



P-ISSN: 2349-8528  
E-ISSN: 2321-4902  
IJCS 2018; 6(4): 1013-1018  
© 2018 IJCS  
Received: 24-05-2018  
Accepted: 28-06-2018

**B Jaishankar Babu**  
Regional Agricultural Research Station, Lam, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India

**Y Satish**  
Regional Agricultural Research Station, Lam, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India

**M Lal Ahamed**  
Regional Agricultural Research Station, Lam, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India

**V Srinivasa Rao**  
Regional Agricultural Research Station, Lam, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India

## Studies on heterosis in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) for yield and fibre quality traits

**B Jaishankar Babu, Y Satish, M Lal Ahamed and V Srinivasa Rao**

### Abstract

The present study was undertaken to assess the extent of heterosis for seed cotton yield, yield traits and fibre quality traits in 40 intra-hirsutum hybrids of cotton developed by crossing 8 lines with 5 testers in line x tester fashion during *kharif*, 2016-17 at Regional Agricultural Research Station, Lam Farm, Guntur. The standard heterosis was calculated over check hybrid, LAHH 5. The hybrid combinations viz., LH 2220 x GTHV 13/32, L 1384 x HYPS 5152 and L 1060 x GTHV 13/32, were found to be promising based on *per se* performance and significant standard heterosis for seed cotton yield per plant, important yield contributing traits including fibre quality traits in terms of standard heterosis. These genotypes may be evaluated over locations for further confirmation of their superiority before they are being exploited commercially.

**Keywords:** Heterosis, *Gossypium hirsutum*, seed cotton yield, fibre quality

### Introduction

Cotton is one of the major fibre crops of the world having the global importance and has high commercial value. It is native to tropical and sub-tropical regions of the world and is being cultivated in more than 77 countries across the world. In *Gossypium*, 49 species are identified and among them only four species are being exploited for commercial cultivation. Among these species, *Gossypium hirsutum* is the most preferred for its growth habit and quality traits. In India, cotton is being grown in an area of 105 lakh ha with an annual production of 351 lakh bales (1 bale = 170 kg) with a productivity of 568 kg lint ha<sup>-1</sup>. In Andhra Pradesh, it occupies an area of about 4.49 lakh ha with an annual production of 13.10 lakh bales and productivity of 719 kg lint ha<sup>-1</sup> (AICCP Annual Report, 2016-17)<sup>[1]</sup>.

The development of high yielding varieties is one of the important objective in cotton breeding programmes and heterosis is exploited in cotton in the form of hybrids. Most of the hybrids contributed for the yield advantage in cotton are intra-specific hybrids i.e., intra-hirsutum hybrids. In India, hybrids are commonly used by the farming community and the development of hybrids using diverse parents, evaluation of these hybrids for their yield advantage forms the prime importance. The study of heterosis gives the percentage of increase or decrease of the F<sub>1</sub> performance in terms of yield and yield traits including quality traits over the parents or better parent or standard check. Keeping this in view, the present investigation was proposed with the evaluation of 40 intra-hirsutum hybrids generated in the form of Line x Tester design using diverse parents.

### Material and Methods

In the present study, forty cotton intra-specific cross combinations were made in a Line x Tester fashion using 8 lines and 5 testers during *kharif*, 2015-16 and the forty F<sub>1</sub>'s along with 13 parents and check hybrid (LAHH 5) were evaluated during *kharif*, 2016-17 at Regional Agricultural Research Station, Lam, Guntur. Observations were recorded on five randomly selected plants from each genotype per replication. The data were recorded on 10 traits viz., plant height (cm), number of bolls per plant, boll weight (g), seed index (g), ginning out turn (%), 2.5% span length (mm), micronaire value (10<sup>-6</sup>g/inch), bundle strength (g/tex), uniformity ratio and seed cotton yield per plant (g) and were used for statistical analysis for estimation of heterosis. The heterotic effects were measured as deviation of F<sub>1</sub> mean from mid parent (relative heterosis), better parent (heterobeltiosis) and the standard check (standard heterosis). Heterosis over mid parent, better parent and standard check were estimated as per the formula given by Liang *et al.* (1971)<sup>[6]</sup>.

**Correspondence**  
**Y Satish**  
Regional Agricultural Research Station, Lam, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India

## Results and Discussion

The heterosis with respect to mid parent, better parent and standard check for seed cotton yield, yield traits and fibre quality traits are presented in Table-1. The results indicated that heterosis was observed for all the characters, however, its magnitude varied with the characters.

Heterosis for seed cotton yield over mid parent, better parent and standard check ranged from -14.99 ( $SCS\ 1001 \times MCU\ 5$ ) to 89.22 ( $LH\ 2220 \times GTHV\ 13/32$ ), -31.18 ( $SCS\ 1001 \times MCU\ 5$ ) to 76.57 ( $LH\ 2220 \times GTHV\ 13/32$ ) and -14.94 ( $L\ 1493 \times L\ 788$ ) to 112.08 ( $LH\ 2220 \times GTHV\ 13/32$ ) with mean values of 20.72, 11.38 and 31.18, respectively. The hybrid combinations, *viz.*, ( $L\ 1060 \times GTHV\ 13/32$ ), ( $L\ 1060 \times L\ 788$ ), ( $L\ 1231 \times L\ 788$ ), ( $L\ 1384 \times GTHV\ 13/32$ ), ( $L\ 1384 \times HYPS\ 152$ ), ( $LH\ 2220 \times GTHV\ 13/32$ ), ( $LH\ 2220 \times HYPS\ 152$ ), ( $LH\ 2220 \times MCU\ 5$ ), ( $LH\ 2220 \times SURAJ$ ), ( $NDLH\ 1938 \times L\ 788$ ), ( $NDLH\ 2010 \times HYPS\ 152$ ) and ( $SCS\ 1001 \times SURAJ$ ) exhibited significant positive heterosis over mid parent, better parent and standard check, respectively. The best heterotic combinations identified over mid parent, better parent and standard check were  $LH\ 2220 \times GTHV\ 13/32$ ,  $L\ 1060 \times GTHV\ 13/32$  and  $L\ 1384 \times HYPS\ 152$ . The similar significant positive heterosis was also observed by Maisuria *et al.* (2007)<sup>[7]</sup>, Rajamani *et al.* (2009)<sup>[10]</sup>, Deosarkar *et al.* (2009)<sup>[4]</sup>, Basamma *et al.* (2010)<sup>[3]</sup>, Balu *et al.* (2012)<sup>[2]</sup> and Sekhar *et al.* (2012)<sup>[11]</sup>.

Heterosis for plant height over mid parent, better parent and superior check stretched between -8.63 ( $L\ 1231 \times L\ 788$ ) and 22.33 ( $SCS\ 1001 \times GTHV\ 13/32$ ), -16.08 ( $L\ 1231 \times L\ 788$ ) to 20.65 ( $SCS\ 1001 \times GTHV\ 13/32$ ) and -4.52 ( $L\ 1231 \times L\ 788$ ) to 25.04 ( $LH\ 2220 \times HYPS\ 152$ ) with mean values of 3.60, -0.26 and 8.14 per cent, respectively. The crosses *viz.*,  $SCS\ 1001 \times GTHV\ 13/32$ ,  $NDLH\ 1938 \times GTHV\ 13/32$ ,  $L\ 1493 \times SURAJ$ ,  $LH\ 2220 \times GTHV\ 13/32$  and  $L\ 1493 \times GTHV\ 13/32$  showed positive significant heterosis over mid parent, better parent and standard check. The top three heterotic cross combinations for plant height were  $LH\ 2220 \times HYPS\ 152$ ,  $NDLH\ 2010 \times SURAJ$  and  $NDLH\ 2010 \times L\ 788$ . These results are in agreement with the research findings of Deosarkar *et al.* (2009)<sup>[4]</sup>, Patel *et al.* (2012)<sup>[8]</sup>, Sekhar *et al.* (2012)<sup>[11]</sup> and Kumar *et al.* (2013)<sup>[5]</sup>.

The mean values of heterosis for number of bolls per plant over mid parent, better parent and standard check were found to be 15.75, 9.83 and 8.62, respectively. Heterosis over mid parent ranged from -17.55 ( $L\ 1493 \times L\ 788$ ) to 55.71 ( $LH\ 2220 \times GTHV\ 13/32$ ); over better parent -22.41 ( $SCS\ 1001 \times MCU\ 5$ ) to 51.62 ( $NDLH\ 1938 \times L\ 788$ ) and over standard check -26.88 ( $L\ 1493 \times L\ 788$ ) to 56.78 ( $LH\ 2220 \times GTHV\ 13/32$ ). The crosses,  $LH\ 2220 \times GTHV\ 13/32$ ,  $L\ 1060 \times GTHV\ 13/32$  and  $NDLH\ 2010 \times SURAJ$  were identified as the best heterotic combinations over mid parent, better parent and standard check. Similar results for number of bolls per plant were reported by Pole *et al.* (2008)<sup>[9]</sup>, Deosarkar *et al.* (2009)<sup>[4]</sup>, Patel *et al.* (2012)<sup>[8]</sup>, Sekhar *et al.* (2012)<sup>[11]</sup> and Kumar *et al.* (2013)<sup>[5]</sup>.

The mean values of heterosis for boll weight over mid parent, better parent and standard check were found to be 5.60, -1.37 and 6.12, respectively. Heterosis over mid parent ranged from -8.96 ( $NDLH\ 2010 \times MCU\ 5$ ) to 19.05 ( $LH\ 2220 \times GTHV\ 13/32$ ), over better parent -19.32 ( $L\ 1493 \times L\ 788$ ) to 15.65 ( $LH\ 2220 \times GTHV\ 13/32$ ) and over standard check -6.35 ( $L$

$1493 \times MCU\ 5$ ) to 32.29 ( $L\ 1384 \times L\ 788$ ). Significant positive heterosis of all types was exhibited by  $L\ 1060 \times GTHV\ 13/32$ ,  $L\ 1231 \times HYPS\ 152$  and  $L\ 1231 \times L\ 788$ . The crosses,  $L\ 1384 \times L\ 788$  and  $L\ 1384 \times HYPS\ 152$ , recorded significant and positive heterosis over mid parent, better parent and standard check. Similar findings with regard to boll weight were reported by Patel *et al.* (2012)<sup>[8]</sup> and Sekhar *et al.* (2012)<sup>[11]</sup>.

Heterosis for ginning outturn over mid parent, better parent and superior check ranged between -7.04 ( $SCS\ 1001 \times L\ 788$ ) to 6.01 ( $SCS\ 1001 \times SURAJ$ ), -9.76 ( $L\ 1231 \times SURAJ$ ) to 5.14 ( $SCS\ 1001 \times SURAJ$ ) and -8.26 ( $SCS\ 1001 \times L\ 788$ ) to 3.56 ( $L\ 1493 \times L\ 788$ ) with mean performance of -0.52, -1.92 and -2.08, respectively. The hybrid combinations,  $L\ 1384 \times L\ 788$ ,  $NDLH\ 2010 \times MCU\ 5$  and  $L\ 1231 \times MCU\ 5$  and  $L\ 1493 \times L\ 788$  were found to be superior as they exhibited significant positive heterosis over mid parent, better parent and standard check. Similar superiority over mid parent, better parent and standard check for ginning outturn was reported by Deosarkar *et al.* (2009)<sup>[4]</sup> and Sekhar *et al.* (2012)<sup>[11]</sup>.

Heterosis for seed index over mid parent, better parent and standard check ranged from -11.00 ( $NDLH\ 2010 \times L\ 788$ ) to 18.55 ( $LH\ 2220 \times GTHV\ 13/32$ ), -14.46 ( $NDLH\ 2010 \times L\ 788$ ) to 18.26 ( $LH\ 2220 \times GTHV\ 13/32$ ) and 2.98 ( $L\ 1493 \times SURAJ$ ) to 42.09 ( $L\ 1384 \times HYPS\ 152$ ) with mean values of 3.29, -0.61 and 20.27, respectively. Out of forty crosses,  $SCS\ 1001 \times MCU\ 5$ ,  $SCS\ 1001 \times GTHV\ 13/32$ ,  $LH\ 2220 \times MCU\ 5$ ,  $LH\ 2220 \times HYPS\ 152$ ,  $L\ 1384 \times SURAJ$  and  $L\ 1060 \times MCU\ 5$  crosses exhibited significant positive heterosis over mid parent, better parent and standard check. Deosarkar *et al.* (2009)<sup>[4]</sup> also reported significant positive heterosis over mid parent, better parent and standard check for seed index.

The mean values of heterosis for 2.5 % span length over mid parent, better parent and standard check were found to be 4.17, -0.78 and -10.05, respectively. Heterosis over mid parent ranged from -10.51 ( $LH\ 2220 \times MCU\ 5$ ) to 18.24 ( $NDLH\ 1938 \times HYPS\ 152$ ), over better parent from -14.79 ( $NDLH\ 2010 \times MCU\ 5$ ) to 13.16 ( $L\ 1384 \times L\ 788$ ) and over standard check from -20.35 ( $L\ 1384 \times SURAJ$ ) to 0.51 ( $L\ 1384 \times HYPS\ 152$ ). The best heterotic combinations over mid, better and standard check were  $L\ 1384 \times HYPS\ 152$ ,  $NDLH\ 1938 \times HYPS\ 152$  and  $L\ 1060 \times MCU\ 5$ . These results of heterosis for 2.5% span length are in agreement with the findings of Maisuria *et al.* (2007)<sup>[7]</sup>, Rajamani *et al.* (2009)<sup>[10]</sup>, Sekhar *et al.* (2012)<sup>[11]</sup> and Kumar *et al.* (2013)<sup>[5]</sup>. Heterosis for micronaire value over mid parent, better parent and superior check ranged from -26.48 ( $NDLH\ 2010 \times GTHV\ 13/32$ ) to 25.00 ( $NDLH\ 1938 \times L\ 788$ ); -29.01 ( $NDLH\ 2010 \times GTHV\ 13/32$ ) to 20.75 ( $L\ 1384 \times HYPS\ 152$ ) and -18.02 ( $NDLH\ 2010 \times L\ 788$ ) to 30.63 ( $NDLH\ 1938 \times L\ 788$ ) with mean values of 2.30, -3.27 and 3.90, respectively. The crosses which exhibited positive significant heterosis over mid parent, better parent and standard check were  $NDLH\ 1938 \times L\ 788$ ,  $L\ 1493 \times L\ 788$ ,  $L\ 1384 \times HYPS\ 152$ ,  $L\ 1231 \times SURAJ$ ,  $L\ 1231 \times L\ 788$  and  $L\ 1060 \times L\ 788$ . The best heterotic significant combination identified over mid parent, better parent and standard check was  $L\ 1493 \times L\ 788$ . These results are in accordance with the research findings of Rajamani *et al.* (2009)<sup>[10]</sup> and Sekhar *et al.* (2012)<sup>[11]</sup> who had reported significant positive heterosis for this trait.

**Table 1a:** Estimates of heterosis over mid parent (MP), better parent (BP) and standard check (SH) for seed cotton yield, yield traits and fibre quality traits in intra-specific cotton hybrids (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) during kharif, 2016-17

S. No.	Genotype/ Cross Combination	Plant height (cm)			Number of bolls per plant			Boll weight (g)			Seed index (g)			Ginning outturn (%)		
		MP	BP	SH	MP	BP	SH	MP	BP	SH	MP	BP	SH	MP	BP	SH
1	L 1060 × GTHV 13/32	14.05**	53.67**	46.74**	51.74**	53.67**	46.74**	12.40**	8.33*	10.11**	3.84	3.09	16.20**	-0.43	-3.43**	-2.31
2	L 1060 × HYPS 152	2.27	6.35	3.52	7.05	6.35	3.52	3.85	-6.46*	10.03**	-0.46	-4.37	15.31**	0.48	-0.48	0.68
3	L 1060 × L 788	-0.6	33.49**	23.90**	28.12**	33.49**	23.90**	8.21**	-1.83	13.64**	4.37	-4.02	27.04**	-3.23**	-4.16**	-3.04*
4	L 1060 × MCU 5	1.37	16.57	2.77	6.27	16.57	2.77	16.10**	10.89**	4.55	13.17**	11.14**	23.47**	-0.89	-1.15	0.52
5	L 1060 × SURAJ	-3.06	17.34*	10.19	13.94	17.34*	10.19	-1.09	-6.96*	-0.47	4.31	0.86	19.98**	0.93	-1.76	-0.62
6	L 1231 × GTHV 13/32	7.31	8.46	5.21	-1.01	8.46	5.21	6.78*	2.54	4.23	8.04*	5.21	18.58**	2.39*	-1.05	0.85
7	L 1231 × HYPS 152	6.09	10.64	5.3	3.1	10.64	5.3	-3.97	-13.79**	1.41	8.15**	1.97	22.96**	-4.10**	-5.37**	-3.55**
8	L 1231 × L 788	-8.63*	29.63**	29.57**	14.72	29.63**	29.57**	7.00*	-3.25	11.99**	5.14	-5.01	25.72**	-5.25**	-6.50**	-4.70**
9	L 1231 × MCU 5	-4.35	-1.53	-6.83	-17.58	-1.53	-6.83	10.53**	5.95	-0.86	3	2.86	10.16*	0.65	0.53	2.46*
10	L 1231 × SURAJ	-1.05	14.08	12.61	2.25	14.08	12.61	-6.60*	-12.45**	-6.35	2.41	-2.82	15.60**	-6.95**	-9.76**	-8.03**
11	L 1384 × GTHV 13/32	9.22*	34.81**	30.83**	30.83**	34.81**	30.83**	8.71**	3.11	16.85**	6.35*	0.17	27.76**	1.46	-0.79	-1.31
12	L 1384 × HYPS 152	6.29	28.53**	27.19**	27.19**	28.53**	27.19**	11.30**	9.26**	28.53**	14.53**	11.40**	42.09**	-4.53**	-4.64**	-5.14**
13	L 1384 × L 788	2.62	-7.15	-12.47	-12.47	-7.15	-12.47	15.50**	14.29**	32.29**	0.54	-1.28	30.65**	3.96**	3.83**	3.28**
14	L 1384 × MCU 5	10.04**	8.31	-3.1	-3.1	8.31	-3.1	16.33**	2.21	15.83**	1.07	-7.03*	18.58**	0.37	-0.71	0.95
15	L 1384 × SURAJ	-1.85	20.33*	14.79	14.79	20.33*	14.79	-1.96	-4.7	7.99*	15.25**	11.37**	42.05**	1.78	-0.12	-0.64
16	L 1493 × GTHV 13/32	17.78**	3.99	1.09	-4.88	3.99	1.09	9.84**	-0.15	1.49	0.96	-2.6	9.78*	0.32	-1.67	-2.65*
17	L 1493 × HYPS 152	3.28	-2.2	-6.72	-8.68	-2.2	-6.72	-5.46	-19.32**	-5.09	-5.89	-12.06**	6.04	2.58*	2.45*	1.67
18	L 1493 × L 788	-2.9	-17.55*	-17.7	-26.88**	-17.55*	-17.7	5.91*	-9.00**	5.33	2.67	-8.03**	21.73**	4.49**	4.37**	3.56**
19	L 1493 × MCU 5	-0.76	12.65	6.36	-5.5	12.65	6.36	10.85**	9.13*	-6.35	-0.66	-1.75	5.23	-2.89**	-4.17**	-2.56*
20	L 1493 × SURAJ	-2.97	1.16	0.07	-9.14	1.16	0.07	0.41	-10.77**	-4.55	-7.94*	-13.44**	2.98	-3.41**	-4.98**	-5.94**
21	LH 2220 × GTHV 13/32	16.80**	55.71**	46.14**	56.78**	55.71**	46.14**	19.05**	15.65**	17.55**	18.55**	18.26**	33.29**	1.09	-1.71	-1.09
22	LH 2220 × HYPS 152	18.02**	11.59	6.71	14.48	11.59	6.71	2.2	-7.26*	9.09**	-3.91	-7.26*	11.82**	-2.27*	-2.95*	-2.34
23	LH 2220 × L 788	-4.52	-7.91	-15.96	-9.84	-7.91	-15.96	6.00*	-3.11	12.15**	6.49*	-1.64	30.19**	-0.42	-1.11	-0.49
24	LH 2220 × MCU 5	-5.38	37.85**	19.64*	28.35**	37.85**	19.64*	14.67**	8.67*	4.15	17.24**	14.59**	28.53**	-0.81	-1.32	0.33
25	LH 2220 × SURAJ	1.45	19.97*	10.76	18.82*	19.97*	10.76	9.66**	3.96	11.21**	-0.4	-3.25	15.09**	-0.08	-2.49*	-1.88
26	NDLH 1938 × GTHV 13/32	14.49**	11.63**	14.56**	41.39**	34.60**	26.65**	4.61	-1.08	0.55	2.09	1.22	16.07**	2.77	2.05	-1.6
27	NDLH 1938 × HYPS 152	-3.75	-4.2	-0.75	4.83	-2.06	-4.11	10.88**	-1.87	15.44**	-6.63*	-8.92**	9.82*	-1.95	-3.34**	-4.07**
28	NDLH 1938 × L 788	-5.62	-10.25*	2.12	54.66**	51.62**	34.24**	10.52**	-1.49	14.03**	5.54*	-1.51	30.36**	-0.86	-2.26	-3.02*
29	NDLH 1938 × MCU 5	-5.37	-8.07	0.06	16.3	12.11	-4.65	14.62**	11.59**	1.1	-1.46	-4.71	9.27*	2.31*	-0.34	1.34
30	NDLH 1938 × SURAJ	1.41	-3.83	10.07*	20.87*	17.04	6.27	2.74	-5.13	1.49	1.58	-0.25	18.66**	-0.44	-0.78	-4.33**
31	NDLH 2010 × GTHV 13/32	7.75*	5.31	7.54	5.63	3.82	1.16	7.05*	3.08	4.78	6.30*	2.23	24.79**	-2.27*	-2.55*	-7.36**
32	NDLH 2010 × HYPS 152	-3.7	-4.39	-0.95	35.21**	34.89**	32.07**	-4.22	-13.79**	1.41	-8.95**	-9.51**	10.46*	1	-1.39	-2.15
33	NDLH 2010 × L 788	10.88**	5.2	19.69**	-3.87	-8.27	-10.61	-8.96**	-17.47**	-4.47	-11.00**	-14.46**	13.22**	-4.10**	-6.38**	-7.10**
34	NDLH 2010 × MCU 5	-1.47	-4.51	3.93	-11.73	-20.11*	-22.15*	15.42**	10.32**	3.84	8.09**	1.46	23.85**	4.54**	0.86	2.56*
35	NDLH 2010 × SURAJ	11.41**	5.41	20.64**	50.44**	45.31**	41.60**	8.81**	2.27	9.40**	1.11	-0.17	21.85**	0.77	0.12	-4.12**
36	SCS 1001 × GTHV 13/32	22.33**	20.65**	17.62**	7.28	0.14	8.68	3.28	3.16	4.86	-4.37	-6.55	10.37*	-1.28	-2.45*	-5.01**
37	SCS 1001 × HYPS 152	4.01	-0.4	3.18	10.17	4.78	13.71	-5.26*	-11.79**	3.76	9.41**	8.29*	30.57**	-3.10**	-4.01**	-4.74**
38	SCS 1001 × L 788	0.37	-7.99*	4.68	-8.57	-16.99	-9.91	-7.69**	-13.41**	0.24	-4.12	-9.28**	20.07**	-7.04**	-7.91**	-8.62**
39	SCS 1001 × MCU 5	6.61	-0.26	8.56	-10.17	-22.41	-15.8	0.63	-7.11*	-5.8	14.25**	8.93**	28.66**	-2.50*	-4.56**	-2.96*
40	SCS 1001 × SURAJ	4.1	-4.82	8.92*	28.79	18.27	28.35	-4.7	-7.18*	-0.71	2.91	2.54	21.98**	6.01**	5.14**	2.38*
	Mean	3.6	-0.26	8.14	15.75	9.83	8.62	5.6	-1.37	6.12	3.29	-0.61	20.27	-0.52	-1.92	-2.08
	Range	-8.63 to	-16.08 to	-4.52 to	-17.55 to	-22.41 to	-26.88 to	-8.96 to	-19.32 to	-6.35 to	-11.00 to	-14.46 to	2.98 to	-7.04 to	-9.76 to 5.14	-8.26 to
		22.33	20.65	25.04	55.71	51.62	56.78	19.05	15.65	32.29	18.55	18.26	42.09	6.01	3.56	

\*, \*\* Significant at 5% and 1% level, respectively

**Table 1b:** Estimates of heterosis over mid parent (MP), better parent (BP) and standard check (SH) for seed cotton yield, yield traits and fibre quality traits in intra-specific cotton hybrids (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) during kharif, 2016-17

S. No.	Genotype/ Cross Combination	2.5% span length (mm)			Micronaire value (10 <sup>-6</sup> g/inch)			Bundle strength (g/tex)			Uniformity ratio			Seed cotton yield per plant (g)		
		MP	BP	SH	MP	BP	SH	MP	BP	SH	MP	BP	SH	MP	BP	SH
1	L 1060 × GTHV 13/32	1.14	-1.52	-14.24**	-6.33*	-15.27**	0	11.84**	11.09**	-1.7	1.39	0	6.57**	78.26**	69.63**	95.44**
2	L 1060 × HYPS 152	1.67	-4.74*	-10.07**	9.52**	8.49*	3.6	4.86	4.63	-8.25**	-0.7	-2.74	3.65	9.93	2.02	37.29**
3	L 1060 × L 788	-4.57*	-6.82**	-19.33**	18.14**	16.51**	14.41**	-4.62	-11.64**	-9.55**	1.37	1.37	8.03**	55.21**	47.64**	70.10**
4	L 1060 × MCU 5	10.11**	1.56	-0.81	-5.16	-5.61	-9.01*	13.81**	10.97**	1.96	-3.78	4.11	2.19	31.31**	10.86	27.72**
5	L 1060 × SURAJ	15.98**	12.67**	-1.42	13.86**	8.49*	3.6	-5.59*	-14.30**	-8.25**	-2.44	-4.11	2.19	18.15*	17.1	34.91**
6	L 1231 × GTHV 13/32	-3.73	-3.85	-16.07**	-2.48	-9.92**	6.31	-6.82*	-7.57*	-16.88**	1.74	0.69	6.57**	18.98*	13.03	17.62
7	L 1231 × HYPS 152	0	-3.77	-9.16**	4.19	0.9	0.9	14.08**	12.66**	1.31	-1.75	-3.45	2.19	0.79	-14.53	15.01
8	L 1231 × L 788	0.06	-0.35	-13.02**	18.18**	17.12**	17.12**	-3.88	-9.72**	-7.59**	-1.03	-1.37	5.11	54.90**	47.21**	53.06**
9	L 1231 × MCU 5	6.16**	0.52	-1.83	-8.26**	-9.91**	-9.91**	14.04**	12.82**	3.66	-4.14*	-4.14	1.46	7.68	-0.55	-6.87
10	L 1231 × SURAJ	-5.82**	-5.93**	-17.70**	18.84**	10.81**	10.81**	-18.67**	-25.18**	-19.90**	-0.7	-2.07	3.65	7.21	-2.03	10.87
11	L 1384 × GTHV 13/32	-4.73*	-8.18**	-20.04**	-2.95	-12.21**	3.6	-9.57**	-10.68**	-18.98**	2.42	0.68	8.03**	42.93**	27.74**	68.80**
12	L 1384 × HYPS 152	14.75**	6.47**	0.51	21.90**	20.75**	15.32**	7.41**	5.63	-4.19	-3.14	-5.44*	1.46	42.52**	41.23**	90.06**
13	L 1384 × L 788	17.08**	13.16**	-2.03	9.77**	8.26*	6.31	6.17*	0.13	2.49	-6.48**	-6.80**	0	2.29	-8.61	20.76*
14	L 1384 × MCU 5	-3.53	-11.87**	-13.94**	7.98*	7.48*	3.6	-0.93	-1.57	-9.55**	-2.74	-3.4	3.65	0.8	-19.34*	6.58
15	L 1384 × SURAJ	-5.32**	-8.95**	-20.35**	10.89**	5.66	0.9	-16.88**	-23.23**	-17.80**	-1.39	-3.4	3.65	15.37*	7.09	41.51**
16	L 1493 × GTHV 13/32	17.85**	12.62**	-1.93	7.38	0	18.02**	6.11*	3.99	-7.98**	-4.14*	-6.08**	1.46	0.93	-7.85	-4.11
17	L 1493 × HYPS 152	4.92*	-3.45	-8.85**	4.15	0	1.8	16.15**	14.33**	0.26	-4.17*	-6.76**	0.73	-11.09	-27.15**	-1.97
18	L 1493 × L 788	12.57**	7.87**	-6.61**	20.72**	18.58**	20.72**	2.87	-5.88*	-3.66	-4.76*	-5.41*	2.19	-10.42	-18.19	-14.94
19	L 1493 × MCU 5	-3.79*	-12.81**	-14.85**	-6.36*	-8.85*	-7.21*	-1.26	-4.99	-12.70**	-1.71	-2.7	5.11	25.00*	20.19	3.3
20	L 1493 × SURAJ	13.29**	8.02**	-5.49**	12.92**	4.42	6.31	2.93	-7.70**	-1.18	-3.11	-5.41	2.19	6.37	-6.43	5.9
21	LH 2220 × GTHV 13/32	-5.60**	-6.73**	-16.79**	-13.28**	-15.27**	0	4.71	3.55	-8.38**	1.41	1.41	5.11	89.22**	76.57**	112.08**
22	LH 2220 × HYPS 152	-7.92**	-10.45**	-15.46**	16.16**	6.40*	19.82**	-0.53	-1.19	-13.35**	-0.71	-1.41	2.19	39.31**	31.82**	77.39**
23	LH 2220 × L 788	4.17*	2.62	-8.44**	-6.84*	-12.80**	-1.8	6.44*	-1.79	0.52	-4.17*	-5.48*	0.73	6.96	-0.23	19.84
24	LH 2220 × MCU 5	-10.51**	-14.38**	-16.38**	11.21**	3.2	16.22**	-6.53*	-9.26**	-16.62**	3.14	2.07	8.03**	66.09**	37.90**	65.63**
25	LH 2220 × SURAJ	2.82	1.82	-9.16**	9.50**	-3.2	9.01*	-8.99**	-17.73**	-11.91**	0.35	0	3.65	32.10**	28.28**	54.08**
26	NDLH 1938 × GTHV 13/32	9.63**	1.75	-11.39**	-2.36	-5.34	11.71**	8.10**	2.66	-9.16**	-2.1	-2.78	2.19	42.28**	39.01	44.65**
27	NDLH 1938 × HYPS 152	18.24**	5.82**	-0.1	-3.08	-10.57**	-0.9	22.07**	16.42**	2.09	-2.11	-3.47	1.46	7.88	-6.27	26.14*
28	NDLH 1938 × L 788	-0.25	-7.17**	-19.63**	25.00**	17.89**	30.63**	-0.86	-11.89**	-9.82**	-1.38	-2.05	4.38	76.09**	72.11**	78.95**
29	NDLH 1938 × MCU 5	3.25	-8.96**	-11.09**	3.48	-3.25	7.21*	6.11*	-1	-9.03	-0.35	-0.69	5.11*	25.97**	13.32	12.5
30	NDLH 1938 × SURAJ	10.23**	2.09	-10.68**	10.50**	-1.63	9.01*	1.54	-11.49**	-5.24	-3.16	-4.17	0.73	16.32*	9.18	23.56
31	NDLH 2010 × GTHV 13/32	14.22**	12.62**	-1.93	-26.48**	-29.01**	-16.22**	6.04*	-2.95	3.4	-3.5	-4.17	0.73	13.36	11.83	19.6
32	NDLH 2010 × HYPS 152	1.36	-3.88	-9.26**	3.54	-4.1	5.41	-16.31**	-23.71**	-18.72**	-1.41	-2.78	2.19	29.56**	16.26*	56.46**
33	NDLH 2010 × L 788	12.54**	11.28**	-3.66	-21.21**	-25.41**	-18.02**	4.51	2.46	9.16**	-5.52**	-6.16**	0	-14.37	-15.56	-9.7
34	NDLH 2010 × MCU 5	-8.71**	-14.79**	-16.79**	-10.04**	-15.57**	-7.21*	-4.09	-10.69**	-4.84	-3.11	-3.45	2.19	-2.8	-15.35	-9.47
35	NDLH 2010 × SURAJ	0.47	-1.16	-13.53**	-12.84**	-22.13**	-14.41**	-8.95**	-9.17**	-2.75	0.35	-0.69	4.38	6.92	3.98	17.68
36	SCS 1001 × GTHV 13/32	8.77**	4.32	-9.16**	-5.82*	-6.11*	10.81**	9.42**	3.11	-8.77**	-0.35	-0.7	3.65	1.07	-8.42	17.34
37	SCS 1001 × HYPS 152	8.05**	-0.22	-5.80**	-7.77**	-17.05**	-2.7	22.87**	16.27**	1.96	-1.06	-2.1	2.19	-4.03	-6.33	26.05*
38	SCS 1001 × L 788	5.93**	1.88	-11.80**	-5.52	-13.21**	1.8	8.12**	-4.6	-2.36	-6.57**	-7.53**	-1.46	-8.26	-16.90*	6.47
39	SCS 1001 × MCU 5	8.13**	-1.67	-3.97*	-17.37**	-24.73**	-11.71**	23.08**	13.96**	4.71	-2.78	-3.45	2.19	-14.99	-31.18**	-11.82
40	SCS 1001 × SURAJ	7.90**	3.26	-9.66**	-1.86	-14.75**	0	10.73**	-4.16	2.62	-1.41	-2.1	2.19	23.18**	16.00*	48.62**
	Mean	4.17	-0.78	-10.05	2.3	-3.27	3.9	2.99	-2.44	-5.87	-1.84	-2.86	3.05	20.72	11.38	31.18
	Range	-10.51 to	-14.79 to	-20.35 to	-26.48 to	-29.01 to	-18.02 to	-18.67 to	-15.18 to	-19.90 to	-6.57 to	-7.53 to	-1.46 to	-14.99 to	-31.18 to	-14.94 to
		18.24	13.16	0.51	25	20.75	30.63	23.08	16.42	9.56	3.14	2.07	8.03	89.22	76.57	112.08

\*, \*\* Significant at 5% and 1% level, respectively

**Table 2:** The best heterotic combinations identified for yield and yield contributing characters in intra-specific hybrids of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) during kharif, 2016-17

S. No	Characters	Cross combinations	Per se performance	Standard heterosis
1.	Plant height (cm)	LH 2220 × HYPS 152	149.47	25.04**
		NDLH 2010 × SURAJ	144.20	20.64**
		NDLH 2010 × L788	143.07	19.69**
		LH 2220 × GTHV 13/32	151.47	26.09**
2.	Number of bolls per plant	LH 2220 × GTHV 13/32	67.47	56.78**
		L 1060 × GTHV 13/32	65.30	51.74**
		NDLH 2010 × SURAJ	60.93	41.60**
3.	Boll weight (g)	L 1384 × L788	5.63	32.29**
		L 1384 × HYPS 152	5.47	28.53**
		LH2220 × GTHV 13/32	5.00	17.55
4.	Seed index (g)	L 1384 × HYPS 152	11.14	42.09**
		LH 2220 × GTHV 13/32	10.45	33.28**
		L 1384 × L788	10.24	30.65**
		LH 2220 × GTHV 13/32	5.52	31.22**
5.	Ginning outturn (%)	NDLH 2010 × MCU 5	5.42	28.76**
		L 1493 × L 788	36.17	3.56**
		L 1231 × MCU 5	35.79	2.46**
6.	2.5% span length (mm)	NDLH 1938 × HYPS 152	32.93	0.51
		L 1060 × MCU 5	32.73	-0.10
		L 1493 × L 788	32.50	-0.81
7.	Micronaire value ( $10^{-6}$ g/inch)	NDLH 1938 × MCU 5	4.83	30.63**
		L 1493 × L 788	4.47	20.72**
		LH 2220 × HYPS 152	4.43	19.82
8.	Bundle strength (g/tex)	NDLH 2010 × L 788	27.80	9.16**
		SCS 1001 × MCU 5	26.67	4.71
		L 1232 × MCU 5	26.40	3.66
9.	Uniformity ratio	L 1060 × L 788	49.33	8.03**
		L 1231 × GTHV 13/32	48.67	6.57**
		L 1231 × SURAJ	47.33	3.65
10.	Seed cotton yield per plant (g)	LH 2220 × GTHV 13/32	249.73	112.08**
		L 1060 × GTHV 13/32	230.13	95.44**
		L 1384 × HYPS 152	223.80	90.06**

\* Significant at 5% level \*\* Significant at 1% level

The mean value of heterosis for bundle strength over mid parent, better parent and standard check was found to be 2.99, -2.44 and -5.87, respectively. Heterosis over mid parent ranged from -18.67 (L 1231 × SURAJ) to 23.08 (SCS 1001 × MCU 5), over better parent -25.18 (L 1231 × SURAJ) to 16.42 (NDLH 1938 × HYPS 152) and over standard check -19.90 (L 1231 × SURAJ) to 9.16 (NDLH 2010 × L 788). The crosses, L 1060 × GTHV 13/32, L 1060 × MCU 5, L 1231 × HYPS 152, L 1231 × MCU 5, L 1493 × HYPS 152, NDLH 1938 × HYPS 152, SCS 1001 × HYPS 152 and SCS 1001 × MCU 5, exhibited significant positive heterosis over mid parent and better parent. None of the cross exhibited significant positive heterosis over standard check. The best heterotic combination identified over mid parent was NDLH 2010 × L 788, over better parent was SCS 1001 × MCU 5 and over standard check was L 1231 × MCU 5. These results of heterosis for bundle strength are in conformity with the reports of Maisuria *et al.* (2007) [7] and Sekhar *et al.* (2012) [11].

Heterosis for uniformity ratio over mid parent, better parent and superior check ranged from -6.57 (SCS 1001 × L 788) to 3.14 (LH 2220 × MCU 5), -7.53 (SCS 1001 × L 788) to 2.07 (LH 2220 × MCU 5) and -1.46 (SCS 1001 × L 788) to 8.30 (LH 2220 × MCU 5) with mean value of -1.84, -2.86 and 3.05, respectively. None of the crosses exhibited significant positive heterosis over mid parent and over better parent. The crosses viz., L 1060 × GTHV 13/32, L 1231 × GTHV 13/32, L 1060 × L 788, L 1384 × GTHV 13/32, LH 2220 × MCU 5 and NDLH 1938 × MCU 5, exhibited significant positive

heterosis over standard check. The best heterotic combinations identified was L 1060 × L 788 over mid parent, better parent and standard check.

The best heterotic combinations for various traits based on per se performance and standard heterosis are represented in Table 2. The cross, LH 2220 × GTHV 13/32, recorded higher seed cotton yield with other important traits like plant height, number of bolls per plant boll weight and seed index while the crosses L 1384 × HYPS 152 and L 1060 × GTHV 13/32 showed higher yield with significant values for boll weight. The cross, L 1384 × HYPS 152, also showed the superiority for seed index and 2.5% span length. The cross, NDLH 2070 × MCU 5, performed better for seed index, ginning outturn and micronaire value.

Thus, the present study identified the promising crosses viz., LH 2220 × GTHV 13/32, L 1384 × HYPS 152 and L 1060 × GTHV 13/32, based on per se performance and significant standard heterosis for seed cotton yield per plant along with important yield contributing traits. These hybrids can be exploited for commercial cultivation after thorough testing in large number of environments for further confirmation of their yield advantage over the standard check.

## References

1. AICCP. Annual Report. All India Coordinated Cotton Improvement Project. Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India, 2016-17.
2. Balu A, Kavithamani PD, Ravikesavan R, Rajarathinam S. Heterosis for seed cotton yield and its quantitative

- characters of *Gossypium barbadense* L. Journal of Cotton Research and Development. 2012; 26(1):37-40.
- 3. Basamma K, Kajjidoni ST, Salimath PM, Malagouda P. Hybrid vigour and association studies in inter-specific F<sub>1</sub> hybrids of desi cotton for productivity and quality traits. Research on Crops, 2010; 11(3):733-740.
  - 4. Deosarkar DB, Jadhav DS, Patil SG. Heterosis study in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) under rainfed conditions. Journal of Cotton Research and Development. 2009; 23(1):36-40.
  - 5. Kumar KA, Kumar KS, Ravikesavan R. Heterosis studies for fiber quality of upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) in line x tester design. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2013; 8(48):6359-6365.
  - 6. Liang GH, Reddy CR, Dayton AD. Heterosis, inbreeding depression and heritability estimates in a systematic series of grain sorghum genotypes. Crop Science. 1971; 12:409-411.
  - 7. Maisuria AT, Patel JC, Patel KG, Patel DH, Chhimpi BG. Heterosis for yield and its contributing characters in GMS based Asiatic cottons. Journal of Indian Society for Cotton Improvement. 2007; 32(1):30-40.
  - 8. Patel NA, Patel BN, Bhatt JP, Patel JA. Heterosis and combining ability for seed cotton yield and component traits in inter-specific cotton hybrids (*Gossypium hirsutum* L. x *Gossypium barbadense* L.). Madras Agricultural Journal. 2012; 99(10-12):649-656.
  - 9. Pole SP, Sudewad SM, Kamble SK, Borgaonkar SB. Heterosis for seed cotton yield and yield components in upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Journal of Cotton Research and Development. 2008; 22(2):139-142.
  - 10. Rajamani S, Rao Ch, Naik RM. Heterosis for yield and fibre properties in upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Journal of Cotton Research and Development. 2009; 23(1):43-45.
  - 11. Sekhar L, Khadi BM, Rajesh SP, Katageri IS, Vamadevaiah HM, Chetti MB, Nadaf HL, et al. Study of heterosis in thermo sensitive genetic male sterility (TGMS) based diploid cotton hybrids for yield, yield component and fibre quality characters. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2012; 25(3):313-321.