



P-ISSN: 2349-8528

E-ISSN: 2321-4902

www.chemijournal.com

IJCS 2020; 8(5): 841-844

© 2020 IJCS

Received: 28-05-2020

Accepted: 08-06-2020

JK Chaudhary

Department of Agronomy, C. P.
College of Agriculture,
Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada
Agricultural University,
Sardarkrushinagar, Gujarat,
India

AG Patel

Department of Agronomy, C. P.
College of Agriculture,
Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada
Agricultural University,
Sardarkrushinagar, Gujarat,
India

NB Gohil

Department of Soil Sci. & Agril.
Chem., Agriculture Experimental
Station, NAU, Paria, Gujarat,
India

DG Chaudhary

Department of Soil Sci. & Agril.
Chem., Anand Agricultural
University, Anand, Gujarat,
India

Corresponding Author:**JK Chaudhary**

Department of Agronomy, C. P.
College of Agriculture,
Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada
Agricultural University,
Sardarkrushinagar, Gujarat,
India

Response of nutrient content and quality of summer forage pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.) on sowing date and nitrogen level

JK Chaudhary, AG Patel, NB Gohil and DG Chaudhary

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22271/chemi.2020.v8.i51.10403>

Abstract

A field experiment entitled "Effect of sowing date and nitrogen level on growth and yield of summer forage pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.)" was conducted on loamy sand soil at the Agronomy Instructional Farm, C. P. College of Agriculture, SDAU, Sardarkrushinagar during summer season of 2015. The experiment comprising sixteen treatment combinations were laid out in Split Plot Design and replicated three times. The treatment consisted combinations of four different date of sowing viz. 1st March (D₁), 15th March (D₂), 1st April (D₃) and 15th April (D₄) and four nitrogen levels viz. 80 kg/ha (N₁), 100 kg/ha (N₂), 120 kg/ha (N₃), 140 kg/ha (N₄). The recommended dose of phosphorus @ 40 kg ha⁻¹ was applied uniformly to all the treatment as basal. The results reported that the crude protein content, crude fibre content, nitrogen content by summer forage pearl millet crop did not differ significantly due to different date of sowing. While, the nitrogen uptake was significantly higher in sowing on March 15th and it was followed by sowing on March 1st.

Keywords: Crude fibre content, Crude protein content, nutrient content and uptake and summer forage pearl millet

Introduction

Forage pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.) is good risk cover crop for sustained forage production under irrigated condition. The importance of cultivation of pearl millet is being emphasized due to its profuse tillering habit, multicut nature, drought tolerance, resistance to insect pest and diseases, absence of poisonous prussic acid, good performance even in poor soil, leafiness and good for per day productivity. Forage pearl millet is an excellent choice for warm season. Multicut nature of the crop ensured the forage supply over a long period of time. Forage pearl millet is an important green fodder crop in the areas of light textured soils and give 2 to 3 cutting to meet the green fodder requirement of milch animals in summer season. Chemical fertilizer plays an important role in fodder crop production. Nitrogen is the most important nutrient for plant growth and is the most limiting nutrient in north Gujarat soil which is sandy to loamy sand soil having high infiltration and percolation rate. Nitrogen is an important constituent of protein and chlorophyll. It imparts dark green colour to plant, promote vegetative growth and helps in rapid growth. It improves the quality by increasing the protein content of fodder and governs to considerable degree the utilization of potassium, phosphorus and other elements. Nitrogen application increases the crude protein and metabolizes energy besides improving succulency and palatability of fodder crop. The information of date of sowing and nitrogen levels is lacking so that an experiment was planned at agronomy instructional farm S.D.A.U., Gujarat.

Materials and Method

An experiment on effect of date of sowing and nitrogen levels on growth and forage yield of summer forage pearl millet was carried out at Agronomy Instructional Farm, C. P. College of Agriculture, S. D. Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar during summer season of 2015. The soil of experimental field was loamy sand in texture with low in organic carbon (0.18%) and available nitrogen (135 kg/ha), medium in available phosphorus (36.06 kg/ha) and high in potash (276.5 kg/ha) having pH value of 7.4. Total 16 treatment combinations comprising four level of date of sowing in main plot viz., 1st March (D₁), 15th March (D₂), 1st April (D₃) and

15th April (D4) and four nitrogen levels in sub-plot viz., 80 kg N/ha (N1), 100 kg N/ha (N2), 120 kg N/ha (N3) and 140 kg N/ha (N4) laid out in split plot design with three replications. half dose of nitrogen in the form of urea and full dose of phosphorus in the form of Diammonium phosphate (DAP) were applied as basal dose in the previously opened furrow. After application of basal dose, the opened furrows were covered lightly with the soil. Remaining half dose of nitrogen was applied as top dressing in two equal split. The crop was kept weed free during the whole crop period and irrigation was applied as per crop requirements. Representative composite soil sample from 0-15 and 15-30 cm depth was collected initially from the entire experimental site and from each plot after the harvest of rice crop. For better representation, soil samples were prepared by mixing the soil collected from three spots from the plots randomly. The soil

samples were air-dried and grounded to pass through 2 mm sieve. The soil samples were labelled and stored in polythene lined cotton bags for further analysis. The soil of the experimental field was loamy sand in texture. The soil samples were analysed for available nitrogen, phosphorus and potash as per the methods given in Table 1. The nitrogen content and uptake by summer forage pearl millet was recorded after harvesting. The collected samples were washed with distilled water and dried in oven at 65 ± 70 °C till constant weight achieved and dry weight of each sample was done. Subsequently, the dried samples were powdered using wiley mill and stored in clean polythene zip-bags for chemical analysis. All the data recorded during the study period were statistically analyzed by using standard methods as suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1967) [11].

Table 1: Physicochemical properties of the soil of experimental plot

Sr. No.	Properties	Soil depth (cm)		Methods employed
		0-15	0-30	
[A]	Physical properties			International Pipette Method (Piper, 1966).
(a)	Sand (%)	84.89	84.97	
(b)	Silt (%)	7.35	7.28	
(c)	Clay (%)	7.28	7.45	
(d)	Soil texture	Loamy sand		
[B]	Chemical properties			
(a)	Soil pH (1: 2.5, Soil: Water ratio)	7.58	7.38	Potentiometric method (Jackson, 1973).
(b)	EC (dSm^{-1} at 25°C)	0.11	0.15	Schofield method (Jackson, 1973).
(c)	Organic carbon (%)	0.19	0.17	Walkley and Black's rapid titration method (Jackson, 1973).
(d)	Available N (kg/ha)	141	129	Alkaline Permanganate method (Jackson, 1973).
(e)	Available P_2O_5 (kg/ha)	34.60	37.52	Spectrophotometric method (Olsen's 1954).
(f)	Available K_2O (kg/ha)	282.5	270.5	Flame photometer method (Jackson, 1973).

Results and Discussion

Effect on available nitrogen, phosphorus and potash from soil

Effect of date of sowing: The effect of date of sowing on the available nitrogen, available phosphorus and available potash of the soil after harvesting of the summer forage pearl millet crop did not show any nutrient mining effects (Table 2).

Effect of nitrogen levels: Available nitrogen status of the soil after harvest of the summer forage pearl millet crop was found significant due to different levels of nitrogen. Application of 140 kg nitrogen per hectare recorded higher nitrogen status of soil after harvest of the summer forage pearl millet crop being at par with the application of 120 kg nitrogen per hectare (Table 4). An application of 140 kg nitrogen per hectare recorded higher nitrogen status of the soil might be due to higher activity of microorganism leading to greater mineralization of applied and inherent nutrients and available nitrogen. The lowest amount of available nitrogen of the soil after harvest of summer forage pearl millet crop was recorded by the application of 80 kg nitrogen per hectare, but available phosphorus and potash did not differ significantly by the application of different levels of nitrogen. These findings are in accordance with the finding of Bhoya *et al.* (2013) [2] and Reager *et al.* (2014) [13].

Effect of different treatments on nitrogen content and uptake

Effect of date of sowing: Data presented in Table 2 revealed

that the nitrogen content (%) was found non-significant due to different date of sowing of summer forage pearl millet. However, 15th March sowing of the summer forage pearl millet crop numerically increased the nitrogen content. While, significantly higher nitrogen uptake was recorded by 15th March sowing and which was to the magnitude of 68, 67, 64 and 56 per cent higher than that of 15th April sowing, respectively at all cuts (Table 2).

Effect of nitrogen levels

Significantly higher nitrogen content and uptake were recorded by the application of 140 kg nitrogen per hectare being at par with application of 120 kg nitrogen per hectare. The nitrogen content and uptake recorded by the application of 140 kg nitrogen per hectare was to the magnitude of 12, 11, 13, 10 and 24, 21, 24 and 30 per cent higher than that of 80 kg nitrogen per hectare, respectively at all cuts. The application of 120 kg nitrogen per hectare increased nitrogen content and uptake to the magnitude of 6, 5, 6, 5 and 16, 14, 17 and 15 per cent higher than that of 80 kg nitrogen per hectare, respectively at all cuts (Table 2). The higher uptake of nitrogen was due to higher dry fodder yield of summer forage pearl millet crop as a resultant of higher growth and yield parameters. These results are in accordance with the findings of Buldak *et al.* (2010), Bhoya *et al.* (2013) [2] and Reager *et al.* (2014) [13]. Bhoya *et al.* (2013) [2] reported that the nitrogen content and nitrogen uptake of sorghum increased significantly with the increasing in the nitrogen levels from 40 to 120 kg per hectare.

Table 2: Available N, P₂O₅ and K₂O in soil, nitrogen content and nitrogen uptake of summer forage pearl millet crop as influenced by date of sowing and nitrogen levels

Treatments	Available N (kg/ha)	Available P ₂ O ₅ (kg/ha)	Available K ₂ O (kg/ha)	Nitrogen content (%)				Nitrogen uptake (kg/ha)			
				1 st Cut (40 DAS)	2 nd Cut (70 DAS)	3 rd Cut (100 DAS)	4 th Cut (130 DAS)	1 st Cut (40 DAS)	2 nd Cut (70 DAS)	3 rd Cut (100 DAS)	4 th Cut (130 DAS)
Main plot: Time of sowing (D)											
D ₁ : 01 st March	167	31	271	0.88	0.89	0.86	0.83	116	87	50	23
D ₂ : 15 th March	164	30	264	0.92	0.94	0.89	0.86	171	105	69	28
D ₃ : 01 st April	160	30	261	0.85	0.87	0.82	0.79	92	81	46	22
D ₄ : 15 th April	157	29	256	0.82	0.85	0.80	0.77	74	63	42	18
S.Em.±	2.5	0.8	3.8	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	6.46	4.77	2.13	1.14
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	22.4	16.5	7.4	3.9
C. V. (%)	5.39	9.64	5.01	9.93	9.16	9.86	9.71	19.75	19.74	14.31	17.37
Sub plot: Nitrogen levels (kg/ha) (N)											
N ₁ : 80	156	29	256	0.83	0.85	0.80	0.78	102	76	46	20
N ₂ : 100	161	29	262	0.84	0.86	0.82	0.79	107	80	50	22
N ₃ : 120	163	30	265	0.88	0.89	0.85	0.82	118	87	54	23
N ₄ : 140	169	31	269	0.93	0.94	0.90	0.86	126	92	57	26
S.Em.±	2.4	0.6	3.4	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	5.40	2.48	2.05	0.91
C.D. at 5%	7.0	NS	NS	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.05	15.8	7.3	6.0	2.7
C. V. (%)	5.15	7.39	4.48	7.80	7.87	8.06	8.03	16.50	10.28	13.77	13.90
D x N (Interaction)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Effect of different treatments on quality parameters

Effect of date of sowing

The effect of different date of sowing on crude protein content (%) and crude fiber content (%) was found non significant. The protein content is the genetical character of the plants so that its values were varied non-significantly with the date of sowing. However, numerically higher crude protein content was noted by 15th March sowing. More or less same trend was observed in case of crude fiber content of summer forage pearl millet crop. These results are in accordance with the findings of Yoon *et al.* (1994) and Verma *et al.* (2012) [15]. The result of Verma *et al.* (2012) [15] revealed that early sowing of maize (*i.e.*, 25th October) significantly influenced crude protein content and fibre content as compared to late sowing (*i.e.*, 5th November).

Effect of nitrogen levels

Crude protein content (%) is the resultant of the nitrogen content of the crop (Table 3). Crude protein content (%) was significantly affected by the application of nitrogen. Significantly higher crude protein content was recorded by the application of 140 kg nitrogen per hectare which was to the tune of 13, 13, 13 and 14 per cent higher than that of 80 kg nitrogen per hectare, respectively at all cuts. This might be

due to that nitrogen being as essential constituent of chlorophyll, protoplasm, protein and nucleic acids. These results are in accordance with the findings of the Katoria *et al.* (1981) [9], Devi and Padmaja (2007) [5, 6] and Golada *et al.* (2012) [7]. The result of Devi and Padmaja (2007) [5, 6] revealed that the crude protein content of pearl millet increased significantly with the increasing in the nitrogen levels from 30 to 90 kg per hectare.

Significantly higher crude fiber content was recorded by the application of 140 kg nitrogen per hectare being at par with application of 120 kg nitrogen per hectare (Table 3). The application of 140 kg nitrogen per hectare increased the fibre content to the tune of 16, 14, 14 and 14 per cent higher than that of 80 kg nitrogen per hectare, respectively all cuts. The higher crude fiber content might be due to more synthesis of fibre by the plant tissue. This could also be explained on the basis of better availability of desired and required nutrient in crop root zone and enhanced photosynthetic and metabolic activity resulting in better partitioning of photosynthates to sinks, which ultimately reflected in quality enhancement in terms of crude fibre content. The present findings are in accordance with the findings of Jakhar *et al.* (2003) [8], Ayub *et al.* (2011) [1] and Bhoya *et al.* (2013) [2].

Table 3: Crude protein content and crude fibre content of summer forage pearl millet crop as influenced by date of sowing and nitrogen levels

Treatments	Crude protein content (%)				Crude fibre content (%)			
	1 st Cut (40 DAS)	2 nd Cut (70 DAS)	3 rd Cut (100 DAS)	4 th Cut (130 DAS)	1 st Cut (40 DAS)	2 nd Cut (70 DAS)	3 rd Cut (100 DAS)	4 th Cut (130 DAS)
Main plot: Time of sowing (D)								
D ₁ : 01 st March	5.52	5.59	5.36	5.18	27.75	28.69	30.58	31.25
D ₂ : 15 th March	5.75	5.86	5.58	5.34	28.02	28.97	30.85	31.52
D ₃ : 01 st April	5.32	5.41	5.15	4.95	27.53	28.47	30.35	31.03
D ₄ : 15 th April	5.13	5.28	4.98	4.79	27.31	28.25	30.13	30.81
S.Em.±	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
C. V. (%)	9.97	9.19	9.98	9.75	8.23	7.98	7.49	7.33
Sub plot: Nitrogen levels (kg/ha) (N)								
N ₁ : 80	5.15	5.26	4.99	4.79	25.46	26.68	28.74	29.14
N ₂ : 100	5.26	5.36	5.10	4.89	26.55	27.48	29.24	30.28
N ₃ : 120	5.48	5.59	5.32	5.12	28.95	29.84	31.18	32.08
N ₄ : 140	5.82	5.93	5.66	5.46	29.64	30.38	32.75	33.10
S.Em.±	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.48	0.48	0.47	0.48
C.D. at 5%	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.39	1.39	1.39	1.39	1.39
C. V. (%)	8.67	8.51	8.92	9.25	5.95	5.75	5.40	5.28
D x N (Interaction)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table 4: Economics of the different treatments on summer forage pearl millet crop as influenced by date of sowing and nitrogen levels

Treatments	Green forage yield (q/ha)	Gross realization (/ha)	Total cost of cultivation (/ha)	Net realization (/ha)	Benefit: Cost ratio
Main plot: Time of Sowing (D)					
D ₁ : 01 st March	2048	307200	69111	238089	4.44
D ₂ : 15 th March	2425	363750	69111	294639	5.26
D ₃ : 01 st April	1960	294000	69111	224889	4.25
D ₄ : 15 th April	1567	235050	69111	165939	3.40
Sub plot: Nitrogen levels (kg/ha) (N)					
N1: 80	1580	237000	68703	168297	3.44
N2: 100	1879	281850	68975	212875	4.08
N3: 120	2104	315600	69246	246354	4.55
N4: 140	2438	365700	69518	296182	5.26

Effect of different treatments on economics

Effect of date of sowing

Sowing of summer forage pearl millet crop on 15th March recorded maximum net realization (₹2,94,639 per hectare) and benefit cost ratio (5.26) (Table 4). Minimum net realization (₹1,65,939 per hectare) and benefit cost ratio (3.40) was observed by 15th April sowing. The higher net realization and benefit cost might be due to higher green forage yield recorded by 15th March sowing. These findings are in conformity with those reported by Patel *et al.* (1987)^[12] and Luikham *et al.* (2012)^[10].

Effect of nitrogen levels

An application of 140 kg nitrogen per hectare recorded maximum net realization (₹2,96,182 per hectare) and benefit cost ratio (5.26) and it was followed by the application of 120 kg nitrogen per hectare (Table 4). This can be attributed due to higher green forage yield recorded with the application of 140 kg nitrogen per hectare. These findings are in conformity with those reported by Chaudhary *et al.* (2014)^[4] and Reager *et al.* (2014)^[13].

Conclusion

On the basis of one year experimentation, it can be concluded that higher nutrient and quality content, net realization and benefit cost ratio can be achieved by growing of summer forage pearl millet crop (Gujarat Fodder Bajra 1) on 15th March and fertilizing with 140 kg nitrogen per hectare.

References

1. Ayub M, Khalid M, Tariq MA, Nadeem MA, Nadeem MC. Effect of different seeding densities and nitrogen on growth, forage yield and quality attributes. *Journal of Agricultural Technology*. 2011; 7(5):1404-1416.
2. Bhoya M, Chaudhari PP, Raval CH, Bhatt PK. Effect of nitrogen and zinc on yield and quality of fodder sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench] varieties. *Forage Research*. 2013; 39(1):24-26.
3. Buldak LR, Singh P, Sumeriya HK, Golada SL. Effect of nitrogen levels on yield, HCN content and quality of multi cut forage sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* L. (Moench)] genotypes. *Forage Research*. 2010; 36(2):121-123.
4. Chaudhary NN, Khafi HR, Raj AD, Yadav V, Yadav P. Effect of nutrients (K and S) on growth, yield and economic of summer pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.)]. *International Journal of Forestry and Crop Improvement*. 2014; 5(1):9-12.
5. Devi KBS, Padmaja G. Response of forage pearl millet varieties to different nitrogen levels. *Forage Research*. 2007; 33(3):185-187.
6. Devi KBS, Padmaja G. Response of forage pearl millet varieties to different nitrogen levels. *Forage Research*. 2007; 33(3):185-187.
7. Golada SL, Patel BJ, Sharma GL. Effect of FYM, nitrogen and azospirillum inoculation on yield and quality of forage pearl millet. *Agricultural Sciences Digest*. 2012; 32(3):237-240.
8. Jakhar S, Sharma HS, Kantwa SR. Effect of nitrogen and sulphur on quality and nutrient content of fodder pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br. Emend Stuntz]. *Annals of Agricultural Research*. 2003; 24(1):169-171.
9. Katoria VB, Singh, Phool, Malik BS, Sharma HC. Effect of irrigation and nitrogen on the yield and quality of pearl millet and maize grown for summer fodders. *Haryana Agricultural University Research Journal*. 1981; 11(1):100-102.
10. Luikham E, Kamei S, Mariam Anal PS. Yield, quality and economics of oat fodder (*Avena sativa* L.) as influenced by nitrogen and varieties. *Forage Research*. 2012; 38(2):112-114.
11. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. *Statistical methods for agricultural workers*. ICAR Publication, New Delhi, 1967.
12. Patel JR, Patel PC, Raj MF, Saiyad MR. Effect of sowing date and seed rate on forage yield and quality of different genotypes of Lucerne. *Forage Research*. 1987; 13(1):25-32.
13. Reager ML, Sharma SK, Narolia GP, Sanwal RC. Residual effect of nitrogen levels and its split application on fodder pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. BR.] in arid western Rajasthan. *International Journal of Agricultural Science*. 2014; 10(2):634-637.
14. Upadhyay PN, Dixit AG, Patel JR, Chavda JR. Effect of time, methods of planting and phosphorus on productivity and economics of summer pearl millet. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 2001; 46(1):126-130.
15. Verma NK, Panday UP, Lodhi MD. Effect of sowing dates in relation to integrated nitrogen management on growth, yield and quality of *rabi* maize. *Journal of Plant and Animal Science*. 2012; 22(2):324-329.