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Abstract
An experiment on soil working techniques like CBOC (crescent bund with open catchment pits), mulching and pre-harvest spray of forchlorfenuron and boron was carried out on seven-year-old plants of pomegranate cultivar Kandhari trained as four stems grown under rainfed conditions of Himachal Pradesh. Experimental plants were subjected to 11 treatments, viz., mulching, CBOC, CPPU (forchlorfenuron) at 5 or 10 ppm, H3BO3 at 0.2 or 0.4%, mulching + CPPU at 5 ppm or H3BO3 at 0.2%, CBOC + CPPU at 5 ppm or H3BO3 at 0.2% and control. These treatments were applied in mid-March (soil working techniques) and mid-May (forchlorfenuron and boron). Between the two applied soil working techniques, crescent bund with open catchments pits conserved higher soil moisture content at all the observation dates than mulching. Growth parameters like plant height, plant spread, trunk girth and annual shoot growth were observed significantly higher under the treatment CBOC + H3BO3 at 0.2% due to higher moisture availability. However, leaf area and leaf chlorophyll content were recorded significantly higher under the treatment CBOC + CPPU at 5 ppm. Physiological characteristics such as photosynthetic rate and transpiration rate were higher in the plant under crescent bund with open catchment pits. The extent of fruit cracking was reduced to the lowest level (2.8%) from 11.67% in control and highest fruit yield (26.8 Kg/plant) was recorded when the plants were given foliar application of CPPU at 5 ppm under CBOC. The maximum fruit length (86.2 cm) and fruit breadth (88.3 cm), fruit weight (419.74 g), aril weight (279.21 g), aril percentage (66.52), juice content (67.93 ml/100 g), ascorbic acid content (16.84 mg/100g) were obtained with the treatment of CPPU at 5 ppm + CBOC. The lowest titratable acidity (0.49%), highest TSS (15.210 B) and TSS/acid ratio (31.07) was recorded in fruits from plants under the treatment of H3BO3 at 0.2% with mulching. Total sugar, reducing sugar were recorded highest under the treatment H3BO3 at 0.2% + CBOC and lowest in control. These results suggest that, soil working technique- crescent bund with open catchment pit can be employed to conserve higher soil moisture in rainfed conditions for improving growth and production of pomegranate.
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Introduction
Pomegranate (Punica granatum L.) belongs to family Punicaceae and is native to Persia (Iran), Afghanistan and Baluchistan. Its fruit has wide consumer preference for its attractive, juicy, sweet-acidic and refreshing arils. Though pomegranate was originally adapted to Mediterranean climate, but it has also flourished well under hot dry summers with cool winters. The foot hills of Himachal Pradesh comprise sub-tropical sub-montane and valley areas of Shivalik hills hold tremendous scope for its cultivation. In Himachal Pradesh, pomegranate cultivation has slowly picked up by farmers in the districts of Kullu, Una, Hamirpur, parts of Chamba, Kangra, Bilaspur, Sirmour and Solan for diversification in horticulture. The plants suffer acute moisture stress leading to fruit cracking at their critical stages of growth and development due to erratic and uneven rains under rainfed conditions. Fruit cracking is a physiological disorder, generally associated with differential rate of growth of fruit skin/rind and fruit aril. It may be due to imbalance soil moisture in mature fruits and boron deficiency in immature fruits. It therefore becomes imperative to conserve every drop of rain water in the field using in-situ moisture conservation techniques to enhance soil moisture storage for inducing good vegetative growth and productivity, lowest fruit cracking by improving their morphological and physiological status. Some practices like mulching of tree basins and soil working techniques like, crescent bund with open catchment pits (Sharma and Singh, 2010) [13] have been found to be useful in many crops for conserving soil moisture. Growth
regulators like CPPU (Sharma and Belsare, 2009) and nutrients like boron (Bhat et al., 2004) also play important role in improving fruit quality in different fruits. Therefore, the present study was undertaken to ascertain the effect of mulching, soil working technique ‘crescent bund with open catchment pits’ and foliar application of CPPU and boron on pomegranate cv. Kandhari with an objective to evaluate the morphological and physiological status, fruit cracking and yield potentials of pomegranate through conserving soil moisture under rainfed conditions.

Materials and Methods

The present research was carried out in the experimental orchard of the Department of Fruit Science, Dr Y.S. Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nausi, Solan. For this study, 33 plants of 7-year-old pomegranate trained as four stem system and spaced 4 m x 4 m apart were selected. Soil at the experimental site was silty loam; having 6.81 pH, 1.76% organic carbon content, 8.00% permanent wilting point, 25.50% field capacity and 1.10 g cm-3 bulk density. Experimental plants were subjected to 11 treatments, viz., mulching (10 cm thick hey), CBOC (crescent bund with open catchment pits), CPPU (forchlorfenuron) at 5 or 10 ppm, \( \text{H}_2\text{BO}_3 \) at 0.2 or 0.4%, Mulching + CPPU at 5 ppm or \( \text{H}_2\text{BO}_3 \) at 0.2%, CBOC + CPPU at 5 ppm or \( \text{H}_2\text{BO}_3 \) at 0.2% and control (no treatment). These treatments were applied separately in mid-March (in-situ soil moisture conservation) and mid-May (forchlorfenuron and boron). All the treatments were replicated three times in a randomized block design.

Soil moisture (%) were taken with the help of Aqua-Regia soil moisture meter. The total soluble solids of the juice were determined with hand refractometer (0 to 32°B range). Ascorbic acid content of the fruits was determined as per A.O.A.C. (1980) method. The data were subjected to statistical analysis as per the procedure outlined by Panse and Sukhatme.

Results and Discussion

Soil moisture contents under the plant basins fluctuated greatly with dry spells and erratic rainfall cycles (1, 0.2, 2.7, 48.2, 0, 116.6, 52.2, 280.7, 203.9 mm rainfall in 15th March, 1st April, 15th April, 1st May, 15th May, 1st June, 15th June, 1st July, 15th July, respectively during the course of investigation. However during all the observation dates, maximum soil moisture contents of 18.01% at 0-15 cm soil depth; 18.88% at 15-30 cm soil depth and 19.03% at 30-45 cm soil depth were recorded under the treatment CBOC + CPPU at 5 ppm (Tables 1-3).

Table 1: Effect of in-situ soil moisture conservation, forchlorfenuron and boron on soil moisture storage at 15 cm soil depth in pomegranate cv. Kandhari.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>1st Mean</th>
<th>15th Mean</th>
<th>1st Mean</th>
<th>15th Mean</th>
<th>1st Mean</th>
<th>15th Mean</th>
<th>1st Mean</th>
<th>15th Mean</th>
<th>1st Mean</th>
<th>15th Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1: Mulching with hay (10 cm)</td>
<td>8.05</td>
<td>8.22</td>
<td>8.79</td>
<td>11.34</td>
<td>11.03</td>
<td>14.95</td>
<td>14.93</td>
<td>16.22</td>
<td>11.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2: Crescent bund with open catchment pits (CBOC)</td>
<td>9.53</td>
<td>9.81</td>
<td>10.57</td>
<td>12.29</td>
<td>12.14</td>
<td>16.29</td>
<td>16.35</td>
<td>17.91</td>
<td>13.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3: CPPU at 5 ppm</td>
<td>7.31</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>8.78</td>
<td>8.62</td>
<td>11.20</td>
<td>11.84</td>
<td>12.98</td>
<td>9.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4: CPPU at 10 ppm</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>6.54</td>
<td>6.51</td>
<td>8.91</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>11.13</td>
<td>11.79</td>
<td>12.27</td>
<td>9.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5: ( \text{H}_2\text{BO}_3 ) at 0.2%</td>
<td>7.26</td>
<td>6.44</td>
<td>6.33</td>
<td>8.63</td>
<td>8.47</td>
<td>11.29</td>
<td>11.98</td>
<td>12.93</td>
<td>9.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6: ( \text{H}_2\text{BO}_3 ) at 0.4%</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>6.91</td>
<td>6.44</td>
<td>8.79</td>
<td>8.60</td>
<td>11.10</td>
<td>11.71</td>
<td>12.21</td>
<td>9.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7: Mulching + CPPU at 5 ppm</td>
<td>8.11</td>
<td>8.63</td>
<td>8.72</td>
<td>11.17</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>14.06</td>
<td>14.59</td>
<td>15.88</td>
<td>11.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T8: Mulching + ( \text{H}_2\text{BO}_3 ) at 0.2%</td>
<td>8.12</td>
<td>8.66</td>
<td>8.80</td>
<td>11.25</td>
<td>11.08</td>
<td>14.09</td>
<td>14.62</td>
<td>15.90</td>
<td>11.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9: CBOC + CPPU at 5 ppm</td>
<td>9.47</td>
<td>9.97</td>
<td>10.13</td>
<td>12.13</td>
<td>12.05</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>16.29</td>
<td>18.01</td>
<td>13.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T10: CBOC + ( \text{H}_2\text{BO}_3 ) at 0.2%</td>
<td>9.51</td>
<td>9.88</td>
<td>10.09</td>
<td>12.25</td>
<td>12.11</td>
<td>15.98</td>
<td>16.17</td>
<td>17.92</td>
<td>13.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T11: Control</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>8.68</td>
<td>8.60</td>
<td>11.10</td>
<td>11.50</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>8.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>8.07</td>
<td>7.98</td>
<td>8.10</td>
<td>10.38</td>
<td>10.22</td>
<td>13.38</td>
<td>13.80</td>
<td>14.93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CD(0.05) Treatment (T) : 0.08; Day (D) : 0.07; T × D : 0.24

Table 2: Effect of in-situ soil moisture conservation, forchlorfenuron and boron on soil moisture storage at 30 cm soil depth in pomegranate cv. Kandhari.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>1st Mean</th>
<th>15th Mean</th>
<th>1st Mean</th>
<th>15th Mean</th>
<th>1st Mean</th>
<th>15th Mean</th>
<th>1st Mean</th>
<th>15th Mean</th>
<th>1st Mean</th>
<th>15th Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1: Mulching with hay (10 cm)</td>
<td>8.90</td>
<td>9.03</td>
<td>9.17</td>
<td>12.53</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>16.03</td>
<td>14.85</td>
<td>15.89</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2: Crescent bund with open catchment pits (CBOC)</td>
<td>9.96</td>
<td>10.11</td>
<td>11.02</td>
<td>14.48</td>
<td>13.84</td>
<td>17.92</td>
<td>16.03</td>
<td>16.93</td>
<td>13.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3: CPPU at 5 ppm</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>6.91</td>
<td>9.71</td>
<td>9.56</td>
<td>12.41</td>
<td>11.72</td>
<td>12.56</td>
<td>9.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CBOC might have effectively prevented any surface water runoff and facilitated to collect runoff water in the pits and hence resulted in higher soil moisture conservation in rhizosphere of plant roots as compared to mulching. At 15 cm soil depth the lowest soil moisture content (8.96%) was recorded on 1st April and increased steadily from 1st of May (9.06%) and reached at the significantly highest level on 15th July (16.15%). The interaction effect of treatments with soil moisture levels during different period was also found to be significant. At 45 cm soil depth the maximum moisture content (19.03%) was recorded under the treatment T9 (CBOC + CPPU at 5 ppm) on 15th of July, which was significantly at par with the treatment of T10 (CBOC + H3BO3 at 0.2%) on 15th of July (18.83%) and T2 (Crescent bund with open catchment pits) on 15th of July (18.97%). The lowest soil moisture contents in control was recorded on 1st of May. Lower soil profile between the depths of 15-30 and 30-45 cm happened to store more moisture during wet months of the year as compared to dry months and upper soil profile (0-15 cm). Such results have earlier been reported by Farmahan and Sharma (2005) in pomegranate cv. Ganesh, and Singh and Sharma (2010) in olive.

Under rain fed conditions, the performance of pomegranate can greatly be improved by shaping the soil surface in the immediate vicinity of the plant, so that the run-off water is collected at root zone for inducing good vegetative growth and productivity. The basic principle is to construct mini water catchments that collect water from one specific area even if light rain occurs to raise the soil moisture status. Such moisture conservation is diverted to the *Rhizosphere* of the fruit trees for its efficient utilization at critical stages of growth and development.

The maximum increase in plant height (27.06%) was observed under the treatment T10 (CBOC + H3BO3 at 0.2%), closely followed by T5 (Mulching + H3BO3 at 0.2%). The minimum annual increment plant height (13.15%) was recorded under the control (Table 4). Plant spread in both North-South (33.80%) and East-West (32.75%) direction was significantly higher in plants under the treatment CBOC + H3BO3 at 0.2% in comparison to all other treatments (Table 4). However, the highest increase in trunk girth (27.92%) was noticed in plants given the treatment of foliar treatment of boron at 0.2% in combination with soil working technique ‘crescent bund with open catchment pits (T9). Significantly highest shoot growth (43.15 cm) was recorded in plants under CBOC when sprayed with boric acid at 0.2%. The minimum shoot growth (17.75 cm) was however, observed in control plants (Table 4). These results can be attributed to the availability of more moisture under CBOC and mulching at all soil depths and role of boron in N metabolism, hormone movement action and cell division (Russel, 1957).

The leaf area (11.05 cm²), accumulation of chlorophylls (2.93 mg/100 g fresh weight) was significantly higher in the leaves of plants under the treatment CBOC + CPPU at 5 ppm (Tables 4 & 5). The present findings are in agreement with those of Iersel and Nemali (2004), and Jyothy and Rajadhav (2004) who observed that higher soil moisture level favoured more leaf growth in Rangapur lime. The CPPU is an urea based cytokinin which induced the activity of invertase enzyme in conversion of lipids to glucose, fructose (Notodimedjo, 2000) and as solute concentration increases and OP becomes negative, thus resulting in more uptake of water, leading to better leaf expansion. Cytokinin helps in the retention of chlorophyll and stimulates the nutrient mobilization and thus might have resulted in the accumulation of more chlorophyll in treated leaves. Photosynthetic rate (Table 5) was significantly higher (14.99 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) in tree under the treatment of CBOC in comparison to other treatments and minimum photosynthetic rate was observed in the tree under the traditional basin system (8.73 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹). Likewise, transpiration rate (Table 5) was significantly higher in the trees under CBOC system (0.413 mmol m⁻² s⁻¹).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>1st April</th>
<th>15th April</th>
<th>1st May</th>
<th>15th May</th>
<th>1st June</th>
<th>15th June</th>
<th>1st July</th>
<th>15th July</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T2: Mulching with hay (10 cm)</td>
<td>9.09</td>
<td>9.48</td>
<td>9.61</td>
<td>12.91</td>
<td>12.15</td>
<td>16.93</td>
<td>15.23</td>
<td>17.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3: Crescent bund with open catchment pits (CBOC)</td>
<td>10.06</td>
<td>10.96</td>
<td>11.24</td>
<td>14.76</td>
<td>14.35</td>
<td>18.64</td>
<td>17.94</td>
<td>18.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4: CPPU at 5 ppm</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>7.95</td>
<td>7.67</td>
<td>10.88</td>
<td>10.17</td>
<td>14.21</td>
<td>13.91</td>
<td>14.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5: CPPU at 10 ppm</td>
<td>8.25</td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>7.06</td>
<td>10.26</td>
<td>10.01</td>
<td>13.97</td>
<td>13.44</td>
<td>14.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6: H3BO3 at 0.2%</td>
<td>8.06</td>
<td>7.70</td>
<td>7.52</td>
<td>10.63</td>
<td>10.36</td>
<td>14.02</td>
<td>13.86</td>
<td>14.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7: H3BO3 at 0.4%</td>
<td>8.49</td>
<td>7.66</td>
<td>7.47</td>
<td>10.58</td>
<td>10.21</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>13.21</td>
<td>14.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9: Mulching + CPPU at 0.2%</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>9.84</td>
<td>9.95</td>
<td>13.02</td>
<td>12.83</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>15.29</td>
<td>16.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T10: CBOC + CPPU at 5 ppm</td>
<td>10.08</td>
<td>11.31</td>
<td>11.44</td>
<td>14.65</td>
<td>14.54</td>
<td>18.77</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>19.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T11: CBOC + H3BO3 at 0.2%</td>
<td>10.06</td>
<td>11.27</td>
<td>11.40</td>
<td>14.59</td>
<td>14.28</td>
<td>18.47</td>
<td>17.29</td>
<td>18.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>8.96</td>
<td>9.07</td>
<td>9.06</td>
<td>12.24</td>
<td>11.88</td>
<td>15.80</td>
<td>15.07</td>
<td>16.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CD0.05
Treatment (T) : 1.23; Days (D) : 1.11; T × D : 2.5

Table 3: Effect of *in-situ* soil moisture conservation, forchlorfenuron and boron on soil moisture storage at 45 cm soil depth in pomegranate cv. Kandhari.
Fruit cracking was reduced to the lowest level (2.8%) when the plants grown under CBOC and given foliar application of CPPU at 5 ppm (T_8) or H_2BO_3 at 0.2% (T_10). The highest fruit yield (26.8 kg/plant) was recorded under T_1 (CBOC + CPPU at 5 ppm), closely followed by T_7 (Mulching + CPPU at 5 ppm) (Table 5). The fruit yield was however, significantly lowest in control (14.27 kg/plant). As CBOC maintained higher soil moisture level soil during the fruit development (Tables 1 - 3), which consequently might have decreased fruit cracking. The growth regulator CPPU might have stimulated overall growth of pomegranate fruits and thereby reduced fruit cracking in this study. The findings are in agreement with those of Singh et al. (2003) (14), and Navarro et al. (2001) (7).

Table 4: Effect of in-situ soil moisture conservation, forchlorfenuron and boron on plant height, plant spread and trunk girth, shoot growth and leaf area of pomegranate cv. Kandhari.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Plant height (% increase)</th>
<th>Plant spread (% increase)</th>
<th>Trunk girth (% increase)</th>
<th>Annual shoot extension (cm)</th>
<th>Average leaf area (cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T_1: Mulching with hay (10 cm)</td>
<td>20.14</td>
<td>28.38</td>
<td>20.63</td>
<td>26.93</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_2: Crescent bund with open catchment pits (CBOC)</td>
<td>23.73</td>
<td>31.89</td>
<td>22.68</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_3: CPPU at 5 ppm</td>
<td>19.74</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>23.91</td>
<td>25.15</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_4: CPPU at 10 ppm</td>
<td>18.16</td>
<td>25.02</td>
<td>23.07</td>
<td>27.27</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_5: H_2BO_3 at 0.2%</td>
<td>25.86</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>37.43</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_6: H_2BO_3 at 0.4%</td>
<td>23.12</td>
<td>26.08</td>
<td>25.93</td>
<td>31.13</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_7: Mulching + CPPU at 5 ppm</td>
<td>20.14</td>
<td>28.32</td>
<td>27.38</td>
<td>27.37</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_8: Mulching + CPPU at 0.2%</td>
<td>26.18</td>
<td>33.46</td>
<td>32.03</td>
<td>39.57</td>
<td>20.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_9: CBOC + CPPU at 5 ppm</td>
<td>23.78</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>30.96</td>
<td>34.29</td>
<td>11.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{10}: CBOC + H_2BO_3 at 0.2%</td>
<td>27.06</td>
<td>33.80</td>
<td>32.75</td>
<td>43.15</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{11}: Control</td>
<td>13.15</td>
<td>11.33</td>
<td>11.06</td>
<td>17.75</td>
<td>7.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD_{0.05}</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>1.817</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Effect of in-situ soil moisture conservation, forchlorfenuron and boron on transpiration rate, photosynthetic rate, leaf chlorophyll content, fruit cracking and fruit yield of pomegranate cv. Kandhari.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Transpiration Rate (mmol m⁻²s⁻¹)</th>
<th>Photosynthetic Rate (µmol m⁻²s⁻¹)</th>
<th>Leaf chlorophyll content (mg/g of fr. wt.)</th>
<th>Fruit cracking (%)</th>
<th>Fruit yield (kg/tree)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T_1: Mulching with hay (10 cm)</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>12.19</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_2: Crescent bund with open catchment pits (CBOC)</td>
<td>0.327</td>
<td>13.88</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>22.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_3: CPPU at 5 ppm</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>11.56</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>22.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_4: CPPU at 10 ppm</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>10.09</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_5: H_2BO_3 at 0.2%</td>
<td>0.235</td>
<td>11.94</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>21.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_6: H_2BO_3 at 0.4%</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td>10.68</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_7: Mulching + CPPU at 5 ppm</td>
<td>0.293</td>
<td>13.13</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_8: Mulching + CPPU at 0.2%</td>
<td>0.396</td>
<td>14.39</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_9: CBOC + CPPU at 5 ppm</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>14.23</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>26.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{10}: CBOC + H_2BO_3 at 0.2%</td>
<td>0.413</td>
<td>14.99</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>24.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{11}: Control</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>8.73</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>11.67</td>
<td>14.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD_{0.05}</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the study, pre-harvest application of CPPU significantly influenced the fruit finish in respect of russet formation on skin (Table 6). It was observed that the least russet formation (1.33 on 10 point scale) occurred in fruits under treatment of CBOC+ CPPU at 5ppm (T9), which was superior to control (5.00 points). Application of boric acid irrespective of the concentration was not effective in controlling the russetting on fruit skin. Maximum fruit length (86.2 mm), fruit diameter (88.3 mm), fruit weight (419.74 g), aril weight per fruit (279.21g), aril percentage (66.52), juice content (67.93 ml/100 g), ascorbic acid content (16.84 mg/100g) were obtained (Table 6, 7 & 8) with the treatment of CPPU at 5ppm +CBOC, closely followed by the treatment T7 (Mulkning+ CPPU at 5 ppm). The CPPU is a urea based cytokinin (Ranjan et al., 2003) [9], and its application at earlier stage of fruit development might have enhanced cell division of different fruit parts and consequently increased fruit size in the present study. Earlier, the synthetic cytokinin CPPU was reported to promote fruit development in apple (Costa et al. 2004) [1], grapes (Stern et al. 2002) [15] and kiwi fruit (Kim, 2006) [6].

Table 6: Effect of in-situ soil moisture conservation, forchlorfenuron and boron on russetting, fruit length, fruit dia and fruit L/D ratio of pomegranate cv. Kandhari

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Russetting (10 point scale)</th>
<th>Fruit length</th>
<th>Fruit diameter</th>
<th>Fruit L/D ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1: Mulching with hay (10cm)</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>81.2</td>
<td>83.4</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2: Crescent bund with open catchment pits (CBOC)</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>82.1</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3: CPPU at 5 ppm</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>84.5</td>
<td>86.6</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4: CPPU at 10 ppm</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5: H3BO3 at 0.2%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>83.7</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6: H3BO3 at 0.4%</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>83.1</td>
<td>85.3</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7: Mulching+ CPPU at 5 ppm</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>85.3</td>
<td>87.4</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T8: Mulching+ H3BO3 at 0.2%</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>83.9</td>
<td>85.9</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9: CBOC+ CPPU at 5 ppm</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>86.2</td>
<td>88.3</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T10: CBOC+ H3BO3 at 0.2%</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td>86.2</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T11: Control</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>75.16</td>
<td>76.93</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NS</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.05</strong></td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SE ±</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.69</strong></td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The lowest titratable acidity (0.49%), highest TSS (15.210 B) and TSS/acid ratio (31.07) was recorded in fruits from plants under the treatment of H3BO3 at 0.2% with mulching (Table 8). Total sugar, reducing sugar were recorded highest under the treatment H3BO3 at 0.2% + CBOC and lowest in control. These results are in conformity with those of Kano (2003) who reported increase in sugar content with the foliar application of CPPU at 20 ppm on Japanese pear trees. CPPU applied at 5 ppm increased the sucrose, glucose, fructose contents in kiwi Fang-Xue Zhi et al. (2006).

Table 7: Effect of in-situ soil moisture conservation, forchlorfenuron and boron on russetting, fruit weight, aril weight, aril percentage, juice content and TSS of pomegranate cv. Kandhari

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Fruit (g)</th>
<th>Aril weight (g)</th>
<th>Aril percent (%)</th>
<th>Juice content (ml/100g)</th>
<th>TSS (°B)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1: Mulching with hay (10cm)</td>
<td>326.98</td>
<td>192.00</td>
<td>58.73</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2: Crescent bund with open catchment pits (CBOC)</td>
<td>344.73</td>
<td>203.9</td>
<td>59.15</td>
<td>64.71</td>
<td>13.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3: CPPU at 5 ppm</td>
<td>401.56</td>
<td>260.73</td>
<td>64.93</td>
<td>66.86</td>
<td>14.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4: CPPU at 10 ppm</td>
<td>384.39</td>
<td>246.00</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>66.79</td>
<td>14.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5: H3BO3 at 0.2%</td>
<td>363.06</td>
<td>221.79</td>
<td>61.09</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>13.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6: H3BO3 at 0.4%</td>
<td>344.91</td>
<td>209.63</td>
<td>60.78</td>
<td>64.56</td>
<td>13.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7: Mulching+ CPPU at 5 ppm</td>
<td>414.52</td>
<td>269.52</td>
<td>65.02</td>
<td>67.31</td>
<td>14.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T8: Mulching+ H3BO3 at 0.2%</td>
<td>371.25</td>
<td>232.43</td>
<td>62.61</td>
<td>66.72</td>
<td>15.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9: CBOC+ CPPU at 5 ppm</td>
<td>419.74</td>
<td>279.21</td>
<td>66.52</td>
<td>67.93</td>
<td>14.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T10: CBOC+ H3BO3 at 0.2%</td>
<td>376.3</td>
<td>237.85</td>
<td>63.21</td>
<td>66.8</td>
<td>15.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T11: Control</td>
<td>287.96</td>
<td>154.98</td>
<td>53.82</td>
<td>56.73</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NS</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.05</strong></td>
<td>8.85</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SE ±</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.42</strong></td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8: Effect of in-situ soil moisture conservation, forchlorfenuron and boron on acidity, TSS/acid ratio, ascorbic acid, total sugar and reducing sugar of pomegranate cv. Kandhari

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Acidity</th>
<th>TSS/Acid ratio</th>
<th>Ascorbic acid (mg/100g)</th>
<th>Total Sugar (%)</th>
<th>Reducing Sugar (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1: Mulching with hay (10cm)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>19.58</td>
<td>14.64</td>
<td>11.38</td>
<td>9.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2: Crescent bund with open catchment pits (CBOC)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>21.79</td>
<td>15.32</td>
<td>11.74</td>
<td>10.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3: CPPU at 5 ppm</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>28.25</td>
<td>16.06</td>
<td>11.66</td>
<td>10.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4: CPPU at 10 ppm</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>26.49</td>
<td>15.21</td>
<td>11.69</td>
<td>10.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5: H3BO3 at 0.2%</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>23.17</td>
<td>16.34</td>
<td>11.71</td>
<td>10.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6: H3BO3 at 0.4%</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>21.05</td>
<td>15.91</td>
<td>11.61</td>
<td>10.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7: Mulching+ CPPU at 5 ppm</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>24.31</td>
<td>16.59</td>
<td>11.67</td>
<td>10.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T8: Mulching+ H3BO3 at 0.2%</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>31.07</td>
<td>16.65</td>
<td>11.83</td>
<td>10.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9: CBOC+ CPPU at 5 ppm</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>25.55</td>
<td>16.84</td>
<td>11.76</td>
<td>10.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From the ongoing study it can be concluded that growth parameters like plant height, plant spread, trunk girth and annual shoot growth were observed significantly higher under the treatment CBOC+H3BO3 at 0.2%. However, leaf area and leaf chlorophyll content were recorded significantly higher under the treatment CBOC+CPPU at 5 ppm. Physiological characteristics such as photosynthetic rate and transpiration rate were higher in the plant under crest bund with open catchment pits. The extent of fruit cracking was reduced to the lowest level (2.8%) from 11.67% in control and highest fruit yield (26.8Kg/plant) was recorded when the plants were given foliar application of CPPU at 5 ppm under CBOC. The least russet formation (1.33 on 10 point scale) occurred in fruits from plants under the treatment of CPPU at 5ppm+CBOC. The maximum fruit length (86.2 cm) and fruit breadth (88.3 cm), fruit weight (419.74 g), aril weight (279.21 g), aril percentage (66.52), juice content (67.93 ml/100 g), ascorbic acid content (16.84 mg/100g) were obtained with the application of CPPU at 5ppm +CBOC. The lowest titratable acidity (0.49%), highest TSS (15.210 B) and TSS/acid ratio (31.07) was recorded in fruits from plants under the treatment of H3BO3 at 0.2% with mulching. Total sugar, reducing sugar were recorded highest under the treatment H3BO3 at 0.2% + CBOC and lowest in control. Hence, soil working technique-crescent bund with open catchment pit can be employed to conserve more soil moisture, maintaining photosynthesis, improving growth and production of pomegranate in rain fed conditions. In-situ moisture conservation technique CBOC in combination with CPPU 5 ppm in mid May decreased fruit cracking and increased yield.
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