



P-ISSN: 2349-8528

E-ISSN: 2321-4902

IJCS 2019; 7(3): 4270-4274

© 2019 IJCS

Received: 10-03-2019

Accepted: 12-04-2019

G Narayana Swamy

Agricultural Research Station,
Acharya N. G. Ranga
Agricultural University,
Anantapuram, Andhra Pradesh,
India

AV Nagavani

Agricultural Research Station,
Acharya N. G. Ranga
Agricultural University,
Anantapuram, Andhra Pradesh,
India

Y Reddi Ramu

Agricultural Research Station,
Acharya N. G. Ranga
Agricultural University,
Anantapuram, Andhra Pradesh,
India

KC Nataraj

Agricultural Research Station,
Acharya N. G. Ranga
Agricultural University,
Anantapuram, Andhra Pradesh,
India

Malleswari Sadhineni

Agricultural Research Station,
Acharya N. G. Ranga
Agricultural University,
Anantapuram, Andhra Pradesh,
India

Correspondence**G Narayana Swamy**

Agricultural Research Station,
Acharya N. G. Ranga
Agricultural University,
Anantapuram, Andhra Pradesh,
India

Effect of integrated nutrient management on nitrogen, phosphorus & potassium uptake and productivity of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) under rainfed and protective irrigated condition

G Narayana Swamy, AV Nagavani, Y Reddi Ramu, KC Nataraj and Malleswari Sadhineni

Abstract

The experiment was carried out during *kharif* seasons of 2014 and 2015 to study the effect of integrated nutrient management on nitrogen, phosphorus & potassium uptake and productivity of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) under rainfed and protective irrigated condition. Supply of 100 per cent of nitrogen through sheep penning (T₉) recorded significantly highest pod and haulm yield of groundnut, which was however comparable with 50 per cent nitrogen through urea + 50 per cent nitrogen through FYM (T₃) and recommended dose of fertilizer (T₂) under rainfed situation, but under protective irrigation, which was inturn comparable with supply of 50 per cent nitrogen through urea + 50 per cent nitrogen through leaf compost (T₅). Control (T₁) recorded the lowest pod and haulm yield in groundnut in both the situations during two consecutive years of investigation. At all the stages of the crop growth the highest nitrogen uptake of groundnut was registered with supply of 100 per cent nitrogen through sheep penning (T₉), which was in parity with recommended dose of fertilizers (T₂) under rainfed condition, which was inturn comparable with 50 per cent nitrogen through urea + 50 per cent nitrogen either through leaf compost or FYM (T₃ or T₅) at all the stages of crop growth except at 30 DAS, where it was on par with recommended dose of fertilizers (T₂) under protective irrigation and maximum phosphorus uptake of groundnut was recorded with supply of 100 per cent nitrogen through sheep penning (T₉) under rainfed condition, which was significantly superior over rest of the treatments tried. Whereas under protective irrigation, it was comparable with application of either inorganic fertilizers (T₂) or substitution of 50 per cent nitrogen through FYM or leaf compost (T₃ or T₅) at latter stages of crop growth i.e., at 90 DAS and at harvest. The highest potassium uptake in groundnut was recorded with supply of 100 per cent nitrogen through sheep penning (T₉). Whereas, the lowest N, P and K was associated with control (T₁).

Keywords: Groundnut yield, nutrient uptake, sheep penning, protective irrigation

Introduction

Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) is the premier oilseed crop contributing 40 per cent of the total oil seed production in India, but its production and productivity needs to be significantly enhanced to meet the national shortage of availability of edible oil in India, which is about 14.10 kg head⁻¹ year⁻¹ against the balanced nutritional requirement of 14.80 kg head⁻¹ year⁻¹. To meet the vegetable oil requirement of our country, we have to increase the oil seeds production from the present level of 29.75 million tonnes to about 55.0 million tonnes by 2020 AD (Hegde, 2009) [4]. The productivity of *kharif* groundnut is low and highly fluctuating in *alfisols* of drylands mainly due to low organic matter content, poor fertility status, imbalanced use of high analysis chemical fertilizers accompanied by restricted use of organic manures, which made the soils not only deficient in secondary and micronutrients, but also deteriorated the soil health (Akbari *et al.*, 2011) [1]. To alleviate the problem, the effective and integrated use of locally available organic resources such as the farm yard manure, leaf compost, groundnut shells, sheep manure along with inorganic sources are the suitable strategies to improve the yield and quality of groundnut. Apart from the integrated use of nutrient sources, the exploration of the predominant practice of sheep penning in the region is at most necessary to build the soil fertility for enhanced groundnut productivity in the rainfed *alfisols* of Andhra Pradesh (Reddy *et al.*, 2010) [12]. The nutrient management with organic and inorganic sources along with protective irrigation at critical crop growth stages despite the vagaries of rainfall

will sustain the production system. Keeping this in view, the present investigation was carried for two consecutive years (*kharif*, 2014 and 2015) at Agricultural Research Station, Anantapuram to find out the most suitable combination of chemical fertilizer and locally available organic sources for hungry, thirsty and poor fertile *alfisols* of drylands in Andhra Pradesh.

Materials and Methods

A Field experiments was conducted at Agricultural Research Station, Anantapuram during *kharif* 2014 and 2015 in a fixed plots for two consecutive seasons to study effect of various nutrient sources on productivity and economics of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) in scarce rainfall zone of Andhra Pradesh. The experiment was laid out in completely randomized block design with three replications in two separate blocks *viz*; purely rainfed block and with protective irrigation block. Each block with eleven same treatments *viz*; T₁: Control (no organics and inorganics), T₂: Recommended dose of fertiliser (RDF) (20 kg N ha⁻¹: 40 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹: 40 kg K₂O ha⁻¹), T₃: 50 % nitrogen through urea + 50 % nitrogen through FYM, T₄:100 % nitrogen through FYM, T₅: 50 % nitrogen through urea + 50 % nitrogen through leaf compost, T₆:100 % nitrogen through leaf compost, T₇: 50 % nitrogen through urea + 50 % nitrogen through sheep manure, T₈: 100 % nitrogen through sheep manure, T₉; 100 % nitrogen through sheep penning, T₁₀: 100 % nitrogen through enriched groundnut shells and T₁₁: 50 % nitrogen through urea + 50 % nitrogen through enriched groundnut shell. The soil type is *alfisols* with pH 6.42, EC 0.42 dS m⁻¹, low available nitrogen (198 kg ha⁻¹), medium available P₂O₅ (48 kg ha⁻¹), low available K₂O (191 kg ha⁻¹) and organic carbon (0.38%). Organics were applied two weeks before sowing of the crop. The FYM, well-rotted *gliricidia* leaf compost, sheep manure was applied as per treatments on equivalent nitrogen basis to meet the nitrogen requirement of the crop. Enriched groundnut shells were prepared by spreading the groundnut shells overnight on the floor of the cattle shed so that

groundnut shells were trampled well and mixed with the cattle dung and urine. In the following day, the enriched groundnut shells along with dung and urine were collected and applied to the experimental plots as per the treatments. The sheep penning plots were temporarily netted to keep the flock uniformly in the allocated plots overnight. The droppings of both urine and fecal matter falling the soil were incorporated to a shallow depth of the soil by running a blade harrow. Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium were applied in the form of urea, single super phosphate and muriate of potash respectively at the time of sowing. During 2014 *kharif* season under protective irrigation block 2 times protective irrigation was given at 55 DAS and at 75 DAS and during 2015 *kharif* protective irrigation given at 75 DAS. Each time 20 mm of irrigation was given. Nutrient uptake and post-harvest soil samples were analyzed for different physico-chemical properties and organic carbon content by following standard procedures. Variety Kadiri-6 was sown. All observations were statistically analyzed as suggested by Gomez and Gomez (1984) [3].

Results and Discussion

Pod Yield

Under rainfed condition during *kharif*, 2014 and 2015, the highest pod yield (842 and 1530 kg ha⁻¹) of groundnut was recorded with supply of 100 per cent nitrogen through sheep penning (T₉) (Table.1), which was at par with 50 per cent nitrogen through urea + 50 per cent nitrogen through FYM (T₃) and recommended dose of fertilizer (T₂). Application of 100 per cent nitrogen through sheep penning (T₉) resulted in 69 and 89 per cent higher pod yield during 2014 and 2015 respectively, over control. Pod yield of groundnut is a function of yield attributes, which was significantly higher with these nutrient management practices. Application of 50 per cent nitrogen through urea + 50 per cent nitrogen either through leaf compost (T₅) or FYM (T₄), or sheep manure (T₇) or enriched groundnut shells (T₁₁) were the next best treatments and were comparable among themselves.

Table 1: Pod yield, haulm yield (kg ha⁻¹) and harvest index (%) of groundnut as influenced by organic and inorganic sources of nitrogen

Treatments	Rainfed condition						Protective irrigation					
	Pod yield		Haulm yield		Harvest index		Pod yield		Haulm yield		Harvest index	
	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015
T ₁	497	807	1018	1746	32.8	31.6	698	1284	1530	2236	31.3	36.5
T ₂	780	1429	1550	2823	33.5	33.6	1119	1951	2675	3320	29.5	37.0
T ₃	801	1496	1496	2738	34.9	35.3	1209	1997	2763	3401	30.4	37.0
T ₄	739	1290	1437	2530	34.0	33.8	1070	1768	2507	3097	29.9	36.3
T ₅	758	1319	1471	2612	34.0	33.6	1098	1879	2641	3231	29.4	36.8
T ₆	638	1074	1209	2243	34.5	32.4	842	1437	2184	2840	27.8	33.6
T ₇	709	1228	1418	2490	33.3	33.0	1012	1715	2361	3004	30.0	36.3
T ₈	671	1104	1260	2302	34.7	32.4	869	1528	2291	2961	27.5	34.0
T ₉	842	1530	1696	2944	33.2	34.2	1280	2013	2807	3441	31.3	36.9
T ₁₀	611	1020	1187	2198	34.0	31.7	817	1395	2156	2750	27.8	33.7
T ₁₁	697	1167	1391	2430	33.4	32.4	997	1603	2340	3021	29.3	34.6
SEm ±	22.1	61.0	69.0	100.2	1.2	1.1	66.5	77.9	56.8	85.7	1.2	1.2
CD P=0.05)	65	181	205	298	298	NS	197	231	169	254	NS	NS

Under protective irrigation during *kharif*, 2014 and 2015, the highest pod yield of groundnut was recorded with supply of 100 per cent nitrogen through sheep penning (T₉), which was in parity with 50 per cent nitrogen through urea + 50 per cent nitrogen through FYM (T₃), recommended dose of fertilizer (T₂) and 50 per cent nitrogen through urea + 50 per cent nitrogen through leaf compost (T₅). Application of 100 per cent nitrogen through sheep penning (T₉) resulted in 83 and 57 per cent higher pod yield during 2014 and 2015

respectively over control. Pod yield recorded with 100 per cent nitrogen through FYM (T₄), 50 per cent nitrogen through urea + 50 per cent nitrogen either through sheep manure (T₇) or enriched groundnut shells (T₁₁) were comparable among themselves. The lowest pod yield was recorded with control (T₁). Groundnut respond well to organic sources of nutrients under protective irrigation as compared to rainfed situation. Protective irrigation at pod formation and pod development might have resulted in better moisture, nutrient availability

and thereby regaining photosynthetic efficiency of the plant, which in turn results in elevated stature of yield attributes owing to higher pod yield of groundnut. Similar results were also reported by Rao *et al.*, (2012) [10] and Rahevar. (2015) [11].

Haulm Yield

Under rainfed condition during *khariif*, 2014 and 2015, the highest haulm yield of groundnut was recorded with supply of 100 per cent nitrogen through sheep penning (T₉) (Table 1), which was at par with recommended dose of fertilizer (T₂) and 50 per cent nitrogen through urea + 50 per cent nitrogen through FYM (T₃). Among the other organic sources tried, 50 per cent nitrogen through urea + 50 per cent nitrogen through leaf compost (T₅) recorded higher haulm yield, which was however, comparable with 100 per cent nitrogen through FYM (T₄), 50 per cent nitrogen through urea + 50 per cent nitrogen through sheep manure (T₇) and 50 per cent nitrogen through urea + 50 per cent nitrogen through enriched groundnut shells (T₁₁) in the order of descent with no significant disparity between one another. The lowest haulm yield was registered with control (T₁). Under protective irrigation during both the years of the study, the highest haulm yield of groundnut was recorded with supply of 100 per cent nitrogen through sheep penning (T₉), which was however comparable with 50 per cent nitrogen through urea + 50 per cent nitrogen through FYM (T₃) recommended dose of fertilizer (T₂) and 50 per cent nitrogen through urea + 50 per cent nitrogen through leaf compost (T₅). The next best treatment was application of 100 per cent nitrogen through FYM (T₄) among the various organic sources tried. Obviously the lowest haulm yield in groundnut was registered with control (T₁). The increased haulm yield under both farming

situations was attributed to the beneficial effect of combined use of organic manure and inorganic fertilizers. Nutrient availability was increased through enhanced microbial activity, which aid in conversion of unavailable to available forms and also due to improved physico-chemical properties of the soil. Similar results of higher haulm yield with the application organic manures were reported by Varalakshmi *et al.* (2005) and Patil *et al.* (2015) [14, 6].

Nutrient Uptake

Nitrogen Uptake

At all the crop growth stages, significantly highest nitrogen uptake by groundnut was recorded with supply of 100 per cent nitrogen through sheep penning (T₉) (Table 2), which was at par with recommended dose of fertilizers (T₂). Uptake of nitrogen registered with 50 per cent nitrogen through urea and substitution of 50 per cent nitrogen either through FYM (T₃) or leaf compost (T₅) was statistically comparable with each other under rainfed condition. Under protective irrigation application of 100 per cent nitrogen through sheep penning (T₉) recorded the highest nitrogen uptake, which was however comparable with recommended dose of fertilizers (T₂) and 50 per cent nitrogen through urea + 50 per cent nitrogen either through leaf compost or FYM (T₃ and T₅) at all the stages of crop growth except at 30 DAS, where it was on par with recommended dose of fertilizers (T₂). The higher nitrogen uptake observed under protective irrigation was ascribed due to higher concentration of available nitrogen under optimal soil moisture condition as compared to rainfed condition. The above results are in agreement with those of Singh *et al.* (2006) and Brar *et al.* (2015) [13, 2]. Control (T₁) resulted in the lowest nitrogen uptake by the plant due to reduced availability of nitrogen in rainfed alfisols.

Table 2: Nitrogen uptake (kg ha⁻¹) at various stages of groundnut as influenced by organic and inorganic sources of nitrogen

Treatments	Rainfed condition								Protective irrigation							
	30 DAS		60 DAS		90 DAS		At harvest		30 DAS		60 DAS		90 DAS		At harvest	
	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015
T ₁	6.81	12.54	32.61	58.10	60.36	103.39	65.83	114.27	7.33	12.82	36.46	79.19	97.46	131.22	104.44	139.91
T ₂	11.87	18.48	64.41	107.72	101.96	171.06	109.20	172.40	12.60	19.54	69.82	125.72	160.24	204.48	170.58	212.19
T ₃	11.02	17.66	62.04	102.65	98.56	159.01	104.03	162.88	11.06	17.43	68.56	121.12	154.78	201.80	163.28	208.94
T ₄	8.53	14.16	52.12	90.50	83.05	134.35	88.89	151.06	8.80	14.46	53.24	104.35	133.49	168.39	141.41	174.64
T ₅	10.22	15.72	55.82	96.76	91.05	148.08	98.05	155.78	10.48	17.30	68.37	123.24	158.86	205.50	168.61	209.55
T ₆	8.17	13.65	47.65	80.55	80.10	132.20	87.37	139.65	8.58	14.04	48.31	92.65	126.35	165.85	134.44	170.28
T ₇	9.31	16.80	54.39	90.77	84.15	138.43	90.25	144.38	9.93	16.13	56.78	108.52	136.13	173.03	145.97	179.47
T ₈	8.23	13.73	50.29	85.61	79.33	129.93	87.13	139.28	8.85	14.02	52.44	97.94	126.20	166.77	133.01	172.41
T ₉	12.53	20.29	69.20	115.69	111.04	180.63	118.69	185.14	12.90	20.63	74.36	131.12	167.51	216.47	178.04	221.31
T ₁₀	8.50	14.14	47.51	75.34	77.23	127.79	82.66	132.26	9.00	14.83	45.76	86.24	122.79	160.09	134.26	166.55
T ₁₁	9.23	15.69	50.68	85.23	81.00	130.85	86.39	138.24	9.60	16.02	52.86	100.45	127.57	170.56	139.98	179.32
SEm ±	0.42	0.75	2.32	3.94	3.16	4.59	3.31	4.59	0.51	0.69	2.12	3.85	4.91	5.26	4.44	4.67
CD(P=0.05)	1.25	2.24	6.90	11.71	9.40	13.65	9.84	13.64	1.53	2.05	6.32	11.43	14.61	15.64	13.21	13.87

Phosphorus Uptake

Under rainfed condition during *khariif*, 2014 and 2015, at all the stages of crop growth the highest phosphorus uptake of groundnut was recorded with supply of 100 per cent nitrogen through sheep penning (T₉) (Table.3), which was significantly superior over the rest of the treatments tried. Under protective irrigation, at all the stage of crop growth significantly the highest phosphorus uptake by groundnut was recorded with supply of 100 per cent nitrogen through sheep penning (T₉), but it was however comparable with application of recommended dose of fertilizer (T₂), 50 per cent nitrogen through urea and 50 per cent nitrogen either through FYM or leaf compost (T₃ or T₅) at later stages of crop growth i.e. at 90

DAS and at harvest during both the years of experimentation. The enhanced uptake of phosphorus under protective irrigation as compared to rainfed condition was ascribed due to higher pod yield. Further, balanced application of nutrients particularly nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium helps in enhanced phosphorus uptake by the plant with recommended dose of fertilizers during the later stages of the crop growth under protective irrigation. The similar results were reported by Rao (2011) [7] and Rao *et al.* (2013) [8]. The treatment which received no nitrogen (T₁) recorded significantly the lowest phosphorus uptake in groundnut both under rainfed and protective irrigated condition.

Table 3: Phosphorus uptake (kg ha⁻¹) at various stages of groundnut as influenced by organic and inorganic sources of nitrogen

Treatments	Rainfed condition								Protective irrigation							
	30 DAS		60 DAS		90 DAS		At harvest		30 DAS		60 DAS		90 DAS		At harvest	
	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015
T ₁	1.31	2.31	4.00	6.70	7.85	12.79	8.35	13.77	1.39	2.42	4.19	9.13	12.05	16.22	12.59	16.48
T ₂	2.05	3.38	7.17	11.13	13.06	19.47	13.90	20.28	2.12	3.40	7.92	13.68	18.87	24.16	20.46	24.98
T ₃	1.95	3.12	6.97	11.22	12.89	18.96	13.61	19.41	2.03	3.24	7.68	13.14	18.60	23.98	20.08	24.79
T ₄	1.73	2.88	5.91	9.84	10.61	15.78	11.45	16.79	1.76	2.94	6.04	11.84	16.03	19.95	16.05	21.53
T ₅	1.81	3.04	6.12	10.24	11.00	17.23	11.95	17.48	2.00	3.19	7.53	12.92	18.16	23.91	19.94	24.72
T ₆	1.63	2.79	5.87	9.31	10.28	16.58	11.36	16.94	1.72	2.88	5.88	11.40	15.88	20.81	16.20	21.77
T ₇	1.78	2.99	6.10	10.19	10.98	17.10	11.65	17.35	1.84	3.05	6.38	12.18	16.27	21.89	16.67	22.70
T ₈	1.70	2.84	5.90	9.57	10.43	16.36	11.40	17.12	1.83	2.90	6.12	11.42	14.28	20.49	16.22	21.82
T ₉	2.31	3.93	8.01	13.39	14.47	21.87	15.50	22.91	2.38	3.78	8.64	15.33	19.49	25.10	20.75	25.97
T ₁₀	1.73	2.76	5.80	9.46	9.91	14.96	11.30	15.20	1.70	2.86	6.10	11.39	14.97	19.67	15.34	21.44
T ₁₁	1.76	2.89	6.06	10.21	10.71	15.86	11.51	17.06	1.79	2.95	6.34	11.96	15.46	19.95	16.04	21.75
SEm ±	0.07	0.10	0.16	0.32	0.25	0.78	0.23	0.88	0.06	0.08	0.15	0.27	0.49	0.40	0.31	0.41
CD(P=0.05)	0.20	0.30	0.49	0.96	0.74	2.34	0.70	2.61	0.19	0.24	0.45	0.80	1.46	1.21	0.94	1.28

Potassium Uptake

At all the stages of crop growth during both the years of study, supply of 100 per cent nitrogen through sheep penning (T₉) recorded significantly higher potassium uptake over rest of the nutrient management practices tried (Table 4). The sheep penning in groundnut could have increased the exchangeable and water soluble potassium in the soil there by better availability resulting in higher potassium uptake. The results were in conformity with Rao *et al.* (2013) [8]. The

concentration of potassium increased as plant growth advances up to mid flowering, at later stages, there was some dilution effect. The haulm retains the major part of potassium accumulated during vegetative growth indicating their utilization for structural and developmental processes and allowed little translocation of potassium into pods (Nathiya and Sanjivkumar, 2014) [5]. Significantly lowest uptake of potassium was noticed with no nitrogen (T₁).

Table 4: Potassium uptake (kg ha⁻¹) at various stages of groundnut as influenced by organic and inorganic sources of nitrogen

Treatments	Rainfed condition								Protective irrigation							
	30 DAS		60 DAS		90 DAS		At harvest		30 DAS		60 DAS		90 DAS		At harvest	
	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015
T ₁	8.94	16.23	17.71	31.51	31.35	53.69	33.29	57.85	9.73	16.99	19.73	39.87	50.61	68.12	52.84	72.24
T ₂	13.25	21.78	34.51	56.58	54.31	87.57	57.35	90.64	13.80	22.38	36.64	60.14	82.02	110.19	86.77	112.13
T ₃	13.10	21.49	32.90	54.60	53.22	86.85	56.13	88.91	13.64	21.98	35.31	59.61	80.70	109.53	84.73	111.37
T ₄	11.72	19.54	27.52	47.82	42.92	71.59	44.44	73.84	12.11	19.61	28.13	52.32	68.15	95.16	70.27	98.30
T ₅	12.25	19.82	28.67	50.43	44.68	73.23	47.22	77.24	12.61	20.64	30.22	54.95	79.79	108.24	84.41	106.63
T ₆	11.68	18.27	27.42	47.98	42.92	69.58	45.75	73.45	11.86	19.30	28.81	51.48	66.37	96.84	68.81	97.05
T ₇	12.13	19.74	28.50	49.02	44.21	73.10	46.31	76.58	12.41	20.53	29.45	53.42	69.53	97.91	71.84	101.96
T ₈	11.76	19.25	27.29	48.26	42.77	70.09	45.87	73.33	12.18	19.95	28.45	51.08	66.19	96.78	69.50	99.57
T ₉	14.50	23.66	36.52	61.06	58.10	94.58	62.26	97.13	14.96	23.94	39.42	69.91	87.70	115.29	93.37	118.64
T ₁₀	11.43	18.44	27.08	47.25	42.16	69.44	44.96	73.27	11.71	19.34	27.14	50.86	65.98	94.22	68.09	96.50
T ₁₁	11.81	19.57	28.16	48.41	43.37	72.30	46.25	76.39	12.36	20.27	29.38	52.87	68.67	96.90	70.84	99.72
SEm ±	0.37	0.60	0.59	1.09	0.90	1.30	0.78	1.39	0.36	0.47	0.86	1.40	1.42	1.60	1.53	1.94
CD(P=0.05)	1.12	1.80	1.78	3.24	2.67	3.87	2.34	4.14	1.07	1.41	2.56	4.17	4.24	4.75	4.55	5.76

Conclusion

It can be inferred from the investigation that the best performance of groundnut with highest pod yield and sustained soil health were realized with supply of 100 per cent nitrogen through sheep penning or 50 per cent nitrogen through urea + 50 per cent nitrogen through FYM since they have performed equal with that of 20-40-40 kg N, P₂O₅ and K₂O ha⁻¹ (RDF).

References

1. Akbari KN, Kanzaria KK, Vora VD, Sutaria GS, Padmini DR. Nutrient management practices for sustaining groundnut yield and soil productivity on sandy loam soils. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science*. 2011; 56(3):308-311.
2. Brar BS, Singh J, Singh G, Kaur G. Effects of long term application of inorganic and organic fertilizers on soil organic carbon and physical properties in Maize – Wheat Rotation. *Agronomy*. 2015; 5:220-238.
3. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedure for agricultural research. A Wiley International Science Publication. John Wiley & Sons, New York. 1984, 62-68p.
4. Hegde DM. Vegetable oil scenario: Approaches to meet the growing demand. Indian Society of Oilseed Research, Hyderabad, 2009.
5. Nathiya KV, Sanjivkumar V. Combined effect of different plant nutrients of organic and inorganic sources on nutrient uptake and yield of groundnut crop. *Journal of Applied and Natural Science*. 2014; 6(2):463-466.
6. Patil SB, Reddy BCB, Chitgupekar SC, Patil BB. Modern tillage and integrated nutrient management practices for improving soil fertility and productivity of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) under rainfed farming system. *International Letters of Natural Sciences*. 2015; 2:1-12.
7. Rao CHS. Nutrient management strategies in rainfed agriculture: Constraints and opportunities. *Indian Journal of Fertilizers*. 2011; 7(4):12-25.

8. Rao CHS, Kundu, Venkateswarlu B, Lal R, Singh AK, Balaguraviah D *et al.* Long-term effects of fertilization and manuring on groundnut yield and nutrient balance of *alfisols* under rainfed farming in India. *Nutrient Cycling in Agro ecosystems*. 2013; 96:29-46.
9. Rao SS, Shaktawat MS. Effect of organic manure, phosphorus and gypsum on nutrient uptake in groundnut. *Agropedology*. 2005; 15(2):100-106.
10. Rao CHS, Venkateswarlu B, Lal R, Singh AK, Kundu S, Vittal KPR *et al.* Soil carbon sequestration and agronomic productivity of an Alfisol for a groundnut-based system in a semiarid environment in southern India. *European Journal of Agronomy*. 2012; 43:40-48.
11. Rahevar HD, Patel PP, Patel BT, Joshi SK, Vaghela SJ. Effect of FYM, Iron and zinc on growth and yield of summer groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) under north Gujarat agro-climatic conditions. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2015; 49(3):294-296.
12. Reddy BS, Reddy MA, Reddy BR. Effect of sowing time on productivity and economics of different kharif crops in scarce rainfall zone of Andhra Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Dryland Agricultural Research and Development*. 2010; 25(2):68-72.
13. Singh RS, Srivastava GP, Kumar S. Fertilizer management in pigeonpea based intercropping systems. II. Nutrient removal and net change in soil fertility. *Journal of Research*. 2006; 18:39-43.
14. Varalakshmi LR, Srinivasamurthy CA, Bhaskar S. Effect of integrated use of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers on organic carbon, available N, P and K in sustaining productivity of groundnut – finger millet cropping system. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science*. 2005; 53(3):315-318.