, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant activity, antiedematogenic activity, and many other biological activities.

In Algeria folk medicine, it was used as hypotensive, hypoglycemic and cardiotoxic. Recently, a large number of essential (volatile) oils and their constituents have been investigated for their biological activity, notably antibacterial and antioxidant properties. Essential oils and their components are gaining increasing interest as a natural alternative to synthetic drugs, particularly against microbial agents because of their relatively safe status wide acceptance by consumers and their exploitation for potential multipurpose functional use.

The interest in plants with antibacterial properties has been revived because of current problems associated with the use of antibiotics. Therefore, essential oils and other naturally occurring antibacterial are attractive to the food industry as well as imparting flavor. More recently, the essential oil of this plant was advocated for their use as an antioxidant agent, but to the best of our knowledge, there are no reports on the antimicrobial properties and the cytotoxicity has been published. Therefore, this paper was conducted to investigate for the first time the antibacterial properties against clinical and pathogenic microorganisms and the cytotoxicity of *M. vulgare* essential oil grown in Algeria.

2. **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

2.1. **Plant Material**

Plant materials (aerial parts) of *M. vulgare* L. were grown in the zone of Nigrine district of El-Ater in the wilaya of Tebessa, north east of Algeria. The whole plants were collected during the period of May to June 2009.

2.2. **Distillation of Essential Oil**

The samples were dried in the shade in natural air far from moisture and all pollutants for a fortnight in the room temperature. 100 g of ground rosemary were submitted to water distillation for 4 h using a Clevenger apparatus. The distilled essential oils were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and stored at 4°C.

2.3. **Gas chromatography**

The gas chromatographic analyzes were performed using a Hewlett Packard 6890 chromatograph equipped with a nonpolar column HP5MS (30x 0.25 mm d.i., Film thickness 0.25 microns) and a flame ionization detector. The procedures conditions were as follow: carrier gas: nitrogen, flow rate 0.8ml/min, injector temperature: 250 °C, detectors temperature: 300 °c, temperature program: from 60 to 250 at 2°c / min, with two levels: 8 minutes at 60 °c and 15 min at 280°C, injection of 0.4μl of pure essential oil and 1μl of absolute mode: mode split 1: 20.

In order to determine retentions indices (RI) a series of n-alkanes (C5–C28) mixture was analysed under the same operative conditions on HP-5 columns and the sample indices were calculated following Van den Dool and Kratz.

2.4. **Gas chromatography and Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS) Analysis Conditions**

The essential oils were analyzed on an apparatus of gas chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry brand Hewlet Packard 5973A, equipped with an apolar capillary column (HP5MS, 30m x 0.25mm, phase thickness: 0.25μm). the detection mode: electronic impact, ionization current: 70 eV, carrier gas: helium, flow rate: 0.7ml/mn, the source pressure: 10-7mbar,
interface temperature: 280 °C, injection: 250 °C, the programming of the oven: 2 °C / min from 60 °C to 280 °C, with isothermal: 8 min at 60 °C and 15 minutes at 280 °C. 0.1 to 0.2 μl of pure essential oil and 1 μl absolutely were injected in split mode 1: 20. The identification of the essential oil constituents was based on a comparison of their retention times to n-alkanes, compared to published data and spectra of authentic compounds using their mass spectra compared to the Wiley version 7.0 library[26,27,28], as well as by comparison of the fragmentation patterns of mass spectra with those reported in the literature (Adams, 2007). The chromatographic conditions were identical to those used for GC analysis.

2.5. Antioxidant activity

The ability of M. vulgare oil to scavenge free radicals were assayed with the use of a synthetic free radical compound, 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), according to the method employed by Bersuder[29], a volume of 500 μl of each sample was mixed with 50ml of ethanol and (0.02%, w/v) of DPPH in 99.5% ethanol. The mixture was shaken vigorously and incubated in the dark. After 30 min, the absorbance was measured at 517 nm using a spectrophotometer. The DPPH radical-scavenging activity was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Radical-scavenging activity} = \left(\frac{A_{\text{blank}} - A_{\text{sample}}}{A_{\text{blank}}}\right) \times 100
\]

Where, \(A_{\text{blank}}\) and \(A_{\text{sample}}\) are the absorbance of the control (blank) and the sample, respectively.

The IC_{50} value is defined as the amount of antioxidant necessary to inhibit DPPH radical formation by 50%. The synthetic antioxidant reagent BHT was used as a positive control. The values are presented as the means of triplicate analysis.

2.6. Antibacterial Assay Dilution Method

The minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of essential oil was determined using the Mueller Hinton broth (MHB) dilution method[30]. All tests were performed in MHB supplemented with ethanol 5%[31]. Bacterial strains were cultured overnight in MHB at 37°C. Tubes of MHB containing various concentrations of oils were inoculated with 10 μl bacterial inoculums adjusted to 106 CFU/ml. They were incubated under shaking conditions (100-120 rpm) at 37°C for 24 h[32,33]. Control tubes without tested samples were essayed simultaneously. The essays were performed in triplicate. The MIC was defined as the lowest concentration preventing visible growth[34].

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Chemical Composition

The peaks of GC-MS spectrum found in previous work[1]. The search analysis in the digital library. The percentages and the retention indices of the identified components are listed in table 1 in the order of their elution on the HP-5MS column. GC-MS analysis of M. vulgare essential oil led to the identification of fifty (50) compounds, accounting for 82.42% of the total oil. The yield of essential oil obtained by hydrodistillation from aerial part of plant was 0.04%, and the Table illustrates that also the nine components with a supremacy of three major components: 4,8,12,16-Tetramethyl heptadecan-4-olid (16.97%), Germacrene D-4-ol(9.61%), α-pinene(9.37%). They represent about 36% of 56% and shows the different chemical groups with a dominance of other compounds with 41.64% of the total rate of volatile oil, followed by Oxygenated sesquiterpene with a lower rate (13.17%) and, Monoterpen hydrocarbon (12.61%) Oxygenated monoterpen(9.46%) , Sesquiterpene hydrocarbon (5.58%) respectively.

3.2. Antioxidant Activity

Relatively stable organic radical DPPH has been widely used in the determination of the antioxidant activity of the essential oil. DPPH radical decreased in the presence of a hydrogen donor, that is, a free radical-scavenging antioxidant. In the DPPH-test, the ability of the essential oil to act as the donor of hydrogen atoms or electrons in the transformation of DPPH into its reduced form DPPH-H was measured spectrophotometrically. Assessed samples were
able to reduce the stable violet DPPH radical to the yellow DPPH-H, reaching 50% of reduction with IC50 values. Lower IC50 value indicates higher antioxidant activity. The results represented in Figure 1 of the DPPH radical scavenging activities (% inhibition) of various concentrations of *M. vulgare* oil showed a concentration dependent activity profile. As shown, it is clear that as the concentration increased, the scavenging effect also increased with inhibitory activity observed as was in the case of *M. vulgare* oil, reaching as high as 254 at 1000 µg/ml. This value is too close to the activity potentials of synthetic antioxidants BHT (35µg/ml) at the same concentration. The amount of the essential oil needed for 50% inhibition of free radical activity is expressed by IC50 (the concentration reducing 50% of DPPH). The lower the IC50 value is, the greater the free radical-scavenging activity. The results depicted in Figure 2 indicate that *M. vulgare* essential oil exhibited an IC50 value of 153.84µg/ml, which is about 2 times higher than the synthetic antioxidant (BHT). The efficiency of an antioxidant component to reduce DPPH essentially depends on its hydrogen donating ability, which is directly related to the less content of phenolic hydroxyl moieties.

![Fig 1: The synthetic antioxidant BHT](image1.png)

![Fig 2: Antioxidant activity of *M. vulgare* essential oil](image2.png)

**Table 2:** Minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of essential oil from *M. vulgare.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>the concentrations (µl/ml)</th>
<th>0.1</th>
<th>0.2</th>
<th>0.3</th>
<th>0.4</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bacterias of Gram +</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bacillus subtilis</em> (ATCC6663)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Micrococcus luteus</em> (ATCC9314)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Listeria monocytogene (CIP82110)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>In this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bacterias of Gram -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escherichia Coli (CIP54.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>- [1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klebsiella pneumoniae (CIP82.91)</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas aeruginosa (CIPA22)</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>In this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agrobacterium tumefaciens (N°2410)</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>In this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmonella enterica (CIP 81.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>In this work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.3. Antibacterial Activity

The Antibacterial activities of M. vulgare essential oil against microorganisms examined in the present study and their potency were qualitatively and quantitatively assessed by the presence or absence of inhibition zones and zone diameter (DD), the medium inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) and the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) values. This essential oil displayed varied antibacterial activity. As can be seen from Table 2, essential oil inhibited the growth of bacterial for Gram (+) and Gram (-) bacterias, along with IC₅₀ and MIC values ranging from 0.1-15 μl/ml, respectively. The activities of essential oil tested against all strains (Listeria monocytogene, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Agrobacterium tumefaciens and Salmonella enterica) has been revealed.

### 4. Conclusion

The essential oil of M. vulgare showed significant antimicrobial activity. Analysis by GC– MS and GC/FID of M. vulgare essential oil of eastern Algeria and tested represent an inexpensive source of natural antibacterial substances for use in pathogenic systems to prevent the growth of bacteria and extend the shelf life of the processed food.
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