



P-ISSN: 2349-8528

E-ISSN: 2321-4902

IJCS 2019; 7(3): 854-856

© 2019 IJCS

Received: 11-03-2019

Accepted: 15-04-2019

Aneeta ChaudharyDepartment of Fruit Science,
NDUA, T Kumarganj, Ayodhya,
Uttar Pradesh, India**Bhanu Pratap**Department of Fruit Science,
NDUA & T Kumarganj,
Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India**Ajay Yadav**Department of Horticulture,
SVBPUAT, Meerut, Uttar
Pradesh, India**Vimal Kumar**Department of Fruit Science,
CSAUAT, Kanpur, Uttar
Pradesh, India**Purnima Devi**Department of Fruit Science,
CSAUAT, Kanpur, Uttar
Pradesh, India

Response of micro-nutrient on yield of Aonla (*Emblca officinalis* Gaertn) cv. Narendra aonla-6

Aneeta Chaudhary, Bhanu Pratap, Ajay Yadav, Vimal Kumar and Purnima Devi

Abstract

The present investigation entitled on “Response of micro-nutrient on yield of Aonla (*Emblca officinalis* Gaertn) cv. Narendra Aonla-6” was carried out at the Main Experiment Station Department of Horticulture NDUAT, Kumarganj-Faizabad (U.P.) during the year 2014-15. The experiment was conducted with seven treatments and replicated thrice consisting of various levels of nutrients ZnSO₄ (0.5%), CuSO₄ (0.4%), MnSO₄ (0.5%), ZnSO₄ (0.5%) + CuSO₄ (0.4%), CuSO₄ (0.4%) + MnSO₄ (0.5%), ZnSO₄ (0.5%) + MnSO₄ (0.5%) and control (Water spray) in RBD Randomized Block Design. The foliar applications of these nutrients were applied two times after fruit set in the month of mid June and mid July. The observations were recorded on per cent fruit drop, fruit retention, fruit size, fruit weight, pulp: stone ratio and fruit quality at different stages of fruit development. The minimum (73.47%) of fruit drop and maximum (26.53%) of fruit retention (was recorded with ZnSO₄ (0.5%) + CuSO₄). Significant increase in fruit size, fruit weight, pulp: stone ratio was also recorded with the foliar application of ZnSO₄ (0.5%) + CuSO₄ (0.4%) as compared to other treatments. The maximum fruit yield (73.03 kg/tree).

Keywords: aonla, micro-nutrient, weight and yield

Introduction

The Aonla (*Emblca officinalis* Gaertn) is one of the most important indigenous fruit of India, which is also known as ‘Indian goose berry belongs to family Euphorbiaceae. It is native to South- East Asia, particularly Central and South India (Morton, 1960), which is grown in India since ancient times due to its religious, nutritional and therapeutic values. It is also recognized as a ‘Amritphal’ and ‘Wonder drug’ for its significance to health, wealth and vitality. Among the sub- tropical arid fruit, aonla has potential and wider adaptability to grow under variable range of soils and agro-edaphic condition. However, the traditional cultivation of Aonla predominantly is occupied in Uttar Pradesh, particular in Pratapgarh, Sultanpur, Varanasi districts. During a last decades, the commercial cultivation of aonla was expanded in almost all the states of India, because of its high nutritional values, wider adaptability and economic important. Being a most hardy naturally grown indigenous fruit species, it has vast potential for utilization of unproductive waste land/ marginal/ sodic land, revine land and degraded forest land, which are widely distributed in rainfed, arid- zone and drought prone areas of India. Under the present changing scenarios of degradation of prime natural resources viz., land, water and vegetation, the promotion of plantation of most hardy fruit species- aonla, is very helpful in rehabilitation and greening of waste land/ degraded land, which resulted to balancing of eco- systems.

Material and Method

The present investigation entitled “Effect of foliar spray of micro-nutrients on fruit yield and quality of aonla (*Emblca officinalis* Gaertn) cv. NA-6” was undertaken during the course of M. Sc. Hort. degree programme in Discipline of Horticulture, College of Horticulture and Forestry, Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Faizabad (U.P.). The field experiment was carried out at Main Experiment Station, Horticulture and analytical works undertaken in P.G. laboratory, Department of Horticulture during the year of 2013-14. The experiment laid out Randomized block design with treatment 7 and replicate thrice. The treatment were T₁ - ZnSO₄ (0.5%), T₂ - CuSO₄ (0.4%), T₃ - MnSO₄ (0.5%), T₄ - ZnSO₄ (0.5%) + CuSO₄ (0.4%), T₅ - CuSO₄ (0.4%) + MnSO₄ (0.5%), T₅ - CuSO₄ (0.4%) +

Correspondence**Ajay Yadav**Department of Horticulture,
SVBPUAT, Meerut, Uttar
Pradesh, India

MnSO₄ (0.5%), T₆ - ZnSO₄ (0.5%) + MnSO₄ (0.5%) and T₇ - Control (water spray). The solutions were prepared as per required concentrations of nutrients (ZnSO₄, CuSO₄, Mn So₄.) The required quantity of chemicals/salts was weighed using balance and dissolved in distilled water in measuring cylinder. The dissolved solution was diluted and volume made up to 10 liters in plastic buckets as per required quantity of solutions. The foliar sprays of nutrients were applied twice after fruit set. The first spray of nutrients was applied during Mid-June 2013 and second sprays after one months of first spray i.e. Mid-June at the time of fruit bud development stage using Aspee pneumatic foot sprayer fitted with nozzle. The observation were recorded size of fruit, weight of fruit, pulp stone ratio and fruit yield.

Table 1: Effect of micro-nutrient on fruit size of aonla fruit

Treatment	Fruit Size (cm)	
	Length	Width
T ₁ - ZnSO ₄ (0.5%)	3.89	4.13
T ₂ - CuSO ₄ (0.4%)	3.81	4.07
T ₃ - MnSO ₄ (0.5%)	3.57	3.95
T ₄ - ZnSO ₄ (0.5%) + CuSO ₄ (0.4%)	4.17	4.46
T ₅ - CuSO ₄ (0.4%) + MnSO ₄ (0.5%)	4.09	4.18
T ₆ -ZnSO ₄ (0.5%) + MnSO ₄ (0.5%)	4.11	4.27
T ₇ - Control (Water Spray)	3.53	3.60
S.Em.±	0.12	0.14
C. D. at 5%	0.36	0.14

The maximum (21.59:1) pulp: stone ratio was recorded in ZnSO₄ (0.5%) + CuSO₄ (0.4%). The lowest (11.64:1) pulp: stone ratio was observed under control. However, the most of the treatments were found at par.

The highest (73.03 Kg/tree) fruit yield was recorded with foliar spray of ZnSO₄ (0.5%) + CuSO₄ (0.4%), followed by ZnSO₄ (0.5%) + MnSO₄ (0.5%), as compared with other treatments where as the lowest (62.64 Kg/tree) fruit yield was recorded under control. However, the other treatments were found at par and significantly higher over control.

Result and Discussion

The average fruit size was measured with respect to length and width at the fruit harvest stage. The maximum (4.17cm) fruit length was recorded with foliar spray of ZnSO₄ (0.5%) + CuSO₄ (0.4%) followed by ZnSO₄ (0.5%) + MnSO₄ (0.5%) (4.11cm) as compared to other treatments. The minimum (3.53cm) fruit length was recorded under control. The minimum (3.60cm) fruit width was recorded under control.

The maximum (42.38g) average fruit weight was observed due to foliar spray of ZnSO₄ 0.5% + CuSO₄ followed by ZnSO₄ (0.5%) + MnSO₄ (0.4%) spraying (40.21g) as compared with other treatments. The minimum (31.99g) average fruit weight was recorded under control.

The present finding is in conformity with observations recorded by Singh *et al.* (2001) in aonla cv. Francis, Rao *et al.* (2004) in ber cv. Banarasi Karaka, Umran, Singh *et al.* (2007) ^[19] in aonla cv. N.A. 10, Shamshad *et al.* (2009) in aonla cv. Narendra Aonla- 6, Kumar and Shukla (2010) ber cv. Gola. Khara *et al.* (1985) in citrus cv. Blood Red, Kumar *et al.* (2004) in litchi cv. Dehradun, Dutta *et al.* (2007) ^[9] on guava cv. Sardar, Modi *et al.* (2012) on papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) cv. Madhu Bindu and f *et al.* (2012) in aonla cv. Banarasi.

Table 2: Effect of micro-nutrient on fruit weight, Pulp: stone ratio and yield of aonla fruit

Treatment	Weight (g)	Pulp: stone ratio	Yield (Kg/tree)
T ₁ - ZnSO ₄ (0.5%)	36.70	15.48:1	68.59
T ₂ - CuSO ₄ (0.4%)	35.40	14.39:1	66.50
T ₃ - MnSO ₄ (0.5%)	34.36	13.70:1	64.40
T ₄ - ZnSO ₄ (0.5%) + CuSO ₄ (0.4%)	42.38	21.59:1	73.03
T ₅ - CuSO ₄ (0.4%) + MnSO ₄ (0.5%)	38.66	16.58:1	69.88
T ₆ - ZnSO ₄ (0.5%) + MnSO ₄ (0.5%)	40.21	18.14:1	72.35
T ₇ - Control (Water Spray)	31.99	11.64:1	62.64
S.Em. ±	1.32	1.28	2.01
C.D.	4.08	3.95	6.20

Références

1. AOAC. Official methods of analysis Association of Official Analytical Chemist. 15th ed., Washington, DC, 1990.
2. Animesh S, Ghosh B. Effect of foliar application of micro nutrients on retention, Yield and quality of fruit in litchi cv. Bombay Environment and Ecology. 2009; 27:1.
3. Anonymous. Agriculture in ancient India. Raychaudhary, SP. (Tech. Ed.) ICAR, New Delhi, 1964.
4. Asenjo CF. The of west Indian Cherry. Bull del collegio, de Quimicas de, puree Rico. 1953; 10:8-11.
5. Babu Naresh, Singh AR. Effect of micro-nutrients spray of fruit cracking and fruit maturity in litchi. Indian Agriculturist. 1998; 46(3-4):203-207.
6. Balakrishnan K. Foliar spray of iron, zinc, boron and magnesium on vegetative growth and quality of guava. Annals of Plant Physiology. 2000; 14:151-153.
7. Bakshi P, Jasrotia A, Wali VK, Sharma A, Bakshi M. Influence of pre-harvest application of calcium and micro-nutrients on growth, yield, quality and shelf-life of strawberry cv. Chandler. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2013; 83(8):831-835.
8. Chandel SRS. Principal of Experimental Designs. A handbook of Agriculture Statistics, 1984, 14-53.
9. Dutta P, Banik AK. Effect of foliar feeding of nutrients and plant growth regulators on physico-chemical quality of Sardar guava grown in red and lateraitic tracts of West Bengal. Acta Horticulture. 2007; 735:407-411.

10. Ghosh SN, Bera B, Roy S, Kundu A, Roy SKD. Effect of nutrients and plant growth regulators on fruit retention, yield and physico-chemical characteristics in aonla cv. NA-10. Journal of Horticultural Sciences. 2009; 4(2):164-166.
11. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi, 1973.
12. Joon MS, Singh RR, Dautta BS. Effect of foliar spray of zinc and urea on yield and physico-chemical composition of ber fruit cv. Gola. Haryana J. Hort. Sci. 1984; 13(3-4):110-112.
13. Kaul OP, Mathew AK. Effect of foliar spray of calcium nitrate, Zinc sulphate and ethereal on the fruit Characteristics of Floradasun peach (*Prunus persica* Batch). Advances of plant sc. 1999; 120(2):577-518.
14. Khan S, Singh HK, Vishwanath, Pratap B. Impact of foliar application of micro-nutrients and thiourea on growth, fruit yield and quality of aonla (*Emblica officinalis* Gaertn.) cv. NA-6. Annals of Horticulture. 2009; 2:(1):83-85.
15. Khaira AP, Singh HK, Doulda BS. Correcting micro-nutrients deficiency in Citrus cv. Blood Red. Haryana J. Hort. Sci. 1985; 14(112):27-29.
16. Kamble AB, Desai UT, Chaudhari SM. Effect of micro-nutrients on fruit set, fruit retention and yield of ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* L.). Annals of arid zone. 1994; 33:53-55.
17. Kumar R, Kumar P, Singh UP. Effect of foliar application of nitrogen, zinc and boron on flowering and fruiting of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) cv. Amrapali. Environment and Ecology. 2008; 26 (4B):1965-1967.
18. Kumar S, Yadav AL, Vishwakarma G, Yadav DK. Effect of foliar feeding of nutrients and plant growth regulators on physico-chemical attributes of phalsa (*Grewia subinaequalis* D.C.). Res. Environ. Life Sci. 2014; 7(4):317- 318.
19. Singh JK, Prasad J, Singh HK. Effect of micro nutrients and plant growth regulators on fruit yield and physico-chemical characteristics of aonla fruits cv. Narendra Aonla – 10. Indian J. Hort. 2007; 64(2):210-218.
20. Singh RS, Vashistha BB. Effect of foliar spray of nutrients on fruit drop, yield and quality of ber cv. Seb. Haryana J. Hort. Sci. 1997; 26(1-2):20-24.
21. Sarkar A, Ghosh B. Effect of foliar application of boron on retention, yield and quality of litchi fruit cv. Bombai. Environment and Ecology. 2009; 27(1):89-91.
22. Sharma P, Singh AK, Sharma RM. Effect of plant bio-regulators (PBRs) and Micro nutrients on fruit set and quality of litchi cv. Dehradun. Indian Journal of Horticulture. 2005; 62:(1):24-26.
23. Shekhar C, Yadav AL, Singh HK, Singh MK. Influence of micronutrients on plant growth, yield and quality of papaya fruit (*Carica papaya* L.) cv. Washington. Asian Journal of Horticulture. 2010; 5(2):326-329.
24. Singaram P, Prabhu PC. Effect of zinc and boron on growth and quality of grapes cv. Muscat. Madras Agri. J. 2001; 88(4-6):223-236.
25. Singh AK, Singh CP, Lal S, Pratibha. Effect of micronutrients and sorbitol on fruit set, yield and quality of mango cv. Dashehari. Progressive Horticulture. 2013; 45(1):43-48.
26. Singh AK, Singh CP, Chauhan P. Effect of pre-harvest chemical treatments and mulching on quality and marketability of Dashehari mango. Indian Journal of Horticulture. 2012; 69(4):462-466.